Kybernetika

Jan Ámos Víšek

Adaptive estimation in linear regression model. II. Asymptotic normality

Kybernetika, Vol. 28 (1992), No. 2, 100--119

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125779

KYBERNETIKA — VOLUME 28 (1992), NUMBER 2, PAGES 100 - 119

ADAPTIVE ESTIMATION IN LINEAR REGRESSION MODEL

Part 2. Asymptotic normality

JAN ÁMOS VÍŠEK

Asymptotic representation of an adaptive estimator based on Beran's idea of minimizing Hellinger distance is derived. It is shown that the estimator is asymptotically normal but not efficient. From the practical point of view the approach may be useful because it selects a model with distribution of residuals symmetric "as much as possible" (in the sense of Hellinger distance applied on $F(x)$ and $1 - F(x)$). It is not difficult to construct a numerical examples showing that sometimes it is the only way how to find proper model.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is the second part of the article "Adaptive estimation in linear regression model". The reasons and clarifying discussions about the adaptive estimation may be found in the first part (cf. [20]). Also the notation of the present paper is the same as in the first part and the numeration of theorems and lemmas continues.

The proof of consistency of the adaptive estimator included in the first part of this paper has shown that the technique which leads to all results concerning the adaptive estimator is simple application of classical tools. The proof of Theorem 2 is of a similar character but much more longer. Therefore it will be divided into a sequence of steps, assertions and lemmas, proofs of which will be omitted. We shall show only as examples the proofs of Lemmas 3, 6 and 8. The reason for inclusion of the last three mentioned proofs is the fact that they represent the steps which yield a little unusual form of the result formulated in Theorem 2. All details can be found in technical report [17].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we shall prepare tools for proving asymptotic normality of the estimator $\hat{\beta}_{(n)}(Y)$. To this end we restrict ourselves on such densities g for which:

i) Fisher information is finite, i. e., the derivative of g exists and $\int \frac{[g'(y)]^2}{g(y)} \mathrm{d}y < \infty$,

ii) $\sup_{y\in\mathcal{R}} |g'(y)| < K_5$

iii) $\frac{g(a_n)}{c_n} \longrightarrow 0$ for $n \to \infty$

where K_5 is a finite constant. We need also an additional assumption on the kernel w. We shall assume that

$$\int t^2 w(t)\mathrm{d}t$$

is finite and denote it by K_6. (Moreover we shall assume that all assumptions made in the part 1 – see Sections 2, 3 and 5 – hold.)

Remark 4. Condition iii) seems at the first glance a little strange. But it is clear that for any g with sufficiently smooth tails (even with arbitrarily heavy tails) we may for given $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \nearrow \infty$ find $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \searrow 0$ such that iii) holds. It may cause that $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ will converge to zero rather slowly. Nevertheless, it is not inconsistent with other conditions which we assumed to be fulfilled (see, e.g., conditions for Theorem 1).

Moreover from the assumption $\int t^2 w(t)\mathrm{d}t < \infty$ we have

$$\lim_{|t|\to\infty} t^2 w(t) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{|t|\to\infty} t w(t) = 0$$

and also

$$\lim_{|t|\to\infty} t w'(t) = 0.$$

Another consequence is that $\int |t| w(t)\mathrm{d}t < \infty$ and hence also $\int |t w^2(t)|\mathrm{d}t \le K_1 \int |t| w(t)\mathrm{d}t < \infty$.

Let us start with a simple assertion.

Assertion 1. For any $\beta \in \mathcal{R}^p$ and for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots, p$ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_k} \int h_n(y, Y, \beta) h_n(-y, Y, \beta)\mathrm{d}y =$$

$$= \int \left[\frac{\partial h_n(y,Y,\beta)}{\partial\beta_k} \cdot h_n(-y, Y, \beta) + h_n(y, Y, \beta) \frac{\partial h_n(-y,Y,\beta)}{\partial\beta_k} \right] \mathrm{d}y.$$

Similarly it is not difficult to show that

$$\frac{\partial \mathsf{E} g_n(y, Y, \beta)}{\partial\beta_k} = \frac{1}{n c_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ik} \int w'\left(c_n^{-1}(y - z + X_i^T(\beta - \beta^0)) \right) g(z)\mathrm{d}z.$$

Let us denote $\left[\frac{\partial \mathsf{E} g_n(y,Y,\beta)}{\partial\beta_k} \right]_{\beta=\beta^0}$ by $\frac{\partial \mathsf{E} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k}$.

Remark 5. Since

$$\int \frac{\partial h_n(y,Y,\beta)}{\partial \beta_k} \cdot h_n(-y,Y,\beta)\mathrm{d}y = \int h_n(y,Y,\beta) \cdot \frac{\partial h_n(-y,Y,\beta)}{\partial \beta_k}\mathrm{d}y$$

we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_k} \int h_n(y,Y,\beta)h_n(-y,Y,\beta)\mathrm{d}y = 2\int \frac{\partial h_n(y,Y,\beta)}{\partial \beta_k} h_n(-y,Y,\beta)\mathrm{d}y$.

Lemma 3. For any $\beta \in \mathcal{R}^p$ and $k = 1,2,\ldots,p$ we have

$$\int \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_k} h_n(y,Y,\beta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_k}\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta) b_n(y)\right]^2 = O_p\left(n^{-1}c_n^{-3}a_n\right).$$

Proof. To prove the assertion of the lemma let us write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_k} h_n(y,Y,\beta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_k}\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta) b_n(y) = \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta) b_n(y)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_k} g_n(y,Y,\beta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_k}\mathsf{E} g_n(y,Y,\beta)\right] - \\
&- \frac{1}{2} g_n^{-1}(y,Y,\beta)\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_k} g_n(y,Y,\beta)\left\{\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta)\left[g_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(y,Y,\beta) - \right.\right. \\
&- \left.\left.\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta)\right]^2 + g_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(y,Y,\beta) - \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta)\right\} b_n(y). \qquad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

