

Lajos Molnár

Locally inner derivations of standard operator algebras

*Mathematica Bohemica*, Vol. 121 (1996), No. 1, 1–7

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125942>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

S-A 1182/121. 1996.

LOCALLY INNER DERIVATIONS  
OF STANDARD OPERATOR ALGEBRAS



LAJOS MOLNÁR, Debrecen

(Received April 14, 1994)

*Summary.* It is proved that every locally inner derivation on a symmetric norm ideal of operators is an inner derivation.

*Keywords:* Local derivation, locally inner derivation, standard operator algebra, symmetric norm ideal

*AMS classification:* 46L40, 47B47; 47D25

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a complex Banach space, let  $B(X)$  denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $X$  and suppose that  $\mathcal{A} \subset B(X)$  is a subalgebra.

The linear operator  $\delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(X)$  is called a derivation if

$$\delta(TS) = T\delta(S) + \delta(T)S \quad (T, S \in \mathcal{A}).$$

If there is an  $S \in \mathcal{A}$  for which  $\delta(T) = TS - ST$  ( $T \in \mathcal{A}$ ), then we say that  $\delta$  is an inner derivation.

There are two different types of operator algebras on which derivations are extensively studied. Namely, standard operator algebras, which are subalgebras of  $B(X)$  containing the ideal  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  of finite rank operators, and von Neumann algebras. From their vast literature we refer only to [1, 2, 5-9] which are in closer relation to our present considerations. The main result concerning the structure of derivations on a

---

Research partially supported by the Hungarian National Research Science Foundation, Operating Grant Number OTKA 1652

standard operator algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  states that every derivation  $\delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$  is spatially implemented, i.e. it is of the form  $\delta(T) = TA - AT$  with a fixed  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  [2, 9]. Moreover, there is a classical theorem due to Sakai [7] stating that every derivation on a von Neumann algebra into itself is inner.

The important concept of local derivations was introduced by Larson, Sourour and Kadison [6, 5] as follows. A linear operator  $\theta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$  is said to be a local derivation if for every  $T \in \mathcal{A}$  there exists a derivation  $\delta_T: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$  such that

$$\theta(T) = \delta_T(T).$$

It was proved in [6, Theorem 1.2] that in the case  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(X)$ , every local derivation is a derivation (cf. [1]). This statement can be easily extended to the case when  $\mathcal{A}$  is an arbitrary standard operator algebra. Indeed, if  $I \in \mathcal{A}$ , then this follows from the proof of [6, Theorem 1.2]. Otherwise consider the local derivation  $T + \lambda I \mapsto \theta(T)$  on the algebra  $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}I$ .

In [5] Kadison studied similar questions for von Neumann algebras. He proved that every norm-continuous local derivation on a von Neumann algebra into itself is a derivation. Since the derivations of a von Neumann algebra are inner, one can reformulate this theorem in the following way. Every norm-continuous locally inner derivation on a von Neumann algebra into itself is an inner derivation. Here, a linear mapping  $\theta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$  defined on the subalgebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(X)$  is called a locally inner derivation if for every  $T \in \mathcal{A}$  there exists an  $S \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\theta(T) = TS - ST.$$

Our aim is to treat this statement for standard operator algebras.

Throughout this paper  $H$  stands for a separable infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space. We shall need some concepts and theorems from the ideal theory of Calkin and Schatten that are summed up below (e.g. [10]).

Every non-trivial ideal of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  is self-adjoint, contains the ideal  $\mathcal{F}(H)$  and is contained in the ideal of compact operators.

For a sequence  $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of complex numbers converging to 0,  $a^*$  is the sequence of the absolute values of  $a_n$ 's arranged in decreasing order with the appropriate multiplicities. A Calkin space  $s$  is a subspace of  $c_0$  with the property that  $a \in s$ ,  $b \in c_0$  and  $b_n^* \leq a_n^*$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) imply  $b \in s$ . Now, Calkin's theorem reads as follows (cf. [10, Theorem 2.5]).

If  $\mathcal{I}$  is a proper ideal in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a fixed orthonormal system in  $H$ , then

$$s(\mathcal{I}) = \left\{ a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varphi_n \otimes \varphi_n \in \mathcal{I} \right\}$$

is a Calkin space.

If  $s$  is a Calkin space, then the family  $\mathcal{I}(s)$  of compact operators whose decreasing sequences of singular values (extended with 0's in the finite case) belong to  $s$  is a proper ideal of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ .

Moreover, we have  $\mathcal{I}(s(\mathcal{I})) = \mathcal{I}$  and  $s(\mathcal{I}(s)) = s$ .

