

Valter Šeda

On a Boundary Value Problem for a Nonlinear Second-Order Differential Equation

Matematický časopis, Vol. 22 (1972), No. 3, 231--234

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126519>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1972

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON A BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR A NONLINEAR SECOND-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

VALTER ŠEDA, Bratislava

It is shown in the paper by means of Stone's theorem that an assumption concerning the Lipschitz continuity in a theorem on the existence of a solution of a boundary value problem for a nonlinear second order differential equation can be dropped out.

In papers [1], Theorem 6.3, and [2], Theorem 4.18 the following theorem has been proved.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $a < b$ are two real numbers, $f = f(x, y, z)$ is continuous on $E = \langle a, b \rangle \times R^2$ and is such that*

p) f is nondecreasing in y on E for fixed x, z ;

q) there is a constant $k > 0$ such that $|f(x, 0, z) - f(x, 0, 0)| \leq k|z|$ on $\langle a, b \rangle$ for all z ;

r) f satisfies a Lipschitz condition with respect to z on each compact subset of E .

Then the boundary value problem

$$(1) \quad y'' = f(x, y, y'), \quad y(a) = y(b) = 0$$

has a unique solution $y(x) \in C_2(\langle a, b \rangle)$. Furthermore, on $\langle a, b \rangle$

$$(2) \quad |y(x)| \leq \frac{M}{k^2} [e^{k(b-a)} - e^{1/2k(b-a)} - \frac{1}{2}k(b-a)]$$

and

$$|y'(x)| \leq \frac{M}{k} [e^{k(b-a)} - 1],$$

where $M = \max_{x \in \langle a, b \rangle} |f(x, 0, 0)|$.

We are going to show that the condition *r)* can be removed and Theorem 1 still remains valid. To that aim we shall need Stone's theorem in the formulation given in paper [3]. We shall also keep the notations from that paper.

Stone's theorem. Let M be a compact set (in a metric space), $f \in C_0(M)$ and let A be a lattice of continuous functions on M with the following property.

(a) For every pair $x, y, x \neq y$ of points of M , there exists a function $g \in A$ such that $g(x) = f(x), g(y) = f(y)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of functions $f_n \in A$ which uniformly converges to f on M .

By means of that theorem we shall prove Lemma 1.

Lemma 1. Suppose that $a < b$ are two real numbers, $f = f(x, y, z)$ is continuous on E and satisfies the conditions p) and q). Then there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of functions $f_n \in C_0(E)$ satisfying the conditions p) q) and r) which uniformly converges to f on each compact subset of E .

Proof. For each natural m , let $N_m = \langle a, b \rangle \times \langle -m, m \rangle \times \langle -m, m \rangle$. Fix an arbitrary N_m . Let B be the set of all functions $g \in C_0(E)$ satisfying the conditions p), q) and r). $g_1(x, y, z) \equiv y + z \in B$, hence $B \neq \emptyset$. When considering the restriction of the functions $g \in B$ on N_m , we shall show that B is a lattice of continuous functions on N_m having the property (a). Hence, by Stone's theorem, this will guarantee that there is a function $f_m \in B$ such that $|f(x, y, z) - f_m(x, y, z)| < 1/m$ for $(x, y, z) \in N_m$. Then $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ will possess all the required properties.

Let us first prove that B is a lattice of continuous functions. Since the set of all Lipschitz continuous functions forms a lattice of continuous functions ([3], remark b.), $\max(g_1, g_2)$ and $\min(g_1, g_2)$ show the property r) whenever g_1, g_2 do so. As to the property p), if $g_1, g_2 \in B, (x, y_1, z) \in N_m, (x, y_2, z) \in N_m$ and $g_i(x, y_k, z) = g_{ik}, i, k = 1, 2$, then in the case when $g_{11} \leq g_{21}, g_{12} \geq g_{22}$

