

Maria Topolewska

On the degree of convergence of Borel and Euler means for double Fourier series of functions of bounded variation in Hardy sense

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 120 (1995), No. 1, 1–12

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125893>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON THE DEGREE OF CONVERGENCE
OF BOREL AND EULER MEANS
FOR DOUBLE FOURIER SERIES OF FUNCTIONS
OF BOUNDED VARIATION IN HARDY SENSE

MARIA TOPOLEWSKA, Bydgoszcz

(Received January 26, 1993)

Summary. For real functions of bounded variation in the Hardy sense, 2π -periodic in each variable, the rates of pointwise convergence of the Borel and Euler means of their Fourier series are estimated.

Keywords: double trigonometric series, Borel means, Euler means, rate of convergence

AMS classification: 42B08

S-A 1182 / 120.1995

Let f be a function defined in the rectangle $P = \langle a, b; c, d \rangle$. We shall use the notation

$$\Delta(f; P') = f(b', d') - f(a', d') - f(b', c') + f(a', c')$$

for any rectangle $P' = \langle a', b'; c', d' \rangle \subset P$.

We will call the *variation of f in the rectangle P* the value $V(f; a, b; c, d)$ defined as the supremum of all numbers of the form

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\Delta(f; P_{jk})|$$

where the supremum is taken over all possible partitions π ,

$$\pi: \begin{cases} a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_m = b \\ c = y_0 < y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_n = d \end{cases}$$

of the rectangle P into subrectangles $P_{jk} = \langle x_j, x_{j+1}; y_k, y_{k+1} \rangle$.



2058/96¹

9586464

We say that f is a function of bounded variation in Hardy sense in the rectangle P if

$$V(f; a, b; c, d) < \infty, \quad V(f(\cdot, c); a, b) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad V(f(a, \cdot); c, d) < \infty,$$

where $V(f(\cdot, c); a, b)$ denotes the total variation of f with respect to the first variable on the interval (a, b) for some fixed $y = c$. The variation $V(f(a, \cdot); c, d)$ is defined analogously.

Let H be the class of all real-valued functions of two variables, 2π -periodic in each variable, of bounded variation in Hardy sense in the square $Q = \langle 0, 2\pi; 0, 2\pi \rangle$.

For every $f \in H$ the following properties

- (1) $V(f(\cdot, y); 0, 2\pi) < \infty$ for all $y \in (0, 2\pi)$,
- (2) $V(f(x, \cdot); 0, 2\pi) < \infty$ for all $x \in (0, 2\pi)$

can be easily deduced.

The following two lemmas will be of importance in our further considerations.

Lemma 1. *If $f \in H$, $0 < x < \bar{x} \leq \pi$ and $0 < y < \bar{y} \leq \pi$, then*

- (1) $V(f(x, \cdot); y, \pi) \leq V(f; 0, x; y, \pi) + V(f(0, \cdot); y, \pi)$,
- (1') $V(f(\cdot, y); x, \pi) \leq V(f; x, \pi; 0, y) + V(f(\cdot, 0); x, \pi)$,
- (2) $V(f(x, \cdot); y, \bar{y}) \leq V(f; 0, x; y, \bar{y}) + V(f(0, \cdot); y, \bar{y})$,
- (2') $V(f(\cdot, y); x, \bar{x}) \leq V(f; x, \bar{x}; 0, y) + V(f(\cdot, 0); x, \bar{x})$,
- (3) $V(f(\cdot, y); 0, x) \leq V(f; 0, x; 0, y) + V(f(\cdot, 0); 0, x)$,
- (3') $V(f(x, \cdot); 0, y) \leq V(f; 0, x; 0, y) + V(f(0, \cdot); 0, y)$.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that $f \in H$ and m, n are the positive integers. Then*

- (1) $V(f; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}; 0, \frac{\pi}{n}) \leq \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n V(f; 0, \frac{\pi}{k}; 0, \frac{\pi}{l})$,
- (2) $V(f(\cdot, 0); 0, \frac{\pi}{m}) \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m V(f(\cdot, 0); 0, \frac{\pi}{k})$,
- (3) $V(f(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{\pi}{n}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V(f(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{\pi}{k})$,
- (4) $\sum_{k=1}^n V(f; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}; 0, \frac{\pi}{k}) \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^m V(f; 0, \frac{\pi}{l}; 0, \frac{\pi}{k})$,
- (5) $\sum_{k=1}^m V(f; 0, \frac{\pi}{k}; 0, \frac{\pi}{n}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n V(f; 0, \frac{\pi}{k}; 0, \frac{\pi}{l})$.

