

Marián J. Fabián; Václav Zizler

On uniformly Gâteaux smooth $C^{(n)}$ -smooth norms on separable Banach spaces

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 49 (1999), No. 3, 657–672

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127517>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON UNIFORMLY GÂTEAUX SMOOTH $C^{(n)}$ -SMOOTH NORMS ON
SEPARABLE BANACH SPACES

MARIÁN FABIAN* and VÁCLAV ZIZLER,† Praha

(Received December 10, 1996)

Abstract. Every separable Banach space with $C^{(n)}$ -smooth norm (Lipschitz bump function) admits an equivalent norm (a Lipschitz bump function) which is both uniformly Gâteaux smooth and $C^{(n)}$ -smooth. If a Banach space admits a uniformly Gâteaux smooth bump function, then it admits an equivalent uniformly Gâteaux smooth norm.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a separable Banach space. Then it is easy to construct an equivalent uniformly Gâteaux smooth norm on it. Indeed, let $\{x_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable set contained and dense in the unit ball of X . Then

$$\|x^*\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x^*(x_j)^2/2^j, \quad x^* \in X^*,$$

is easily seen to be an equivalent, dual, and weak* uniformly rotund norm on X^* . Hence the corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|$ on X is uniformly Gâteaux smooth. For more details see [DGZ, Section II.6]. Now assume that X admits an equivalent $C^{(n)}$ -smooth norm. A natural question then is whether X admits an equivalent norm such that this norm would be both uniformly Gâteaux smooth and $C^{(n)}$ -smooth. If $n = 1$, then X^* is separable [Ph, Corollary 4.15, Theorem 2.19] and we can assume that the dual norm $\|\cdot\|$ on X^* is locally uniformly rotund. Then the norm $\|\cdot\|$ on X constructed above is both uniformly Gâteaux smooth and $C^{(1)}$ -smooth. However, if $n > 1$, we seriously doubt that $\|\cdot\|$ would be $C^{(n)}$ -smooth provided that $\|\cdot\|$ is.

The aim of this note is to construct such a norm:

* Supported by grants AV 101-97-02 and GA ČR 201-98-1449

† Supported by grant GA UK 1/1998, GA UK 186, and GA ČR 201-98-1449

Theorem 1. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a separable Banach space admitting an equivalent $C^{(n)}$ -smooth norm, where $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{\infty\}$. Then X admits an equivalent norm which is both uniformly Gâteaux smooth and $C^{(n)}$ -smooth.

We start with some preliminaries. The sets of positive integers, and real numbers are denoted by \mathbb{N} , and \mathbb{R} , respectively. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$ be Banach spaces and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The symbol $\mathcal{L}^n(X, Y)$ denotes the (Banach) space of n -linear bounded mappings from X to Y endowed with the norm

$$\|L\| = \sup\{\|L(h_1, \dots, h_n)\| : h_1, \dots, h_n \in B_X\}, \quad L \in \mathcal{L}^n(X, Y).$$

If $n = 1$ we write $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. If $Y = \mathbb{R}$ we simply write $\mathcal{L}^n(X) = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R})$. We use the symbol X^* instead of $\mathcal{L}^1(X)$. The closed and open unit balls in X are denoted by B_X and \mathring{B}_X respectively.

Let f be a mapping from X to Y and $x \in X$. We say that f is *Gâteaux differentiable* at x if there exists $L \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ such that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\tau} [f(x + \tau h) - f(x)] - L(h) \right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow 0$$

for every $h \in X$. Then we denote $f'(x) = L$. Let Ω be an open subset in X . We say that f is *uniformly Gâteaux smooth* on Ω if f is Gâteaux differentiable at every point in Ω and for every $h \in X$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\tau} [f(x + \tau h) - f(x)] - f'(x)(h) \right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly for $x \in \Omega$. It is easy to check that if f is Lipschitz on Ω , then f is uniformly Gâteaux smooth on Ω if and only if f is Gâteaux differentiable at every point of Ω and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $h \in X$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|f'(x)(h) - f'(z)(h)\| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $x, z \in \Omega$ and $\|x - z\| < \delta$. We say that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is *uniformly Gâteaux smooth* if it is uniformly Gâteaux smooth on the set $\{x \in X : \|x\| > r\}$ where r is some (actually any) positive number.

We say that f is *1-times Fréchet differentiable* at x if it is Gâteaux differentiable at x and

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\tau} [f(x + \tau h) - f(x)] - f'(x)(h) \right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly for $h \in B_X$. Now let $n \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$ and assume that we have already defined the $(n - 1)$ -times Fréchet differentiability and the symbol $f^{(n-1)}(x) \in$

$\mathcal{L}^{(n-1)}(X, Y)$. Assume that the mapping f is defined and $(n-1)$ -times Fréchet differentiable at the points of a neighbourhood of x and let there exist $L \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}(X, Y)$ such that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\tau} [f^{(n-1)}(x + \tau h_1)(h_2, \dots, h_n) - f^{(n-1)}(x)(h_2, \dots, h_n)] - L(h_1, \dots, h_n) \right\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $\tau \rightarrow 0$

uniformly for $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n \in B_X$. Then we say that f is *n-times Fréchet differentiable* at x and we denote $f^{(n)}(x) = L$. (It is known that $f^{(n)}(x)$ is then symmetric with respect to the variables $h_1, \dots, h_n \in X$ [C, Chapitre 1, Théorème 5.3.1]. But we shall not need this fact.)