So we have arrived at the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathsf{E}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_k} h_n(y,Y,\beta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_k}\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta) b_n(y)\right\}^2 \leq \\
&\leq 3\mathsf{E}\left[\mathsf{E}^{-1} g_n(y,Y,\beta) b_n^2(y)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_k} g_n(y,Y,\beta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_k}\mathsf{E} g_n(-y,Y,\beta)\right]^2\right. \\
&+ g_n^{-2}(y,Y,\beta)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_k} g_n(y,Y,\beta)\right]\left\{\mathsf{E}^{-1} g_n(y,Y,\beta)\right. \\
&\cdot \left.\left.\left[g_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(y,Y,\beta) - \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta)\right]^4 + \left[g_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(y,Y,\beta) - \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta)\right]^2\right\} b_n^2(y)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathsf{E}^{-1} g_n(y,Y,\beta) \cdot \mathsf{E}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_k} g_n(y,Y,\beta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_k}\mathsf{E} g_n(y,Y,\beta)\right]^2 = \\
&= \frac{1}{(nc_n)^2} E^{-1} g_n(y,Y,\beta) b_n^2(y) \cdot \mathsf{E}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_k}\sum_{i=1}^n \left\{w\left(c_n^{-1}(y-(Y_i - X_i^T\beta))\right) - \right.\right. \\
&\quad \left.\left. - \mathsf{E} w\left(c_n^{-1}(y-(Y_i - X_i\beta))\right)\right\}\right]^2 = \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2 c_n^4}\mathsf{E}^{-1} g_n(y,Y,\beta) \cdot \mathsf{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left\{w'\left(c_n^{-1}(y-(Y_i - X_i^T\beta))\right) - \right.\right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad -\mathsf{E}w'\left(c_n^{-1}(y-(Y_i-X_i^T\beta))\right)\}\,x_{ik}]^2 = \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2c_n^4}\mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n(y,Y,\beta)\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathsf{E}\{w'\left(c_n^{-1}(y-(Y_i-X_i\beta))\right)- \\
&\quad -\mathsf{E}w'\left(c_n^{-1}(y-(Y_i-X_i^T\beta))\right)\}^2 x_{ik}^2 \\
&\le \frac{1}{n^2c_n^4}\mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n(y,Y,\beta)\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{ik}^2\mathsf{E}\{w'\left(c_n^{-1}(y-(Y_i-X_i^T\beta))\right)\}^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2c_n^4}\mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n(y,Y,\beta)\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{ik}^2\cdot\mathsf{E}\left\{\frac{w'(c_n^{-1}(y-(Y_i-X_i^T\beta)))^2}{w(c_n^{-1}(y-(Y_i-X_i^T\beta)))}w\left(c_n^{-1}(y-(Y_i-X_i^T\beta))\right)\right\} \\
&\le \frac{1}{nc_n^3}\sup_{z\in\mathcal{R}}\frac{[w'(z)]^2}{w(z)}\sup_{i=1,\dots,n}x_{ik}^2.\mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n(y,Y,\beta)\cdot\mathsf{E}\left\{\frac{1}{nc_n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}w\left(c_n^{-1}(y-(Y_i-X_i^T\beta))\right)\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{nc_n^3}\sup_{z\in\mathcal{R}}\frac{[w'(z)]^2}{w(z)}\cdot\sup_{i=1,\dots,n}x_{ik}^2\cdot\mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n(y,Y,\beta)\cdot\mathsf{E}g_n(y,Y,\beta).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sup_{z\in\mathcal{R}}\frac{[w(z)]^2}{w(z)} \le \sup_{z\in\mathcal{R}}\left[\frac{w'(z)}{w(z)}\right]^2\sup_{z\in\mathcal{R}}w(z)$ the last expression is of order $O(n^{-1}c_n^{-3})$.
Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad \mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n(y,Y,\beta)\cdot\mathsf{E}\left[g_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(y,Y,\beta)-\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n(y,Y,\beta)\right]^4 \le \\
&\le \mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n(y,Y,\beta)\cdot\mathsf{E}\left\{\left[g_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(y,Y,\beta)-\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n(y,Y,\beta)\right]^2\cdot\right. \\
&\qquad \left.\cdot\left[g_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(y,Y,\beta)+\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n(y,Y,\beta)\right]^2\right\} \\
&= \mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n(y,Y,\beta)\cdot\mathsf{E}\left[g_n(y,Y,\beta)-\mathsf{E}g_n(y,Y,\beta)\right]^2
\end{aligned}
$$

and using similar steps as in the proof of Lemma 1 we shall show that this expression is small.
Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad g_n^{-1}(y,Y,\beta)\cdot\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_k}g_n(y,Y,\beta)\right| = \\
&= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}w\left(c_n^{-1}(y-(Y_i-X_i^T\beta))\right)\right]^{-1}\cdot\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{ik}w'\left(c_n^{-1}(y-(Y_i-X_i^T\beta))\right)\right|\cdot c_n^{-1} \le \\
&\le c_n^{-1}\sup_{i=1,\dots,n}|x_{ik}|\cdot\sup_{z\in\mathcal{R}}\frac{|w'(z)|}{w(z)} \le c_n^{-1}K_4\cdot K_2 \qquad \textbf{(2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used an inequality

$$
\frac{a_1+a_2}{b_1+b_2} \le \max\left\{\frac{a_1}{b_1},\frac{a_2}{b_2}\right\}
$$

valid for $b_1,\ b_2 > 0$.

Using all derived inequalities together with

$$\mathsf{E}\left[g_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(y,Y,\beta) - \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n(y,Y,\beta)\right]^2 \le (nc_n)^{-1}\sup_{z\in\mathcal{R}} w(z),$$

see [20], last line before Lemma 2, the assertion of the lemma follows. □

Remark 6. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_k}E^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n(-y,Y,\beta) = \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_k}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n\int w(c_n^{-1}(-y-z+X_i^T(\beta-\beta^0)))g(z)\,\mathrm{d}z\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \\
&= \frac{1}{2c_n}\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n\int w'(c_n^{-1}(-y-z+X_i^T(\beta-\beta^0)))x_{ik}g(z)\,\mathrm{d}z}{\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n\int w(c_n^{-1}(-y-z+X_i^T(\beta-\beta^0)))g(z)\,\mathrm{d}z\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2c_n}\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n\int w'(c_n^{-1}(y+z-X_i^T(\beta-\beta^0)))x_{ik}g(z)\,\mathrm{d}z}{\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n\int w(c_n^{-1}(y+z-X_i^T(\beta-\beta^0)))g(z)\,\mathrm{d}z\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2c_n}\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n\int w'(c_n^{-1}(y-t-X_i^T(\beta-\beta_0)))x_{ik}g(-t)\,\mathrm{d}t}{\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n\int w(c_n^{-1}(y-t-X_i^T(\beta-\beta_0)))g(-t)\,\mathrm{d}t\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2c_n}\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n\int w'(c_n^{-1}(y-t-X_i^T(\beta-\beta_0)))x_{ik}g(t)\,\mathrm{d}t}{\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n\int w(c_n^{-1}(y-t-X_i^T(\beta-\beta_0)))g(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It gives

$$\left.\frac{\partial\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n(-y,Y,\beta)}{\partial\beta_k}\right|_{\beta=\beta_0} = -\left.\frac{\partial\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n(y,Y,\beta)}{\partial\beta_k}\right|_{\beta=\beta_0}.$$

In a similar way we can show that

$$\mathsf{E}g_n(-y,Y,\beta^0) = \mathsf{E}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0).$$

The last equality has to be used to prove the next lemma. That is why this lemma holds only for $\beta = \beta^0$.

