

Danica Jakubíková-Studenovská  
Partially-2-homogeneous monounary algebras

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 53 (2003), No. 3, 655–668

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127831>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2003

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## PARTIALLY-2-HOMOGENEOUS MONOUNARY ALGEBRAS

DANICA JAKUBÍKOVÁ-STUDENOVSKÁ, Košice

(Received October 13, 2000)

*Abstract.* This paper is a continuation of [5], where  $k$ -homogeneous and  $k$ -set-homogeneous algebras were defined. The definitions are analogous to those introduced by Fraïssé [2] and Droste, Giraudet, Macpherson, Sauer [1] for relational structures. In [5] we found all 2-homogeneous and all 2-set-homogeneous monounary algebras when the homogeneity is considered with respect to subalgebras, to connected subalgebras and with respect to connected partial subalgebras, respectively. The results of [3], where all homogeneous monounary algebras were characterized, were applied in [4] for 1-homogeneity.

The aim of the present paper is to describe all monounary algebras which are 2-homogeneous and 2-set-homogeneous with respect to partial subalgebras, respectively; we will say that they are partially-2-homogeneous and partially-2-set-homogeneous.

*Keywords:* monounary algebra, 2-homogeneous, 2-set-homogeneous, partially-2-homogeneous, partially-2-set-homogeneous

*MSC 2000:* 08A60

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

We will apply notions and definitions from [5]; let us recall some of them.

Let  $A = (A, f)$  be a monounary algebra. Let  $\emptyset \neq B \subseteq A$  and let  $B = (B, f_B)$  be a partial monounary algebra such that whenever  $b \in B$ , then  $b \in \text{dom } f_B$  if and only if  $f(b) \in B$ , and then  $f_B(b) = f(b)$ . We will say that  $B$  is a partial subalgebra of  $A$ . The system of all 2-element partial subalgebras of  $A$  is denoted by the symbol  $P_2(A)$ .

The algebra  $A$  is said to be *2-set-homogeneous with respect to partial subalgebras* or *partially-2-set-homogeneous* if, whenever  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$ , then there is an automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $A$  with  $\varphi(U) = V$ . Also,  $A$  is called *2-homogeneous with respect to partial subalgebras* or *partially-2-homogeneous* if every isomorphism between  $U, V \in P_2(A)$  can be extended to an automorphism of  $A$ .

---

Supported by grant VEGA 1/7468/20.

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{H}_2(P)$  the class of all monounary algebras which are partially-2-homogeneous and by  $\mathcal{S}h_2(P)$  the class of all partially-2-set-homogeneous monounary algebras.

The following assertion is obvious:

**1.1. Lemma.**  $\mathcal{H}_2(P) \subseteq \mathcal{S}h_2(P)$ .

**1.2. Notation.** Let  $\lambda, \alpha$  be cardinals,  $\lambda > 0$ . We denote by  $M_{\lambda\alpha} = (M_{\lambda\alpha}, f)$  a fixed monounary algebra such that

- (a) there is  $c \in M_{\lambda\alpha}$  with  $f(c) = c$ ,
- (b) if  $x \in M_{\lambda\alpha}$ , then  $f^2(x) = c$ ,
- (c)  $\text{card } f^{-1}(c) - \{c\} = \lambda$ ,
- (d) if  $a \in f^{-1}(c) - \{c\}$ , then  $\text{card } f^{-1}(a) = \alpha$ .

We will write also  $M_\lambda$  instead of  $M_{\lambda 0}$ .

**1.3. Notation.** For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $Z_\alpha = (Z_\alpha, f)$  be a monounary algebra such that  $Z_\alpha = \{0, 1, \dots, \alpha - 1\}$ ,  $f(i) \equiv i + 1 \pmod{\alpha}$  for each  $i \in Z_\alpha$ .

## 2. THE CLASS $\mathcal{S}h_2(P)$ —NECESSARY CONDITIONS

In this section let  $A = (A, f)$  be a monounary algebra belonging to  $\mathcal{S}h_2(P)$ .

**2.1. Lemma.** *There do not exist distinct elements  $a, b, c, d \in A$  such that  $f(a) = b$ ,  $f(b) = c$ ,  $f(c) = d$  and  $f(d) \neq a \neq f^2(d)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that such elements exist. First suppose that  $f(d) \neq b$ . Take  $U = \{b, d\}$ ,  $V = \{a, d\}$ . Then  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$ , thus there is  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } A$  with  $\varphi(U) = V$ . If  $\varphi(b) = a$ , then

$$\varphi(d) = \varphi(f^2(b)) = f^2(\varphi(b)) = f^2(a) = c \neq d,$$

a contradiction. If  $\varphi(b) = d$ , then

$$a = \varphi(d) = \varphi(f^2(b)) = f^2(\varphi(b)) = f^2(a) = c \neq a,$$

which is a contradiction, too.

