

Ján Jakubík

On cut completions of abelian lattice ordered groups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 50 (2000), No. 3, 587–602

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127595>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON CUT COMPLETIONS OF ABELIAN
LATTICE ORDERED GROUPS

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received April 7, 1998)

Abstract. We denote by F_a the class of all abelian lattice ordered groups H such that each disjoint subset of H is finite. In this paper we prove that if $G \in F_a$, then the cut completion of G coincides with the Dedekind completion of G .

Keywords: abelian lattice ordered group, disjoint subset, cut completion, Dedekind completion

MSC 2000: 06F20, 06F15

The notion of the cut completion of a lattice ordered group was introduced by Ball [1].

Let G be a lattice ordered group. We denote by G^c and G^\wedge the cut completion and the Dedekind completion of G , respectively.

If G is a lexico extension of a lattice ordered group A , then we express this fact by writing $G = \langle A \rangle$.

Lattice ordered groups with a finite number of disjoint elements were investigated by Conrad [4].

Let F_a be the class of all abelian lattice ordered groups having only a finite number of disjoint elements.

In the present paper we prove the following result:

- (A) Let G be an abelian lattice ordered group and let $A \neq \{0\}$ be an ℓ -subgroup of G such that $G = \langle A \rangle$. Then
- (i) $G^c = \langle A^c \rangle$,
 - (ii) the linearly ordered groups G/A and G^c/A^c are isomorphic.

By applying (A) we obtain

(B) Let $G \in F_a$. Then

- (i) $G^c \in F_a$,
- (ii) $G^c = G^\wedge$.

A result analogous to the relation given in (ii) of (B) concerning distinguished extensions of linearly ordered groups was proved by Ball [3].

The question whether (A) and (B) are valid also for the non-abelian case remains open.

1. PRELIMINARIES

For lattice ordered groups we apply the notation as in Conrad [5]. In particular, the group operation in a lattice ordered group is written additively.

We recall some relevant definitions.

A lattice ordered group G is said to be a *lexico extension* of its ℓ -subgroup A if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) A is a convex ℓ -subgroup of G ;
- (ii) if $0 < g \in G$ and $g \notin A$, then $g > a$ for each $a \in A$.

Under these conditions we write $G = \langle A \rangle$. It is well-known that then we have

- (i₁) A is an ℓ -ideal of G ;
- (ii₁) the factor ℓ -group G/A is linearly ordered.

A subset X of a lattice ordered group G is called a (*Dedekind*) *cut* in G if X is an order closed lattice ideal (X is the set of all lower bounds of its upper bounds) such that $g + X \neq X \neq X + g$ for each $g \in G$ with $g > 0$.

G is said to be *cut complete* (*Dedekind complete*) if every (Dedekind) cut of G has a supremum in G . (Cf. [1], [3].)

An ℓ -subgroup G_1 of a lattice ordered group G_2 is said to be *order dense* in G_2 if for each $0 < g_2 \in G_2$ there exists $0 < g_1 \in G_1$ with $g_1 \leq g_2$.

For each lattice ordered group G there exist lattice ordered groups G^c and G^\wedge such that

- (i) G^c is cut complete and G^\wedge is Dedekind complete;
- (ii) both G^c and G^\wedge contain G as an order dense ℓ -subgroup;
- (iii) if $G \leq K < G^c$ ($G \leq K < G^\wedge$), then K fails to be cut complete (Dedekind complete).

G^c and G^\wedge are called the *cut completion* or the *Dedekind completion* of G , respectively.

G^c and G^\wedge are uniquely determined up to isomorphisms leaving all the elements of G fixed.

2. LEXICO EXTENSIONS

Let us suppose that G and B are abelian lattice ordered groups which satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $G = \langle A \rangle$;
- (ii) A is a convex ℓ -subgroup of B ;
- (iii) $G \cap B = A$.

We denote by H_0 the set of all pairs (g, b) with $g \in G$ and $b \in B$. For $(g_i, b_i) \in H$ ($i = 1, 2$) we put

$$(g_1, b_1) \equiv (g_2, b_2)$$

if both $g_1 - g_2, b_2 - b_1$ belong to A and if these elements are equal.