Lemma 4. For any k, $\ell = 1,2,\dots,p$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\left\{h_n(-y,Y,\beta^0)\cdot\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\beta_k\partial\beta_\ell}h_n(y,Y,\beta^0) - \right. \\
&\left. - \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n(-y,Y,\beta^0)\cdot\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\beta_k\partial\beta_\ell}\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)b_n^2(y)\right\}^2\mathrm{d}y = O_p(n^{-1}c_n^{-6}a_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5. For any $\beta\in\mathcal{R}^p$ and k, $\ell = 1,2,\dots,p$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\left\{\frac{\partial h_n(y,Y,\beta)}{\partial\beta_k}\cdot\frac{\partial h_n(-y,Y,\beta)}{\partial\beta_\ell} - \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_k}\cdot\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n(y,Y,\beta)\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta_\ell}\cdot\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n(-y,Y,\beta)b_n'(y)\right\}^2\mathrm{d}y = O_p(n^{-1}c_n^{-3}a_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6. For any $k, \ell = 1, 2, \ldots, p$

$$\int \frac{\partial^2 \mathsf{E} g_n(y, Y, \beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k \partial \beta_\ell} b_n(y) \mathrm{d}y = o(1).$$

Proof. The absolute value of above given integral is not larger than

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{nc_n^3} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{ik} x_{i\ell}| \cdot \left| \int \int w''(c_n^{-1}(y-z)) g(z) b_n(y) \mathrm{d}z \mathrm{d}y \right| = \\
= \; & \frac{1}{nc_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{ik} x_{i\ell}| \cdot \left| \int \int w''(t) g(y - tc_n) b_n(y) \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}y \right| \leq \\
\leq \; & \frac{1}{nc_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{ik} x_{i\ell}| \cdot \left| \int \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} w''(t) g(y - tc_n) \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}y \right| + \\
+ \; & \frac{1}{nc_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{ik} x_{i\ell}| \cdot \left| \int \int_{a_n < |x| < a_n + c_n^4} w''(t) g(y - tc_n) b_n(y) \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}y \right|. \qquad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the first integral. It is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{nc_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{ik} x_{i\ell}| \cdot \left| \int w''(t) \left[G(a_n - tc_n) - G(-a_n - tc_n) \right] \mathrm{d}t \right| = \\
= \; & \frac{1}{nc_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{ik} x_{i\ell}| \cdot \left| \int w''(t) \left\{ G(a_n) - G(-a_n) - \left[g(a_n) - g(-a_n) \right] tc_n + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \left[g'(\xi_n) - g'(\zeta_n) \right] t^2 c_n^2 \right\} \mathrm{d}t \right|
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_n \in (\min\{-a_n - tc_n, -a_n\}, \max\{-a_n - tc_n, -a_n\})$ and $\zeta_n \in (\min\{a_n - tc_n, a_n\}, \max\{a_n - tc_n, a_n\})$. Since $\int w''(t) \mathrm{d}t = [w\prime(t)]_{-\infty}^{\infty} = 0$ we have

$$\int w''(t) \left[G(a_n) - G(-a_n) \right] \mathrm{d}t = 0.$$

Similarly due to

$$g(a_n) = g(-a_n)$$

we have

$$\int w''(t) t \left[g(a_n) - g(-a_n) \right] \mathrm{d}t = 0.$$

Remember that $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{ik} x_{i\ell}| < K_4^2$. So, to finish the proof, we need to show that

$$c_n^{-2} \int \left[g'(\xi_n) - g'(\zeta_n) \right] w''(t) t^2 c_n^2 \mathrm{d}t$$

is small. It may be done as follows. Let us fix some $\varepsilon > 0$ and find K so that

$$\int_{|t| > K} |w''(t)| t^2 \mathrm{d}t < \frac{\varepsilon}{4K_5}.$$

(It is possible, because $|\int t^2 w''(t)\mathrm{d}t| \leq \int t^2|w''(t)|\mathrm{d}t \leq K_3 \int t^2 w(t)\mathrm{d}t < \infty$.)
Then we have

$$\left|\int_{|t|>K} [\,g'(\xi_n) - g'(\zeta_n)\,]\, w''(t)t^2\mathrm{d}t\right| \leq 2 \cdot K_5 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{4K_5} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Now we shall estimate that part of integral which is over $\{t:\ |t| < K\}$. Due to $I(g) = \int \frac{[g'(t)]^2}{g(t)}\mathrm{d}t$ being finite we have $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} \frac{[g'(t)]^2}{g(t)} = 0$ and due to fact that $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} g(t)$ is also zero we have

$$\lim_{|t|\to\infty} |g'(t)| = 0. \tag{4}$$

Denote by Q the integral $\int t^2|w''|\mathrm{d}t$. Due to (4) we may find $L > 0$ so that for any $|y| > L$ we have $|g'(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4Q}$. Finally find $n_0 \in \mathcal{N}$ so that for any $n \geq n_0$ we have $a_n > 2L$ and $c_n \cdot K < L$. Then for any such n we have $|g'(\xi_n)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4Q}$ as well as $|g'(\zeta_n)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4Q}$. Hence

$$\left|\int_{|t|<K} [\,g'(\xi_n) - g'(\zeta_n)\,]\, w''(t)t^2\mathrm{d}t\right| \leq 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{4Q}.Q = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

The proof for the second member in (3) is based on the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that $\int_{a_n<|y|<a_n+c_n^4} b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y \leq c_n^4$. □

Lemma 7. For any $k = 1, 2, \ldots, p$ we have

$$\int \left|\left[\frac{\partial h_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k} - \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k} b_n(y)\right] \times \right.$$
$$\left. \times\ [\,h_n(-y,Y,\beta^0) \ -\ \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(-y,Y,\beta^0) b_n(y)\,]\right| \mathrm{d}y = O_p(n^{-1}c_n^{-2}a_n).$$

Assertion 2.

$$\int \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k} \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) b_n^2(y)dy = 0.$$

Lemma 8. Let $n^{-1}c_n^{-6}a_n^2 \to 0$. Then for any $k = 1, 2, \ldots p$ we have

$$n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \left\{ \left[\frac{\partial h_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k} - \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k} b_n(y)\right] \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) b_n(y) - \right.$$
$$\left. -\ \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k} b_n(y) \left[h_n(y,Y,\beta^0) - \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) b_n(y)\right] \right\} \mathrm{d}y = o_p(1).$$

Proof. Using equality (1) we obtain

$$\left[\frac{\partial h_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k} - \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k} b_n(y)\right] \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) b_n(y) =$$
$$= \ b_n^2(y) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k} - \frac{\partial E g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k}\right]\right.$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} g_n^{-1}(y,Y,\beta^0)\left[g_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(y,Y,\beta^0) - \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)\right]^2$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} g_n^{-1}(y,Y,\beta^0)\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)\times$$

$$\times \left[g_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(y,Y,\beta^0) - \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)\right]\Big\} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} R_j.$$

Let us consider at first R_1. We have

$$\left|\int \left[\frac{\partial g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} - \frac{\partial \mathsf{E} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k}\right] b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y\right|$$

$$\leq \left|\int_{-a_n}^{a_n}\left[\frac{\partial g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} - \frac{\partial \mathsf{E} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k}\right]\mathrm{d}y\right| +$$

$$+ \left|\int_{a_n<|y|<a_n+c_n^4}\left[\frac{\partial g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} - \frac{\partial \mathsf{E} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k}\right]\mathrm{d}y\right|. \tag{5}$$

