

Francisco Javier Pérez Fernández; F. Benítez-Trujillo; Antonio Aizpuru Tomás
Characterizations of completeness of normed spaces through weakly
unconditionally Cauchy series

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 50 (2000), No. 4, 889–896

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127618>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF COMPLETENESS OF NORMED SPACES
THROUGH WEAKLY UNCONDITIONALLY CAUCHY SERIES

F. J. PÉREZ-FERNÁNDEZ, F. BENÍTEZ-TRUJILLO and A. AIZPURU, Cádiz

(Received December 9, 1998)

Abstract. In this paper we obtain two new characterizations of completeness of a normed space through the behaviour of its weakly unconditionally Cauchy series. We also prove that barrelledness of a normed space X can be characterized through the behaviour of its weakly-* unconditionally Cauchy series in X^* .

Keywords: completeness, barrelledness, weakly unconditionally Cauchy series

MSC 2000: primary 46B15; secondary 40A05, 46B45

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a real normed space and let $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ be a series in X . Let us recall that σ is called unconditionally convergent (uc) (resp. weakly unconditionally Cauchy (wuC)) if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{\pi(i)}$ converges (resp. $\left(\sum_{k=1}^i x_{\pi(k)}\right)_i$ is a weakly Cauchy sequence) for every permutation π of \mathbb{N} . It is well known that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ is wuC if and only if for each $x^* \in X^*$ $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x^*(x_i)| < \infty$, where X^* is the dual space of X .

Many studies have been made on the behaviour of a series of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$, where $(a_i)_i$ is a bounded sequence of real numbers. For instance, unconditionally convergent (resp. weakly unconditionally Cauchy) series can be characterized as the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$ is convergent for every bounded sequence (resp. for every null sequence) $(a_i)_i$ (Cf. [2], [3] and [4]). The Banach space of bounded sequences (resp. null sequences) of real numbers, endowed with the sup norm, will be denoted, as usual, by ℓ_{∞} (resp. c_0).

For any given series $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ in X , let us consider the set $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_w = \mathcal{S}_w(\sigma)$) of sequences $(a_i)_i \in \ell_\infty$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$ converges (resp. converges for the weak topology). The set \mathcal{S} (resp. \mathcal{S}_w), endowed with the sup norm, will be called the *space of convergence* (resp. *weak convergence*) of the series σ . Clearly \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}_w are subspaces of ℓ_∞ .

If X is a normed space and \mathcal{S} is a subspace of ℓ_∞ such that $c_0 \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, we will denote

$$X(\mathcal{S}) = \left\{ \bar{x} = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i \text{ is convergent for every } (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{S} \right\}.$$

In [1] it is proved that $X(\mathcal{S})$ is a normed space with the norm

$$\|\bar{x}\|_{\mathcal{S}} = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i \right\| : (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in B_{\mathcal{S}} \right\},$$

where $B_{\mathcal{S}}$ denotes the unit ball in \mathcal{S} , and that if X is complete then $X(\mathcal{S})$ is also complete. Some others properties of spaces $X(\mathcal{S})$ have been studied in [1], [6] and [7].

For a given series $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^*$ in X^* , the set of bounded sequences $(a_i)_i$ of real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i^*$ is $*$ -weakly convergent will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{*w}(\sigma)$.

It is well known (see [2], [3] and [5]) that if X is a Banach space then:

1. There exists a wuC series in X which is convergent but which is not unconditionally convergent if and only if X has a copy of c_0 .
2. There exists in X a wuC and weakly convergent series which does not converge if and only if X has a copy of c_0 .
3. There exists in X^* a $*$ -weakly unconditionally Cauchy ($*$ -wuC) series which is not unconditionally convergent if and only if X^* has a copy of ℓ_∞ .

It is obvious that if X does not have a copy of c_0 then the following conditions are equivalent: 1) The series $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ is wuC. 2) The series σ is uc. 3) $\mathcal{S}(\sigma) = \mathcal{S}_w(\sigma) = \ell_\infty$.

In this paper we characterize the completeness of X through the spaces $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ and $\mathcal{S}_w(\sigma)$, where σ is a wuC series in X . We also characterize the barrelledness of a normed space X through the spaces $\mathcal{S}_{*w}(\sigma)$, where σ is a $*$ -wuC series in X^* .