The proofs of Lemmas 1 and 2 are omitted.

Remark 1. If $f \in H$ is continuous at the point $(0, 0)$, then

$$\lim_{s, t \rightarrow 0^+} V(f; 0, s; 0, t) = 0.$$

To prove this remark it is convenient to apply Lemma 2 of [4] (with $p = 1$) and its two-dimensional analogue.

Let $f \in H$. Then the partial sums $S_{mn}[f]$ of the Fourier series of f have the form

$$S_{mn}[f](x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \iint_Q f(x+u, y+v) D_m(u) D_n(v) du dv,$$

where $D_k(t)$ is the Dirichlet kernel, i.e.

$$D_k(t) = \frac{\sin(k + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t}.$$

As is well known,

$$|D_k(t)| \leq k + \frac{1}{2}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The next theorem is a two-dimensional analogue of the theorem of Bojanić ([1]).

Theorem 1. For any $f \in H$ and all positive integers m, n , we have

$$(1) \quad \left| S_{mn}[f](x, y) - \frac{1}{4}(f(x+, y+) + f(x-, y+) + f(x+, y-) + f(x-, y-)) \right| \\ \leq \frac{13}{mn} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{k}; 0, \frac{\pi}{l}) + \frac{6}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V(g(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{\pi}{k}) + \frac{6}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m V(g(\cdot, 0); 0, \frac{\pi}{k}),$$

where $g(s, t) = g_{xy}(s, t)$ is a function which is defined by the following conditions:

- (i) for $s, t \neq 0$, $g_{xy}(s, t) = f(x+s, y+t) + f(x+s, y-t) + f(x-s, y+t) + f(x-s, y-t) - f(x+, y+) - f(x+, y-) - f(x-, y+) - f(x-, y-)$,
- (ii) for $s = t = 0$, $g_{xy}(s, t) = 0$,
- (iii) for $s = 0$ and $t \neq 0$, $g_{xy}(s, t) = f(x+, y+t) + f(x+, y-t) + f(x-, y+t) + f(x-, y-t) - f(x+, y+) - f(x+, y-) - f(x-, y+) - f(x-, y-)$,
- (iv) for $s \neq 0$ and $t = 0$, $g_{xy}(s, t) = f(x+s, y+) + f(x+s, y-) + f(x-s, y+) + f(x-s, y-) - f(x+, y+) - f(x+, y-) - f(x-, y+) - f(x-, y-)$.

Proof. Denote by I the difference from the left-hand side of the inequality (1). To estimate I we split the square $(0, \pi; 0, \pi)$ into four parts as follows:

$$I = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi g(s, t) D_m(s) D_n(t) ds dt \\ = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n}} + \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^\pi + \int_{\frac{\pi}{m}}^\pi \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n}} + \int_{\frac{\pi}{m}}^\pi \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^\pi \right) g(s, t) D_m(s) D_n(t) ds dt \\ = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.$$

First we consider I_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \quad |I_1| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n}} \left\{ |g(s, t) - g(s, 0) - g(0, t) + g(0, 0)| + |g(s, 0) - g(0, 0)| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |g(0, t) - g(0, 0)| \right\} |D_m(s)| |D_n(t)| \, ds \, dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n}} \left\{ V(g; 0, s; 0, t) + V(g(\cdot, 0); 0, s) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + V(g(0, \cdot); 0, t) \right\} \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \, ds \, dt \\
&\leq \frac{9}{4} \left\{ V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}; 0, \frac{\pi}{n}) + V(g(\cdot, 0); 0, \frac{\pi}{m}) + V(g(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{\pi}{n}) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let

$$\Lambda_{mn}(x, y) = \int_0^x \int_y^\pi D_m(s) D_n(t) \, ds \, dt.$$

Clearly,

$$(3) \quad |\Lambda_{mn}(x, y)| \leq \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) x \frac{\pi}{ny}$$

