

Ladislav Nebeský

A characterization of the interval function of a (finite or infinite) connected graph

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 51 (2001), No. 3, 635–642

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127674>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE INTERVAL FUNCTION
OF A (FINITE OR INFINITE) CONNECTED GRAPH

LADISLAV NEBESKÝ, Praha

(Received November 26, 1998)

Abstract. By the interval function of a finite connected graph we mean the interval function in the sense of H. M. Mulder. This function is very important for studying properties of a finite connected graph which depend on the distance between vertices. The interval function of a finite connected graph was characterized by the present author.

The interval function of an infinite connected graph can be defined similarly to that of a finite one. In the present paper we give a characterization of the interval function of each connected graph.

Keywords: distance in a graph, interval function

MSC 2000: 05C12

The letters f - n will be reserved for denoting integers here. By a graph we will mean an undirected graph without loops or multiple edges. A graph will be referred to as finite or infinite if its vertex set is finite or infinite, respectively.

Let G be a connected graph with a vertex set $V(G)$ and an edge set $E(G)$, and let $d_G(u, v)$ denote the distance between u and v in G , where $u, v \in V(G)$. By the *interval function* I_G of G we mean the mapping of $V(G) \times V(G)$ into the power set of $V(G)$ defined as follows:

$$I_G(x, y) = \{w \in V(G); d(x, y) = d(x, w) + d(w, y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in V(G)$.

This function is very important for studying properties of a connected graph which depend on the distance between vertices. The interval functions of finite connected graphs were widely studied by Mulder [3].

The following notion will be important for us.

Let W be a nonempty set. We will say that J is a *geometric function* on W if J is a mapping of $W \times W$ into the power set of W such that the following statements are fulfilled for all $u, v, x, y \in W$:

- if $v \in J(u, x)$ and $y \in J(v, x)$, then $v \in J(u, y)$ and $y \in J(u, x)$;
- $x \in J(u, x)$;
- $J(u, u) = \{u\}$;
- $J(u, x) = J(x, u)$.

Our term *geometric function* was inspired by the terminology of Bandelt, van de Vel and Verheul [2] and Bandelt and Chepoi [1], namely by their term *geometric interval space*: if J is a geometric function on a nonempty set W in our sense, then J together with W form a geometric interval space in the sense of [1] and [2]. Note that every geometric function on a finite nonempty set is a transit function in the sense of Mulder [4].

Let G be a graph, and let J be a geometric function on a nonempty set W . We will say that J is associated with G if $W = V(G)$ and

$$E(G) = \{\{u, v\}; u, v \in V(G) \text{ such that } u \neq v \text{ and } J(u, v) = \{u, v\}\}.$$

It is easy to show that if G is a connected graph, then I_G is a geometric function associated with G .

The following lemma will be presented without proof. Its proof is easy.

Lemma 1. *Let G be a finite graph, and let J be a geometric function associated with G . Then G is connected and J satisfies the following Axiom (Z):*

$$(Z) \quad \text{if } u \neq x, \text{ then there exists } v \in J(u, x) \text{ such that } \{u, v\} \in E(G) \\ \text{(for all } u, x \in V(G)).$$

The following theorem was proved by the present author in [5]. (A different proof of a slight modification of this theorem was given in [6]). Using the terminology of [1] and [2], we may say that this theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a finite geometric interval space to be graphic.

Theorem 0. *Let G be a finite connected graph, and let J be a geometric function associated with G . Then J is the interval function of G if and only if J satisfies the following Axioms (X) and (Y):*

$$(X) \quad \text{if } \{u, x\}, \{v, y\} \in E(G), \quad u, v \in J(x, y) \text{ and } x \in J(u, v), \text{ then } y \in J(u, v) \\ \text{(for all } u, v, x, y \in V(G));$$

$$(Y) \quad \text{if } \{u, x\}, \{v, y\} \in E(G) \text{ and } x \in J(u, v), \text{ then either } v \in J(x, y) \\ \text{or } x \in J(u, y) \text{ or } y \in J(u, v) \text{ (for all } u, v, x, y \in V(G)).$$