2. COMPLETENESS THROUGH CONVERGENT SERIES

Let us recall that if X is a normed space then $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ is wuC if and only if

$$(2.1) \quad E = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i : n \in \mathbb{N}, |\alpha_i| \leq 1, i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}$$

is bounded.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a Banach space and let $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ be a series in X . The space $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ is complete if and only if σ is wuC.*

Proof. Let us suppose that σ is wuC. Let E be the set defined by (2.1). Let us suppose that $\|x\| < M$ for every $x \in E$. Let $\{(a_i^{(k)})_i\}_k$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ that converges to $(a_i^{(0)})_i \in l_{\infty}$. For any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_i^{(k)} - a_i^{(0)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M}$, for every $k \geq k_0$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If $k > k_0$ then there exists $i_k = i_k(k, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\| \sum_{i=q}^p a_i^{(k)} x_i \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, for $p > q \geq i_k$. Since $\frac{2M}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=q}^p (a_i^{(k)} - a_i^{(0)}) x_i \in E$, we obtain that $\left\| \sum_{i=q}^p (a_i^{(k)} - a_i^{(0)}) x_i \right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, for $k > k_0$, and that $\left\| \sum_{i=q}^p a_i^{(0)} x_i \right\| < \varepsilon$. This proves that $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ is complete.

It is obvious that if $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ is complete then σ is wuC. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a normed space. The space X is complete if and only if for every weakly unconditionally Cauchy series $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ in X the space $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ is complete.*

Proof. If X is not complete then there exists an absolutely convergent series $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ in X which is not convergent and is such that $\|x_i\| < \frac{1}{2^i}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\sigma' = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i$ be the series defined by $z_{2i-1} = ix_i$, $z_{2i} = -ix_i$, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that σ' is wuC.

Let $(a_i)_i \in c_0$ be the sequence defined by $a_{2i-1} = \frac{1}{2^i}$, $a_{2i} = -\frac{1}{2^i}$, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i z_i$ does not converge we have that $\mathcal{S}(\sigma')$ is not complete, although σ' is wuC. □

Our next result give us some information on the relationship between the spaces $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\sigma')$, when σ and σ' are two different series in X . The natural frameworks for this study are the spaces $X(\mathcal{S})$.

Theorem 2.3. Let X be a Banach space. Let $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ be a wuC series in X and let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\sigma)$.

1. If $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $X(\mathcal{S})$ that converges to σ then $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{S}(\sigma_n) = \mathcal{S}(\sigma)$.
2. If $\sigma_0 \in X(\mathcal{S})$ then the set $\{\sigma' \in X(\mathcal{S}) : \mathcal{S}(\sigma') \neq \mathcal{S}(\sigma_0)\}$ is open in $X(\mathcal{S})$.

Proof. 1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote $\sigma_n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^n$. It is clear that $\mathcal{S}(\sigma_n) \supseteq \mathcal{S}(\sigma)$. Let us suppose that $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{S}(\sigma_n)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$\|\sigma - \sigma_n\|_{\mathcal{S}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. There exists $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left| \sum_{i=q+1}^p \frac{a_i}{\|(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|} x_i^n \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, for $p > q \geq i_0$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=q+1}^p \frac{a_i}{\|(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|} x_i \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{i=q+1}^p \frac{a_i}{\|(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|} (x_i - x_i^n) \right| + \left| \sum_{i=q+1}^p \frac{a_i}{\|(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|} x_i^n \right| \\ &\leq \|\sigma - \sigma_n\|_{\mathcal{S}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

2. It is clear that $X(\mathcal{S}(\sigma_0))$ is a closed subspace of $X(\mathcal{S})$. The first part of this theorem proves that $\{\sigma' \in X(\mathcal{S}) : \mathcal{S}(\sigma') = \mathcal{S}(\sigma_0)\}$ is closed in $X(\mathcal{S}(\sigma_0))$. \square

Remark 2.4. Let X be a Banach space and let $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ be a wuC series in X . It is clear that $\mathcal{S}(\sigma) = c_0$ if and only if $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not have any null subsequence. In this case $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a basic subsequence that is equivalent to the c_0 -base.

Therefore, if σ is wuC then $\mathcal{S}(\sigma) = \mathcal{S}(\sigma')$, for every subseries σ' of σ , if and only if either $\mathcal{S}(\sigma) = \ell_{\infty}$ or $\mathcal{S}(\sigma) = c_0$.

Nevertheless, if σ_1 and σ_2 are two arbitrary wuC series in X , we do not know any conditions on σ_1 and σ_2 that let us affirm that $\mathcal{S}(\sigma_1) = \mathcal{S}(\sigma_2)$.