Let $\Omega \subset X$ be an open set and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that the mapping f is $C^{(n)}$ -smooth on Ω if it is n -times Fréchet differentiable at every $x \in \Omega$ and the mapping $x \mapsto f^{(n)}(x)$ from Ω to $\mathcal{L}^n(X, Y)$ is continuous on Ω . We can easily check that f is $C^{(n)}$ -smooth on Ω if and only if it is n -times Fréchet differentiable at every point of Ω and for every $x \in \Omega$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\tau} [f^{(n-1)}(z + \tau h_1)(h_2, \dots, h_n) - f^{(n-1)}(z)(h_2, \dots, h_n)] - L(h_1, \dots, h_n) \right\| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $0 \neq \tau \in (-\delta, \delta)$, $z \in \Omega$, $\|z - x\| < \delta$, and $h_1, \dots, h_n \in B_X$. We say that f is C^∞ -smooth on Ω if it is $C^{(n)}$ -smooth on Ω for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The 0-smoothness means just the continuity of f and we put $f^{(0)} = f$.

P r o o f of Theorem 1. A rough scheme of the proof: Applying integral convolutions on the norm $\|\cdot\|$ on X countably many times (see [FWZ, Theorem 3.1]), we construct a convex uniformly Gâteaux smooth function f . If $\|\cdot\|$ is $C^{(n)}$ -smooth, then f will also be $C^{(n)}$ -smooth. Now an implicit function theorem produces from f a $C^{(n)}$ -smooth norm. However we are afraid that the implicit function theorem does not work for the uniform Gâteaux smoothness in general. Hence we need more work: From f we construct, via an integration, a new, better function g . Applying then the implicit function theorem to g , we get a norm which satisfies the conclusion of our theorem.

Step 1. Basic construction. Let $\{x_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable set which is contained and dense in the unit ball of X . Denote

$$T = \left[-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right] \times \left[-\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8} \right] \times \left[-\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16} \right] \times \dots,$$

$Q = [-1, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$, and

$$K = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j x_j : t = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in T \right\}.$$

Note that T , Q and K are compact spaces. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a C^1 -smooth function, with support in $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ and such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi = 1$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in Q$ we define

$$\psi_m(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m t_j x_j \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_m(t) = 2\varphi(2t_1)4\varphi(4t_2) \dots 2^m \varphi(2^m t_m).$$

Let μ be the product of countable many Lebesgue measures on $[-1, 1]$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$f_m(x) = \int_Q \|x - \psi_m(t)\| \varphi_m(t) \, d\mu(t), \quad x \in X.$$

Note that the integrand here is continuous on (the compact) Q . Hence $f_m(x)$ is well defined for every $x \in X$. Observe also that f_m is a convex function and that

$$|f_m(x) - f_m(y)| \leq \|x - y\| \int_Q \varphi_m(t) \, d\mu(t) = \|x - y\| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Observe further that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{m_1}(x) - f_{m_2}(x)| &\leq \int_Q \left\| \sum_{j=m_1+1}^{m_2} t_j x_j \right\| \varphi_{m_2}(t) \, d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=m_1+2}^{m_2+1} 2^{-j} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m_1 \leq m_2 \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $x \in X$. Hence we can put

$$f(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m(x), \quad x \in X.$$

This is a convex 1-Lipschitz function on X and $\|\cdot\| - \frac{1}{2} \leq f \leq \|\cdot\| + \frac{1}{2}$. □

Step 2. For a function $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x \in X$, and $h \in X$ we put

$$Dg(x)(h) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\tau} [g(x + \tau h) - g(x)]$$

if this limit exists and is finite. Fix $x \in X$ and $i, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \leq m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 Df_m(x)(x_i) &= \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\tau} [f_m(x + \tau x_i) - f_m(x)] \\
 &= \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\int_Q \left\| x - \sum_{j \neq i}^m t_j x_j - (t_i - \tau) x_i \right\| 2\varphi(2t_1) 4\varphi(4t_2) \dots 2^m \varphi(2^m t_m) \, d\mu(t) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_Q \left\| x - \sum_{j \neq i}^m t_j x_j - t_i x_i \right\| 2\varphi(2t_1) 4\varphi(4t_2) \dots 2^m \varphi(2^m t_m) \, d\mu(t) \right] \\
 &= \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_Q \left\| x - \sum_{j=1}^m t_j x_j \right\| 2\varphi(2t_1) \dots 2^{i-1} \varphi(2^{i-1} t_{i-1}) 2^i \frac{1}{\tau} [\varphi(2^i(t_i + \tau)) - \varphi(2^i t_i)] \\
 &\quad \times 2^{i+1} \varphi(2^{i+1} t_{i+1}) \dots 2^m \varphi(2^m t_m) \, d\mu(t) \\
 &= \int_Q \|x - \psi_m(t)\| \varphi_m^i(t) \, d\mu(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varphi_m^i(t) = 2\varphi(2t_1) \dots 2^{i-1} \varphi(2^{i-1} t_{i-1}) 2^{2i} \varphi'(2^i t_i) 2^{i+1} \varphi(2^{i+1} t_{i+1}) \dots 2^m \varphi(2^m t_m),$$