Let us study at first the first integral of the right-hand-side of the last inequality. Let us fix an $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Then a straightforward computation gives (notice the factor $n^{\frac{1}{2}}$)

$$P\left\{n^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\int_{-a_n}^{a_n}\left[\frac{\partial g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} - \frac{\partial \mathsf{E} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k}\right]\mathrm{d}y\right| > \varepsilon\right\} \leq$$

$$\leq P\left\{n^{\frac{1}{2}}\cdot\frac{1}{nc_n}\left|\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ik}\left[w(c_n^{-1}(a_n - Y_i + X_i^T\beta^0)) - \int w(c_n^{-1}(a_n - z))g(z)\mathrm{d}z\right]\right.\right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left.\left. - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ik}\left[w(c_n^{-1}(-a_n - Y_i + X_i^T\beta^0)) - \int w(c_n^{-1}(-a_n - z))g(z)\mathrm{d}z\right]\right|\right| > \varepsilon\right\}$$

$$\leq P\left\{n^{-\frac{1}{2}}c_n^{-1}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ik}\left[w(c_n^{-1}(a_n - Y_i + X_i\beta^0)) - \int w(c_n^{-1}(a_n - z))g(z)\mathrm{d}z\right]\right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} +$$

$$+ P\left\{n^{-\frac{1}{2}}c_n^{-1}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ik}\left[w(c_n^{-1}(-a_n - Y_i + X_i^T\beta^0)) - \int w(c_n^{-1}(-a_n - z))g(z)\mathrm{d}z\right]\right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}. \tag{6}$$

Let us write e_i instead of $Y_i - X_i^T\beta^0$. Then the first probability is bounded by

$$\frac{4}{\varepsilon^2 n c_n^2}\mathsf{E}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ik}\left[w(c_n^{-1}(a_n - e_i)) - \int w(c_n^{-1}(a_n - z))g(z)\mathrm{d}z\right]\right\}^2 \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{4\cdot K_4^2}{\varepsilon^2 n c_n^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathsf{E}\left\{w(c_n^{-1}(a_n - e_i)) - \int w(c_n^{-1}(a_n - z))g(z)\mathrm{d}z\right\}^2 \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{4\cdot K_4^2}{\varepsilon^2 c_n^2}\int w^2(c_n^{-1}(a_n - z))g(z)\mathrm{d}z = \frac{4.K_4^2}{\varepsilon^2 c_n}\int w^2(t).g(a_n - c_n t)\mathrm{d}t =$$

$$= \frac{4\cdot K_4^2}{\varepsilon^2 c_n}\int w^2(t)\left[g(a_n) - c_n t\ \ g'(a_n + \xi_n(a_n,t))\right]\mathrm{d}t$$

where $|\xi_n(a_n,t)| \le c_n|t|$. Since $\frac{g(a_n)}{c_n} \longrightarrow 0$ it follows

$$\frac{4\cdot K_4^2}{\varepsilon^2 c_n} g(a_n) \int w^2(t)dt \longrightarrow 0 \tag{7}$$

$$\left(\int w^2(t)\mathrm{d}t \le K_1 \int w(t)\mathrm{d}t = K_1\right). \tag{8}$$

The integral

$$\frac{4}{\varepsilon^2}\cdot K_4^2 \int g'(a_n+\xi_n(a_n,t))w^2(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$$

may be bounded using the fact that $|\xi_n(a_n,t)| \le c_n|t|$. Indeed, for any $L \in \mathcal{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int g'(a_n+\xi_n(a_n,t))tw^2(t)\mathrm{d}t\right| = \\ &= \left|\left\{\int_{|t|>L} + \int_{|t|\le L}\right\}\left\{g'(a_n+\xi_n(a_n,t))tw^2(t)\right\}\mathrm{d}t\right|.\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

At first fix $M > 0$ so that for any $|y| > M$ we have

$$|g'(y)| < \frac{\delta\varepsilon^2}{16\cdot K_1 K_6}.$$

Then select $n_0 \in \mathcal{N}$ and $L > 0$ so that for any $n \in \mathcal{N}, n \ge n_0$ it holds:

a) $\int_{|t|>L} |tw^2(t)|\mathrm{d}t < \frac{\delta\varepsilon^2}{16\cdot K_1\cdot K_4^4\cdot K_5}$,

b) $a_n - c_n\cdot L > M$

c) $\frac{g(a_n)}{c_n} \le \frac{\delta\varepsilon^2}{8\cdot K_1\cdot K_4^2}$

(see assumption iii) at the beginning of this part of paper). Now taking into account (7), (8) and (9) we see for $n \ge n_0$ that the first probability in (6) is bounded by $\frac{\delta}{2}$. The second probability in (6) may be treated along the similar lines. Let us consider now the second member or right-hand-side of (5) (again notice $n^{\frac{1}{2}}$). Probability that this member is larger than ε may be treated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}&P\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}c_n^{-2}\left|\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{a_n<|y|<a_n+c_n^4} x_{ik}\left[w'(c_n^{-1}(y-e_i)) - \mathsf{E}w'(c_n^{-1}(y-e_i))\right]\mathrm{d}y\right| > \varepsilon\right) \\ \le\ & \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 n c_n^4}\mathsf{E}\left\{\int_{a_n<|y|<a_n+c_n^4}\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ik}\left[w'(c_n^{-1}(y-e_i)) - \mathsf{E}w'(c_n^{-1}(y-e_i))\right]\mathrm{d}y\right\}^2 \le \\ \le\ & \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 n c_n^4}\mathsf{E}\left\{\int_{a_n<|y|<a_n+c_n^4}\mathrm{d}y \right. \\ & \left.\int_{a_n<|y|<a_n+c_n^4}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ik}\left[w'(c_n^{-1}(y-e_i)) - \mathsf{E}w'(c_n^{-1}(y-e_i))\right]\right\}^2\mathrm{d}y\right\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 n}\int_{a_n<|y|<a_n+c_n^4}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ik}\int\left[w'(c_n^{-1}(y-z))-\mathsf{E}w'(c_n^{-1}(y-e_i))\right]^2 \mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}y\right\}$$
$$\leq \frac{K_4^2}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{a_n<|y|<a_n+c_n^4}\int\left[w'(c_n^{-1}(y-z))\right]^2 g(z)\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}y$$

which converges to zero for $n\to\infty$. Hence $n^{\frac{1}{2}}R_1 = o_p(1)$. The same result one obtains for $n^{\frac{1}{2}}R_2$ using inequality (2) together with idea which the proof of Lemma 1 was based on. Really, one has

$$\left|\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k}g_n^{-1}(y,Y,\beta^0)\left[g_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(y,Y,\beta^0) - \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)\right]^2\right| \leq$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2}c_n^{-1}K_2\cdot K_4\cdot\mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)\left[g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)-\mathsf{E}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)\right]^2 = O_p(n^{-1}c_n^{-2})$$

(see proof of Lemma 1). It implies (under assumption of present lemma) that $n^{\frac{1}{2}}R_2 = o_p(1)$.