Now let  $f(d) = b$ . Then the partial monounary algebras defined on  $\{d, b\}$  and on  $\{a, b\}$  are isomorphic, but there is no automorphism  $\psi$  of  $A$  with  $\psi(\{d, b\}) = \{a, b\}$ , since if  $\psi(b) = b$ , then

$$a = \psi(d) = \psi(f^2(b)) = f^2(\psi(b)) = f^2(b) = d$$

and if  $\psi(b) = a$ , then

$$b = \psi(d) = \psi(f^2(b)) = f^2(a) = c.$$

□

**2.2. Corollary.** *Each connected component of  $A$  contains a cycle and each cycle has at most 5 elements.*

**2.3. Corollary.** *If  $C$  is a cycle of  $A$ ,  $\text{card } C > 2$ , then  $f^{-1}(C) - C = \emptyset$ .*

**2.4. Corollary.** *If  $C$  is a cycle of  $A$ ,  $\text{card } C = 2$ , then  $f^{-1}(f^{-1}(C) - C) = \emptyset$ .*

**2.5. Corollary.** *If  $C$  is a cycle of  $A$ ,  $\text{card } C = 1$ , then  $f^{-2}(f^{-1}(C) - C) = \emptyset$ .*

**2.6. Lemma.** *If  $B$  is a connected component of  $A$  and  $a, b, c$  are distinct elements of  $B$  such that  $f(a) = b$ ,  $f(b) = c = f(c)$ , then  $B \cong M_{1\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let the assumption hold and suppose that  $B$  is not isomorphic to  $M_{1\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha \geq 1$ . In view of 2.5 there is  $d \in B - \{b, c\}$  such that  $f(d) = c$ . Take  $U = \{b, d\}$ ,  $V = \{a, d\}$ . Then  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$ , thus there is  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } A$  with  $\varphi(U) = V$ . Then either  $\varphi(d) = a$  or  $\varphi(b) = a$ , which implies either

$$\varphi(c) = \varphi(f(d)) = f(\varphi(d)) = f(a) = b$$

or

$$\varphi(c) = \varphi(f(b)) = f(\varphi(b)) = f(a) = b,$$

i.e.,  $\varphi(c) = b$ , which is a contradiction. □

**2.7. Lemma.** *Let there be distinct elements  $a, b, c \in A$  such that  $f(a) = f(c) = b$ ,  $f(b) = c$ . Then  $A = \{a, b, c\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $d \in A - \{a, b, c\}$ . By 2.4,  $f(d) \neq a$ .

First suppose that  $f(d) \neq d$ . Put  $U = \{a, d\}$ ,  $V = \{a, c\}$ . Then  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$  and there is  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } A$  such that either  $\varphi(a) = a$ ,  $\varphi(d) = c$  or  $\varphi(a) = c$ ,  $\varphi(d) = a$ . In the first case,

$$\varphi(d) = c = f^2(a) = f^2(\varphi(a)) = \varphi(f^2(a)) = \varphi(c),$$

and in the second case,

$$\varphi(a) = c = f^2(a) = f^2(\varphi(a)) = \varphi(f^2(a)) = \varphi(c),$$

thus  $\varphi$  is not bijective, which is a contradiction.

Now suppose that  $f(d) = d$ . Take  $U = \{b, d\}$ ,  $V = \{a, d\}$ . Then  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$ , thus there is  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } A$  with  $\varphi(U) = V$ . Since  $b$  belongs to a 2-element cycle and  $d$  to a 1-element cycle, we obtain  $\varphi(b) \neq d$ . Hence  $\varphi(b) = a$ , which is a contradiction as well.  $\square$

**2.8. Lemma.** *Let  $C$  be a 3-element cycle of  $A$ . Further, let  $B$  be a connected component of  $A$  such that  $B$  has a cycle with less than 3 elements. Then  $\text{card } B \leq 2$ .*

**P r o o f.** Suppose that  $\text{card } B > 2$ . Then the cycle of  $B$  has only 1 element according to 2.7. Therefore there exist distinct elements  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  such that either

$$(1) \quad b_1 \neq f(b_1) = f(b_2) \neq b_2$$

or

$$(2) \quad f(b_1) = b_2, \quad f(b_2) \notin \{b_1, b_2\}.$$

Let  $c \in C$ . First let (1) hold. Take  $U = \{c, b_1\}$ ,  $V = \{b_1, b_2\}$ . Then  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$ , but there is no  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } A$  with  $\varphi(c) \in \{b_1, b_2\}$ , which is a contradiction, since a 3-element cycle would be mapped into a 1-element cycle.

Suppose that (2) is valid. Put  $U = \{c, f(c)\}$ ,  $V = \{b_1, b_2\}$ . Then  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$  and there is  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } A$  with  $\varphi(U) = V$ . Thus  $\varphi(c) \in \{b_1, b_2\}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**2.9. Lemma.** *Let  $a, b, c \in A$  be distinct,  $f(a) = b$ ,  $f(b) = c = f(c)$ . Then  $A$  is connected.*

**P r o o f.** Suppose that  $A$  is not connected, i.e., there is  $d \in A$  such that  $c$  and  $d$  do not belong to the same connected components of  $A$ .

First suppose that  $f(d) \neq d$ . Take  $U = \{d, c\}$ ,  $V = \{a, c\}$ . Then  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$  and there is  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } A$  with  $\varphi(U) = V$ . If  $\varphi(d) = c$ , then

$$\varphi(c) = c = f^2(a) = f^2(\varphi(d)) = \varphi(f^2(d)),$$

thus  $c = f^2(d)$ , a contradiction. The case  $\varphi(d) = c$ ,  $\varphi(c) = a$  yields a contradiction as well.