$$\min(g_{12}, g_{22}) = g_{22} \geq g_{21} \geq \min(g_{11}, g_{21})$$

and

$$\max(g_{11}, g_{21}) = g_{21} \leq g_{22} \leq \max(g_{12}, g_{22}).$$

The same result will be obtained in the other cases. Thus with $g_1, g_2 \in B$ also $\min(g_1, g_2), \max(g_1, g_2)$ possess the property p). Now to prove q). Fix an $x \in \langle a, b \rangle$ and denote $g_i(x, 0, z) = g_{iz}, g_i(x, 0, 0) = g_{i0}, i = 1, 2$. If $g_{10} = g_{20}$, the proof is trivial. Suppose, next, $g_{10} < g_{20}$. By q) we have $g_{i0} - kz \leq g_{iz} \leq g_{i0} + kz$. When $g_{2z} > g_{10} + kz$, then $\min(g_{1z}, g_{2z}) = g_{1z}$ and

$$(3) \quad \min(g_{1z}, g_{2z}) \leq \min(g_{10}, g_{20}) + kz.$$

When $g_{2z} \leq g_{10} + kz$, then (3) is true again. Since $g_{20} - kz > g_{10} - kz$, both $g_{iz} \geq g_{10} - kz$ and thus $\min(g_{10}, g_{20}) - kz \leq \min(g_{1z}, g_{2z})$. Similar results can be obtained in the case when $g_{10} > g_{20}$ and for $\max(g_{1z}, g_{2z})$. Thus $\min(g_1, g_2) \in B, \max(g_1, g_2) \in B$.

It remains to prove that B satisfies the condition (a). Consider the functions h defined on E by

$$(4) \quad h(x, y, z) = \varphi(x) + \omega(z)\psi(y) + \chi(z)$$

where $\varphi(0) = \chi(0) = 0$, $\varphi \in C_0(\langle a, b \rangle)$, $\psi \in C_0((-\infty, \infty))$ and is nondecreasing while ω and χ are defined in $(-\infty, \infty)$, $\omega \geq 0$, both of them satisfy a Lipschitz condition on each compact interval and $|\chi(z)| \leq k|z|$ for each z . Clearly each $h \in B$.

Let $(x_i, y_i, z_i) \in N_m$, $(x_1, y_1, z_1) \neq (x_2, y_2, z_2)$ and denote $f(x_i, y_i, z_i) = c_i$, $i = 1, 2$. We shall show that there is a function h given by (4) which satisfies the conditions

$$(5) \quad \varphi(x_i) + \omega(z_i)\psi(y_i) + \chi(z_i) = c_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

If the constants $\varphi(x_i)$, $\omega(z_i)$, $\psi(y_i)$, $\chi(z_i) = c_i - \varphi(x_i) - \omega(z_i)\psi(y_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are such that $\omega(z_i) \geq 0$, $|c_i - \varphi(x_i) - \omega(z_i)\psi(y_i)| \leq k|z_i|$ or $(c_i - \varphi(x_i) - k|z_i|)/\omega(z_i) \leq \psi(y_i) \leq (c_i - \varphi(x_i) + k|z_i|)/\omega(z_i)$ and $\psi(y_i) \leq \psi(y_k)$ if $y_i \leq y_k$, $i, k = 1, 2, 3$, where $y_3 = 0$, $\psi(0) = 0$, then they form an admissible solution of the system (5), from which the functions φ , ψ , χ and ω with the above mentioned properties can be constructed by linear intra- and extrapolation. Hence B satisfies the condition (a).

If $x_1 \neq x_2$, we choose $\varphi(x_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $|c_i - \varphi(x_i)| \leq k|z_i|$. Then $\varphi(x_i)$, $\omega(z_i) = 1$, $\psi(y_i) = 0$, $\chi(z_i) = c_i - \varphi(x_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, gives an admissible solution of (5).