The relation \equiv on H_0 is reflexive, symmetric and transitive. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{(g, b)} &= \{(g_1, b_1) \in H_0 : (g, b) \equiv (g_1, b_1)\}, \\ H &= \{\overline{(g, b)} : (g, b) \in H_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\overline{(g_1, b_1)}, \overline{(g_2, b_2)} \in H$ put

$$\overline{(g_1, b_1)} + \overline{(g_2, b_2)} = \overline{(g_3, b_3)},$$

where $g_3 = g_1 + g_2$ and $b_3 = b_1 + b_2$. It is easy to verify that $+$ is a correctly defined binary operation on H which is associative and commutative. Further, $\overline{(0, 0)}$ is the neutral element of $(H, +)$. Moreover,

$$\overline{(g, b)} + \overline{(-g, -b)} = \overline{(0, 0)}.$$

Thus we have

2.1. Lemma. $(H, +)$ is an abelian group.

We define a binary relation \leq on H as follows. Let $\overline{(g_1, b_1)}, \overline{(g_2, b_2)} \in \overline{H}$. We put

$$\overline{(g_1, b_1)} \leq \overline{(g_2, b_2)}$$

if either

$$(\alpha) \quad g_1 < g_2 \quad \text{and} \quad g_1 - g_2 \notin A$$

or

$$(\beta) \quad \begin{aligned} g_1 - g_2 \in A \quad \text{and the relation} \\ g_1 - g_2 \leq b_2 - b_1 \end{aligned}$$

is valid in B .

Then in view of the definition of \equiv, \leq is a correctly defined binary relation on the set H .

2.2. Lemma. \leq is a partial order on H .

Proof. a) Reflexivity: Let $\overline{(g_1, b_1)} = \overline{(g_2, b_2)}$. Then

$$g_1 - g_2 = b_2 - b_1.$$

Hence $g_1 - g_2 \in B \cap G$ and thus in view of (iii), $g_1 - g_2 \in A$. Further, according to (β), we obtain $\overline{(g_1, b_1)} \leq \overline{(g_2, b_2)}$.

b) Transitivity: Let $\overline{(g_1, b_1)} \leq \overline{(g_2, b_2)}$ and $\overline{(g_2, b_2)} \leq \overline{(g_3, b_3)}$. We distinguish the following cases:

(α_1) Suppose that

$$g_1 < g_2, \quad g_1 - g_2 \notin A, \quad g_2 < g_3, \quad g_2 - g_3 \notin A.$$

Thus $g_1 < g_3$. If $g_1 - g_3 \in A$, then $g_1 + A = g_3 + A$. Since $g_1 + A$ is a convex subset of G we get $g_2 \in g_1 + A$, whence $g_1 - g_2 \in A$, which is a contradiction. Thus $g_1 - g_3 \notin A$ and then $\overline{(g_1, b_1)} \leq \overline{(g_3, b_3)}$.

(α_2) Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 - g_2 \in A, \quad g_1 - g_2 \leq b_2 - b_1; \\ g_2 - g_3 \in A, \quad g_2 - g_3 \leq b_3 - b_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then $g_1 - g_3 \in A$ and

$$g_1 - g_3 = (g_1 - g_2) + (g_2 - g_3) \leq (b_2 - b_1) + (b_3 - b_2) = b_3 - b_1,$$

whence $\overline{(g_1, b_1)} \leq \overline{(g_3, b_3)}$.

(α_3) Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 < g_2, \quad g_1 - g_2 \notin A, \\ g_2 - g_3 \in A, \quad g_2 - g_3 \leq b_3 - b_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$g_1 < g_3, \quad g_1 - g_3 \notin A,$$

thus $\overline{(g_1, b_1)} \leq \overline{(g_3, b_3)}$.

(α_4) If the relations

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 - g_2 \in A, \quad g_1 - g_2 \leq b_2 - b_1, \\ g_2 < g_3 \quad \text{and} \quad g_2 - g_3 \notin A \end{aligned}$$

are valid, then we can proceed analogously as in the case (α_3).

c) Antisymmetry: Let $\overline{(a_i, b_i)}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be as in b) and suppose that $\overline{(a_1, b_1)} = \overline{(a_3, b_3)}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $g_1 = g_3$ and $b_1 = b_3$. Again, we can distinguish the cases (α_1)-(α_4).

The case (α_1) cannot hold, since we would have $g_1 < g_3$, which is a contradiction. Analogously, neither (α_3) nor (α_4) can be valid.