(see [1], p. 59). Making use of Theorems 2.5 and 2.3 of [5] it is easy to see that I_2 may be written by means of the Stieltjes integral in the form

$$I_2 = -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^\pi g(s, t) \, d\Lambda_{mn}(s, t).$$

Integrating by parts (Theorem 2.2 of [5]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^\pi \Lambda_{mn}(s, t) \, dg(s, t) + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m}} \Lambda_{mn}\left(s, \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \, dg\left(s, \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^\pi \Lambda_{mn}\left(\frac{\pi}{m}, t\right) \, dg\left(\frac{\pi}{m}, t\right) + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \Lambda_{mn}\left(\frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{n}\right) g\left(\frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \\
&= A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let us remark that in view of (3) the inequality

$$(4) \quad |A_4| \leq \frac{3}{2\pi} \left|g\left(\frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{3}{2\pi} \left\{ V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}; 0, \frac{\pi}{n}) + V(g(\cdot, 0); 0, \frac{\pi}{m}) + V(g(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{\pi}{n}) \right\}$$

holds.

Applying (3) and integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A_3| &\leq \frac{3}{2n} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^{\pi} \frac{1}{t} dV\left(g\left(\frac{\pi}{m}, \cdot\right); \frac{\pi}{n}, t\right) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2n\pi} V\left(g\left(\frac{\pi}{m}, \cdot\right); \frac{\pi}{n}, \pi\right) + \frac{3}{2n} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^{\pi} \frac{1}{t^2} V\left(g\left(\frac{\pi}{m}, \cdot\right); \frac{\pi}{n}, t\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing the variable t in the last integral by $\frac{\pi}{u}$, we observe that

$$\int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^{\pi} \frac{1}{t^2} V\left(g\left(\frac{\pi}{m}, \cdot\right); \frac{\pi}{n}, t\right) dt \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} V\left(g\left(\frac{\pi}{m}, \cdot\right); \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{k}\right).$$

According to Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad |A_3| &\leq \frac{1}{2n\pi} V\left(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}; \frac{\pi}{n}, \pi\right) + \frac{3}{2n\pi} V\left(g(0, \cdot); \frac{\pi}{n}, \pi\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2n\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n V\left(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}; \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{k}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{n\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n V\left(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}; \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{k}\right) + \frac{3}{m\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n V\left(g(0, \cdot); \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now let us estimate A_2 . Using (3) and partial integration, we find that

$$|A_2| \leq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m}} s dV\left(g\left(\cdot, \frac{\pi}{n}\right); 0, s\right) \leq \frac{3}{\pi} V\left(g\left(\cdot, \frac{\pi}{n}\right); 0, \frac{\pi}{m}\right).$$

Moreover, the inequality (3) of Lemma 1 implies

$$(6) \quad |A_2| \leq \frac{3}{\pi} V\left(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}; 0, \frac{\pi}{n}\right) + \frac{3}{\pi} V\left(g\left(\cdot, 0\right); 0, \frac{\pi}{m}\right).$$

In order to estimate A_1 we apply (3) and then integration by parts. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi n} \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^{\pi} \frac{s}{t} dV\left(g; 0, s; \frac{\pi}{n}, t\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi n} \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left\{ \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^{\pi} V\left(g; 0, s; \frac{\pi}{n}, t\right) d\left(\frac{s}{t}\right) - \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m}} V\left(g; 0, s; \frac{\pi}{n}, \pi\right) d\left(\frac{s}{\pi}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^{\pi} V\left(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}; \frac{\pi}{n}, t\right) d\left(\frac{\pi}{mt}\right) + \frac{1}{m} V\left(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}; \frac{\pi}{n}, \pi\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(\frac{s}{t}) = -ds\frac{1}{t^2}dt$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^{\pi} V(g; 0, s; \frac{\pi}{n}, t) d(\frac{s}{t}) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m}} ds \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^{\pi} \frac{1}{t^2} V(g; 0, s; \frac{\pi}{n}, t) dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^n V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{k}). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality was obtained by the substitution $t = \frac{\pi}{u}$. In a similar way we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^{\pi} V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{n}, t) d(\frac{1}{t}) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{k}).$$