If X has a copy of c_0 and \mathcal{F} is a closed subspace of ℓ_{∞} such that $c_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, we do not know if there exists a series σ in X such that $\mathcal{S}(\sigma) = \mathcal{F}$ (if X does not have a copy of c_0 and $\mathcal{F} \neq \ell_{\infty}$ the answer to this question is negative).

3. COMPLETENESS THROUGH WEAKLY CONVERGENT SERIES

It is well known that if a series converges in a Banach space X then this series is weakly convergent. Nevertheless, the converse is, in general, false. A weakly convergent series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ is not necessarily a weakly unconditionally Cauchy series. We can ask, as in the second section, if the sets $\mathcal{S}_w(\sigma)$ may also be used to characterize the completeness of a normed space X .

Lemma 3.1. *If X is a Banach space and $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ is a series in X , then σ is wuC if and only if $c_0 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_w(\sigma)$.*

P r o o f. It is obvious that the condition is necessary. Let us suppose that $c_0 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_w(\sigma)$ and let $(a_i)_i$ be an arbitrary null sequence. The series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$ is weakly convergent. Let $(i_k)_k$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers and let us consider the set $M = \{i_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $(b_i)_i$ be the sequence defined by $b_i = a_i$ if $i \in M$, and $b_i = 0$ if $i \notin M$. The series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i x_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{i_k} x_{i_k}$ is weakly convergent. Therefore $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ is wuC. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a Banach space and let $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ be a series in X . The space $\mathcal{S}_w(\sigma)$ is complete if and only if σ is wuC.*

P r o o f. Let us suppose that σ is wuC. We will prove that $\mathcal{S}_w(\sigma)$ is complete.

Let $\{(a_i^{(k)})_i\}_k$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{S}_w(\sigma)$ that converges to $(a_i^{(0)})_i \in \ell_\infty$ and let $(z_k)_k$ be a sequence in X such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^{(k)} x^*(x_i) = x^*(z_k)$, for every $x^* \in X^*$.

Let E be the set defined by (2.1). There exists $M > 0$ such that $\|x\| \leq M$, for every $x \in E$. For any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|(a_i^{(k)})_i - (a_i^{(0)})_i\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3M}$, for $k \geq k_0$. Hence, $|a_i^{(k)} - a_i^{(0)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3M}$, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \geq k_0$. This proves that

$$(3.1) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^{(k)} - a_i^{(0)}) x_i \right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

for $m \geq 1$, and we have $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^{(p)} - a_i^{(q)}) x_i \right\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}$, for $p > q \geq k_0$ and $m \geq 1$.

Therefore $\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^{(p)} - a_i^{(q)}) x^*(x_i) \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}$, for every $x^* \in X^*$ such that $\|x^*\| = 1$ and $m \geq 1$. There exists $x_0^* \in X^*$ such that $\|x_0^*\| = 1$ and

$$\|z_p - z_q\| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i^{(p)} - a_i^{(q)}) x_0^*(x_i),$$

for every $p > q \geq k_0$. Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^{(p)} - a_i^{(q)}) x_0^*(x_i) \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^{(p)} - a_i^{(q)}) x_i \right\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{3},$$

it is clear that $\|z_p - z_q\| < \varepsilon$. Hence there exists $z_0 \in X$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_k = z_0$.

On the other hand, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|z_k - z_0\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, for $k \geq k_1$. If $x^* \in X^*$ and $\|x^*\| = 1$ then, by (3.1), $\left| \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^{(0)} - a_i^{(k)}) x^*(x_i) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \geq k_0$. If $k \geq \max\{k_0, k_1\}$ then we have that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^{(0)} x^*(x_i) - x^*(z_0) \right| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} + \left| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^{(k)} x^*(x_i) - x^*(z_k) \right|,$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^{(k)} x^*(x_i) = x^*(z_k)$, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $m \geq m_0$ then $\left| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^{(k)} x^*(x_i) - x^*(z_k) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Hence $\left| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^{(0)} x^*(x_i) - x^*(z_0) \right| < \varepsilon$. This proves the theorem. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a normed space. If $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ is an unconditionally Cauchy series in X then $\mathcal{S}(\sigma) = \mathcal{S}_w(\sigma)$.*

Proof. If $(a_i)_i \in \mathcal{S}_w$ there exists $x \in X$ such that $x^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right) \rightarrow x^*(x)$, for $x^* \in X^*$. Since σ is an unconditionally Cauchy series, there exists $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i = x^{**}$. Hence $x^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right) \rightarrow x^{**}(x^*)$, for $x^* \in X^*$. This proves that $x^{**} = x$ and $(a_i)_i \in \mathcal{S}$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *A normed space X is complete if and only if for every weakly unconditionally Cauchy series $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ in X the space $\mathcal{S}_w(\sigma)$ is complete.*