Let G be a graph, and let J be a geometric function associated with G . Let $u_0, \dots, u_m \in V(G)$, $m \geq 0$. We will say that (u_0, \dots, u_m) is a path in J if $u_j \in J(u_i, u_k)$ for each $0 \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq m$, and if $m \geq 1$, then $\{u_0, u_1\}, \dots, \{u_{m-1}, u_m\} \in E(G)$. Obviously, if (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_m) is a path in J and $m \geq 1$, then both (u_m, \dots, u_1, u_0) and (u_1, \dots, u_m) are paths in J . Since J is a geometric function associated with G , we see that every path in J is a path in G . Let $P(J)$ denote the set of all paths in J .

Observation 1. Let J be a geometric interval function associated with a graph G , let $0 \leq m \leq n$, let $u_0, \dots, u_n, v \in V(G)$ and $(u_0, \dots, u_n) \in P(J)$. If $u_n \in J(u_m, v)$ and $\{u_n, v\} \in E(G)$, then $(u_m, \dots, u_n, v) \in P(J)$. If $u_0 \in J(v, u_m)$ and $\{v, u_0\} \in E(G)$, then $(v, u_0, \dots, u_m) \in P(J)$.

Observation 2. Let J be a geometric interval function associated with a graph G , let $0 \leq m \leq n$, let $u_0, \dots, u_n \in V(G)$ and

$$(u_0, \dots, u_m), (u_m, \dots, u_n) \in P(J).$$

If $u_m \in J(u_0, u_n)$, then $(u_0, \dots, u_m, \dots, u_n) \in P(J)$.

Let G be a graph and let J be a geometric function associated with G . Consider $y \in V(G)$. By a $*-y$ slide in J we will mean an infinite sequence (v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots) of vertices of G such that

$$v_{i+1} \in J(v_i, y) \text{ and } \{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \in E(G) \text{ for each } i \geq 0.$$

If $\sigma = (v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots)$ is a $*-y$ slide and $z = v_0$, then we say that σ is a $z-y$ slide. By virtue of the definition of a geometric function, if (v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots) is a $*-y$ slide in J and $m \geq 0$, then (v_0, \dots, v_m) is a path in J .

It follows from Lemma in [5] that if J is a geometric function associated with a finite connected graph G and $y \in V(G)$, then there exists no $*-y$ slide in J .

Example. Let G be the graph defined as follows:

$$V(G) = \{\dots, u_{-2}, u_{-1}, u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots\}$$

and

$$E(G) = \{\dots, \{u_{-2}, u_{-1}\}, \{u_{-1}, u_0\}, \{u_0, u_1\}, \{u_1, u_2\}, \dots\},$$

where the vertices $\dots, u_{-2}, u_{-1}, u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots$ are mutually distinct. Then G is infinite and connected. For all f and g , $f \leq g$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_f, u_g) &= \{u_f\} \text{ if } f = g, \\ J(u_f, u_g) &= \{u_f, u_{f+1}, \dots, u_g\} \text{ if } 0 \leq f < g \text{ or } f < g \leq 0, \\ J(u_f, u_g) &= \{u_f, u_{f-1}, u_{f-2}, \dots\} \cup \{u_g, u_{g+1}, u_{g+2}, \dots\} \text{ if } f < 0 < g \end{aligned}$$

and

$$J(u_g, u_f) = J(u_f, u_g).$$

It is easy to see that J is a geometric function associated with G . Moreover, we see that J satisfies Axioms (X) and (Z) but it does not satisfy Axiom (Y). Finally, we see that if $i < 0 < j$ or $j < 0 < i$, then

- (a) there exists no path from u_i to u_j in J and
- (b) there exists a u_i - u_j slide in J .