$t = (t_1, t_2, \dots)$. Here we used the substitution $t_i - \tau \mapsto t_i$, the fact that φ is a $C^{(1)}$ -smooth function and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. \square

Step 3. Fix $x \in X$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote $I = (-1, 1)$. Put

$$\varphi(s) = f(x + s x_i), \quad s \in I,$$

$$\varphi_m(s) = f_m(x + s x_i), \quad s \in I, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$\varphi_m(s) - \varphi(s) = f_m(x + s x_i) - f(x + s x_i) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

(uniformly) for $s \in I$. Also, since $\varphi_m'(s) = Df_m(x + s x_i)(x_i)$, we have, by Step 2, that

$$|\varphi_{m_1}'(s) - \varphi_{m_2}'(s)| \leq \sum_{j=m_1+2}^{m_2+1} 2^{-j} \cdot 2^{2i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi'(2^i t_i)| dt_i \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly for $s \in I$. By a well known theorem from real analysis we then get that φ is a $C^{(1)}$ -smooth function on I and that

$$\varphi'(s) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_m'(s) \quad \text{for } s \in I.$$

Thus, in particular,

$$\begin{aligned} Df(x)(x_i) &= \varphi'(0) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_m'(0) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q \|x - \psi_m(t)\| \varphi_m^i(t) \, d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

From this and the definition of φ_m^i it follows that the function $x \mapsto Df(x)(x_i)$ is C_i -Lipschitz, where $C_i = 2^i \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi'(s)| \, ds$. \square

Step 4. Fix $x \in X$ and take any $h \in B_X$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$. We find $i \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\|h - x_i\| < \varepsilon/2$. Since f is 1-Lipschitz, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\tau, \tau' \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{1}{\tau} [f(x + \tau h) - f(x)] - \frac{1}{\tau'} [f(x + \tau' h) - f(x)] \right| \\ & \leq \limsup_{\tau, \tau' \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{1}{\tau} [f(x + \tau x_i) - f(x)] - \frac{1}{\tau'} [f(x + \tau' x_i) - f(x)] \right| + 2\|h - x_i\| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $Df(x)(h)$ exists. Now, since f is convex and Lipschitz, we conclude that f is Gâteaux differentiable at x . \square

Step 5. Fix $h \in B_X$. Then for $x, y \in X$ we have by Step 3

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(x)(h) - f'(y)(h)| &< |f'(x)(x_i) - f'(y)(x_i)| + (\|f'(x)\| + \|f'(y)\|)\|h - x_i\| \\ &< C_i\|x - y\| + 2\|h - x_i\|. \end{aligned}$$

So, if $i \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $\|h - x_i\| < \varepsilon/4$ and if $\|x - y\| < \varepsilon/(2C_i)$, we get $|f'(x)(h) - f'(y)(h)| < \varepsilon$. From this it follows that f is uniformly Gâteaux smooth on all of X . \square

Step 6. Using an implicit function theorem, we could produce an equivalent norm from f . However, we are not quite sure if such a norm would keep the uniform Gâteaux smoothness. (For a better understanding of our worries, see Step 9.) In what follows we “improve” f . Let $\eta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a C^1 -smooth function with support in $[1, 2]$ and such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta = 1$. Put

$$g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(sx)\eta(s) \, ds, \quad x \in X.$$

We can easily check that the function g is well defined on all of X , and that g is convex and 2-Lipschitz. Moreover, as $\|\cdot\| - \frac{1}{2} \leq f \leq \|\cdot\| + \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\|x\| - \frac{1}{2} \leq g(x) \leq 2\|x\| + \frac{1}{2}, \quad x \in X.$$

\square

Step 7. Fix $x \in X$ and put

$$L(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(sx)(h) s \eta(s) \, ds, \quad h \in X.$$

It is easy to verify that $L(h)$ is well defined and that L is a linear bounded functional on X , with $|L(h)| \leq \|h\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} s \eta(s) \, ds \leq 2\|h\|$, $h \in X$. Fix any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $h \in B_X$. Since f is uniformly Gâteaux smooth (Gâteaux differentiability is actually enough), there is $\delta > 0$ so that

$$|f'(sx+z)(h) - f'(sx)(h)| < \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{whenever } s \in [1, 2] \quad \text{and } z \in X, \|z\| < 2\delta.$$