For the R_3 we may write (let us use a little abbreviated form because there cannot be any confusion)

$$\frac{\partial g_n}{\partial\beta_k}g_n^{-1}\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n\left[g_n^{\frac{1}{2}}-\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n\right] = \left\{\frac{\partial g_n}{\partial\beta_k}g_n^{-1}\mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n\left[g_n-\mathsf{E}g_n\right]+\right.$$
$$+\left.\left[\frac{\partial g_n}{\partial\beta_k} - \frac{\partial\mathsf{E}g_n}{\partial\beta_k}\right]\mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n\right\}\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n\left[g_n^{\frac{1}{2}}-E^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n\right]+$$
$$+\frac{\partial\mathsf{E}g_n}{\partial\beta_k}\mathsf{E}^{-\frac{1}{2}}g_n\left[g_n^{\frac{1}{2}}-\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{3}S_j.$$

Let us start with the first right-hand-side member (obtained after carrying out appropriate multiplication). We shall use again (2). Hence to show that $P(n^{\frac{1}{2}}|S_1|>\varepsilon)\to 0$ for $n\to\infty$ it is (more than) sufficient for $T_n = n^{\frac{1}{4}}\mathsf{E}^{-\frac{1}{2}}g_n[g_n-\mathsf{E}g_n]$ and $V_n = n^{\frac{1}{4}}[g_n^{\frac{1}{2}}-\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n]$ to prove that both converge to zero in probability. The Chebyshev inequality helps in both cases.

$$P(|T_n|>\varepsilon_1)\leq\frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\varepsilon_1^2}\mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n\mathsf{E}\left[g_n-\mathsf{E}g_n\right]^2 = O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}c_n^{-1}\right)$$

and

$$P(|V_n|>\varepsilon_1)\leq\frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\varepsilon_1^2}\mathsf{E}\left[g_n^{\frac{1}{2}}-\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}g_n\right]^2\leq\frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\varepsilon_1^2}\mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n\mathsf{E}\left[g_n-\mathsf{E}g_n\right]^2$$

where we have used Lemma 1 and inequality $(a-b)^2\leq b^{-2}(a^2-b^2)^2$ valid for $a\geq 0$ and $b>0$. A similar result may be obtained for $n^{\frac{1}{2}}S_2$. The last member, namely $n^{\frac{1}{2}}S_3$, stays on the left-hand-side of expression given in present lemma. That concludes the proof. □

The following two lemmas have been proved in [1] but were not stated explicitly there.

Lemma 9 (Beran [1]).

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} c_n^{-3} \int \frac{[\int w'(c_n^{-1}(y-z))g(z)\mathrm{d}z]^2}{\int w(c_n^{-1}(y-z))g(z)\mathrm{d}z}\mathrm{d}y = I(g).$$

Lemma 10 (Beran [1]).

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} [\, h_n(y,Y,\beta^0) - \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) b_n(y)\,]\, b_n(y)\mathrm{d}y \\ = \; & \frac{1}{2} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[\frac{\sum_{r=1}^n x_{rk}}{n}\right] \sum_{i=1}^n g'(Y_i - X_i\beta^0) g^{-1}(Y_i - X_i\beta^0) + o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We shall present nearly literally Beran's proof. We may write

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} [\, h_n(y,Y,\beta^0) - \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) b_n(y)\,]\, b_n(y)\mathrm{d}y = \\ = \; & \frac{1}{2} n^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} \mathsf{E}^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) \times \right. \\ \times \; & [\, g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) - \mathsf{E} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) b_n(y)\,]\, b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y \\ - \; & \int \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} \mathsf{E}^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) \\ & \left. [\, h_n(y,Y,\beta^0) - \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) b_n(y)\,]^2\, b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} E^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) \right| \leq \\ \leq \; & c_n^{-1} \left| \frac{K_4 \int w'(c_n^{-1}(y-z))g(z)\mathrm{d}z}{\int w(c_n^{-1}(y-z))g(z)\mathrm{d}z} \right| \leq \\ \leq \; & c_n^{-1} \frac{K_4 \int \left| \frac{w'(c_n^{-1}(y-z))}{w(c_n^{-1}(y-z))} \right|}{w(c_n^{-1}(y-z))d(z)\mathrm{d}z} \int w(c_n^{-1}(y-z))g(z)\mathrm{d}z \leq \\ \leq \; & c^{-1} \cdot K_2 \cdot K_4 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathsf{E}[\, h_n(y,Y,\beta^0) \; - \; \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) b_n(y)\,]^2 \leq n^{-1} c_n^{-1} K_1 \cdot b_n^2(y)$$

(see the proof of Lemma 1) we obtain that the second integral of the right-hand-side of (10) is $O_p(n^{-1}c_n^2 a_n)$ and after multiplication by $n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ converges to zero in probability. Let us put

$$s_n(y) = c_n^{-1} \int w(c_n^{-1}(y-z))g(z)\mathrm{d}z$$

and

$$s(y) = g^{\frac{1}{2}}(y).$$

Then for the first integral of right-hand-side of (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathsf{var}\left\{n^{\frac{1}{2}}\int \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k}\mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)\left[g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)-\mathsf{E}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)\right]b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y\right\}\\
=\;& c_n^{-2}\,\mathsf{var}\left\{\int \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k}\mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)\right.\\
&\qquad\left.\left[w(c_n^{-1}(y-Y_i+X_i\beta^0))-\mathsf{E}w(c_n^{-1}(y-Y_i+X_i^T\beta^0))\right]b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y\right\}\\
\le\;& c_n^{-2}\mathsf{E}\left[\int \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k}\mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)w(c_n^{-1}(y-Y_i+X_i^T\beta^0))b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y\right]^2\\
=\;& 2c_n^{-2}\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ik}}{n}\right]^2\mathsf{E}\left[\int\; s_n'(y)\cdot s_n^{-1}\cdot w(c_n^{-1}(y-Y_i+X_i^T\beta^0))b_n^2(y)dy\right]^2\le\\
\le\;& 2c_n^{-2}\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ik}}{n}\right]^2\mathsf{E}\left\{\int\left[\frac{s_n'(y)}{s_n(y)}\quad w^{\frac{1}{2}}(c_n^{-1}(y-Y_i+X_i^T\beta^0))\right]^2\mathrm{d}y\cdot\right.\\
&\qquad\left.\int w(c_n^{-1}(y-Y_i+X_i\beta^0))b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y\right\}^2\\
\le\;& 2c_n^{-1}\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ik}}{n}\right]^2\int\left\{\left[\frac{s_n'(y)}{s_n(y)}\right]^2\int w(c_n^{-1}(y-z))g(z)dz\right\}\mathrm{d}y=\\
=\;& 2\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ik}}{n}\right]^2\int\left[s_n'(y)\right]^2\mathrm{d}y\le 2\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ik}}{n}\right]^2\int\left[s'(y)\right]^2\mathrm{d}y.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by W_{nk} the integral

$$n^{\frac{1}{2}}\int \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k}\mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)\left[g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)\;-\;\mathsf{E}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)\right]b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y.$$

Further, again following [1], let us denote

$$U_{nk}=n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ik}}{n}\right]\sum_{j=1}^n s'(Y_j-X_j^T\beta^0)s^{-1}(Y_j-X_j^T\beta^0).$$