In the case $x_1 = x_2$, $z_1 = z_2$, by the property p) of f , $y_i \leq y_k$ implies $c_i \leq c_k$, $i, k = 1, 2$. Then by putting $\omega(z_i) = 1$ and choosing $\varphi(x_i)$ properly, we can obtain that $\psi(y_i) \leq \psi(y_k)$ is satisfied for $y_i \leq y_k$ also if $i, k = 1, 2, 3$.

The case $x_1 = x_2$, $z_1 \neq z_2$ remains to be investigated. Here some subcases are possible: 1. If $\text{sgn } y_1 = \text{sgn } y_2$, then by a suitable choice of $\varphi(x_i)$ we can get $\text{sgn } \psi(y_1) = \text{sgn } \psi(y_2) = \text{sgn } y_i$ and then, by taking $\omega(z_i)$ properly we get that $y_i \leq y_k$ implies $\psi(y_i) \leq \psi(y_k)$ even for $i, k = 1, 2, 3$. 2. Consider now the case $\text{sgn } y_1 \neq \text{sgn } y_2$, e. g. $y_1 \leq 0 \leq y_2$. Then again, if $c_1 \leq 0 \leq c_2$ or $c_1 c_2 \geq 0$, everything can be properly arranged. In the case $c_1 > 0 > c_2$ we must have that $s = \langle c_1 - k|z_1|, c_1 + k|z_1| \rangle \cap \langle c_2 - k|z_2|, c_2 + k|z_2| \rangle \neq \emptyset$. If not, then by p) $f(x_1, 0, z_1) \geq c_1$ and so by q) we would have $f(x_1, 0, 0) \geq c_1 - k|z_1|$ and at the same time $f(x_1, 0, z_2) \leq c_2$ and thus, $f(x_1, 0, 0) \leq c_2 + k|z_2|$. Since $s \neq \emptyset$, we also get by a suitable choice of $\varphi(x_i)$ and $\omega(z_i) \geq 0$ that $\psi(y_i)$ satisfies the required conditions.

From Theorem 1, by Lemma 1, we shall prove

Theorem 2. *If all hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied except the condition r), then the boundary value problem (1) has at least one solution $y(x) \in C_2(\langle a, b \rangle)$ satisfying the inequalities (2) where M and k are as in Theorem 1.*

Proof. By Lemma 1, there is a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of functions $f_n \in C_0(E)$ satisfying the conditions p), q), and r) which uniformly converges to f on each

compact subset of E . Theorem 1 gives the existence of a sequence $\{y_n\}$ of the solutions of the boundary value problem

$$(6) \quad y'' = f_n(x, y, y'), \quad y(a) = y(b) = 0.$$

Each y_n satisfies the inequalities (2) where instead of M the constant $M_n = \max_{x \in \langle a, b \rangle} |f_n(x, 0, 0)|$ appears. Therefore the set of all points $(x, y_n(x), y'_n(x))$, $a \leq x \leq b$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, lies in a compact subset of E . Hence the sequence of y''_n is uniformly bounded and thus both sequences $\{y_n\}$, $\{y'_n\}$ satisfy the hypotheses of Ascoli's Lemma. Therefore there is a subsequence $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ which is uniformly convergent, together with $\{y'_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ to a function y and its derivative y' , respectively. From (6) it follows that y''_{n_k} converge uniformly to y'' and y satisfies the conditions (1) and (2).

REFERENCES

- [1] BEBERNES, J. W.: A subfunction approach to boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations. *Pacif. J. Math.*, 13, 1963, 1053–1066.
- [2] JACKSON, L. K.: Subfunctions and differential inequalities. *Advances in Math.*, 2, 1968, 307–363.
- [3] ŠEDA, V.: On an application of Stone's theorem in the theory of differential equations. *Časop. pěstov. mat.* (to appear).

Received September 10, 1970

*Katedra matematickej analýzy
Prírodovedeckej fakulty
Univerzity Komenského*