Proof. Let us suppose that X is not complete. We can find, as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, an absolutely convergent series $\sigma' = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i$ that is wuC and such that $c_0 \not\subseteq \mathcal{S}(\sigma')$; therefore $\mathcal{S}(\sigma')$ is not complete. Since σ' is an unconditionally Cauchy series, by Lemma 3.3, we have that $\mathcal{S}(\sigma') = \mathcal{S}_w(\sigma')$. \square

4. BARRELLEDNESS THROUGH WEAK-* CONVERGENT SERIES IN X^*

The study that we have made in sections 2 and 3 can be extended, in a natural way, to series in the dual space X^* of X .

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a normed space and let $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^*$ be a series in X^* . Let us consider the following conditions:*

- 1) ζ is wuC.

2) $\mathcal{S}_{*w}(\zeta) = \ell_\infty$.

3) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i^*(x)| < +\infty$ for every $x \in X$.

We have that $1 \Rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow 3$.

These three conditions are equivalent for every series $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^*$ in X^* if and only if X is a barrelled normed space.

P r o o f. 1) \Rightarrow 2). If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^*$ is wuC and $(a_i)_i \in \ell_\infty$ then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i^*$ is also wuC. Hence, $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^*\right)_n$ is a bounded sequence in X^* that is a Cauchy sequence for the weak-* topology on X^* . Hence we have that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i^*$ is weak-* convergent.

2) \Rightarrow 3). For every $x \in X$, let us consider the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^*(x)$. For every $(a_i)_i \in c_0$, the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i^*(x)$ is convergent. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i^*(x)| < +\infty$.

Let us suppose that X is a barrelled normed space and that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^*$ is a series in X^* such that condition 3) is satisfied. Let us consider the set

$$E = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i^* : m \in \mathbb{N}, |\alpha_i| \leq 1, i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \right\}.$$

It is clear that E is pointwise bounded and, therefore, E is bounded for the norm topology of X^* . This proves that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^*$ is wuC.

If X is not barrelled then there exists a weak-* bounded set $\mathcal{F} \subseteq X^*$ which is not bounded. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $y_i^* \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\|y_i^*\| > 2^{2^i}$. Let us write $x_i^* = \frac{1}{2^i} y_i^*$, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i^*(x)| < +\infty$ for every $x \in X$. Nevertheless, since $\|x_i^*\| > 2^i$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} x_i^*$ does not converge and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^*$ is not a weakly unconditionally Cauchy series. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.2. If X is a barrelled normed space, \mathcal{S}_{*w} is complete if and only if $\mathcal{S}_{*w} = \ell_\infty$.

Remark 4.3. The proof of Theorem 4.1 shows that X is a barrelled normed space if and only if in X^* the set of weak unconditionally Cauchy series coincides with the set of weak-* unconditionally Cauchy series.

Let us observe that if X is a Banach space, then there exists a weakly unconditionally Cauchy series in X^* which is not unconditionally convergent if and only if X^* has a copy of ℓ_∞ .

References

- [1] *A. Aizpuru and F. J. Pérez-Fernández*: Spaces of \mathcal{S} -bounded multiplier convergent series. *Acta Math. Hungar.* *87* (2000), 103–114.
- [2] *C. Bessaga and A. Pelczynski*: On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces. *Stud. Math.* *17* (1958), 151–164.
- [3] *J. Diestel*: Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [4] *V. M. Kadets and M. I. Kadets*: Rearrangements of Series in Banach Spaces. Translations of Mathematical Monographs. 86. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1991.
- [5] *C. W. McArthur*: On relationships amongst certain spaces of sequences in an arbitrary Banach space. *Canad. J. Math.* *8* (1956), 192–197.
- [6] *Q. Bu and C. Wu*: Unconditionally convergent series of operators on Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *207* (1997), 291–299.
- [7] *R. Li and Q. Bu*: Locally convex spaces containing no copy of c_0 . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *172* (1993), 205–211.

Authors' addresses: Departamento de Matemáticas. Universidad de Cádiz, P.O.Box 40, 11510-Puerto Real, Cádiz, Spain, e-mails: javier.perez@uca.es, quico.benitez@uca.es, antonio.aizpuru@uca.es.