Consequently,

$$(7) \quad |A_1| \leq \frac{6}{n\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{k}).$$

Collecting (4), (5), (6) and (7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \frac{9}{n\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{k}) + \frac{9}{2\pi} V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}; 0, \frac{\pi}{n}) + \frac{9}{2\pi} V(g(\cdot, 0); 0, \frac{\pi}{m}) \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{n\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n V(g(0, \cdot); \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{k}) + \frac{3}{2\pi} V(g(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{\pi}{n}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying inequalities (1), (2) and (4) of Lemma 2, we obtain

$$(8) \quad |I_2| \leq \frac{27}{2\pi mn} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{k}; 0, \frac{\pi}{l}) + \frac{9}{2n\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n V(g(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{\pi}{k}) + \frac{9}{2\pi} V(g(\cdot, 0); 0, \frac{\pi}{m}).$$

Putting

$$\Lambda_{mn}^*(x, y) = \int_x^{\pi} \int_0^y D_m(s) D_n(t) ds dt$$

and reasoning as in the case of the integral I_2 , we observe that

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_3| &\leq \frac{9}{m\pi} \sum_{k=1}^m V(g; \frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{k}; 0, \frac{\pi}{n}) + \frac{9}{2\pi} V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}; 0, \frac{\pi}{n}) + \frac{9}{2\pi} V(g(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{\pi}{n}) \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{m\pi} \sum_{k=1}^m V(g(\cdot, 0); \frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{k}) + \frac{3}{2\pi} V(g(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{\pi}{m}) \\ &\leq \frac{27}{2\pi mn} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{k}; 0, \frac{\pi}{l}) + \frac{9}{2m\pi} \sum_{k=1}^m V(g(\cdot, 0); 0, \frac{\pi}{k}) \\ &\quad + \frac{9}{2\pi} V(g(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{\pi}{n}). \end{aligned}$$

To estimate I_4 we use the function

$$\bar{\Lambda}_{mn}(x, y) = \int_x^\pi \int_y^\pi D_m(s) D_n(t) \, ds \, dt.$$

Let us remark that

$$(10) \quad |\bar{\Lambda}_{mn}(x, y)| \leq \frac{\pi^2}{mny}$$

and

$$I_4 = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\frac{x}{m}}^{\frac{x}{n}} \int_{\frac{x}{m}}^{\frac{x}{n}} g(s, t) \, d\bar{\Lambda}_{mn}(s, t).$$

Integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\frac{x}{m}}^{\frac{x}{n}} \int_{\frac{x}{n}}^{\frac{x}{m}} \bar{\Lambda}_{mn}(s, t) \, dg(s, t) + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\frac{x}{m}}^{\frac{x}{n}} \bar{\Lambda}_{mn}(s, \frac{x}{n}) \, dg(s, \frac{x}{n}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\frac{x}{n}}^{\frac{x}{m}} \bar{\Lambda}_{mn}(\frac{x}{m}, t) \, dg(\frac{x}{m}, t) + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \bar{\Lambda}_{mn}(\frac{x}{m}, \frac{x}{n}) g(\frac{x}{m}, \frac{x}{n}) \\ &= H_1 + H_2 + H_3 + H_4. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate (10) yields

$$(11) \quad |H_4| \leq \frac{1}{\pi^2} V(g; 0, \frac{x}{m}; 0, \frac{x}{n}) + \frac{1}{\pi^2} V(g(\cdot, 0); 0, \frac{x}{m}) + \frac{1}{\pi^2} V(g(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{x}{n}).$$

Reasoning analogously as in the case A_3 , we obtain estimates

$$(12) \quad |H_3| \leq \frac{2}{n\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^n V(g; 0, \frac{x}{m}; 0, \frac{x}{k}) + \frac{2}{n\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^n V(g(0, \cdot); \frac{x}{n}, \frac{x}{k})$$

and

$$(13) \quad |H_2| \leq \frac{2}{m\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^m V(g; \frac{x}{m}, \frac{x}{k}; 0, \frac{x}{n}) + \frac{2}{m\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^m V(g(\cdot, 0); \frac{x}{m}, \frac{x}{k}).$$