Thus for $0 \neq \tau \in (-\delta, \delta)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{\tau} [g(x + \tau h) - g(x)] - L(h) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{\tau} [f(s(x + \tau h)) - f(sx)] - f'(sx)(h)s \right) \eta(s) \, ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^1 [f'(sx + \theta s \tau h)(h) - f'(sx)(h)] \, d\theta s \eta(s) \, ds \right| \\ &< \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varepsilon/2) s \eta(s) \, ds < 2\varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This means that g is Gâteaux differentiable at x and

$$g'(x)(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(sx)(h) s \eta(s) \, ds, \quad x \in X, \quad h \in X.$$

Now, since f is uniformly Gâteaux smooth on X , the above formula yields that g is also uniformly Gâteaux smooth on X . Moreover, integrating by parts, we obtain

$$(*) \quad g'(x)(x) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(sx)(s\eta'(s) + \eta(s)) \, ds, \quad x \in X.$$

□

Step 8. Consider the set

$$U = \{x \in X : g(x) \leq 1\}.$$

From the properties of g we can easily deduce that U is a convex, closed, and bounded set. Since $g(0) = f(0) \leq 1/2$, the interior of U contains 0 . Let p denote Minkowski's functional of U . Then p will be positively homogeneous, convex, continuous, and $p(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.

In what follows we shall show that p is Gâteaux differentiable and we shall derive a formula for p' . We shall proceed as in [BF]. Fix $x \in X$, with $p(x) = 1$ and let ξ be an element of the subdifferential $\partial p(x)$. Note that then $g(x) = 1$. Let $h \in X$ be such that $\xi(h) = 0$. Then $p(x + \tau h) \geq p(x) = 1$ for $\tau > 0$. Take any $r > 1$. Then $p(r(x + \tau h)) = rp(x + \tau h) > 1$ and hence $r(x + \tau h) \notin U$, i.e., $g(r(x + \tau h)) > 1$ for all $r > 1$ and all $\tau > 0$. Thus $g(x + \tau h) \geq 1 (= g(x))$ for all $\tau > 0$. It follows $g'(x)(h) \geq 0$. This holds for every $h \in X$ satisfying $\xi(h) = 0$. Therefore $\xi = \lambda g'(x)$ for a suitable $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. But

$$1 = p(x) = \xi(x) = \lambda g'(x)(x),$$

so $\xi = [g'(x)(x)]^{-1}g'(x)$. Hence ξ is uniquely determined, which means that p is Gâteaux differentiable at x and $p'(x) = \xi$. Thus, for every $0 \neq x \in X$ we have

$$(**) \quad p'(x) = \left[g' \left(\frac{x}{p(x)} \right) \left(\frac{x}{p(x)} \right) \right]^{-1} g' \left(\frac{x}{p(x)} \right).$$

□

Step 9. It remains to prove that p is uniformly Gâteaux smooth outside of a neighbourhood of the origin, say on $\Omega = \{x \in X : p(x) > r\}$, where r is a fixed positive number. So fix $h \in X$ and consider any $x, y \in \Omega$. Write $\tilde{x} = \frac{x}{p(x)}$, $\tilde{y} = \frac{y}{p(y)}$. Then $p(\tilde{x}) = p(\tilde{y}) = 1 = g(\tilde{x}) = g(\tilde{y})$,

$$g'(\tilde{x})(\tilde{x}) \geq g(\tilde{x}) - g(0) \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad g'(\tilde{y})(\tilde{y}) \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p'(x)(h) - p'(y)(h) &= [g'(\tilde{x})(\tilde{x})]^{-1}g'(\tilde{x})(h) - [g'(\tilde{y})(\tilde{y})]^{-1}g'(\tilde{y})(h) \\ &= [g'(\tilde{x})(\tilde{x})]^{-1}[g'(\tilde{x})(h) - g'(\tilde{y})(h)] \\ &\quad + [g'(\tilde{x})(\tilde{x})g'(\tilde{y})(\tilde{y})]^{-1}[g'(\tilde{y})(\tilde{y}) - g'(\tilde{x})(\tilde{x})]g'(\tilde{y})(h) \\ &\leq 2|g'(\tilde{x})(h) - g'(\tilde{y})(h)| + 4|g'(\tilde{y})(\tilde{y}) - g'(\tilde{x})(\tilde{x})||g'(\tilde{y})(h)|. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $|g'(\tilde{x})(h)| \leq 2\|h\|$. Also, from (*) we get $|g'(\tilde{y})(\tilde{y}) - g'(\tilde{x})(\tilde{x})| \leq k\|\tilde{y} - \tilde{x}\|$, where $k = 2 \int_Q |s\eta'(s) + \eta(s)| ds$. Thus

$$p'(x)(h) - p'(y)(h) \leq 2|g'(\tilde{x})(h) - g'(\tilde{y})(h)| + 8k\|\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}\|.$$

Now, recalling that g is uniformly Gâteaux smooth on X , it is enough to show that $\|\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}\|$ is majorized by a multiple of $\|x - y\|$. From the definition of p we know that

it has all features of an equivalent norm but the symmetry. So there are constants $a, b > 0$ such that $a\|\cdot\| \leq p \leq b\|\cdot\|$. Thus for $x, y \in \Omega$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}\| &= \left\| \frac{x}{p(x)} - \frac{y}{p(y)} \right\| \leq \frac{\|x - y\|}{p(x)} + \frac{\|y\|}{p(x)} \frac{|p(y) - p(x)|}{p(y)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r}\|x - y\| + \frac{b}{ar}\|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore p is uniformly Gâteaux smooth on Ω .