Now suppose that  $f(d) = d$ . Let  $U = \{b, d\}$ ,  $V = \{a, d\}$ . Then  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$ , thus there is  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } A$  such that  $\varphi(U) = V$ . Obviously,  $\varphi(d) \neq a$ , therefore  $\varphi(d) = d$ ,  $\varphi(b) = a$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**2.10. Lemma.** *Let  $C$  be a cycle of  $A$ ,  $\text{card } C > 3$ . Then  $f(x) = x$  for each  $x \in A - C$ .*

*Proof.* There exist distinct elements  $a, b, c \in C$  with  $f(a) = b$ ,  $f(b) = c$ . By 2.3,  $C$  is a connected component of  $A$ . Suppose that there is  $d \in A - C$  such that  $f(d) \neq d$ . If we take  $U = \{d, c\}$ ,  $V = \{a, c\}$ , then  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$  and there is  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } A$  with  $\varphi(U) = V$ . Thus  $\varphi(d) \in C$  and  $\varphi(C) = C$ , therefore  $\varphi$  is not bijective, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**2.11. Lemma.** *Let  $a, b, c \in A$  be distinct,  $f(a) = f(b) = f(c) = c$ . If  $B$  is a connected component,  $c \notin B$ , then  $\text{card } B = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $c \notin B$  and that there are  $e, d \in B$ ,  $e \neq d$  such that  $f(e) = d$ . Let  $U = \{a, b\}$ ,  $V = \{a, e\}$ . Then  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$  and there is  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } A$  with  $\varphi(U) = V$ . If  $\varphi(a) = a$ ,  $\varphi(b) = e$ , then

$$d = f(e) = f(\varphi(b)) = \varphi(f(b)) = \varphi(c) = \varphi(f(a)) = f(\varphi(a)) = f(a) = c,$$

which is a contradiction. If  $\varphi(a) = e$ ,  $\varphi(b) = a$ , then

$$c = f(a) = f(\varphi(b)) = \varphi(f(b)) = \varphi(c) = \varphi(f(a)) = f(\varphi(a)) = f(e) = d,$$

a contradiction.  $\square$

**2.12. Lemma.** *Let  $B_1, B_2, B_3$  be distinct connected components of  $A$  which have more than 1 element. Then  $B_1 \cong B_2 \cong B_3$ .*

*Proof.* There are  $a \in B_1$ ,  $b \in B_2$ ,  $c \in B_3$  with  $f(a) \neq a$ ,  $f(b) \neq b$ ,  $f(c) \neq c$ . Suppose that e.g.  $B_1$  is not isomorphic to  $B_2$ . Take  $U = \{a, b\}$ ,  $V = \{b, c\}$ . Then  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$  and there is  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } A$  with  $\varphi(U) = V$ . Since  $B_1$  is not isomorphic to  $B_2$ ,  $\varphi(a) \neq b$ , thus  $\varphi(a) = c$ ,  $\varphi(b) = b$ . The relation  $\varphi(a) = c$  implies  $B_1 \cong B_3$ . Let  $U' = \{a, b\}$ ,  $V' = \{a, c\}$ . Then  $U', V' \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U' \cong V'$ . Hence there is  $\psi \in \text{Aut } A$  with  $\psi(U) = V$ . We have either  $\psi(b) = a$  or  $\psi(b) = c$ , which yields that either  $B_1 \cong B_2$  or  $B_2 \cong B_3$ . But  $B_3 \cong B_1$ , therefore  $B_1 \cong B_2$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**2.13. Lemma.** *Let  $a, b, c \in A$  be distinct,  $f(a) = f(b) = f(c) = c$ . If  $p, q \in A$ ,  $f(p) = p$ ,  $f(q) = q$ , then  $\text{card}\{c, p, q\} \leq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $c, p, q$  are distinct elements of  $A$  and that  $f(p) = p$ ,  $f(q) = q$ . By 2.11,  $\{p\}$  and  $\{q\}$  are connected components of  $A$ . Consider  $U = \{c, p\}$ ,  $V = \{p, q\}$ . Then  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$  and there is  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } A$  with  $\varphi(U) = V$ . We obtain  $\varphi(c) \in \{p, q\}$ , which yields a contradiction, since the connected component containing  $c$  has more than one element and cannot be embedded into a component  $\{p\}$  or  $\{q\}$ .  $\square$

**2.14. Lemma.** *Let  $a, b, c, d \in A$  be distinct and  $f(a) = f(b) = b, f(d) = f(c) = c$ . Then there is no one-element connected component of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there is  $p \in A$  such that  $\{p\}$  is a connected component of  $A$ . Let  $U = \{p, c\}, V = \{b, c\}$ . Then  $U, V \in P_2(A), U \cong V$  and there is  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } A$  with  $\varphi(U) = V$ , which implies  $\varphi(p) \in \{c, b\}$ , and this is a contradiction.  $\square$

