

Imrich Fabrici

Classes of Regularity in Semigroups

Matematický časopis, Vol. 19 (1969), No. 4, 299--302,303--304

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126657>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1969

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

CLASSES OF REGULARITY IN SEMIGROUPS

IMRICH FABRICI, Bratislava

R. Croisot introduced in [1] the following condition in semigroups: An element a of a semigroup S satisfies the *Condition* (m, n) if there exists an element $x \in S$ such that

$$a = a^m x a^n,$$

where m, n are non-negative integers and a^0 means the void symbol. The set of all elements satisfying the Condition (m, n) is called a *class of regularity* and will be denoted by $\mathcal{R}_S(m, n)$.

First we state some known relations concerning the classes of regularity (see [2]):

(a) $\mathcal{R}_S(0, 0) = S$.

(b) If $m_1 \geq m_2$ and $n_1 \geq n_2$, then

$$\mathcal{R}_S(m_1, n_1) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_S(m_2, n_2).$$

(c) If $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq 2$, then for any n we have:

$$\mathcal{R}_S(m_1, n) = \mathcal{R}_S(m_2, n).$$

(d) If $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq 2$, then for any m we have:

$$\mathcal{R}_S(m, n_1) = \mathcal{R}_S(m, n_2).$$

(e) $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 2) = \mathcal{R}_S(1, 1) \cap \mathcal{R}_S(0, 2)$.

(f) $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 1) = \mathcal{R}_S(1, 1) \cap \mathcal{R}_S(2, 0)$.

These relations imply that there exist at most nine distinct classes of regularity $\mathcal{R}_S(m, n)$, $0 \leq m \leq 2$, $0 \leq n \leq 2$, connected by relation (b).

There are at most five distinct classes of regularity in commutative semigroups. In these semigroups classes of regularity for which the sum of numbers m, n is equal, coincide. Moreover, in a commutative semigroup S all non-empty classes of regularity are subsemigroups of S . The situation in non-commutative semigroups is different. In these semigroups non-empty classes of regularity are not necessarily subsemigroups.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate some sufficient conditions for classes of regularity to be subsemigroups of a given semigroup.

A left (right) ideal $L(R)$ of a semigroup S is called *complete* if $SL = L$ ($RS = R$).

A left ideal L of a semigroup S is called *semiprime* if for every element $a \in S$ and an arbitrary integer n the relation $a^n \in L$ implies $a \in L$.

It may occur in some semigroups that some classes of regularity are empty sets. First we state relatively simple conditions for classes of regularity to be non-empty sets.

Theorem 1. $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 0)$ ($\mathcal{R}_S(0, 1)$) is non-empty if and only if at least one of the right (left) principal ideals generated by an element of the semigroup S is complete.

Proof. (a) Let $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 0) \neq \emptyset$. Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_S(1, 0)$. The right principal ideal generated by a we denote by $(a)_R = a \cup aS$. Then we have: $(a \cup aS)S = aS \cup aS^2 = aS = a \cup aS$, since $a \in aS$. But it means that $(a)_R$ is a complete ideal.

(b) Let the right principal ideal generated by an element a be complete. Therefore, $(a \cup aS)S = aS \cup aS^2 = aS = a \cup aS$. But the last relation implies that $a \in aS$, and it means that $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 0) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2. If at least one principal right (left) ideal generated by a square of an element of a semigroup S is semiprime, then $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 0)$ ($\mathcal{R}_S(0, 2)$) is non-empty.

Proof. Let a right principal ideal generated by the element a^2 be semiprime. Therefore, $a^2 \in (a^2)_R$ implies that $a \in (a^2)_R$ hence $a \in (a^2 \cup a^2S)$. But the last relation implies that either $a = a^2$, or $a \in a^2S$ and in both cases we obtain that $a \in \mathcal{R}_S(2, 0)$.

Theorem 3. The class of regularity $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1)$ ($\mathcal{R}_S(2, 1)$, $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 2)$, $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 2)$) is a non-empty set if and only if the semigroup S contains at least one idempotent.

Proof. (a) If $a = axa$ ($a = a^2xa$, $a = axa^2$, $a = a^2xa^2$) then $ax(a^2x, xa^2, a^2xa)$ is an idempotent of S .

(b) The statement is evident.

Remark 1. It is easy to prove that if S is a semigroup then $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 0)$ is a left ideal of S , or $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 0) = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{R}_S(0, 1)$ is a right ideal of S or $\mathcal{R}_S(0, 1) = \emptyset$.

It can occur that some of the sets $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 0)$ and $\mathcal{R}_S(0, 1)$ coincides with the semigroup S . We state here one such case.

A semigroup S is called *left (right) simple*, if S contains no left (right) ideal different from S . A semigroup S with zero 0 is called *left (right) 0-simple* if $S^2 \neq 0$ and if 0 is the unique proper left (right) ideal of S .

In [3] it is proved that a semigroup $S(S \neq 0)$ is left simple (left 0-simple) if and only if for every $a \in S(a \neq 0, a \in S)$ we have $Sa = S$.