Putting $\|x\| = p(x) + p(-x)$, $x \in X$, we get an equivalent uniformly Gâteaux smooth norm on X . \square

Step 10. From now on fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that our original norm $\|\cdot\|$ on X is $C^{(n)}$ -smooth. We shall show that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined in the previous step is in fact $C^{(n)}$ -smooth.

Claim. The functions f_m , $m \in \mathbb{N}$, from Step 1 are $C^{(n)}$ -smooth on $X \setminus \frac{1}{2}B_X$ and

$$f_m^{(n)}(x)(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \int_Q \|\cdot\|^{(n)}(x - \psi_m(t))(h_1, \dots, h_n) \varphi_m(t) \, d\mu(t)$$

for $x \in X \setminus \frac{1}{2}B_X$ and $h_1, \dots, h_n \in X$.

Proof. Surely, the claim is true for $n := 0$. Assume that the claim was verified for $n - 1$. Fix $x \in X$, with $\|x\| > 1/2$, and for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ put

$$L_m(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \int_Q \|\cdot\|^{(n)}(x - \psi_m(t))(h_1, \dots, h_n) \varphi_m(t) \, d\mu(t), \quad h_1, \dots, h_n \in X.$$

Note that the integrand here is equal to 0 for all $t \in Q \setminus T$. Further $x - \psi_m(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in T$. And, since $\|\cdot\|^{(n)}$ is continuous on $X \setminus \{0\}$, $L_m(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ is well defined and $L_m \in \mathcal{L}^n(X)$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. From the compactness of K and from the continuity of $\|\cdot\|^{(n)}$ we find $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\|x\| - \frac{1}{4})$ so that

$$\left\| \|\cdot\|^{(n)}(z - \psi_m(t)) - \|\cdot\|^{(n)}(x - \psi_m(t)) \right\| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $z \in X$, $\|z - x\| < 2\delta$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t \in T$.

Now take any $0 \neq \tau \in (-\delta, \delta)$, $h_1, \dots, h_n \in B_X$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $y \in X$ with $\|y - x\| < \delta$. Then, by the induction assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{\tau} [f_m^{(n-1)}(y + \tau h_1)(h_2, \dots, h_n) - f_m^{(n-1)}(y)(h_2, \dots, h_n)] - L_m(h_1, \dots, h_n) \right| \\ = & \left| \int_Q \left(\frac{1}{\tau} [\|\cdot\|^{(n-1)}(y + \tau h_1 - \psi_m(t))(h_2, \dots, h_n) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \|\cdot\|^{(n-1)}(y - \psi_m(t))(h_2, \dots, h_n)] - \|\cdot\|^{(n)}(x - \psi_m(t))(h_1, \dots, h_n) \right) \varphi_m(t) \, d\mu(t) \right| \\ = & \left| \int_Q \int_0^1 [\|\cdot\|^{(n)}(y + \theta\tau h_1 - \psi_m(t))(h_1, \dots, h_n) \right. \\ & \left. - \|\cdot\|^{(n)}(x - \psi_m(t))(h_1, \dots, h_n)] \, d\theta \varphi_m(t) \, d\mu(t) \right| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

(Here we used the continuity of $\|\cdot\|^{(n)}$ and the integral mean value theorem.) This means that f_m is $C^{(n)}$ -smooth at x and that

$$f_m^{(n)}(x)(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \int_Q \|\cdot\|^{(n)}(x - \psi_m(t))(h_1, \dots, h_n) \varphi_m(t) \, d\mu(t).$$

□

Step 11. Claim. *The function f defined in Step 1 is $C^{(n)}$ -smooth on $X \setminus \frac{1}{2}B_X$ and*

$$\|f_m^{(n)}(x) - f^{(n)}(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

for every $x \in X$, with $\|x\| > 1/2$.

P r o o f. Surely, the claim is true for $n := 0$. Assume that the claim was verified for $n - 1$. Fix $x \in X \setminus \frac{1}{2}B_X$. From the compactness of K and the continuity of $\|\cdot\|^{(n)}$ we get that

$$\left\| \|\cdot\|^{(n)}(x - \psi_{m_1}(t)) - \|\cdot\|^{(n)}(x - \psi_{m_2}(t)) \right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m_1, m_2 \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly for $t \in T$. Hence, by Step 10,

$$\left\| f_{m_1}^{(n)}(x) - f_{m_2}^{(n)}(x) \right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m_1, m_2 \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, we can define $L = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m^{(n)}(x)$ and L belongs to $\mathcal{L}^n(X)$.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let δ be that chosen in Step 10. Take $0 \neq \tau \in (-\delta, \delta)$, $h_1, \dots, h_n \in B_X$, and $y \in X$, with $\|y - x\| < \delta$. Then, according to Step 10, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\tau} [f_m^{(n-1)}(y + \tau h_1)(h_2, \dots, h_n) - f_m^{(n-1)}(y)(h_2, \dots, h_n)] - f_m^{(n)}(x)(h_1, \dots, h_n) \right| < \varepsilon$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Now let m go to ∞ . By the induction assumption, we thus get that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\tau} [f^{(n-1)}(y + \tau h_1)(h_2, \dots, h_n) - f^{(n-1)}(y)(h_2, \dots, h_n)] - L(h_1, \dots, h_n) \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