Suppose that (α_2) is satisfied. Hence $g_1 - g_2 \in A$. Thus we have also $g_2 - g_1 \in A$. Then the relations

$$\overline{(g_1, b_1)} \leq \overline{(g_2, b_2)}, \quad \overline{(g_2, b_2)} \leq \overline{(g_1, g_2)}$$

yield

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 - g_2 &\leq b_2 - b_1, \\ g_2 - g_1 &\leq b_1 - b_2, \end{aligned}$$

whence $g_1 - g_2 = b_2 - b_1$. Therefore $\overline{(g_1, b_1)} = \overline{(g_2, b_2)}$. □

2.3. Lemma. *With respect to the operation $+$ and to the relation \leq , H is a partially ordered group.*

P r o o f. Let $\overline{(g_i, b_i)} \in H$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$),

$$\overline{(g_1, b_1)} \leq \overline{(g_2, b_2)}.$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} g'_1 &= g_1 + g_3, & b'_1 &= b_1 + b_3, \\ g'_2 &= g_2 + g_3, & b'_2 &= b_2 + b_3. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that (α) holds. Then

$$g'_1 < g'_2 \quad \text{and} \quad g'_1 - g'_2 \in A,$$

whence $\overline{(g'_1, b'_1)} \leq \overline{(g'_2, b'_2)}$.

Further suppose that (β) is valid. Thus

$$g'_1 - g'_2 \in A, \quad g'_1 - g'_2 \leq b'_2 - b'_1.$$

Again, we obtain $\overline{(g'_1, b'_1)} \leq \overline{(g'_2, b'_2)}$. □

2.4. Lemma. *H is a lattice ordered group.*

Proof. In view of 2.3 it suffices to verify that for each $(g, b) \in H$ there exists

$$\sup\{\overline{(g, b)}, \overline{(0, 0)}\}$$

in H .

Let $\overline{(g, b)}$ be an arbitrary element of H . If $g \notin A$, then we have either $g > 0$ or $g < 0$. In the first case

$$\overline{(0, 0)} < \overline{(g, b)},$$

and in the other,

$$\overline{(0, 0)} > \overline{(g, b)}.$$

It remains to consider the situation when $g \in A$. Hence $g + b \in B$ and thus there exists $b_1 \in B$ such that the relation

$$b_1 = \sup\{0, g + b\}$$

is valid in B . Then we clearly have

$$\overline{(0, 0)} \leq \overline{(0, b_1)}, \quad \overline{(g, b)} \leq \overline{(0, b_1)}.$$

Let $\overline{(g', b')} \in H$, $\overline{(0, 0)} \leq \overline{(g', b')}$, $\overline{(g, b)} \leq \overline{(g', b')}$.

If $g' \notin A$, then $g' > 0$ and then $\overline{(g', b')} \geq \overline{(g, b)}$. Suppose that $g' \in A$. We have

$$\overline{(g', b')} = \overline{(0, g' + b')}, \quad \overline{(g, b)} = \overline{(0, g + b)},$$

hence

$$g' + b' \geq 0, \quad g' + b' \geq g + b.$$

This yields that $g' + b' \geq b_1$ and therefore

$$\overline{(g', b')} \geq \overline{(0, b_1)}.$$

Thus we obtain that the relation

$$\overline{(0, b_1)} = \sup\{\overline{(g, b)}, \overline{(0, 0)}\}$$

is valid in H . □

For each $g \in G$ we put

$$\varphi(g) = \overline{(g, 0)}.$$

Then φ is an isomorphism of the lattice ordered group G into the lattice ordered group H . Hence, if g and $\varphi(g)$ are identified, then we can view G as an ℓ -subgroup of H .

Further, for each $b \in B$ we set

$$\psi(b) = \overline{(0, b)}.$$

The mapping ψ is an isomorphism of the lattice ordered group B into H . If $b \in B \cap G$, then $\psi(b) = \varphi(b)$. We can identify b and $\psi(b)$ for each $b \in B$. Thus B turns out to be an ℓ -subgroup of H .