Remark 2. We can prove easily that if a semigroup S is left simple or left 0-simple, then $S = \mathcal{R}_S(0, 1)$.

A simple example can be used in order to show that the preceding condition is only a necessary but not a sufficient one.

Now we show some sufficient conditions in order that other classes of regularity be subsemigroups.

Theorem 4. *Let S be a semigroup, $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1) \neq \emptyset$ and let one of the following conditions be fulfilled:*

- (a) *The product of any two elements of $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1)$ is an idempotent.*
- (b) *$\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1) = \mathcal{R}_S(1, 0) \cap \mathcal{R}_S(0, 1)$.*
- (c) *The set of all idempotents of S is a subsemigroup of S .*
- (d) *Any two idempotents of S commute. Then $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1)$ is a subsemigroup of S and in the case of (d) $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1)$ is an inverse subsemigroup or S .*

Proof. (a) The statement is evident.

(b) The statement follows from Remark 1.

(c) Let $a, b \in \mathcal{R}_S(1, 1)$. Therefore $a = axa$, $b = byb$ for some $x, y \in S$. It is easy to prove that ax, xa, by, yb are idempotents of S . Then: $ab = (axa)(byb) = a(xa)(by)b$. According to the assumption the product of two idempotents is an idempotent too therefore $(xa)(by)$ is an idempotent. Hence we have:

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= a(xa)(by) b = a(xaby) b = a(xaby)^2 b = \\ &= a(xaby)(xaby) b = (axa)(by)(xa)(byb) = \\ &= ab(yx) ab = ab \cdot z \cdot ab, \text{ where } z = yx \in S. \end{aligned}$$

(d) Let e_1, e_2 be idempotents of S such that $e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 \cdot e_1$. Then $(e_1 \cdot e_2)(e_1 \cdot e_2) = e_1(e_2 \cdot e_1) e_2 = e_1(e_1 \cdot e_2) e_2 = (e_1 \cdot e_1)(e_2 \cdot e_2) = e_1 \cdot e_2$. It follows that the condition (c) is fulfilled and therefore $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1)$ is a subsemigroup of S . From [3] it is known that a semigroup S is inverse if all elements of S are regular and if any two idempotents of S commute. But $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1)$ consists only of regular elements of S , and according to the assumption any two idempotents of S commute, hence (c) implies that $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1)$ is a subsemigroup of S .

Corollary. *If a semigroup S contains only one idempotent, then $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1)$ is an inverse subsemigroup of S .*

The following examples of semigroups show that the conditions (b), (d) are not necessary ones

Example 1 Let $S = \{a, b, c, d\}$ be a semigroup with the multiplication table:

	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>
<i>b</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>
<i>c</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>
<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>

$\mathcal{R}_S(1, 0) = \mathcal{R}_S(0, 1) = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1) = \{a, b, d\}$, but $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1)$ is a sub-semigroup.

Example 2. Let $S = \{a, b, c, d\}$ be a semigroup with the multiplication table:

	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>
<i>b</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>
<i>c</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
<i>d</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>d</i>

$\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1) = \{a, c, d\}$ is a subsemigroup, $a^2 = a$, $d^2 = d$, but $ad = a$, $da = d$.

Remark 3. Elements of $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1)$ have one-sided identities of the form: ax, xa . Elements of $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 0)$ have right identities of the form ax . But we cannot assert that all one-sided identities of elements of $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1)$, $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 0)$ and $\mathcal{R}_S(0, 2)$ have such a form.

Example 3. Let $S = \{a, b, c, d\}$ be a semigroup with the following multiplication table:

	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
<i>b</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
<i>c</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>
<i>d</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>c</i>

$\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1) = \{a, c, d\}$. $c = cxc$ for the unique element $x = d$, $dc = cd = a$. The element dc is a right (and also a left) identity of the element c , but for the element b we have moreover: $cb = c$.

Left (right) identities of elements of $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1)$ are called left (right) regular identities. But for one-sided identities of elements of $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 0)$ and $\mathcal{R}_S(0, 2)$ no special name is used. Therefore, for our need we introduce:

Definition 1. *Left identities of an element $a \in \mathcal{R}_S(0, 2)$ of the form xa and right identities of an element $a \in \mathcal{R}_S(2, 0)$ of the form ax are called local left identities, and local right identities respectively, or shortly local one-sided identities*

Theorem 5. *Let S be a semigroup, $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 0) \neq \emptyset$ and let any of the following conditions be fulfilled:*

- (a) The product of any two elements of $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 0)$ is an idempotent
 (b) The product of local right identities of the elements $a, b \in \mathcal{R}_S(2, 0)$ is a right identity of the element ab
 (c) Every local right identity of any element of $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 0)$ belongs to the centre Z of the semigroup S . Then $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 0)$ is a subsemigroup of S .

Proof. (a) The statement is evident.