**2.15. Lemma.** *Let  $c, d$  be distinct elements of  $A$  such that  $f(d) = f(c) = c$ . Then there is at most one 1-element connected component of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there are  $a, b \in A$  such that  $a \neq b$  and  $\{a\}, \{b\}$  are 1-element connected components of  $A$ . If we take  $U = \{a, c\}, V = \{a, b\}$ , then  $U, V \in P_2(A), U \cong V$  and there is  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } A$  with  $\varphi(c) \in \{a, b\}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**2.16. Lemma.** *Let  $a, b, c, d \in A$  be distinct and  $f(a) = f(b) = b, f(d) = c, f(c) = d$ . Then there is no one-element connected component of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{p\}$  is a connected component and put  $U = \{p, a\}, V = \{p, c\}$ . Then  $U \cong V$ . If  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } A$ , then  $\varphi(a) \neq c$ . Further, the relation  $\varphi(a) = p$  implies  $\varphi(b) = p = \varphi(a)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

In 2.17 and 2.18 we can repeat the steps of the proof of 2.14; therefore we have:

**2.17. Lemma.** *Let  $a, b, c, d, e$  be distinct elements of  $A, f(a) = b, f(b) = d, f(d) = a, f(c) = e, f(e) = c$ . Then there is no one-element connected component of  $A$ .*

**2.18. Lemma.** *Let  $a, b, c, d, e$  be distinct elements of  $A, f(a) = b, f(b) = d, f(d) = a, f(c) = f(e) = e$ . Then there is no one-element connected component of  $A$ .*

### 3. THE CLASS $\mathcal{H}_2(P)$ —AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section we will give some sufficient conditions under which a monounary algebra belongs to the class  $\mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .

Let  $A = (A, f)$  be a monounary algebra.

**3.1.1. Lemma.** *Let  $A$  be a cycle with 4 elements. Then  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $A = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$ ,  $f(c_1) = c_2, \dots, f(c_4) = c_1$ . Consider  $U, V \in P_2(A)$  such that  $U \cong V$ . Without loss of generality, one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (1)  $U = \{c_1, c_3\}, V = \{c_2, c_4\}$ ,
- (2)  $U = \{c_1, c_2\}, V = \{c_2, c_3\}$ ,
- (3)  $U = \{c_1, c_2\}, V = \{c_3, c_4\}$ ,
- (4)  $U = \{c_1, c_3\} = V$ ,
- (5)  $U = \{c_1, c_2\} = V$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be an isomorphism of  $U$  onto  $V$ ,  $\varphi \neq \text{id}_U$ . Then (5) fails to hold.

First let (1) be valid. If  $\varphi(c_1) = c_2, \varphi(c_3) = c_4$ , then  $\bar{\varphi} = f$  is an extension of  $\varphi$  and  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Aut } A$ . If  $\varphi(c_1) = c_4, \varphi(c_3) = c_2$ , then we can take  $\bar{\varphi} = f^3$ ; then  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Aut } A$  and  $\bar{\varphi}$  is an extension of  $\varphi$ .

Assume that (2) is satisfied. Then  $\varphi(c_1) = c_2, \varphi(c_2) = c_4$  and  $\varphi$  can be extended by putting  $\bar{\varphi} = f$ . If (3) holds, then  $\varphi(c_1) = c_3, \varphi(c_2) = c_4$  and we can put  $\bar{\varphi} = f^2$ . Let (4) be valid. Then  $\varphi(c_1) = c_3, \varphi(c_3) = c_1$  and  $\bar{\varphi} = f^2 \in \text{Aut } A$  is an extension of  $\varphi$ . Therefore  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .  $\square$

**3.1.2. Lemma.** *Let  $C$  be a cycle of  $A$  such that  $\text{card } C = 4$  and  $f(x) = x$  for each  $x \in A - C$ . Then  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $C = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$ ,  $f(c_1) = c_2, \dots, f(c_4) = c_1$ . Further suppose that  $U, V$  are elements of  $P_2(A)$  such that  $U \cong V$ . One of the following cases occurs:

- (1)  $U, V \subseteq C$ ,
- (2)  $U, V \subseteq A - C$ ,
- (3)  $U = \{a, c_i\}, V = \{b, c_j\}$ , where  $a, b \in A - C, c_i, c_j \in C$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be an isomorphism of  $U$  onto  $V$ ,  $\varphi \neq \text{id}_U$ . If (1) is valid, then  $\varphi$  can be extended analogously as in 3.1.1. Let (2) hold. Then  $U = \{u_1, u_2\}, V = \{v_1, v_2\}$  and  $\varphi(u_1) = v_1, \varphi(u_2) = v_2$ . If  $u_1 = v_1$ , then  $\varphi \neq \text{id}_U$  implies  $u_2 \neq v_2 \neq v_1$ ; put

$$\bar{\varphi}(x) = \begin{cases} v_2 & \text{if } x = u_2, \\ u_2 & \text{if } x = v_2, \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\bar{\varphi}$  is an extension of  $\varphi$  and  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Aut } A$ . The case  $u_1 \neq v_1, u_2 = v_2$  is analogous. If  $v_2 = u_1, v_1 = u_2$ , then it is obvious that we can define  $\bar{\varphi}$  as above. If  $u_1, u_2, v_1,$



$v_2$  are mutually distinct, then we set

$$\bar{\varphi}(x) = \begin{cases} v_1 & \text{if } x = u_1, \\ u_1 & \text{if } x = v_1, \\ v_2 & \text{if } x = u_2, \\ u_2 & \text{if } x = v_2, \\ x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and we obtain an extension  $\bar{\varphi}$  of  $\varphi$  such that  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Aut } A$ .