Under the above mentioned identification we have

2.5. Lemma. $H = \langle B \rangle$.

Proof. Let $\overline{(g, b)} \in H$ be such that $\overline{(g, b)} \geq \overline{(0, 0)}$ and $\overline{(g, b)} \notin B$. Then $g \notin A$ and thus $0 < g$. Further let $b_1 \in B$. Hence b_1 is identified with $\overline{(0, b_1)}$. We get $\overline{(0, b_1)} < \overline{(g, b)}$. Therefore $H = \langle B \rangle$. \square

In view of (i), G/A is a linearly ordered group. Also, according to 2.5, H/B is a linearly ordered group. Let $g + A \in G/A$. If $g_1 \in G$ and $g_1 + A = g + A$, then $g - g_1 \in A$, whence $g - g_1 \in B$, thus $g + B = g_1 + B$. Hence the correspondence

$$\chi: G/A \rightarrow H/B$$

defined by

$$\chi(g + A) = g + B$$

is a correctly defined mapping of G/A into H/B .

2.6. Lemma. χ is an isomorphism of G/A into H/B .

Proof. Let $\overline{(g, b)} + B$ be an arbitrary element of H/B . Then $\overline{(g, 0)} \in \overline{(g, b)} + B$, whence $\overline{(g, b)} + B = g + B$ and thus χ is an epimorphism.

Next, since

$$(g_1 + A) + (g_2 + A) = (g_1 + g_2) + A,$$

the mapping χ is a homomorphism with respect to the group operation.

If $\chi(g + A) = B$, then $g \in B$, whence $g \in G \cap B = A$, yielding that $g + A = A$. Hence χ is an isomorphism with respect to the group operation.

We have already remarked that both B/A and G/B are linearly ordered. Let $g_1 + A, g_2 + A \in G/A$. Then the relation

$$g_1 + A \leq g_2 + A$$

is equivalent to

$$(g_1 \wedge g_2) + A = g_1 + A$$

and this is equivalent to

$$(g_1 \wedge g_2) + B = g_1 + B.$$

The last relation holds if and only if

$$g_1 + B \leq g_2 + B.$$

This completes the proof. □

Summarizing, we have

2.7. Proposition. *Let A, B and G be abelian lattice ordered groups which satisfy the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) above. Then there exists a lattice ordered group H such that*

- (a) $H = \langle B \rangle$;
- (b) G is an ℓ -subgroup of H ;
- (c) the mapping defined by

$$g + A \rightarrow g + B$$

(where g runs over G) is an isomorphism of G/A onto H/B .

3. PROOF OF (A)

In order to prove (A) we apply the result of the previous section.

3.1. Lemma. *Let H be an abelian lattice ordered group, $H = \langle B \rangle$, $B \neq \{0\}$. Suppose that B is cut complete. Then H is cut complete.*

Proof. Let X be a cut in H . Hence $h + X \neq X$ for each $h \in H$ with $h > 0$. Denote

$$\overline{G_1} = \{g + B \in H/B: (g + B) \cap X \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Then the set $\overline{G_1}$ is nonempty and it is linearly ordered (by the linear order induced from that of H/B).

a) First suppose that if $g + B \in \overline{G_1}$, then $g + B \subseteq X$. Since $B \neq \{0\}$, there exists $0 < g_1 \in B$. Thus for each $g + B \in \overline{G_1}$ we have

$$g_1 + (g + B) = g + (g_1 + B) = B,$$

whence $g_1 + X = X$, which is a contradiction.

b) In view of a), there exists $g + B \in \overline{G_1}$ such that

$$(g + B) \cap X \neq g + B.$$

Then $g + B$ is the greatest element of the set $\overline{G_1}$.

There exists $g_1 \in (g + B) \cap X$. Denote

$$X - g_1 = Y, \quad Y \cap B = Z.$$

Then Y is an order closed lattice ideal in H and

$$(1) \quad h + Y \neq Y \quad \text{for each } 0 < h \in B.$$

Further we have

$$\emptyset \neq Z \neq B,$$

Z being an order closed lattice ideal in B ; moreover, (1) yields that

$$b + Z \neq Z \quad \text{for each } 0 < b \in B.$$

Thus Z is a cut in B . Since B is cut complete, there exists $b_1 \in B$ such that the relation

$$b_1 = \sup Z$$

is valid in B . From this we conclude that

$$b_1 = \sup Y$$

is valid in H and therefore

$$b_1 + g_1 = \sup X$$

holds in H . Thus H is cut complete. □

3.2. Lemma. *Let A, B, G and H be as in 2.7. Suppose that $B = A^c$. Further suppose that H' is an ℓ -subgroup of H such that $G \subseteq H' \subset H$. Then H' is not cut complete.*

Proof. Since $H' \subset H$ we infer that $(H')^+ \subset H^+$. Hence there exists $\overline{(g, b)} \in H^+$ such that $\overline{(g, b)}$ does not belong to H' .