This means that f is $C^{(n)}$ -smooth at x and that $f^{(n)}(x) = L (= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m^{(n)}(x))$. \square

Step 12. Claim. The function g defined in Step 6 is also $C^{(n)}$ -smooth on $X \setminus \frac{1}{2}B_X$ and

$$g^{(n)}(x)(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(n)}(sx)(h_1, \dots, h_n) s^n \eta(s) \, ds$$

for all $x \in X \setminus \frac{1}{2}B_X$ and all $h_1, \dots, h_n \in B_X$.

P r o o f. Surely, the claim is true for $n := 0$. Assume that the claim was verified for $n - 1$. Fix $x \in X \setminus \frac{1}{2}B_X$ and put

$$L(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(n)}(sx)(h_1, \dots, h_n) s^n \eta(s) \, ds, \quad h_1, \dots, h_n \in X.$$

As earlier, we can check that L is well defined and that $L \in \mathcal{L}^n(X)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We find $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\|x\| - \frac{1}{4})$, $\delta < 1$, such that

$$\|f^{(n)}(sz) - f^{(n)}(sx)\| < \varepsilon 2^{-n} \quad \text{whenever } z \in X, \|z - x\| < 2\delta, \text{ and } s \in [1, 2].$$

Then for $0 \neq \tau \in (-\delta, \delta)$, $y \in X$, $\|y - x\| < \delta$ and $h_1, \dots, h_n \in B_X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{\tau} [g^{(n-1)}(y + \tau h_1)(h_2, \dots, h_n) - g^{(n-1)}(y)(h_2, \dots, h_n)] - L(h_1, \dots, h_n) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(n-1)}(s(y + \tau h_1))(h_2, \dots, h_n) s^{n-1} \eta(s) \, ds \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(n-1)}(sy)(h_2, \dots, h_n) s^{n-1} \eta(s) \, ds \right] - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(n)}(sx)(h_1, \dots, h_n) s^n \eta(s) \, ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^1 [f^{(n)}(sy + \theta s \tau h_1)(h_1, \dots, h_n) - f^{(n)}(sx)(h_1, \dots, h_n)] \, d\theta s^n \eta(s) \, ds \right| \\ &< \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varepsilon 2^{-n} s^n \eta(s) \, ds < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This means that g is $C^{(n)}$ -smooth at x . \square

Step 13. Claim. The function p defined in Step 8 is $C^{(n)}$ -smooth on $X \setminus \{0\}$.

P r o o f. From the formula (**) we can see that the mapping p' is a composition of g , g' and some elementary mappings. More carefully:

$$(***) \quad p' = \delta \circ \{\alpha \circ \gamma \circ [g' \circ \beta \circ (\alpha \circ p, \text{id}), \beta \circ (\alpha \circ p, \text{id})], g' \circ \beta \circ (\alpha \circ p, \text{id})\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(t) &= \frac{1}{t}, \quad 0 \neq t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \beta(t, x) &= tx, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in X, \\ \gamma(\xi, x) &= \xi(x), \quad \xi \in X^*, \quad x \in X, \\ \delta(t, \xi) &= t\xi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \xi \in X^*, \\ \text{id}(x) &= x, \quad x \in X,\end{aligned}$$

and “ \circ ” means the composition of two mappings. We note that the mappings $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \text{id}$ are C^∞ -smooth while g' is $C^{(n-1)}$ -smooth. The formula (***) guarantees that p is $C^{(1)}$ -smooth on $X \setminus \{0\}$. If $n > 1$, then (***) together with [C, Chapitre 1, Théorème 5.4.2] subsequently gives that p is $C^{(2)}$ -smooth, $C^{(3)}$ -smooth, \dots , $C^{(n)}$ -smooth on $X \setminus \{0\}$.

Finally, the $C^{(n)}$ -smoothness of p trivially implies the $C^{(n)}$ -smoothness of the norm $\|\cdot\|$.

If the original norm $\|\cdot\|$ is C^∞ -smooth, then our norm $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ will be $C^{(n)}$ -smooth for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence C^∞ -smooth. \square

Remark. We note that in Steps 1–9 we did not need any smoothness of the norm $\|\cdot\|$. If $\|\cdot\|$ is at least $C^{(1)}$ -smooth, then we can save some work in Steps 3 and 4. Also, in Step 9, we can use the standard implicit function theorem [C, Chapitre 1, Théorème 4.7.1].