(b) Let $a, b \in \mathcal{R}_S(2, 0)$, therefore $a = a^2x$, $b = b^2y$, and $x, y \in S$. Then $a = a(ax)$, $b = b(by)$. According to the assumption we have $ab = ab(ax)(by)$, $ba = ba(by)(ax)$. Then $ab = (ab)(ax)(by) = a(ba)(xby) = a[ba(by)(ax)](xby) = (ab)(ab)(yax)(xby) = (ab)^2(yax)(xby) = (ab)^2z$, where $z = (yax)(xby) \in S$.

(c) We shall show that (c) implies (b) Let $a, b \in \mathcal{R}_S(2, 0)$. Then $ab = a(ax)b(by) = a(axb)(by) = a(bax)(by) = (ab)(axy)$. Hence the proof follows from (b). Analogously we can prove

Theorem 5'. Let S be a semigroup, $\mathcal{R}_S(0, 2) \neq \emptyset$ and any of the following conditions be fulfilled:

- (a) The product of any two elements of $\mathcal{R}_S(0, 2)$ is an idempotent.
 (b) The product of local left identities of the elements $a, b \in \mathcal{R}_S(0, 2)$ is a left identity of the element ab .
 (c) Every local right identity of any element of $\mathcal{R}_S(0, 2)$ belongs to the centre Z of the semigroup S . Then $\mathcal{R}_S(0, 2)$ is a subsemigroup of S .

Lemma 1. $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 2) = \mathcal{R}_S(2, 1) \cap \mathcal{R}_S(1, 2)$.

Proof. (a) From p. 299, (b) we have $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 2) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_S(2, 1)$, $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 2) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_S(1, 2)$, therefore $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 2) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_S(2, 1) \cap \mathcal{R}_S(1, 2)$.

(b) Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_S(2, 1) \cap \mathcal{R}_S(1, 2)$, hence $a = a^2xa$, $a = aya^2$. Then $a = a^2xa = a^2xaya^2 = a^2(xay)a^2 = a^2za^2$, where $z = xay \in S$ and it follows that $a \in \mathcal{R}_S(2, 2)$.

Theorem 6. Let $E \subseteq Z$, where E is the set of all idempotents and Z is the centre of a semigroup S . Then each of classes of regularity $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1)$, $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 1)$, $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 2)$, and $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 2)$ is a subsemigroup of S , or an empty set.

Proof. The statement that $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 1)$ is a subsemigroup of S under our assumption follows from Theorem 4, (d).

Let now $a, b \in \mathcal{R}_S(2, 1)$, therefore $a = a^2xa$, $b = b^2yb$, for some $x, y \in S$. It is easy to prove that the elements a^2x , b^2y are idempotents of S . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= (a^2xa)(b^2yb) = (a^2x)a(b^2y)b = (a^2x)(b^2y)(ab) = a(ax)b(by)(ab) = \\ &= (a^2xa)(ax)(b^2yb)(by)(ab) = a(a^2x)(axb)(b^2y)(by)(ab) = \\ &= a(a^2x)(ax)(b^2y)(b^2y)(ab) = a(b^2y)(a^2x)(ax)(b^2y)(ab) = \\ &= (ab)(by)(a^2x)(ax)(b^2y)(ab) = (ab)(a^2x)(by)(ax)(b^2y)(ab) = \\ &= (ab)(a^2x)(b^2y)(by)(ax)(b^2y)(ab) = (ab)a(ax)(b^2y)(by)(ax)(ab) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (ab) a(b^2y) (ax) (by) (ax) (ab) = (ab) (ab) (by) (ax) (by) (ax) (ab) = \\
&= (ab)^2 z(ab), \text{ where } z = (by) (ax) (by) (ax) \in S. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously we can prove the statement that $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 2)$ is a subsemigroup and the statement, concerning $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 2)$ follows from Lemma 1.

Remark 4. From [2] (pp. 139, 424) it is known that an element $a \in S$ is totally regular if and only if a belongs to some subgroup of the semigroup S . Moreover, S is totally regular if and only if $S = \mathcal{R}_S(2, 2)$. From the above we have:

Corollary. Let $\emptyset \neq E \subseteq Z$. Then the union of all subgroups of the semigroup of S is a subsemigroup of S .

Remark 5. Other conditions for the classes of regularity $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 1)$, $\mathcal{R}_S(1, 2)$ and $\mathcal{R}_S(2, 2)$ to be subsemigroups of S can be obtained by means of statements (e) (f) quoted in the introduction and Lemma 1, by combining the conditions of Theorem 4 with the conditions of Theorem 5 and Theorem 5', respectively.

REFERENCES

- [1] Croisot R., *Demi-groupes inversifs et demi-groupes réunions de demi-groupes simples*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. 70 (1953), 361—379.
- [2] Ляпин Е. С., *Полугруппы*, ГИФ-МЛ, Москва 1960.
- [3] Clifford A. H., Preston G. B., *The algebraic theory of semigroups I.*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1961.
- [4] Fabrici I., *On complete ideals in semigroups*, Mat. časop. 18 (1968), 34—39.

Received October 23, 1967.

*Katedra matematiky
 Chemickotechnologickej fakulty
 Slovenskej vysokej školy technickej,
 Bratislava*