Then we have

$$\mathsf{var}\,U_{nk}=\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ik}}{n}\right]^2\int[s'(y)]^2\mathrm{d}y$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{cov}\,(W_{nk},\;\;U_{nk})=\\
=\;&\mathsf{E}\left\{\int \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k}\mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)\left[g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)\;-\;\mathsf{E}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)\right]b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y\cdot\right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ik}}{n}\right] \sum_{j=1}^{n} s'(Y_j - X_j^T\beta^0)s^{-1}(Y_j - X_j^T\beta^0)\Bigg\} =$$

$$= \mathsf{E}\left\{\frac{1}{nc_n}\sum_{r=1}^{n}\frac{x_{rk}}{n}\int \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial\beta_k}\mathsf{E}^{-1}g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)\cdot\right.$$

$$\cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n}[\,w(c_n^{-1}(y - Y_i + X_i^T\beta^0)) \quad - \quad \mathsf{E}w(c_n^{-1}(y - Y_i + X_i^T\beta^0))\,]\,b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y\cdot$$

$$\left.\cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} s'(Y_j - X_j^T\beta^0)s^{-1}(Y_j - X_j^T\beta^0)\right\} =$$

$$= c_n^{-2}\sum_{r=1}^{n}\left[\frac{x_{rk}}{n}\right]^2 \int\int \{\mathsf{E}w'(c_n^{-1}(y - (Y_1 - X_1^T\beta^0)))\cdot \mathsf{E}^{-1}w(c_n^{-1}(y - (Y_1 - X_1^T\beta^0)))\}$$

$$\cdot\ [\,w(c_n^{-1}(y - z))) \quad - \quad \int \quad w(c_n^{-1}(y - t))g(t)\mathrm{d}t\,]\,b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y.s'(z)s(z)\mathrm{d}z$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}c_n^{-2}[\,\textstyle\sum_{r=1}^{n} \quad \frac{x_{rk}}{n}\,]^2 \displaystyle\int\int \{[\int \quad w'(c_n^{-1}(y - t))g(t)\mathrm{d}t\,]\}$$

$$[\int \quad w(c_n^{-1}(y - t))g(t)\mathrm{d}t\,]^{-1}\, w(c_n^{-1}(y - z))b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y\cdot s'(z)s(z)\mathrm{d}z$$

since $Es'(Y_i - X_i^T\beta^0)s^{-1}(Y_i - X_i^T\beta^0) = 0$. The last expression is equal to

$$c_n^{-1}\,[\,\textstyle\sum_{r=1}^{n}\frac{x_{rk}}{n}\,]^2 \displaystyle\int\int s_n'(y)\cdot s_n^{-1}(y)\cdot w(c_n^{-1}(y - z))b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y\cdot s'(z)s(z)\mathrm{d}z. \qquad (11)$$

Now let us put

$$d_n(y) = c_n^{-1}\cdot s_n^{-1}(y)\cdot \int w(c_n^{-1}(y - z))s'(z)s(z)\mathrm{d}z.$$

Since $s'(y) \in \mathcal{L}_2$ there exists for every $\varepsilon > 0$ a differentiable function $\psi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_2$ such that $\psi_\varepsilon' \in \mathcal{L}_2$ and $\|s'(y) - \psi_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the $\mathcal{L}_2$-norm. Then put also

$$d_{n,\varepsilon}(y) = c_n^{-1}.s_n^{-1}(y)\cdot \int w(c_n^{-1}(y - z))\psi_\varepsilon(z)s(z)\mathrm{d}z.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\int d_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y \le \int\left[c_n^{-2}s_n^{-2}(y)\left\{\int w(c_n^{-1}(y - z))s^2(z)\mathrm{d}z\right\}\left\{\int w(c_n^{-1}(y - z))[s'(z)]^2\mathrm{d}z\right\}\right]\mathrm{d}y$$

$$= c_n^{-1}\int\left\{\int w(c_n^{-1}(y - z))[s'(z)]^2\mathrm{d}z\right\}\mathrm{d}y$$

$$= \int [s'(z)]^2\mathrm{d}z = \|s'\|^2.$$

Since also

$$\|d_{n,\varepsilon}(y)\|^2 = \int c_n^{-2}s_n^{-2}(y)\left\{\int w(c_n^{-1}(y - z))\psi_\varepsilon(z)s(z)\mathrm{d}z\right\}^2\mathrm{d}y$$

$$= \int\left\{c_n^{-1}s_n^{-2}(y)\int w(c_n^{-1}(y - z))s^2(z)\mathrm{d}z\cdot c_n^{-1}\int w(c_n^{-1}(y - z)[\psi_\varepsilon(z)]^2\mathrm{d}z\right\}\mathrm{d}y$$

$$= c_n^{-1}\int\int w(c_n^{-1}(y - z))[\psi_\varepsilon(z)]^2\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}y = \int \psi_\varepsilon^2(z)\mathrm{d}z = \|\psi_\varepsilon\| \qquad (12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n,\varepsilon}(y) &= c_n^{-1} \cdot s_n^{-1}(y) \cdot \int w(c_n^{-1}(y-z))\psi_\varepsilon(z)s(z)\mathrm{d}z = \\ &= s_n^{-1}(y) \int w(t)\psi_\varepsilon(y - c_n t)s(y - c_n t)\mathrm{d}t \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d_{n,\varepsilon}(y) = \psi_\varepsilon(y),$$

it follows by Vitali's theorem that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int \psi_\varepsilon(y) d_{n,\varepsilon}(y)\mathrm{d}y = \|\psi_\varepsilon\|^2. \tag{13}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|d_{n,\varepsilon} - d_n\|^2 &= \int c_n^{-2} s_n^{-2}(y) \left\{ \int w(c_n^{-1}(y-z))[\psi_\varepsilon(z) - s'(z)]s(z)\mathrm{d}z \right\}^2 \mathrm{d}y \\ \leq & \int \left\{ c_n^{-1} s_n^{-2}(y) \int w(c_n^{-1}(y-z))s^2(z)\mathrm{d}z \right\} \cdot \\ & \cdot \left\{ c_n^{-1} \int w(c_n^{-1}(y-z))[\psi_\varepsilon(z) - s'(z)]^2\mathrm{d}z \right\} \mathrm{d}y \\ = & \int [\psi_\varepsilon(z) - s'(z)]^2\mathrm{d}z = \|\psi_\varepsilon - s'\|^2 \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \psi_\varepsilon(y) d_{n,\varepsilon}(y)\mathrm{d}y - \int s'(y) d_n(y)\mathrm{d}y \right| \leq \\ \leq & \left| \int [\psi_\varepsilon(y) - s'(y)] d_{n,\varepsilon}(y)\mathrm{d}y \right| + \left| \int s'(y)[d_{n,\varepsilon}(y) - d_n(y)]\mathrm{d}y \right| \leq \\ \leq & \left\{ \int [\psi_\varepsilon(y) - s'(y)]^2\mathrm{d}y \int d_{n,\varepsilon}^2(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \\ + & \left\{ \int [s'(y)]^2\mathrm{d}y \int [d_{n,\varepsilon}(y) - d_n(y)]^2\mathrm{d}y \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq & \varepsilon \{ \|d_{n,\varepsilon}\| + \|s'\| \} \leq \varepsilon \{ \|\psi_\varepsilon\| + \|s'\| \}. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality and (13) imply that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int s'(y) d_n(y)\mathrm{d}y = \|s'(y)\|^2. \tag{14}$$