Under the embeddings considered in Section 2, the element $\overline{(g, b)}$ can be identified with $g + b$. Since $G \subseteq H'$ we obtain $g \in H'$, thus b cannot belong to H' .

Denote $B_1 = H' \cap B$. Then $A \subseteq B_1 \subset B$. Thus B_1 fails to be cut complete. Hence there exists a cut Z in B_1 such that Z has no supremum in B_1 . We have

$$(2) \quad b_1 + Z \neq Z$$

for each $0 < b_1 \in B_1$.

Let Z_1 be the order closed lattice ideal in H' which is generated by the set Z . Then Z_1 is a cut in H' . Moreover, from (2) we obtain that

$$h' + Z' \neq Z'$$

for each $0 < h' \in H'$. The fact that Z has no supremum in B_1 implies that Z' has no supremum in H' . Therefore H' is not cut complete. \square

Proof of (A). Suppose that the assumption of (A) is satisfied. Put $B = A^c$ and let H be as in 2.7. In view of 2.7 we have $H = \langle B \rangle$. Then A is order dense in B and B is order dense in H , whence A is order dense in H . This yields that G is order dense in H . From this and from 3.1 and 3.2 we conclude that $H = G^c$. \square

3.3. Lemma. *Let A, B, G and H be as in 2.7. Suppose that $B = A^\wedge$. Let H' be an ℓ -subgroup of H such that $G \subseteq H' \subset H$. Then H' is not Dedekind complete.*

Proof. We apply the same method as in the proof of 3.2 with the distinction that instead of cuts we now deal with Dedekind cuts. \square

3.4. Proposition. *Let G be an abelian lattice ordered group, $G = \langle A \rangle$. Suppose that $A^c = A^\wedge$. Then $G^c = G^\wedge$.*

Proof. In view of the proof of (A) we have $G^c = H$, where H is as in 2.7 and $B = A^c$. Each cut complete lattice ordered group is Dedekind complete, hence H is Dedekind complete. In view of 3.3 we then conclude that H is a Dedekind completion of G . \square

4. AUXILIARY RESULTS

For a lattice ordered group G we denote by G^{dist} the distinguished completion of G (cf. Ball [3]).

From the definitions of G^c , G^\wedge and G^{dist} we obtain (cf. also Ball [2])

4.1. Lemma. *For each lattice ordered group G we have*

$$G \subseteq G^\wedge \subseteq G^c \subseteq G^{\text{dist}}.$$

4.2. Lemma. *Let G be a linearly ordered group. Then*

- (i) G^{dist} is linearly ordered;
- (ii) $G^{\text{dist}} = G^c = G^\wedge$.

Proof. According to 4.3 in [3], G^{dist} is linearly ordered and $G^{\text{dist}} = G^\wedge$. Then in view of 4.1, $G^c = G^\wedge$. □

In the remaining part of this section we suppose that a lattice ordered group G is represented as a direct product

$$G = \prod_{i \in I} G_i.$$

For $g \in G$ we denote by g_i or by $g(G_i)$ the component of g in G_i . If $Y \subseteq G$, then we put

$$Y(G_i) = \{y(G_i) : y \in Y\}.$$

From the definition of the direct product we immediately obtain

4.3. Lemma. *Let $Y \subseteq G$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) Y is an order closed lattice ideal in G ;
- (ii) for each $i \in I$, $Y(G_i)$ is an order closed lattice ideal in G_i .

4.4. Lemma. *Let Y be an order closed lattice ideal in G . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) Y is a cut in G ;
- (ii) for each $i \in I$, $Y(G_i)$ is a cut in G_i .

Proof. Let (i) be valid and let $i \in I$. Further let $g^i \in G_i$, $g^i > 0$. There exists $g \in G$ such that $g_i = g^i$ and $g_j = 0$ whenever $j \in I$ and $j \neq i$. Then $g > 0$. Put $g + Y = Z$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Z_i &= g^i + Y_i, \\ Z_j &= Y_j \quad \text{for } j \in I \setminus \{i\} \end{aligned}$$

(where $Y_i = Y(G_i)$ and similarly for the other symbols applied above). Since $Z \neq Y$, we must have $Z_i \neq Y_i$, i.e., $g^i + Y_i \neq Y_i$. Analogously we obtain $Y_i + g^i \neq Y_i$. Hence (ii) holds.