A function f on a Banach space X is called a *bump* if its support $\text{supp } f := \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$ is nonempty and bounded.

Checking Steps 1–5, 10, and 11, we get

Theorem 2. *Let a separable Banach space X admit a Lipschitz bump which is $C^{(n)}$ -smooth, where $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. Then X admits a Lipschitz bump which is both uniformly Gâteaux smooth and $C^{(n)}$ -smooth.*

In its proof we just must pay more attention to showing the linearity of the function $h \mapsto Df(x)(h)$ in Step 4. This can be guaranteed if we require in Step 1 that the set $\{x_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is closed under making convex combinations with rational coefficients. The above remark also applies to this proof.

By putting together the proof of [FWZ, Theorem 3.2] and Steps 6–9, we get, in a different way, a recent result due to Tang [T]:

Theorem 3. *If a Banach space X admits a uniformly Gâteaux smooth bump b , then X admits an equivalent uniformly Gâteaux smooth norm.*

Proof. *Step 1.* We shall first show that b is a bounded function.

Find $c > 0$ such that $b(x) = 0$ whenever $x \in X$ and $\|x\| > c$. Find then $h \in X$ such that $\|h\| > 2c$. Since b is uniformly Gâteaux smooth, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\left| m \left[b \left(x + \frac{1}{m}(\pm h) \right) - b(x) \right] - b'(x)(\pm h) \right| < 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Fix any $x_0 \in X$ with $b(x_0) \neq 0$. Then $\|x_0\| \leq c$ and so $b(x_0 + h) = 0$. Put

$$t_j = b \left(x_0 + \frac{j}{m}h \right), \quad s_j = b' \left(x_0 + \frac{j}{m}h \right)(h), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1.$$

Then we have

$$|m(t_{j+1} - t_j) - s_j| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |m(t_j - t_{j+1}) + s_{j+1}| < 1, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1.$$

Thus, for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |s_j| &\leq |s_{j+1} - s_j| + |s_{j+1}| < 1 + 1 + |s_{j+1}|, \\ |s_j| &\leq 2 + |s_{j+1}| < 4 + |s_{j+2}| < \dots < 2m + |s_m| = 2m, \end{aligned}$$

and further,

$$\begin{aligned} m|t_j| &< 1 + |s_j| + m|t_{j+1}| < 1 + 2m + m|t_{j+1}| \\ &< 2(1 + 2m) + m|t_{j+2}| < \dots < m(1 + 2m) + m|t_m| = m(1 + 2m). \end{aligned}$$

So, in particular, $m|t_0| < m(1 + 2m)$, and hence $|b(x_0)| = |t_0| < 1 + 2m$. We proved that $\sup_{x \in X} |b(x)| < 1 + 2m$. (We note that b is then Lipschitz, see [MV, Remark 2.1(a)].

But we shall not use this fact.)

Step 2. Replacing our b by $x \mapsto \alpha b(\beta x + z) + 6$, $x \in X$, with suitable $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in X$, we get a new uniformly Gâteaux smooth function, denoted again by b , such that $b: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, $b(0) < 1/3$, and $b(x) = 6$ whenever $x \in X$ and $\|x\| \geq 1/2$. For $x \in 6B_X$ put

$$f(x) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j b(x_j) : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \geq 0, x_1, \dots, x_m \in 6B_X, \right. \\ \left. \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j = 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j x_j = x, \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

It is immediate to check that $0 \leq f(0) < 1/3$ and that $f(x) = 6$ if and only if $x \in X$ and $\|x\| = 6$. Further it is standard to verify that f is a convex function on $6B_X$. Take $0 \neq x \in 6B_X$. Then $x = (1 - \frac{\|x\|}{6})0 + \frac{\|x\|}{6} \frac{6x}{\|x\|}$ and the definition of f gives

$$f(x) \leq \left(1 - \frac{\|x\|}{6}\right)b(0) + \frac{\|x\|}{6}b\left(\frac{6x}{\|x\|}\right) < \frac{1}{3} + \|x\|.$$

Also, if $\|y\| < \frac{1}{2}$, then $b(y) \geq 0 \geq \|y\| - \frac{1}{2}$, and if $\frac{1}{2} \leq \|y\| \leq 6$, then $b(y) = 6 \geq \|y\|$. Therefore $f(x) \geq \|x\| - \frac{1}{2}$. Summarizing up, we get that

$$\|x\| - \frac{1}{2} \leq f(x) \leq \frac{1}{3} + \|x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in 6B_X.$$

We shall show that f is Lipschitz on $5B_X$. Take $x, y \in 5B_X$. We find $t \geq 1$ such that $z := (1-t)x + ty$ has norm 6. Then $y = \frac{1}{t}z + (1 - \frac{1}{t})x$ and from the convexity of f we get

$$f(y) \leq \frac{1}{t}f(z) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right)f(x) = \frac{6}{t} + f(x) - \frac{1}{t}f(x) \leq \frac{6}{t} + f(x).$$

hence $f(y) - f(x) \leq \frac{6}{t}$. On the other hand

$$1 \leq \|z\| - \|x\| \leq \|z - x\| = t\|y - x\|.$$

Therefore

$$f(y) - f(x) \leq 6\|y - x\| \quad \text{whenever } x, y \in 5B_X.$$

Step 3. We claim that f is uniformly Gâteaux smooth on $4\overset{\circ}{B}_X$. Fix $h \in B_X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We find $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{6})$ such that

$$(*) \quad \frac{1}{t}[b(x+th) - b(x) - b'(x)(h)] < \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \quad \text{whenever } x \in X \quad \text{and } t \in (0, 6\delta).$$