Now suppose that (3) is valid. Then clearly  $\varphi(a) \neq c_j$ , whence  $\varphi(a) = b$ ,  $\varphi(c_i) = c_j$ . Put

$$\bar{\varphi}(x) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } x = b, \\ b & \text{if } x = a, \\ f^k(c_j) & \text{if } x = f^k(c_i), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\bar{\varphi}$  is an extension of  $\varphi$  and  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Aut } A$ . Thus we have proved that  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .  $\square$

**3.2.1. Lemma.** *If  $A$  is connected and  $\text{card } A \leq 3$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{S}h_2(P)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be connected. The assertion is obvious if  $\text{card } A = 2$ , thus assume that  $\text{card } A = 3$ . Then either  $A$  is a 3-element cycle or  $A$  contains a cycle with less than 3 elements. Let  $U, V \in P_2(A)$  and let  $\varphi \neq \text{id}_U$  be an isomorphism of  $U$  onto  $V$ . Then  $A$  is a 3-element cycle and there is  $u \in A$  such that  $U = \{u, f(u)\}$ ,  $V = \{f(u), f^2(u)\}$  or  $U = \{u, f(u)\}$ ,  $V = \{f^2(u), u\}$ . Then either  $\bar{\varphi} = f$  or  $\bar{\varphi} = f^2$  is an automorphism of  $A$  which is an extension of  $\varphi$ . Therefore  $A \in \mathcal{S}h_2(P)$ .  $\square$

**3.2.2. Lemma.** *Let  $A$  consist of  $k$  2-element cycles and of  $m$  1-element cycles,  $(k, m) \neq (0, 0)$ ,  $k \geq 0$ ,  $m \geq 0$ . Then  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider  $U, V \in P_2(A)$  such that  $U \cong V$ . One of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (1)  $U, V$  are 2-element cycles,
- (2)  $U = \{u_1, u_2\}$ ,  $V = \{v_1, v_2\}$ , where  $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2$  are 1-element cycles,
- (3)  $U = \{a, u\}$ ,  $V = \{b, v\}$ , where  $f(a) \neq a$ ,  $f(u) = u$ ,  $f(b) \neq b$ ,  $f(v) = v$ .

Let  $\varphi \neq \text{id}_U$  be an isomorphism of  $U$  onto  $V$ . First assume that (1) is valid. Then  $\bar{\varphi}$  defined by the formula

$$\bar{\varphi}(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi(x) & \text{if } x \in U, \\ \varphi^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x \in V, \\ x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

belongs to  $\text{Aut } A$  and it is an extension of  $\varphi$ . If (2) is valid, then we proceed analogously as in 3.1.2, case (2). Let (3) hold. Then  $\varphi(a) = b$ ,  $\varphi(u) = v$ ; let us put

$$\bar{\varphi}(x) = \begin{cases} f^i(b) & \text{if } x = f^i(a), \quad i \in \{0, 1\}, \\ f^i(a) & \text{if } x = f^i(b), \quad i \in \{0, 1\}, \\ u & \text{if } x = v, \\ v & \text{if } x = u, \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\bar{\varphi}$  is an extension of  $\varphi$  and  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Aut } A$ . Therefore  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .  $\square$

**3.2.3. Lemma.** *Let  $A$  consist of  $k$  3-element cycles and of  $m$  1-element cycles,  $k > 0$ ,  $m \geq 0$ . Then  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$ . One of the following cases occurs:

- (1)  $U, V$  are subsets of one 3-element cycle,
- (2)  $U = \{a, f(a)\}$ ,  $V = \{b, f(b)\}$ ,  $a, b$  belong to distinct 3-element cycles,
- (3)  $U = \{u_1, u_2\}$ ,  $V = \{v_1, v_2\}$ , where  $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2$  are 1-element cycles,
- (4)  $U = \{a, u\}$ ,  $V = \{b, v\}$ , where  $f(a) \neq a$ ,  $f(u) = u$ ,  $f(b) \neq b$ ,  $f(v) = v$ .

Let  $\varphi \neq \text{id}_U$  be an isomorphism of  $U$  onto  $V$ . If (1) is valid, then  $\varphi$  can be extended analogously as in 3.2.1. If (2), (3) or (4) holds, then  $\varphi$  can be extended analogously as in 3.2.2, cases (1), (2) or (3), respectively. Thus we obtain that  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .  $\square$

**3.3. Lemma.** *Let  $A \cong M_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Then  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .*

*Proof.* We assume that there is  $c \in A$  with  $f(x) = c$  for each  $x \in A$ ,  $\text{card } A \geq 2$ . Let  $U, V \in P_2(A)$  be such that  $U \cong V$ . One of the following two conditions is satisfied:

- (1)  $U = \{a, c\}$ ,  $V = \{b, c\}$  for some  $a, b \in A - \{c\}$ ,
- (2)  $U = \{u_1, u_2\}$ ,  $V = \{v_1, v_2\}$ ,  $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in A - \{c\}$ .

Let  $\varphi \neq \text{id}_U$  be an isomorphism of  $U$  onto  $V$ . If (1) is valid, then put

$$\bar{\varphi}(x) = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } x = a, \\ a & \text{if } x = b, \\ x & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

we obtain that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is an extension of  $\varphi$  and  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Aut } A$ . If (2) is satisfied, then we proceed analogously as in the proof of 3.1.2, case (2). Therefore  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .  $\square$

**3.4. Lemma.** Suppose that  $A \cong M_{1\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Then  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .

