

Ferdinand Gliviak

Maximal Graphs with Given Connectivity and Edge-Connectivity

*Matematický časopis*, Vol. 25 (1975), No. 2, 99--103

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126956>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1975

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## MAXIMAL GRAPHS WITH GIVEN CONNECTIVITY AND EDGE-CONNECTIVITY

FERDINAND GLIVIAK

The graphs considered in this paper are undirected, finite, without loops and multiple edges.

We shall describe constructively maximal graphs with given vertex-connectivity or edge-connectivity, respectively. In addition, we prove some estimation of the number of edges, the maximal degrees and minimal degrees of graphs with a given vertex-(edge)-connectivity, respectively.

Let  $G, Q$  be graphs. Then we denote by  $V(G)$  the vertex set of  $G$ , by  $E(G)$  the edge set of  $G$ , by  $\kappa(G)$  the (vertex-) connectivity of  $G$ , by  $\lambda(G)$  the edge-connectivity of  $G$ , by  $\delta(G)$  the minimum degree of a vertex of  $G$ , by  $\Delta(G)$  the maximum degree of a vertex of  $G$ , by  $\bar{G}$  the complement of  $G$ , by  $|M|$  the cardinal number of a set  $M$ , by  $K_p$  the complete graph with  $p$  vertices, by  $G + Q$  the join of graphs  $G$  and  $Q$  and by  $G \cup Q$  the union of graphs  $G$  and  $Q$ . In addition, we denote by  $G + h$ , where  $h \in E(\bar{G})$ , the graph that arose from  $G$  by adding the edge  $h$ ; by  $G - A$ , where  $A \subset E(G)$ , the graph arisen from  $G$  by deleting the set  $A$  of edges; by  $G - B$ , where  $B \subset V(G)$ , the graph arisen from  $G$  by deleting every vertex  $v \in B$  and all edges that are incident with it. If  $A = \{x\}$ ,  $B = \{u\}$ , then we write  $G - A = G - x$  and  $G - B = G - u$ , respectively. The symbol  $G \simeq Q$  denotes that the graphs  $G, Q$  are isomorphic. Definitions of notions not included here can be found in [3].

Minimal and maximal graphs with a given property  $P$  have been studied by many authors. For example in [1], [2], [4] the so-called  $\kappa$ -critical graphs are studied (i.e. such graphs  $G$  that  $\kappa(G) > \kappa(G - v)$ , for every  $v \in V(G)$ ),  $\kappa$ -edge-critical graphs (i.e. such graphs  $G$  that  $\kappa(G) > \kappa(G - x)$ , for every edge  $x$  of  $G$ );  $\lambda$ -critical graphs and  $\lambda$ -edge-critical graphs. We shall study a dual question to this one.

**Definition 1.** *Let  $G$  be a not complete graph and  $n$  a nonnegative integer. Then  $G$  is called  $\kappa_n$ -maximal, if  $\kappa(G) = n$  and  $\kappa(G + x) > \kappa(G)$  holds for every edge*

$x \in E(\bar{G})$ . Analogically  $G$  is called  $\lambda_n$ -maximal, if  $\lambda(G) = n$  and  $\lambda(G + x) > \lambda(G)$  holds for every edge  $x \in E(\bar{G})$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $G$  be a graph and  $n, r, s$  be natural numbers. Then  $G$  is

- a)  $\kappa_0$ -maximal if and only if  $G \simeq K_r \cup K_s$ ;
- b)  $\kappa_n$ -maximal if and only if  $G \simeq K_n + (K_r \cup K_s)$ .

Proof. One can easily verify that part a) holds.

b) Let  $G \simeq K_n + (K_r \cup K_s)$ . Let us denote  $V(G) = A \cup B \cup C$ , where  $A, B, C$  are mutually disjoint and  $A = V(K_n)$ ,  $B = V(K_r)$ ,  $C = V(K_s)$ . The graph  $G - A$  is not connected, hence  $\kappa(G) \leq n$ . It can be easily seen from the construction of  $G$  that between every two different vertices  $u, v$  there exist at least  $n$  vertex-disjoint paths. Especially, if  $u \in B, v \in C$ , then there exist exactly  $n$  vertex-disjoint paths. Thus according to Whitney's theorem (see [3], p. 48) we have  $\kappa(G) \geq n$  and hence  $\kappa(G) = n$ . Every edge not belonging to  $E(G)$  joins some vertex from  $B$  with one from  $C$ . One can verify that in the graph  $G + x$ , where  $x \in E(\bar{G})$  between any two different vertices  $u, v$ , there exist at least  $n + 1$  vertex-disjoint paths. Hence  $\kappa(G + x) \geq n + 1 > \kappa(G)$ . Thus the graph  $G$  is  $\kappa_n$ -maximal.