Fix for a while any $x \in 4\overset{\circ}{B}_X$ and any $t \in (0, \delta)$. Then $f(x) \leq 1/3 + \|x\| < 5$. Find $\varepsilon' \in (0, \varepsilon/4)$ so that $f(x) + \varepsilon't < 5$. We find $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \geq 0$ and $x_1, \dots, x_m \in 6B_X$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j = 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j x_j = x$, and such that $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j b(x_j) < f(x) + \varepsilon't$. We may, and do assume that $b(x_1) \leq b(x_2) \leq \dots \leq b(x_m)$. Let k be the largest j such that $b(x_j) < 6$. Then, putting $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j$, we have $\alpha = 1$ if $k = m$, and

$$5 > \sum_{j=k+1}^m \alpha_j b(x_j) = (1 - \alpha) \cdot 6$$

otherwise. Hence $\alpha > \frac{1}{6}$. We note that

$$x \pm th = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \left(x_j \pm \frac{t}{\alpha} h \right) + \sum_{j=k+1}^m \alpha_j x_j$$

and

$$\left\| x_j \pm \frac{t}{\alpha} h \right\| \leq \|x_j\| + \frac{t}{\alpha} < \frac{1}{2} + 6\delta < 6 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k.$$

(Recall that $b(x_j) < 6$ implies $\|x_j\| < 1/2$.) Also $\frac{t}{\alpha} < 6\delta$. Thus, using (*), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{t} [f(x+th) + f(x-th) - 2f(x)] \\ & < \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \frac{1}{t} [b(x_j + \frac{t}{\alpha} h) + b(x_j - \frac{t}{\alpha} h) - 2b(x_j)] + 2\varepsilon' \\ & < \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \cdot 2\frac{\varepsilon}{8} + 2\frac{\varepsilon}{4} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This holds for every $x \in 4\mathring{B}_X$ and for every $t \in (0, \delta)$. Hence f is uniformly Gâteaux smooth on $4\mathring{B}_X$.

Step 4. Define

$$g(x) = 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(sx) \eta(s) \, ds, \quad x \in 2\mathring{B}_X,$$

where η is the function from Step 6. Then g is a convex Lipschitz function on $2\mathring{B}_X$.

Step 5. As in Step 7, we can check that g is uniformly Gâteaux smooth on $2\mathring{B}_X$ and that the function $x \mapsto g'(x)(x)$ is Lipschitz on $2\mathring{B}_X$. Moreover $g(x) \geq \frac{3}{2}$ whenever $x \in X$ and $\|x\| = 1$ (as $f \geq \|\cdot\| - \frac{1}{2}$) and $g(0) = 3f(0) < 1$.

Step 6. It remains to apply the lemma below; its proof is contained in Steps 8 and 9. \square

Lemma. *Assume that there exists a convex, Lipschitz, uniformly Gâteaux smooth function $g: 2\mathring{B}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $g(0) < 1$ and $g(x) \geq \frac{3}{2}$ whenever $x \in X$ and $\|x\| = 1$. Assume moreover that the function $x \mapsto g'(x)(x)$ is uniformly continuous on $2\mathring{B}_X$. Then X admits an equivalent uniformly Gâteaux smooth norm.*

References

- [BF] *J.M. Borwein, M. Fabian*: On convex functions having points of Gâteaux differentiability which are not points of Fréchet differentiability. *Canadian J. Math.* 45 (1993), 1121–1134.
- [C] *H. Cartan*: Calcul différentiel formes différentielles. Herman, Paris 1967.
- [DGZ] *R. Deville, G. Godefroy, V. Zizler*: Smoothness and renormings in Banach spaces. Pitman Monographs, No. 64, Longman House, Harlow, 1993.
- [FWZ] *M. Fabian, J.H.M. Whitfield, V. Zizler*: Norms with locally Lipschitzian derivatives. *Israel J. Math.* 44 (1983), 262–276.
- [MV] *D.P. McLaughlin, J.D. Vanderwerff*: Higher order Gâteaux smooth bump functions on Banach spaces. *Bull. Australian Math. Soc.* 51 (1995), 291–300.
- [P] *R.R. Phelps*: Convex functions, monotone operators and differentiability. Lecture Notes in Math. No. 1364, Springer Verlag, 1993.
- [T] *W.K. Tang*: Uniformly differentiable bump functions. Preprint.

Authors' address: Mathematical Institute, Czech Academy of Sciences, Žitná 25, 115 67 Prague 1, Czech Republic.