Lemma 2. *Let J be a geometric function associated with a graph G , let J satisfy Axiom (Y), let u_0 and x be distinct vertices of G , and let (u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots) be a $*-x$ slide in J . Assume that y is a vertex of G adjacent to x . Then there exist at most two distinct $j \geq 0$ such that $u_{j+1} \notin J(u_j, y)$.*

P r o o f. Suppose, to the contrary, that there exist f, g and h , $0 \leq f < g < h$, such that $u_{f+1} \notin J(u_f, y)$, $u_{g+1} \notin J(u_g, y)$ and $u_{h+1} \notin J(u_h, y)$.

First, let $y \in J(u_g, x)$. Recall that J is a geometric function. Since

$$u_{f+1} \in J(u_f, x), \dots, u_g \in J(u_{g-1}, x),$$

we get

$$u_{f+1} \in J(u_f, y), \dots, u_g \in J(u_{g-1}, y);$$

a contradiction.

Now, let $y \notin J(u_g, x)$. By virtue of (Y), $x \in J(u_{g+1}, y)$. Since

$$u_{g+2} \in J(u_{g+1}, x), \dots, u_{h+1} \in J(u_h, x),$$

we get

$$u_{g+2} \in J(u_{g+1}, y), \dots, u_{h+1} \in J(u_h, y);$$

a contradiction. □

Corollary 1. *Let J be a geometric function associated with a graph G , let J satisfy Axiom (Y), let x and y be adjacent vertices of G , and let (u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots) be a $(*-x)$ slide in J . Then there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $(u_k, u_{k+1}, u_{k+2}, \dots)$ is a $(*-y)$ slide in J .*

Theorem 1. *Let J be a geometric function associated with a graph G , let J satisfy Axiom (Y), and let u and x be vertices of G . If there exists a path from u to x in G , then there exists no $u-x$ slide in J .*

Proof. Let there exist a path from u to x in G . Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists a $u-x$ slide in J , say a $u-x$ slide (u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots) . By virtue of Corollary 1, there exists $m \geq 0$ such that $(u_m, u_{m+1}, u_{m+2}, \dots)$ is a $*-u$ slide in J . Hence $u_{m+1} \in J(u_m, u)$. Since (u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots) is a $*-x$ slide, we see that $(u_0, \dots, u_m, u_{m+1})$ is a path in J . Since $u = u_0$, we get $u_m \in J(u, u_{m+1})$. This implies that $u_m = u_{m+1}$. The vertices u_m and u_{m+1} are not adjacent in G , which contradicts the definition of a slide. \square

Corollary 2. *Let J be a geometric interval function associated with a connected graph G , and let J satisfy Axioms (Y) and (Z). Then there exists a path from u to v in J for each ordered pair of vertices u and v of G .*

Lemma 3. *Let G be a connected graph, let J_1 and J_2 be geometric functions associated with G , and let $u, x \in V(G)$. Assume that J_1 satisfies Axioms (Y) and (Z) and that $J_1(u, x) - J_2(u, x) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a path α from u to x in J_1 such that $\alpha \not\subseteq P(J_2)$.*

Proof. Obviously, there exists $v \in J_1(u, x) - J_2(u, x)$. Since $v \notin J_2(u, x)$, we get $u \neq v \neq x$. Corollary 2 implies that there exist $u_0, \dots, u_k, v_0, \dots, v_m \in V(G)$ such that $k \geq 1, m \geq 1, u_0 = u, u_k = v = v_0, v_m = x$, and

$$(u_0, \dots, u_k), (v_0, \dots, v_m) \in P(J_1).$$

Since $v \in J_1(u_0, v_m)$, it follows from Observation 2 that

$$(u_0, \dots, u_k = v_0, \dots, v_m) \in P(J_1).$$

Since $v \notin J_2(u, x)$, we get

$$(u_0, \dots, u_k = v_0, \dots, v_m) \notin P(J_2).$$

\square

Let G be the graph defined in Example. Then $G - u_0$ is not connected. It is easy to find a geometric function associated with $G - u_0$ which satisfies Axioms (X), (Y) and (Z).