*Proof.* By the assumption, there are distinct  $b, c \in A$  with  $f(b) = f(c) = c$  and  $f(x) = b$  for each  $x \in A - \{b, c\}$ . Let  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$ . Then we have one of the following possibilities:

- (1)  $U = \{a, b\}$ ,  $V = \{d, b\}$ ,  $a, d \in A - \{b, c\}$ ,
- (2)  $U = \{a, c\}$ ,  $V = \{d, c\}$ ,  $a, d \in A - \{b, c\}$ ,
- (3)  $U = \{u_1, u_2\}$ ,  $V = \{v_1, v_2\}$ ,  $\{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2\} \subseteq A - \{b, c\}$ .

Then each isomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $U$  onto  $V$  can be extended to  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Aut } A$ , thus  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .  $\square$

**3.5. Lemma.** Suppose that each connected component of  $A$  has 2 elements and it is not a cycle. Then  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$ . Let  $C$  be the set of all  $x \in A$  with  $f(x) = x$ ,  $B = A - C$ . One of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (1)  $U = \{a, f(a)\}$ ,  $V = \{b, f(b)\}$ ,  $\{a, b\} \subseteq B$ ,
- (2)  $U = \{u_1, u_2\}$ ,  $V = \{v_1, v_2\}$  and  
either  $\{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2\} \subseteq B$  or  $\{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2\} \subseteq C$ ,
- (3)  $U = \{a_1, c_1\}$ ,  $V = \{a_2, c_2\}$ ,  $\{a_1, a_2\} \subseteq B$ ,  $\{c_1, c_2\} \subseteq C$ ,  $f(a_1) \neq c_1$ ,  $f(a_2) \neq c_2$ .

Let  $\varphi \neq \text{id}_U$  be an isomorphism of  $U$  onto  $V$ . If (1) is valid, then it is obvious that  $\varphi$  can be extended to  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Aut } A$ . In the case (2) we denote by  $u'_1, u'_2, v'_1, v'_2$  the elements of the connected components of  $A$  which contain the elements  $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2$ , respectively, such that  $u'_1 \neq u_1, u'_2 \neq u_2, v'_1 \neq v_1, v'_2 \neq v_2$ . Let  $\varphi(u_1) = v_1, \varphi(u_2) = v_2$ . Then we proceed analogously as in 3.1.2, e.g., if  $u_1 = v_1, u_2 \neq v_2$ , then we can put

$$\bar{\varphi}(x) = \begin{cases} u_2 & \text{if } x = v_2, \\ u'_2 & \text{if } x = v'_2, \\ v_2 & \text{if } x = u_2, \\ v'_2 & \text{if } x = u'_2, \\ x & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

then  $\bar{\varphi}$  is an extension of  $\varphi$  and  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Aut } A$ .

Suppose that (3) holds. Then  $\varphi(a_1) = a_2, \varphi(c_1) = c_2$ . If either  $a_1 = a_2$  or  $c_1 = c_2$ , then it is obvious that  $\varphi$  can be extended to  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Aut } A$ . Let  $a_1 \neq a_2, c_1 \neq c_2$ . Denote by  $b_1, b_2 \in A$  such that  $f(b_1) = c_1, f(b_2) = c_2$ . Let us define the mapping  $\bar{\varphi}$  as follows:

a) Let  $b_1 = a_2, b_2 = a_1$ . We put  $a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow a_1, c_1 \rightarrow c_2 \rightarrow c_1$  and for the other elements,  $x \rightarrow x$ .

b) Let  $b_1 \neq a_2, b_2 = a_1$ . Then we put  $a_2 \rightarrow b_1 \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow a_2, f(a_2) \rightarrow c_1 \rightarrow c_2 \rightarrow f(a_2)$  and for the other elements,  $x \rightarrow x$ .

c) Let  $b_1 = a_2, b_2 \neq a_1$ . Then we put  $a_2 \rightarrow b_2 \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow a_2, c_1 \rightarrow c_2 \rightarrow f(a_1) \rightarrow c_1, x \rightarrow x$  otherwise.

d) Let  $b_1 \neq a_2, b_2 \neq a_1$ . Then put  $a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow a_1, c_1 \rightarrow c_2 \rightarrow c_1, b_1 \rightarrow b_2 \rightarrow b_1, x \rightarrow x$  otherwise.

In each of these cases,  $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Aut } A$  and  $\bar{\varphi}$  is an extension of  $\varphi$ . Therefore  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ . □

#### 4. CHARACTERIZATION OF THE CLASSES $\mathcal{S}h_2(P)$ AND $\mathcal{H}_2(P)$

The aim of this section is to prove necessary and sufficient conditions under which a monounary algebra belongs to  $\mathcal{S}h_2(P)$  or to  $\mathcal{H}_2(P)$ , respectively.