Conversely, suppose that (ii) is valid. Let $0 < g \in G$. Then there is $i \in I$ such that $g_i > 0$. We have (under analogous notation as above)

$$(g + Y)(G_i) = g_i + Y_i \neq Y_i,$$

whence $g + Y \neq Y$. Similarly, $Y + g \neq Y$. Thus (i) holds. □

4.5. Lemma. *G is cut closed if and only if all G_i are cut closed.*

Proof. Assume that G is cut closed. Let $i \in I$ and let Y^i be a cut in G_i . Denote

$$\bar{Y}^i = \{g \in G: g_i \in Y^i \text{ and } g_j \leq 0 \text{ for each } j \in I \setminus \{i\}\}.$$

Then for each $j \in I$, $\bar{Y}^i(G_j)$ is a cut in G_j , whence in view of 4.4, \bar{Y}^i is a cut in G . Thus there exists $g \in G$ such that

$$g = \sup \bar{Y}^i$$

is valid in G . Further we have $\bar{Y}^i(G_i) = Y^i$. Hence

$$g_i = \sup Y^i$$

holds in G_i . Therefore G_i is cut complete.

Conversely, assume that all G_i 's are cut complete. Let Y be a cut in G . For each $i \in I$ we denote $Y_i = Y(G_i)$. In view of 4.4, Y_i is a cut in G_i , hence there is $z^i \in G_i$ such that the relation

$$z^i = \sup Y_i$$

is valid in G_i . There exists $g \in G$ such that

$$g_i = z^i \text{ for each } i \in I.$$

Then $g = \sup Y$ in G ; therefore G is cut closed. □

4.6. Corollary. *The lattice ordered group $\prod_{i \in I} G_i^c$ is cut closed.*

The following result was proved in [6] under the assumption that G is abelian, but the proof remains valid also without this assumption.

4.7. Proposition. (Cf. [6], Theorem 2.7.) Let $G = \prod_{i \in I} G_i$. Then $G^\wedge = \prod_{i \in I} G_i^\wedge$.

Denote $H = \prod_{i \in I} G_i^c$.

4.8. Lemma. Let K be an ℓ -subgroup of H such that $G \subseteq K \subset H$. Assume that $G_i^\wedge = G_i^c$ for each $i \in I$. Then K is not cut closed.

Proof. By way of contradiction, assume that K is cut closed. Then K is Dedekind complete. Thus $G \subseteq K$ yields $G^\wedge \subseteq K$. By applying 4.7 we obtain

$$K \supseteq \prod_{i \in I} G_i^\wedge = \prod_{i \in I} G_i^c = H,$$

which is a contradiction. □

4.9. Proposition. Let G be a lattice ordered group which can be represented as a direct product $G = \prod_{i \in I} G_i$. Suppose that $G_i^c = G_i^\wedge$ for each $i \in I$. Then $G^c = \prod_{i \in I} G_i^c$.

Proof. It is easy to verify that G is order dense in $\prod_{i \in I} G_i^c$. Hence it suffices to apply 4.6 and 4.8. □

5. PROOF OF (B)

We recall that a subset D of a lattice ordered group G is called disjoint if $d \geq 0$ for each $d \in D$, and $d_1 \wedge d_2 = 0$ whenever d_1 and d_2 are distinct elements of D .

Let F be the class of all lattice ordered groups such that each disjoint subset of G is finite.

According to [4] the structure of a lattice ordered group $G \neq \{0\}$ belonging to F can be described as follows.

There exist a positive integer n_0 and finite nonempty systems S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{n_0} of convex ℓ -subgroups of G such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1) All lattice ordered groups belonging to S_1 are nonzero and linearly ordered.
- 2) Let $1 < n \leq n_0$. Then there is a positive integer $k(n)$ such that

$$S_n = \{G_1^n, G_2^n, \dots, G_{k(n)}^n\}$$

and for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k(n)\}$ there is a subset T_j^{n-1} of S_{n-1} with

$$G_j^n = \langle X_j^{n-1} \rangle,$$

where X_j^{n-1} is a direct product of lattice ordered groups belonging to T_j^{n-1} . Moreover,

$$S_{n-1} = \bigcup T_j^{n-1} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, k(n))$$

and

$$T_{j(1)}^{n-1} \cap T_{j(2)}^{n-1} = \emptyset$$

whenever $j(1), j(2)$ are distinct elements of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k(n)\}$.