Clearly, if G is a connected graph, then $P(I_G)$ is the set of all geodesics (i.e. shortest paths) in G .

The next theorem gives a characterization of the interval function of a (finite or infinite) connected graph.

Theorem 2. *Let J be a geometric function associated with a connected graph G . Then J is the interval function of G if and only if J satisfies Axioms (X), (Y) and (Z).*

P r o o f. Put $V = V(G)$, $I = I_G$ and $d = d_G$. Let $J = I$. It is obvious that J satisfies (Z). Moreover, it is easy to show that J satisfies (X) and (Y); cf. [5].

Conversely, let J satisfy (X), (Y) and (Z). We will prove that $J = I$. Suppose, to the contrary, that $J \neq I$. Then there exist $n \geq 0$ and $u, x \in V(G)$ such that $d(u, x) = n$, $J(u, x) \neq I(u, x)$,

$$(1) \quad J(v, y) = I(v, y) \text{ for all } v, y \in V \text{ such that } d(v, y) < n$$

and

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{if } I(u, x) \subseteq J(u, x), \text{ then } I(w, z) \subseteq J(w, z) \\ &\text{for all } w, z \in V \text{ such that } d(w, z) = n. \end{aligned}$$

Since J is a geometric function associated with G , we have $n \geq 2$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. Assume that $I(u, x) \subseteq J(u, x)$. Then $J(u, x) - I(u, x) \neq \emptyset$. Recall that $P(I)$ is the set of all geodesics in G . By virtue of Lemma 3, there exist $x_0, \dots, x_{m+n} \in V$ (where $m > n$) such that $x_0 = u = x_{m+n}$, $x_m = x$,

$$(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m) \in P(J) - P(I) \text{ and } (x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n}) \in P(I).$$

Put

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\alpha_f = (x_f, x_{f+1}, \dots, x_{f+m}), \quad \beta_f = (x_{f+m}, x_{f+m+1}, \dots, x_{f+m+n}) \\ &\text{for each } f, \quad 0 \leq f \leq n, \quad \text{where } x_{n+m+1} = x_1, x_{n+m+2} = x_2, \dots, x_{m+2n} = x_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha_n \in P(J)$. Then $x_{n+1} \in J(x_n, x_{n+m})$. Since $m > n$, $x_{m+n} = x_0$ and $\alpha_0 \in P(J)$, we have $x_n \in J(x_{n+1}, x_{n+m})$. This implies that $x_{n+1} \in J(x_n, x_n)$ and thus $x_n = x_{n+1}$, which is a contradiction. We get $\alpha_n \notin P(J)$.

Recall that $\beta_0 \in P(I)$ and $I(u, x) \subseteq J(u, x)$. Combining these facts with (1), we get $\beta_0 \in P(J)$. There exists $h, 0 \leq h < n$, such that $\alpha_h, \beta_h \in P(J)$ and

$$(4) \quad \alpha_{h+1} \notin P(J) \text{ or } \beta_{h+1} \notin P(J).$$

Put

$$(5) \quad r = x_h, \quad s = x_{h+1}, \quad y = x_{h+m} \quad \text{and} \quad z = x_{h+m+1}.$$

Let $\beta_{h+1} \in P(J)$. Then $r \in J(s, z)$. Since $\alpha_h, \beta_h \in P(J)$, we have $s, z \in J(r, y)$. By (X), $y \in J(s, z)$. Since $\alpha_h \in P(J)$, Observation 1 implies that $\alpha_{h+1} \in P(J)$, which contradicts (4). Thus $\beta_{h+1} \notin P(J)$.

Clearly, $d(s, z) \leq n$. Assume that $d(s, z) = n$. Then $\beta_{h+1} \in P(I)$. By (2), $I(s, z) \subseteq J(s, z)$. Combining this fact with (1), we get $\beta_{h+1} \in P(J)$; a contradiction. Thus $d(s, z) < n$.