Using the inequality (10), after partial integration we find

$$\begin{aligned} |H_1| &\leq \frac{1}{mn} \int_{\frac{x}{m}}^{\frac{x}{n}} \int_{\frac{x}{n}}^{\frac{x}{m}} V(g; \frac{x}{m}, s; \frac{x}{n}, t) \frac{1}{s^2 t^2} \, ds \, dt + \frac{1}{mn\pi} \int_{\frac{x}{n}}^{\frac{x}{m}} V(g; \frac{x}{m}, s; \frac{x}{n}, \pi) \frac{1}{s^2} \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{mn\pi} \int_{\frac{x}{n}}^{\frac{x}{m}} V(g; \frac{x}{m}, \pi; \frac{x}{n}, t) \frac{1}{t^2} \, dt + \frac{1}{mn\pi^2} V(g; \frac{x}{m}, \pi; \frac{x}{n}, \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Integration by substitution with $s = \frac{\pi}{v}$ and $t = \frac{\pi}{v}$ gives

$$(14) \quad |H_1| \leq \frac{1}{mn\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n V(g; \frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{k}; \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{l}) + \frac{1}{mn\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^m V(g; \frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{k}; \frac{\pi}{n}, \pi) \\ + \frac{1}{mn^2} \sum_{k=1}^n V(g; \frac{\pi}{m}, \pi; \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{k}) + \frac{1}{mn\pi^2} V(g; \frac{\pi}{m}, \pi; \frac{\pi}{n}, \pi) \\ \leq \frac{4}{mn\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n V(g; \frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{k}; \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{l}).$$

As a consequence of (11)–(14) and Lemma 2 we obtain the estimate

$$(15) \quad |I_4| \leq \frac{9}{mn\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{k}; 0, \frac{\pi}{l}) + \frac{3}{n\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^n V(g(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{\pi}{k}) \\ + \frac{3}{m\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^m V(g(\cdot, 0); 0, \frac{\pi}{k}).$$

Collecting the inequalities (2), (8), (9), (15) and using Lemma 2 once more, we obtain (1) and thus our proof is completed. \square

Remark 2. Continuity of the functions g at the point $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} V(g(0, \cdot); 0, t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0+} V(g(\cdot, 0); 0, s) = 0.$$

Then by the well known theorem of Cauchy the second and the third term on the right side of inequality (1) converges to zero as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. For function g the equality

$\lim_{s, t \rightarrow 0+} V(g; 0, s; 0, t) = 0$ is true, too. Hence the first term on the right-hand side of (1) tends to zero when $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. We conclude that

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} S_{mn}[f](x, y) = \frac{1}{4} \{f(x+, y+) + f(x+, y-) + f(x-, y+) + f(x-, y-)\}.$$

Thus we arrive at a theorem concerning the convergence of partial sums of double Fourier series ([6], §176, p. 472).

Let us introduce the Euler mean $E_{mn}^{pq}[f]$ ($p, q > 0$) of the partial sums of double Fourier series of a function f defined in the square $Q = (-\pi, \pi; -\pi, \pi)$ and 2π -periodic in each variable by the formula

$$E_{mn}^{pq}[f](x, y) = \frac{1}{(1+p)^m} \frac{1}{(1+q)^n} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{m}{j} \binom{n}{k} p^{m-j} q^{n-k} S_{jk}[f](x, y).$$

In case $p = q = 0$ we obtain

$$E_{mn}^{pq}[f](x, y) = S_{mn}[f](x, y).$$

For the operator $E_{mn}^{pq}[f]$ the following equality is true:

$$E_{mn}^{pq}[f](x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+u, y+v) K_n^p(u) K_n^q(v) \, du \, dv$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_n^q(t) &= \frac{1}{(1+q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} D_k(t) \\ &= \left(\frac{q^2 + 2q \cos t + 1}{q^2 + 2q + 1} \right)^{n/2} \frac{\sin(n\theta_t + t/2)}{2 \sin(t/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

θ_t is uniquely determined by the relations

- (1) $\theta_t \in (-\pi, \pi)$,
- (2) $\operatorname{sgn} \theta_t = \operatorname{sgn} t$,
- (3) $|\theta_t| < |t| \leq \pi$,
- (4) $q \sin \theta_t = \sin(t - \theta_t)$ (see [2]).