Let  $f$  denote the subspace of  $c_0$  consisting of all sequences with finitely many non-zero elements. A norm  $\Phi$  on  $f$  is called symmetric if  $\Phi(a) = \Phi(a^*)$  for every  $a \in f$ . Let

$$s_\Phi = \{a \in c_0 : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(a_1, \dots, a_n, 0, 0, \dots) \text{ exists and is finite}\}.$$

Then  $s_\Phi$  is a Calkin space onto which  $\Phi$  can be extended as a Banach space norm in a natural way. The property of  $s_\Phi$  that is most important for us can be found in [10, Theorem 1.16].

If  $(\alpha_{nm})_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a doubly substochastic matrix (i.e.  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{nk}| \leq 1$ ,  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{lm}| \leq 1$  ( $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ )), then  $(\alpha \alpha^T)^T \in s_\Phi$  for every  $a \in s_\Phi$ .

The ideal  $\mathcal{I}(s_\Phi)$  is called a symmetric norm ideal on which there is a Banach space norm that is in a natural relation with  $\Phi$ . Note that  $\mathcal{F}(H) = \mathcal{I}(s_\Phi)$  cannot occur, which follows from the fact that there is no Banach space norm on  $f$ . The standard examples of symmetric norm ideals are the Schatten-von Neumann  $p$ -classes of compact operators.

#### MAIN RESULTS

In the proofs of our results we shall need the following observation. Let  $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a c.o.n.s. (complete orthonormal system) in  $H$ . Suppose that  $(\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a bounded sequence of non-zero complex numbers and  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell_\infty$ . If

$$A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n e_n \otimes e_n \quad \text{and} \quad T = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n e_n \otimes e_{n+1},$$

then for arbitrary  $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  the equation

$$TA - AT = TS - ST$$

implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n) \mu_n e_n \otimes e_{n+1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n e_n \otimes S^* e_{n+1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n S e_n \otimes e_{n+1}.$$

Considering the operators on both sides at  $e_{n+1}$  and then taking inner products with  $e_n$ , we obtain that

$$(\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)\mu_n = \mu_n \langle e_{n+1}, S^* e_{n+1} \rangle - \mu_n \langle S e_n, e_n \rangle,$$

which implies

$$(1) \quad \lambda_n - \lambda_1 = \langle S e_n, e_n \rangle - \langle S e_1, e_1 \rangle \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Our first assertion will ensure the existence of a standard operator algebra on which not every locally inner derivation is inner.

**Theorem 1.** *There are exactly three standard operator algebras on which every derivation is a locally inner derivation, namely  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(H) \oplus \mathbb{C}I$  and  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ .*

*Proof.* We know that every derivation on a standard operator algebra is of the form  $T \mapsto TA - AT$  with an appropriate  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ . Hence, to prove that  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(H) \oplus \mathbb{C}I$  and  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  have the property in the statement, it is sufficient to consider only the case of  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ . But if  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is arbitrary and  $T \in \mathcal{F}(H)$ , then for the orthogonal projection  $P$  onto the finite-dimensional subspace generated by the ranges of  $T$  and  $(TA)^*$  we have

$$TA - AT = T(AP) - (AP)T.$$

Now, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a standard operator algebra on which every derivation is locally inner. We infer

$$TA - AT \in \mathcal{A} \quad (T \in \mathcal{A}, A \in \mathcal{B}(H)).$$

This means that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a so-called Lie ideal. Then, by [3, Theorem 2] there is an (associative) ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  for which

$$[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{B}(H)] \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{I} + \mathbb{C}I,$$

where  $[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{B}(H)]$  denotes the subspace generated by the elements of  $TA - AT$  ( $T \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ). Since for arbitrary  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{I}$  we have  $TA - AT \in \mathcal{A}$ , thus there is an  $S \in \mathcal{I}$  such that

$$(2) \quad (TA - AT)A - A(TA - AT) = (TA - AT)S - S(TA - AT).$$

We prove that  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{F}(H)$  or  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}(H)$ . To this end, suppose that there is an element in  $\mathcal{I}$  with an infinite dimensional range. Then the set  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of its singular values

is certainly infinite. Let  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell_\infty$  be a non-convergent sequence with pairwise different elements. Let  $A$  and  $T$  be just as in the first part of this section. We have  $T \in \mathcal{I}$ . If  $S \in \mathcal{I}$  is such that (2) holds, then just as above we can arrive at

$$\lambda_n - \lambda_1 = \langle Se_n, e_n \rangle - \langle Se_1, e_1 \rangle \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

However, in this case  $\langle Se_n, e_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$ , i.e.  $S$  cannot be compact. Since  $\mathcal{I}$  contains a non-compact element, it follows that  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}(H)$ .