Since $\alpha_h \in P(J)$, we have $(x_{h+1}, \dots, x_{h+m}) \in P(J)$. If $d(s, y) < n$, then (1) implies that $(x_{h+1}, \dots, x_{h+m}) \in P(I)$ and thus $m - 1 < n$; a contradiction. Thus $d(s, y) \geq n$.

We get $d(s, y) = n$ and $d(s, z) = n - 1$. Hence $z \in I(s, y)$. By (2), $z \in J(s, y)$. Since $s \in J(r, y)$, we have $s \in J(r, z)$. Obviously, $d(r, z) < n$. By (1), $s \in I(r, z)$. Therefore, $d(s, z) < n - 1$; a contradiction.

Case 2. Assume that $I(u, x) - J(u, x) \neq \emptyset$. There exist $x_0, \dots, x_{m+n} \in V$ (where $m \geq n$) such that $x_0 = u = x_{m+n}$, $x_m = x$,

$$(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m) \in P(J) \quad \text{and} \quad (x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n}) \in P(I) - P(J).$$

Let us use notation (3). Since $\beta_0 \notin P(J)$, we get $\alpha_n \notin P(J)$. There exists $h, 0 \leq h < n$, such that $\alpha_h \in P(J)$, $\beta_h \notin P(J)$ and

$$(6) \quad \alpha_{h+1} \notin P(J) \quad \text{or} \quad \beta_{h+1} \in P(J).$$

Now let us use also notation (5).

Recall that $\alpha_h \in P(J)$. Let $d(r, y) < n$; by virtue of (1), $\alpha_h \in P(I)$; this means that $m \leq n - 1$; a contradiction. Thus $d(r, y) = n$. We get $\beta_h \in P(I)$. Since $d(r, z) = n - 1$, (1) implies that $(x_{h+m+1}, \dots, x_{h+m+n}) \in P(J)$. Since $\beta_h \notin P(J)$, it follows from Observation 1 that $z \notin J(r, y)$.

Let $\alpha_{h+1} \in P(J)$. By (6), $\beta_{h+1} \in P(J)$. Thus $r, y \in J(s, z)$. Since $\alpha_h \in P(J)$, we get $s \in J(r, y)$. By (X), $z \in J(r, y)$; a contradiction. Hence $\alpha_{h+1} \notin P(J)$. Since $\alpha_h \in P(J)$, we get $y \notin J(s, z)$.

Recall that $s \in J(r, y)$, $y \notin J(s, z)$ and $z \notin J(r, y)$. According to (Y), $s \in J(r, z)$. By (1), $s \in I(r, z)$. Hence $d(s, z) = n - 2$. Since $d(r, y) = n$, we have $d(s, y) = n - 1$. Therefore, $z \in I(s, y)$. By (1), $z \in J(s, y)$. Since $s \in J(r, y)$, we get $z \in J(r, y)$; a contradiction.

Hence $J = I$. □

Combining Theorem 2 with Lemma 1, we get Theorem 0. This new proof of Theorem 0 is simpler than the proof of Theorem 0 given in [5] and than the proof of a modification of Theorem 0 given in [6].

References

- [1] *H.-J. Bandelt and V. Chepoi*: A Helly theorem in weakly modular space. *Discrete Math.* 160 (1996), 25–39.
- [2] *H.-J. Bandelt, M. van de Vel and E. Verheul*: Modular interval spaces. *Math. Nachr.* 163 (1993), 177–201.
- [3] *H. M. Mulder*: The Interval Function of a Graph. *Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam*, 1980.
- [4] *H. M. Mulder*: Transit functions on graphs. In preparation.
- [5] *L. Nebeský*: A characterization of the interval function of a connected graph. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 44(119) (1994), 173–178.
- [6] *L. Nebeský*: Characterizing the interval function of a connected graph. *Math. Bohem.* 123 (1998), 137–144.

Author's address: Univerzita Karlova, Filozofická fakulta, nám. J. Palacha 2, 116 38 Praha 1, Czech Republic, e-mail: ladislav.nebesky@ff.cuni.cz.