(Really we may write

$$s' d_n = s'(d_n - d_{n,\varepsilon}) + (s' - \psi_\varepsilon)d_{n,\varepsilon} + \psi_\varepsilon(d_{n,\varepsilon} - \psi_\varepsilon) + (\psi_\varepsilon^2 - [s']^2) + [s']^2$$

and value of the integral of any member of the right-hand-side, except the last one, can be bounded by some constant multiplyed by ε which was fixed but arbitrary.) Finally using (11), (12) and (14) we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{cov}\,(\,W_{nk},\quad U_{nk}\,) = [\,\textstyle\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{x_{rk}}{n}\,]^2 \int [s'(y)]^2 \mathrm{d}y \cdot$$

$$\Big(\operatorname{cov}\,(W_{nk}, U_{nk})\Big) = c_n^{-1}\,[\,\textstyle\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{x_{rk}}{n}\,]^2 \int\int s_n'(y) s_n^{-1}(y) w(c_n^{-1}(y-z)) b_n^2(y) \mathrm{d}y s'(z) s(z) \mathrm{d}$$

$$= \; [\,\textstyle\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{x_{rk}}{n}\,]^2 \int s_n'(y) d_n(y) b_n^2(y) \mathrm{d}y.$$

But,

$$\left| \int \{s'(y) d_n(y) - s_n'(y) d_n(y)\}\, b_n^2(y) \mathrm{d}y \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \; \left\{ \int [s' - s_n']^2 dy \int d_n^2(y) \mathrm{d}y \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } n \to \infty$$

and making use of (14) one obtains

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int s_n'(y) d_n(y) \mathrm{d}y = \|s'(y)\|^2 .)$$

□

3. ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY

In this section we will give the main result of the paper. Let us summarize all assumptions we have made and we will need for the Theorem 2.

We have assumed that "the random errors" in model (1) are i. i. d. according to the d.f. G which has finite Fisher information $I(g)$. It mean that the d.f. G is supposed to be twice differentiable. Denote the first and the second derivative by g and by g', respectively. Moreover g is assumed to be symmetric around zero. Then we have required the existence of constant $K_1, \ldots, K_6$ such that for the kernel w, the design matrix and the derivative of density g' we have

$$\sup_{y\in\mathcal{R}} w(y) < K_1, \qquad \sup_{y\in\mathcal{R}} \tfrac{|w'(y)|}{w(y)} < K_2,$$

$$\sup_{y\in\mathcal{R}} \tfrac{|w''(y)|}{w(y)} < K_3, \qquad \sup_{i\in\mathcal{N}} \sup_{j=1,\ldots,p} |x_{ij}| < K_4,$$

$$\sup_{y\in\mathcal{R}} |g'(y)| < K_5 \quad \text{and} \quad \int y^2 w(y) \mathrm{d}y = K_6 < \infty.$$

For the bandwidths $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \searrow 0$ and the supports (given by a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \nearrow \infty$) of kernel estimate we need

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n c_n^{4p} a_n^{-2p} = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{g(a_n)}{c_n} = 0.$$

Basic conditions for identifiability of model were the following: For any $\delta > 0$ there are $\Delta \in (0,1)$ and $K_\Delta \in \mathcal{R}$ so that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\beta \in C_p(\delta, K_\Delta, \beta^0)} \int \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta)\, \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(-y,Y,\beta)\mathrm{d}y < \Delta$$

and

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\beta \in C_p K_\Delta, \beta^0)} \int h_n(y,Y,\beta) h_n(-y,Y,\beta)\mathrm{d}y < \Delta \qquad \text{in probability.}$$

Let us write throughout this section $\hat{\beta}^n$ instead of $\hat{\beta}_{(n)}(Y)$ and for any function $F = F(\beta)$ write $\frac{\partial F(\hat{\beta}^n)}{\partial \beta_k}$ instead of $\frac{\partial F(\beta)}{\partial \beta_k}\Big|_{\beta=\hat{\beta}^n}$.

Theorem 2. Under the just summed up conditions we have for $\hat{\beta}^n$ the following asymptotic representation

$$n^{-\frac{1}{2}} I(g) \cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^{p} (\hat{\beta}_\ell^n - \beta_\ell^0) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i\ell} = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g'(Y_i - X_i^T\beta^0) g^{-1}(Y_i - X_i^T\beta^0) + o_p(1).$$

Proof. Since $\hat{\beta}^n$ maximizes

$$\int h_n(y,Y,\beta) h_n(-y,Y,\beta)\mathrm{d}y$$

over all $\beta \in \mathcal{R}^p$, it follows (see Assertion 1 and Remark 5) that for every $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $k = 1,2,\ldots,p$

$$\int \frac{\partial h_n(y,Y,\hat{\beta}^n)}{\partial \beta_k} h_n(-y,Y,\hat{\beta}^n)\mathrm{d}y = 0. \tag{15}$$

Now expanding $\frac{\partial h_n(y,Y,\beta)}{\partial \beta_k} h_n(-y,Y,\beta)$ at the point β^0 to approximate $\frac{\partial h_n(y,Y,\hat{\beta}^n)}{\partial \beta_k} h_n(-y,Y,\hat{\beta}^n)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \frac{\partial h_n(y,Y,\hat{\beta}^n)}{\partial \beta_k} h_n(-y,Y,\hat{\beta}^n)\mathrm{d}y = \int \frac{\partial h_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} h_n(-y,Y,\beta^0)\mathrm{d}y + \\
&+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{p} \left\{ \int \left[\frac{\partial^2 h_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k \partial \beta_\ell} h_n(-y,Y,\beta^0) + \frac{\partial h_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} \frac{\partial h_n(-y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_\ell} \right] \mathrm{d}y \right\} \cdot \\
&(\hat{\beta}_\ell^n - \beta_\ell^0) + (\hat{\beta}^n - \beta^0)^T \mathbb{R} (\hat{\beta}^n - \beta^0),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\sup_{r,s=1,\ldots,p} |\mathbb{R}_{rs}| = O_p(1)$. Now successively using (15), Lemma 4 and 5 and multiplying the whole equality by $n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{n} \int \frac{\partial h_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} h_n(-y,Y,\beta^0)\mathrm{d}y = \\
&= -\sum_{\ell=1}^{p} \left\{ \int \left[\frac{\partial^2 \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k \partial \beta_\ell} \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(-y,Y,\beta^0) + \right. \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \quad \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(-y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_\ell} \Big] b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y +$$

$$+ \quad \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\hat\beta_j^n - \beta_j^0) \cdot \mathbb{R}_{j\ell} + O_p(n^{-1}c_n^{-3}a_n) \Big\} \sqrt{n}(\hat\beta_\ell^n - \beta_\ell^0).$$

A straightforward computation gives

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k \partial \beta_\ell} \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 \mathsf{E} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k \partial \beta_\ell} \mathsf{E}^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) - \right.$$

$$\left. - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathsf{E} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} \mathsf{E}^{-\frac{3}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathsf{E} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_\ell} \right\} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathsf{E} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k \partial \beta_\ell} - \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_\ell}.$$

Now making use of Lemma 6 and Remark 6 we may write

$$\sqrt{n} \int \frac{\partial h_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} h_n(-y,Y,\beta^0)\mathrm{d}y =$$

$$= 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{p} \left\{ \int \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(-y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_\ell} b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y \right.$$

$$\left. - \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\hat\beta_j^n - \beta_j^0) \cdot \{\mathbb{R}\}_{j\ell} + O_p(n^{-1}c_n^{-3}a_n) + o(1) \right\} \sqrt{n}(\hat\beta_\ell^n - \beta_\ell^0).$$