In the proof of the next theorem we will need

Lemma 3. *Let $0 < x < \delta \leq \pi$ and $q > 0$. Then*

$$\left| \int_x^\delta K_n^q(t) \, dt \right| \leq \frac{2\pi}{nx} (1+q)$$

for every positive integer n .

Proof. Using the second mean-value theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_x^\delta K_n^q(t) \, dt \right| &\leq \frac{1}{(1+q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \frac{1}{2 \sin(x/2)} \max_{x \leq \theta \leq \delta} \left| \int_x^\theta \sin(k + \frac{1}{2})t \, dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1+q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \frac{1}{\sin(\frac{x}{2})} \cdot \frac{1}{k + \frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{2\pi}{(1+q)^n (n+1)x} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} q^{n-k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get the desired assertion. \square

Retaining the notation of Theorem 1, we have

Theorem 2. *If $f \in H$ and $p, q > 0$, then, for every x, y and all natural numbers m, n ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| E_{mn}^{pq}[f](x, y) - \frac{1}{4} \{ f(x+, y+) + f(x+, y-) + f(x-, y+) + f(x-, y-) \} \right| \\ & \leq 12(1+p)(1+q) \left\{ \frac{3}{mn} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{k}; 0, \frac{\pi}{l}) + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m V(g(\cdot, 0); 0, \frac{\pi}{k}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V(g(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{\pi}{k}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. A simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{mn}^{pq}[f](x, y) - \frac{1}{4} \{ f(x+, y+) + f(x+, y-) + f(x-, y+) + f(x-, y-) \} \\ & = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi g(s, t) K_m^p(s) K_n^q(t) \, ds \, dt \\ & = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n}} + \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{m}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^\pi + \int_{\frac{\pi}{m}}^\pi \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n}} + \int_{\frac{\pi}{m}}^\pi \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^\pi \right) g(s, t) K_m^p(s) K_n^q(t) \, ds \, dt \\ & = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4. \end{aligned}$$

We can see that the kernel K_n^q has the same estimate as the Dirichlet one, i.e.

$$(16) \quad |K_n^q(t)| \leq n + \frac{1}{2}$$

for $n \geq 1, q > 0$ and every t .

Hence the inequality (2) is true with J_1 instead of I_1 . So, we have

$$(17) \quad |J_1| \leq \frac{9}{4} \left\{ V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}; 0, \frac{\pi}{n}) + V(g(\cdot, 0); 0, \frac{\pi}{m}) + V(g(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{\pi}{n}) \right\}.$$

Now, we introduce the function

$$F_{mn}^{pq}(x, y) = \int_0^x \int_y^\pi K_m^p(s) K_n^q(t) \, ds \, dt.$$

It follows immediately from (16) and Lemma 3 that

$$|F_{mn}^{pq}(x, y)| \leq \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) x(1+q) \frac{2\pi}{ny}.$$

Using this estimate for J_2 and an argument similar to that from the proof of Theorem 1 for integral I_2 , we obtain

$$(18) \quad |J_2| \leq \frac{27}{n\pi}(1+q) \sum_{k=1}^n V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{m}; 0, \frac{\pi}{k}) + \frac{9}{\pi}(1+q)V(g(\cdot, 0); 0, \frac{\pi}{m}) \\ + \frac{9}{n\pi}(1+q) \sum_{k=1}^n V(g(0, \cdot); \frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{k}).$$

In the case of integral J_3 we proceed analogously. The only difference is in the construction of the function used in the Stieltjes integral. Namely, let

$$\bar{F}_{mn}^{pq}(x, y) = \int_x^\pi \int_0^y K_m^p(s)K_n^q(t) ds dt.$$

According to (16) and Lemma 3, we have

$$|\bar{F}_{mn}^{pq}(x, y)| \leq \frac{2\pi(1+p)}{mx} (n + \frac{1}{2})y.$$

Consequently,

$$(19) \quad |J_3| \leq \frac{27}{m\pi}(1+p) \sum_{k=1}^m V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{k}; 0, \frac{\pi}{n}) + \frac{9}{\pi}(1+p)V(g(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{\pi}{n}) \\ + \frac{9}{m\pi}(1+p) \sum_{k=1}^m V(g(\cdot, 0); \frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{k}).$$