**4.1. Lemma.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Then  $M_\alpha + Z_1 \notin \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = M_\alpha + Z_1$  and let  $c \in M_\alpha$  be such that  $f(c) = c$ . We have  $Z_1 = \{0\}$ . Take  $U = \{c, 0\} = V, \varphi(c) = 0, \varphi(0) = c$ . Then  $U, V \in P_2(A), \varphi$  is an isomorphism of  $U$  onto  $V$ , but  $\varphi$  cannot be extended to an automorphism of  $A$ . Therefore  $A \notin \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ . □

**4.2. Lemma.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Then  $M_\alpha + Z_1 \in \mathcal{S}h_2(P)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A, c, 0$  be as in the previous proof. Take  $U, V \in P_2(A)$  such that  $U \cong V, U \neq V$ . We obtain one of the following cases:

- (1)  $U = \{a, c\}, V = \{b, c\}$  for some  $a, b \in f^{-1}(c) - \{c\}$ ,
- (2)  $U = \{u_1, u_2\}, V = \{v_1, v_2\}, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in f^{-1}(c) - \{c\}$ ,
- (3)  $U = \{a, 0\}, V = \{b, 0\}$  for some  $a, b \in f^{-1}(c) - \{c\}$ .

It is easy to see that in each of the cases there exists an automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $A$  with  $\varphi(U) = V$ . Hence  $A \in \mathcal{S}h_2(P)$ . □

It is easy to show

**4.3.1. Lemma.** *The algebras  $Z_3 + Z_2, Z_3 + M_1, Z_2 + M_1$  belong to  $\mathcal{S}h_2(P)$ .*

**4.3.2. Lemma.** *The algebras  $Z_3 + Z_2, Z_3 + M_1, Z_2 + M_1$  do not belong to  $\mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .*

*Proof.* Let us show e.g., that  $Z_3 + Z_2 \notin \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ . Let  $A = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ , where  $\{a, b, c\}, \{d, e\}$  are 3-, 2-element cycles, respectively. Put  $U = \{a, d\}, V = \{d, a\}, \varphi(a) = d, \varphi(d) = a$ . Then  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism of  $U$  onto  $V$ , thus  $\varphi$  can be extended to an automorphism  $\psi$  of  $A$ . For  $\psi \in \text{Aut } A$  we have  $\psi(a) \in \{a, b, c\}$ , which is a contradiction. □

**4.4.1. Lemma.** *If  $m \geq 0$ , then  $Z_5 + m \cdot Z_1 \notin \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $U = \{0, 2\}$ ,  $V = \{0, 3\}$ ,  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(2) = 3$ . Then  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism of  $U$  onto  $V$ , but it cannot be extended to an automorphism of  $Z_5 + m \cdot Z_1$ .  $\square$

**4.4.2. Lemma.** *If  $m \geq 0$ , then  $Z_5 + m \cdot Z_1 \in \mathcal{S}h_2(P)$ .*

*Proof.* Denote  $A = Z_5 + m \cdot Z_1$ ,  $B = m \cdot Z_1$ . Let  $U, V \in P_2(A)$ ,  $U \cong V$ ,  $U \neq V$ . Without loss of generality we obtain one of the following cases:

- (1)  $U \subseteq B$ ,  $V \subseteq B$ ,
- (2)  $U \cap B \neq \emptyset \neq U \cap Z_5$ ,  $V \cap B \neq \emptyset \neq V \cap Z_5$ ,
- (3)  $U = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $V = \{v, f(v)\}$ ,  $v \in Z_5$ ,
- (4)  $U = \{0, 2\}$ ,  $V = \{v, f^2(v)\}$ ,  $v \in Z_5$ .

It is obvious that in each of these cases we can find  $\varphi \in \text{Aut } A$  with  $\varphi(U) = V$ ; therefore  $A \in \mathcal{S}h_2(P)$ .  $\square$

**4.5. Lemma.** *If a monounary algebra  $A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}h_2(P)$ , then  $A$  is isomorphic to some of the following algebras:*

- (1)  $Z_5 + m \cdot Z_1$ ,  $m \geq 0$ ,
- (2)  $Z_4 + m \cdot Z_1$ ,  $m \geq 0$ ,
- (3)  $Z_3 + Z_2$ ,
- (4)  $Z_3 + M_1$ ,
- (5)  $k \cdot Z_3 + m \cdot Z_1$ ,  $k > 0$ ,  $m \geq 0$ ,
- (6) *connected 3-element monounary algebra with a 2-element cycle*,
- (7)  $m \cdot Z_2 + k \cdot Z_1$ ,  $m, k \geq 0$ ,  $(m, k) \neq (0, 0)$ ,
- (8)  $Z_2 + M_1$ ,
- (9)  $M_{1\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,
- (10)  $M_\alpha + Z_1$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,
- (11)  $M_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,
- (12)  $m \cdot M_1$ ,  $m > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \mathcal{S}h_2(P)$ . By 2.2, each connected component of  $A$  contains a cycle with at most 5 elements. If there is a cycle with 5 or with 4 elements, then 2.10 yields that  $A$  is isomorphic either to (1) or to (2). Thus suppose that each cycle of  $A$  has at most 3 elements.

a) Assume that there exists a connected component containing a cycle  $C$  such that  $\text{card } C = 3$ . By 2.3,  $C$  is a connected component of  $A$ . Further, in view of 2.8 we obtain that if  $D$  is a connected component of  $A$ , then either  $D \cong C$  or  $\text{card } D \leq 2$ . Thus either  $A$  is isomorphic to (5) or there is a connected component  $D$  of  $A$  with

card  $D = 2$ . If such  $D$  exists, then 2.12 implies that  $f(x) = x$  for each  $x \in A - (C \cup D)$  and 2.17 yields that  $A$  is isomorphic either to (3) or to (4).