3) $S_{n_0} = \{G\}$.

Conversely, we obviously have

5.1. Lemma. *Let $S_1 = \{G_1^1, G_2^1, \dots, G_{k(1)}^1\}$ be a finite system of nonzero linearly ordered groups. Suppose that we consecutively construct systems S_2, S_3, \dots, S_{n_0} such that the conditions (2) and (3) are satisfied. Then G belongs to F ; namely, if D is a disjoint set of strictly positive elements of G , then $\text{card } D \subseteq k(1)$.*

In the remaining part of this section we assume that G is a lattice ordered group belonging to F_a . The case $G = \{0\}$ being trivial we suppose that $G \neq \{0\}$. Hence there are systems S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{n_0} of convex ℓ -subgroups of G satisfying the conditions 1), 2) and 3).

For each $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_0\}$ and each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k(n)\}$ we put

$$H_j^n = (G_j^n)^c.$$

Further, if $n \in \{2, 3, \dots, n_0\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k(n)\}$, then we set

$$Y_j^{n-1} = (X_j^{n-1})^c.$$

Also, for $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_0\}$ we denote

$$S'_n = \{H_j^n : j = 1, 2, \dots, k(n)\}.$$

5.2. Lemma. *Let $H_j^1 \in S'_1$. Then H_j^1 is linearly ordered.*

Proof. It suffices to apply 4.2. □

5.3. Lemma. *Let $G_j^1 \in S_1$. Then $(G_j^1)^c = (G_j^1)^\wedge$.*

Proof. In view of the assumption, G_j^1 is linearly ordered. Then the assertion is a consequence of 4.2. □

5.4. Lemma. *Let $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k(2)\}$. Then*

$$(X_j^2)^c = (X_j^2)^\wedge.$$

Proof. X_j^2 is the direct product of a finite number of elements of S_1 . Thus the assertion follows from 5.3, 4.7 and 4.9. \square

5.5. Lemma. *Let $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k(2)\}$. Then*

$$(G_j^2)^c = (G_j^2)^\wedge.$$

Proof. It suffices to apply 3.4 and 5.4. \square

5.6. Lemma. *Let $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k(2)\}$. Then $H_j^2 \in F_a$.*

Proof. We have

$$H_j^2 = (G_j^2)^c = (\langle X_j^1 \rangle)^c.$$

Hence in view of (A),

$$H_j^2 = \langle (X_j^1)^c \rangle = \langle Y_j^1 \rangle.$$

In view of 4.9 and 5.3, Y_j^1 is the direct product of a finite number of elements of S'_1 . Thus we have $H_j^2 \in F_a$ (cf. also 5.1). \square

Proof of (B). From 5.6 and 5.2, by applying the obvious induction we obtain that (B) holds. \square

We conclude by remarking that if $n \in \{2, 3, \dots, n_0\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k(n)\}$, then according to 2.7, the linearly ordered groups

$$G_j^n / X_n^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad H_j^n / Y_j^{n-1}$$

are isomorphic. This and 5.2 (together with the definition of H_j^1 for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k(1)\}$) yield that the structure of G^c is very near to the structure of G ; roughly speaking, constructing G^c we proceed in the same way as when constructing G with the restriction that for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k(1)\}$ we replace G_j^1 by $(G_j^1)^c$.

References

- [1] *R. N. Ball*: The structure of the α -completion of a lattice ordered group. *Houston J. Math.* 15 (1989), 481–515.
- [2] *R. N. Ball*: Completions of ℓ -groups. In: *Lattice Ordered Groups* (A. M. W. Glass and W. C. Holland, eds.). Kluwer, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1989, pp. 142–177.
- [3] *R. N. Ball*: Distinguished extensions of a lattice ordered group. *Algebra Universalis* 35 (1996), 85–112.
- [4] *P. Conrad*: The structure of lattice-ordered groups with a finite number of disjoint elements. *Michigan Math. J.* 7 (1960), 171–180.
- [5] *P. Conrad*: *Lattice Ordered Groups*. Tulane University, 1970.
- [6] *J. Jakubík*: Generalized Dedekind completion of a lattice ordered group. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 28 (1978), 294–311.

Author's address: Matematický ústav SAV, Grešákova 6, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia, email: musavke@ mail.saske.sk.