Let  $G$  be  $\kappa_n$ -maximal. Then there exists a set  $A$  of vertices of  $G$  such that  $|A| = n$  and the graph  $G - A$  consists of exactly two components that are complete graphs. Let them be  $K_r, K_s$ . From the  $\kappa$ -maximality of  $G$  it follows that  $G \simeq K_n + (K_r \cup K_s)$ . Thus the theorem holds.

**Remark 1.** For every graph  $G$  we have  $\kappa(G) \leq \lambda(G) \leq \delta(G)$ , see, e.g. [3], p. 43. Thus if we denote  $p = |V(G)|, q = |E(G)|$ , then we have  $q \geq \frac{P \cdot \kappa(G)}{2}$ ,

$q \geq \frac{P \cdot \lambda(G)}{2}$  and the equalities hold in every regular graph  $G$  of degree  $k = \kappa(G)$ . Now we shall prove some estimates for  $q, \delta(G), \Delta(G)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $G$  be a graph with  $p$  vertices,  $q$  edges. Let  $\kappa(G) = n$ . Then we have:

a)  $\delta(G) \leq \frac{p + n}{2} - 1$ , if the graph  $G$  is not complete.

b)  $\Delta(G) \leq p - 1$ .

c)  $q \leq \frac{(p - 1)(p - 2)}{2} + n$ .

Proof. If  $G \simeq K_p$ , then one can easily verify these assertions. If  $G$  is not a complete graph, then it can be completed to a  $\kappa_n$ -maximal graph  $Q$  by adding some edges from  $E(\bar{G})$ . It is clear that  $\delta(G) \leq \delta(Q), q(G) \leq q(Q)$

holds. According to Theorem 1 either  $n = 0$  and  $Q \simeq K_r \cup K_s$  or  $n > 0$  and  $Q \simeq K_n + (K_r \cup K_s)$ , where  $r, s$  are natural. Hence  $p = |V(Q)| = n + r + s$ . Directly from the construction of the graph  $Q$  it follows that  $\delta(Q) = \min(n + r - 1, n + s - 1)$ . If  $p, n$  are given, then the maximum of these minima is obtained for  $r = s$ . Then  $r = \frac{p - n}{2}$  and  $\delta(Q) \leq \frac{p + n}{2} - 1$ .

This estimation is reached for the graphs  $K_n + (K_r \cup K_r)$ , where  $r$  is a natural number.

One can verify that  $q(Q) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + \frac{s(s-1)}{2} + rn + sn = r^2 + nr - rp + \frac{p^2}{2} - \frac{p}{2} = f(r)$ , where  $1 \leq r \leq p - n - 1$  and the number  $s$  was substituted by  $p - n - r$ . The maximum of the function  $f(r)$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq p - n - 1$  is equal to  $\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2} + n$ . Hence  $q(G) \leq \frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2} + n$ . It is clear that  $\Delta(G) \leq p - 1$ . These two estimations are reached in graphs  $K_n + (K_1 \cup K_{p-n-1})$ . Hence the theorem holds.

Let the symbol  $\mathcal{A}(m, r, s)$ , where  $m + 2 \leq r + s$ , denote the class of graphs that arose from the graph  $K_r \cup K_s$  by adding  $m$  new edges.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $m, r, s$  be natural numbers. Then a graph  $G$  is:*

- a)  $\lambda_0$ -maximal if and only if  $G \simeq K_r \cup K_s$ ;
- b)  $\lambda_m$ -maximal if and only if it can be obtained from the graph  $K_r \cup K_s$  by adding  $m$  edges, whereby either  $r = 1, s \geq m + 1$ , or  $r \geq m + 2, s \geq m + 2$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that part a) holds.

b) It can be verified that if either  $G \in \mathcal{A}(m, 1, m + s)$  or  $G \in \mathcal{A}(m, m + 1 + r, m + 1 + s)$ , then  $G$  is  $\lambda_m$ -maximal.

Let  $G$  be  $\lambda_m$ -maximal. Then  $m = \lambda(G) \leq \delta(G)$  holds, see [3]. Then  $G$  contains at most two vertices of the degree  $m$ , because it is a  $\lambda_m$ -maximal graph. If the degree of the vertex  $u$ ,  $\deg(u) = m$ , then every edge of the graph  $G$  is incident with vertex  $u$ , because in the reverse case the graph  $G$  would not be  $\lambda_m$ -maximal.