b) Now suppose that each connected component of  $A$  contains a cycle with at most 2 elements. First assume that there is a cycle  $C_0$  of  $A$  with card  $C_0 = 2$ . If  $C_0$  does not form a connected component, then we obtain according to 2.7 that  $A$  is isomorphic to (6). Thus let each connected component containing a 2-element cycle be a cycle. If there are two 2-element cycles in  $A$ , then  $A$  is isomorphic to (7) in view of 2.12. Suppose that  $A$  is not isomorphic to (7). Therefore there is a connected component  $D$  with card  $D > 1$  and such that  $D$  contains a 1-element cycle. By 2.12,  $f(x) = x$  for each  $x \in A - (C_0 \cup D)$ , but by 2.16, there is no 1-element connected component of  $A$ . Thus  $A = C_0 \cup D$ . Further, 2.9 yields that card  $D = 2$ , thus we obtain that  $A$  is isomorphic to (8).

c) Assume that each connected component of  $A$  contains a cycle with one element. If there is a cycle  $\{c\}$  such that  $f^{-2}(c) - \{c\} \neq \emptyset$ , then 2.9 implies that  $A$  is connected and by 2.6 we get that  $A$  is isomorphic to (9). Let  $f^{-2}(c) - \{c\} = \emptyset$  for each cycle  $\{c\}$  of  $A$ . First let there exist a connected component  $C$  and distinct elements  $a, b, c \in C$  with  $f(a) = f(b) = f(c) = c$ . By 2.11,  $f(x) = x$  for each  $x \in A - C$  and by 2.13, card  $(A - C) \leq 1$ . Then  $A \cong M_\alpha + Z_1$  or  $A \cong M_\alpha$  (i.e., (10) or (11)). Now suppose that such  $C$  does not exist. If a connected component of  $A$  has more than one element, then it is isomorphic to  $M_1$ . If there are at least two connected components isomorphic to  $M_1$ , then 2.14 implies that  $A$  is isomorphic to (12). If there is only one connected component isomorphic to  $M_1$ , then  $A \cong M_1 + k \cdot Z_1$ ,  $k \geq 0$  and we obtain in view of 2.15 that  $A \cong M_1 + Z_1$  or  $A \cong M_1$ , i.e.,  $A$  is isomorphic either to (10) or to (11). If there are only one-element connected components in  $A$ , then  $A$  is isomorphic to (7) for  $m = 0$ .  $\square$

**4.6. Lemma.** *If  $A$  is isomorphic to some of the algebras (2), (5), (7), (9), (11), (12), then  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A$  is isomorphic to (2), then  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$  according to 3.12. Similarly, we will write the reasons why  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$  in the remaining cases: 3.2.3—(5); 3.2.2—(7); 3.4—(9); 3.3—(11); 3.5—(12).  $\square$

Now we can conclude with a characterization of the monounary algebras belonging to the classes  $\mathcal{S}h_2(P)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2(P)$ , as follows:

**4.7. Theorem.** *A monounary algebra  $A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}h_2(P)$  if and only if  $A$  is isomorphic to some of the algebras (1)–(12).*

*Proof.* If  $A$  is isomorphic to (1), then  $A \in \mathcal{S}h_2(P)$  in view of 4.4.2. Analogously as above  $A \in \mathcal{S}h_2(P)$  in the following cases: 4.3.1—(3), (4), (8); 3.2.1—(6);

4.2–(10). In the remaining cases (2), (5), (7), (9), (11) and (12) we obtain by 4.6 that  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ , thus  $A \in \mathcal{S}h_2(P)$ .

The converse implication was proved in 4.5. □

**4.8. Theorem.** *A monounary algebra  $A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_2(P)$  if and only if  $A$  is isomorphic to some of the algebras (2), (5), (7), (9), (11), (12).*

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \mathcal{H}_2(P)$ . Then  $A$  is not isomorphic to (1) by 4.4.1, to (3), (4) or (8) by 4.3.2, to (6) immediately, to (10) by 4.1. Since 1.1 yields that  $A \in \mathcal{S}h_2(P)$ , we have according to 4.5 that  $A$  is isomorphic to some of the algebras (2), (5), (7), (9), (11) and (12). Then 4.6 completes the proof. □

#### References

- [1] *M. Droste, M. Giraudet, H. D. Macpherson and N. Sauer*: Set-homogeneous graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 62 (1994), 63–95.
- [2] *R. Fraïssé*: *Theory of Relations*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [3] *D. Jakubíková-Studenovská*: Homogeneous monounary algebras. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 52(127) (2002), 309–317.
- [4] *D. Jakubíková-Studenovská*: On homogeneous and 1-homogeneous monounary algebras. *Contributions to General Algebra 12. Proceedings of the Wien Conference*, June 1999. Verlag J. Heyn, 2000, pp. 221–224.
- [5] *D. Jakubíková-Studenovská*: On 2-homogeneity of monounary algebras. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 53(128) (2003), 55–68.

*Author's address*: Department of Geometry and Algebra, Šafárik University, Jesenná 5, 041 54 Košice, Slovakia, e-mail: [studenovska@duro.science.upjs.sk](mailto:studenovska@duro.science.upjs.sk).