In order to estimate J_4 we introduce

$$\bar{F}_{mn}^{pq}(x, y) = \int_x^\pi \int_y^\pi K_m^p(s)K_n^q(t) ds dt.$$

Hence

$$|\bar{F}_{mn}^{pq}(x, y)| \leq \frac{4\pi^2(1+p)(1+q)}{mnxy}$$

and therefore

$$(20) \quad |J_4| \leq \frac{36}{mn\pi^2}(1+p)(1+q) \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{k}; 0, \frac{\pi}{l}) \\ + \frac{12}{m\pi^2}(1+p)(1+q) \sum_{k=1}^m V(g(\cdot, 0); 0, \frac{\pi}{k}) \\ + \frac{12}{n\pi^2}(1+p)(1+q) \sum_{k=1}^n V(g(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{\pi}{k}).$$

Combining (17), (18), (19), (20) and using Lemma 2, we get our thesis. \square

Remark 3. Reasoning analogously as in Remark 2 we obtain that, for $f \in H$,

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} E_{mn}^{pq}[f](x, y) = \frac{1}{4} \{f(x+, y+) + f(x+, y-) + f(x-, y+) + f(x-, y-)\}.$$

The Borel means $B_{pr}[f]$ ($p, r > 0$) of partial sums of double Fourier series of a function f defined in the square $Q = \langle -\pi, \pi; -\pi, \pi \rangle$ and 2π -periodic in each variable can be written in the form

$$B_{pr}[f](x, y) = e^{-p} e^{-r} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^j r^k}{j! k!} S_{jk}[f](x, y).$$

This operator has the integral representation

$$B_{pr}[f](x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+u, y+v) Q_p(u) Q_r(v) du dv,$$

where

$$Q_r(t) = e^{-2r \sin^2(t/2)} \frac{\sin(r \sin t + t/2)}{2 \sin(t/2)}$$

(see [3], p. 364).

The next theorem may be proved analogously to Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. Let $f \in H$ and $p, r \geq 2$. Then, for every x, y ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| B_{pr}[f](x, y) - \frac{1}{4} \{f(x+, y+) + f(x+, y-) + f(x-, y+) + f(x-, y-)\} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{39}{r} \sum_{k=1}^{[r]} V(g(0, \cdot); 0, \frac{\pi}{k}) + \frac{39}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{[p]} V(g(\cdot, 0); 0, \frac{\pi}{k}) + \frac{86}{pr} \sum_{k=1}^{[p]} \sum_{l=1}^{[r]} V(g; 0, \frac{\pi}{k}; 0, \frac{\pi}{l}), \end{aligned}$$

where $[r]$ denotes the integer part of r .

References

- [1] R. Bojanić: An estimate of the rate of convergence for Fourier series of functions of bounded variation. Publications de L'Institut Mathématique, Nouvelle série 26(40) (1979), 57–60.
- [2] C. K. Chui, A. S. B. Holland: On the order of approximation by Euler and Taylor means. Journal of Approximation Theory 39 (1983), 24–38.
- [3] G. H. Hardy: Divergent series. Oxford, 1949.
- [4] J. Marcinkiewicz: On a class of functions and their Fourier series. Collected papers. PWN, Warszawa, 1964, pp. 36–41.
- [5] R. Taberski: On double integrals and Fourier series. Annales Polon. Math. 15 (1964), 97–115.
- [6] L. Tonelli: Série Trigonometrische. Bologna, 1928.
- [7] M. Topolewska: On the degree of convergence of Borel and Euler means of trigonometric series. Časopis pro pěstování matematiky 112(3) (1987), 225–232.

Author's address: Maria Topolewska, Wyższa Szkoła Pedagogiczna, ul. Chodkiewicza 30, 85-064 Bydgoszcz, Poland.