If  $\deg(a) = \deg(b) = m$ , for  $a, b \in V(G)$ ,  $a \neq b$ , then the graph  $G$  contains the only edge  $(a, b)$ . Hence  $G$  is isomorphic to the graph  $K_{m+2} - x$ , where  $x$  is any edge and then  $G \in \mathcal{A}(m, 1, m + 1)$ . If  $\deg(a) = m$  and  $\deg(u) > m$  for every  $u \in V(G) - \{a\}$ , then the graph  $G$  contains only edges  $(a, x)$  for some vertices  $x$ . Thus we can write  $G \in \mathcal{A}(m, 1, m + 1 + s)$ , where  $s$  is a natural number.

In the graph  $G$  there exists a set of edges  $\Phi$  of the cardinality  $m$ , such that the graph  $G - \Phi$  is not connected. The graph  $G - \Phi$  consists of two complete components, because  $G$  is maximal. If  $\deg(u) > m$ , for every  $u \in V(G)$ , then each component of the graph  $G - \Phi$  has at least  $m + 2$  vertices. Hence  $G \in \mathcal{A}(m, m + 1 + r, m + 1 + r)$ , where  $r, s$  are natural. Q.E.D.

By using this theorem we prove the following inequalities.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $p$  vertices,  $q$  edges and let  $\lambda(G) = m$ . Then we have:*

- a)  $\delta(G) \leq \begin{cases} m, & \text{if } m + 1 \leq p \leq 2m + 3, m \neq 0; \\ \lfloor p/2 \rfloor - 1, & \text{if either } m = 0, \text{ or } m \neq 0, p \geq 2m + 4; \end{cases}$
- b)  $\Delta(G) \leq p - 1;$
- c)  $q \leq \frac{(p - 1)(p - 2)}{2} + m.$

**Proof.** It is clear that part b) holds and if  $G \in \mathcal{A}(m, 1, m + 1 + r)$ , then  $\Delta(G) = p - 1$ .

a) If  $\lambda(G) = m$ , then  $p \geq m + 1$ . If  $m = 0$ , then  $\delta(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1$ . Let  $m \geq 1$ . If  $G = K_p$ , then  $\delta(G) = m = p - 1$ . If  $G$  is not complete, it can be completed to a  $\lambda_m$ -maximal graph  $Q$  by adding some new edges, whereby  $\delta(G) \leq \delta(Q)$ .

If  $m + 2 \leq p \leq 2m + 3$ , then according to Theorem 3  $G \in \mathcal{A}(m, 1, p - 1)$  so that  $\delta(Q) = m$ . If  $p \geq 2m + 4$ , then by Theorem 3 either  $Q \in \mathcal{A}(m, 1, p - 1)$  and then  $\delta(Q) = m$ , or  $Q \in \mathcal{A}(m, m + 1 + r, m + 1 + s)$  for  $r \geq 1, s \geq 1, p = 2m + 2 + r + s$ . Then it can be seen that  $\delta(Q) = \min(m + r, m + s) \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1$ . Hence the part a) holds.

c) If  $\lambda(G) = m$ , then after removing certain  $m$  edges, a graph with at least two components will arise. Let  $r$  be the number of vertices of some of them.

Then  $1 \leq r \leq p - 1$  and moreover  $q(G) \leq \frac{r(r - 1)}{2} + \frac{(p - r)(p - r - 1)}{2} + m = r^2 - rp + \frac{p^2 - p}{2} + m \leq \frac{(p - 1)(p - 2)}{2} + m$ . This estimation is obtained in the graphs from  $\mathcal{A}(m, 1, m + s)$ , where  $s$  is natural. Q.E.D.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $r, s$  be natural and  $n$  a non-negative integer. Then a graph  $G$  is  $\kappa_n$ -maximal and  $\lambda_n$ -maximal if and only if*

- a)  $G \simeq K_r \cup K_s$ , for  $n = 0$   
 b)  $G \simeq K_n + (K_1 \cup K_r)$ , for  $n > 0$

The proof follows immediately from the structure of  $\kappa_n$ , ( $\lambda_n$ ) maximal graphs, given in Theorem 1 (or Theorem 3, respectively).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] CHARTRAND, G.— KAUGARS, A. — LICK, D. R.: Critically  $n$ -connected graphs. Proc. Amer. math. Soc. 32, 1972, No. 1, 63—69.  
 [2] HALIN, R.: Studies on minimally  $n$ -connected graphs. In: Combinatorial Mathematics and its Applications. Proc. Conf. Oxford, 1969. Academic Press 1971, 129—136.  
 [3] HARARY, F.: Graph theory. Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1969.  
 [4] LICK, D. R.: Critically and minimally  $n$ -connected graphs. In: The Many Facets of Graph Theory. Proc. Conf. Kalamazzo, 1968. Springer, Berlin 1969, 199—205.  
 [5] TUTTE, W. T.: Connectivity in graphs. Univ. Press, Toronto 1967.

Received May 7, 1973

*II. Neurologická klinika  
 Laboratorium EEG  
 809 46 Bratislava*