To complete the proof, observe that in the case  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{F}(H)$  we have  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}(H)$  or  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}(H) \oplus CI$ . Moreover, by  $[\mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{B}(H)] = \mathcal{B}(H)$  (e.g. [3, Corollary 2, p. 187]),  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}(H)$  implies  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(H)$ .  $\square$

Turning back to our remark right before Theorem 1, consider the standard operator algebra  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ . If we show that there is a derivation on it which is not inner, then by the previous theorem this will mean the existence of a locally inner derivation which is not inner. In fact, since the commutant of  $\mathcal{F}(H)$  is  $CI$ , it is easy to see that a derivation  $T \mapsto TA - AT$  on this algebra is inner if and only if  $A$  is the sum of a finite rank operator and a scalar multiple of the identity. Now, take an  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  which cannot be written in such a form to obtain the desired statement.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a symmetric norm ideal in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . Then every locally inner derivation on  $\mathcal{I}$  is an inner derivation.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{I}$  is non-trivial. Then every locally inner derivation on  $\mathcal{I}$  is a derivation. Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  be such that for every  $T \in \mathcal{I}$  there is an  $S_T \in \mathcal{I}$  for which

$$TA - AT = TS_T - S_T T.$$

Considering this relation for  $T^*$  and taking adjoints we immediately have

$$TA^* - A^* T = TS_T^* - S_T^* T.$$

It follows from these equations that the self-adjoint operators  $\operatorname{Re} A$  and  $\operatorname{Im} A$  induce locally inner derivations on  $\mathcal{I}$ . Consequently, we may suppose that  $A$  is self-adjoint.

By the well-known Weyl-von Neumann theorem there are a c.o.n.s.  $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , a bounded sequence  $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of real numbers and a compact self-adjoint operator  $K$  such that

$$A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_n e_n \otimes e_n + K.$$

Since  $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{F}(H)$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$  must contain an operator with an infinite dimensional range. Let  $T$  be such as in the proof of Theorem 1. Since there is a compact operator  $S$  for which

$$T(A - K) - (A - K)T = TS - ST,$$

thus (1) implies that  $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a real  $\nu$ . Let

$$A' = A - \nu I,$$

which is a compact self-adjoint operator inducing the same locally inner derivation as  $A$  does. Consequently, we may suppose even that  $A$  is compact.

But such an  $A$  can be written in the form

$$A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n e_n \otimes e_n,$$

where  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a c.o.n.s. Let  $T \in \mathcal{I}$  be just as above and let  $S \in \mathcal{I}$  be such that

$$TA - AT = TS - ST.$$

From (1) we then have

$$\lambda_n = \langle Se_n, e_n \rangle \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If  $S = \sum_k a_k \psi_k \otimes \varphi_k$  is the canonical expansion of  $S$ , then

$$\langle Se_n, e_n \rangle = \sum_k a_k \langle e_n, \varphi_k \rangle \langle \psi_k, e_n \rangle.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k |\langle e_n, \varphi_k \rangle| |\langle \psi_k, e_n \rangle| &\leq \|e_n\|^2 = 1, \\ \sum_n |\langle e_n, \varphi_k \rangle| |\langle \psi_k, e_n \rangle| &\leq \|\varphi_k\| \|\psi_k\| \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

by the introduction we have  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ . □

To conclude the paper we propose the following open

**Question.** Is there a non-trivial ideal of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  different from  $\mathcal{F}(H)$  for which the conclusion of Theorem 2 fails?

**Acknowledgments.** The author is grateful to the referee for helping to improve the presentation of the paper.

*References*

- [1] *M. Brešar and P. Šemrl*: Mappings which preserve idempotents, local automorphisms and local derivations. *Canad. J. Math.* *45* (1993), 483–496.
- [2] *P.R. Chernoff*: Representations, automorphisms and derivations of some operator algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* *12* (1973), 275–289.
- [3] *C.K. Fong, C.R. Miers and A.R. Sourour*: Lie and Jordan ideals of operators on Hilbert space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* *84* (1982), 516–520.
- [4] *P.R. Halmos*: *Hilbert Space Problem Book*. D. Van Nostrand Company, Princeton, New York, 1967.
- [5] *R.V. Kadison*: Local derivations. *J. Algebra* *130* (1990), 494–509.
- [6] *D.R. Larson and A.R. Sourour*: Local derivations and local automorphisms of  $B(X)$ . *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* *51*, Part 2, Providence, Rhode Island 1990, pp. 187–194.
- [7] *S. Sakai*: Derivations of  $W^*$ -algebras. *Ann. Math.* *83* (1966), 273–279.
- [8] *P. Šemrl*: Additive derivations of some operator algebras. *Illinois J. Math.* *35* (1991), 234–240.
- [9] *P. Šemrl*: Ring derivations on standard operator algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* *112* (1993), 318–324.
- [10] *B. Simon*: *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979.

*Author's address: Lajos Molnár, Institute of Mathematics, Lajos Kossuth University, 4010 Debrecen, P.O.Box 12, Hungary, e-mail: molnarl@math.klte.hu.*