Finally, due to Lemma 7 and 8 we obtain

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{p} \sqrt{n}(\hat\beta_\ell^n - \beta_\ell^0) \left\{ \int \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(-y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_\ell} b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y + \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\hat\beta_j^n - \beta_j^0) \cdot \{\mathbb{R}\}_{j\ell} + O_p(n^{-1}c_n^{-3}a_n) \right\} =$$

$$= \sqrt{n} \int \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} b_n(y) \left[h_n(-y,Y,\beta^0) - \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) b_n(y) \right] \mathrm{d}y + o_p(1).$$

The last equality may be rewritten to

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{p} \sqrt{n}(\hat\beta_\ell^n - \beta_\ell^0) \left\{ \frac{c_n^{-3} \int [\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{x_{rk}}{n}] [\sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{x_{s\ell}}{n}] \frac{1}{2} [\int w'(c_n^{-1}(y-z)) g(z)\mathrm{d}z]^2}{\int w(c_n^{-1}(y-z)) g(z)\mathrm{d}z\, b_n^2(y)\mathrm{d}y + } \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\hat\beta_j^n - \beta_j^0) \cdot \{\mathbb{R}\}_{j\ell} + O_p(n^{-1}c_n^{-3}a_n) \right\} =$$

$$= \sqrt{n} \int \frac{\partial \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0)}{\partial \beta_k} b_n(y) \left[h_n(-y,Y,\beta^0) - \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}} g_n(y,Y,\beta^0) b_n(y) \right] \mathrm{d}y + o_p(1).$$

Using Lemma 9 and 10 and denoting for any $k = 1, 2, \ldots, p$ $n^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{n} x_{rk}$ by $\bar{x}_k$ we arrive at

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{p} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_\ell^n - \beta_\ell^0)\bar{x}_k \bar{x}_\ell \left\{ I(g) + o(1) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\hat{\beta}_j^n - \beta_j^0) \cdot \{\mathbb{R}\}_{j\ell} + O_p(n^{-1} c_n^{-3} a_n) \right\}$$

$$= n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{x}_k \sum_{i=1}^{n} g'(Y_i - X_i^T \beta^0) g^{-1}(Y_i - X_i^T \beta^0) + o_p(1).$$

From it follows that for any $\ell = 1, 2, \ldots, p$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_\ell^n - \beta_\ell^0) = O_p(1)$$

and that concludes the proof. □

4. NUMERICAL STUDY

A very first idea about numerical performance of adaptive estimator may be built up on the following tables. We have used well known Salinity and Stackloss data sets. Their description and explanation may be found in a lot of papers and books, e. g., [12] or [11].

Let us explain abbreviations in the following tables.

LS – denotes Least Squares estimate;

$\hat{\beta}(.5)$ – regression quantiles for $\alpha = .5$;

$\hat{\beta}_{PE}(.10)$ – the estimator is defined as follows: use a preliminary estimator $\hat{\beta}_{\text{preliminary}}$ (in our case $\hat{\beta}_{\text{preliminary}} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\beta}(.1) + \hat{\beta}(.9)$ was used) and evaluate residuals; after trimming off 10 % points having the largest values and 10 % points having the smallest values of residuals apply LS to the rest;

$\hat{\beta}_{KB}(.15)$ – Trimmed Least Squares estimate after trimming off points according to regression quantiles $\hat{\beta}(.15)$ and $\hat{\beta}(.85)$;

Huber – M-estimate with $\psi(x) = \operatorname{sign} x \cdot \min\{|x|, 1.25\}$ and with $1.483 \cdot MAD$ as a scale estimate used for rescaling of residuals;

Andrews – M-estimate with $\psi(x) = \sin(x) \cdot I_{\{|x| < \pi\}}$ (MAD as a scale estimate was used);

LMS – Least Median of Squares (in fact model in which $([\frac{n}{2}] + [\frac{p+1}{2}])$-th order statistic of residuals was minimized);

LTS (Rousseeuw) – Least Trimmed Squares (in fact this estimate is $\hat{\beta}_{PE}(\alpha)$ where as the preliminary estimator serves LMS);

Adaptive – adaptive estimator from this paper;

TLS (Adaptive) – Trimmed Least Square where trimming was according to Adaptive estimator and in both cases of the data sets four points were trimmed off. More precisely, when calculating results in the last line of the next tables for Salinity data the points 5, 16, 23 and 24 were trimmed off; while for Stackloss data the points 1, 3, 4 and 21 were excluded.

SALINITY DATA

Method	Estimates of coefficients			
	Intercept	Sallag	Trend	H_2O Flow
LS	9.59	.777	-.026	-.295
$\hat{\beta}(.5)$	14.21	.740	-.111	-.458
$\hat{\beta}_{PE}(.10)$	14.49	.774	-.160	-.488
$\hat{\beta}_{KB}(.15)$	9.69	.800	-.128	-.290
Huber	13.36	.756	-.094	-.439
Andrews	17.22	.733	-.196	-.578
LMS	36.70	.356	-.073	-1.298
LTS (Rousseeuw)	35.54	.436	-.061	-1.277
Adaptive	36.70	.367	-.071	-1.276
TLS (Adaptive)	30.28	.589	-.259	-1.091

Method	Estimates of coefficients			
	Intercept	Air Flow	Temperature	Acid
LS	39.92	-.72	-1.30	.15
$\hat{\beta}(.5)$	39.69	-.83	-.57	.06
$\hat{\beta}_{PE}(.10)$	40.37	-.72	-.96	.07
$\hat{\beta}_{KB}(.15)$	42.83	-.93	-.63	.10
Huber	41.00	-.83	-.91	.13
Andrews	37.20	-.82	-.52	.07
LMS	34.50	-.71	-.36	.00
LTS (Rousseeuw)	35.48	-.68	-.56	.01
Adaptive	34.50	-.72	-.36	.00
TLS (Adaptive)	37.65	-.80	-.58	.07

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author expresses his thanks to Jaromír Antoch for his very valuable comments on the manuscript of paper which considerably improved its comprehensibility.

(Received June 19, 1990.)

REFERENCES

[1] R. Beran: An efficient and robust adaptive estimator of location. Ann. Statist. *6* (1978), 292 – 313.

[2] P. J. Bickel: The 1980 Wald Memorial Lectures – On adaptive estimation. Ann. Statist. *10* (1982), 647 – 671.

[3] Y. Dodge: An introduction to statistical data analysis L_1-norm based. In: Statistical Data Analysis Based on the L_1-norm and Related Methods (Y. Dodge, ed.), North-Holland, Amsterdam 1987.

[4] J. Jurečková: Regression quantiles and trimmed least square estimator under a general design. Kybernetika *20* (1984), 345 – 357.

[5] F. R. Hampel, E. M. Ronchetti, P. J. Rousseeuw and W. A. Stahel: Robust Statistics: The Approach Based on Influence Functions. J. Wiley, New York 1986.

[6] G. Heimann: Adaptive und robuste Schätzer in Regression modellen. Ph. D. Dissertation, Institut für Math. Stochastik, Universität Hamburg 1988.

[7] R. Koenker: A lecture read at Charles University during his visit in Prague 1989.
[8] R. Koenker and G. Basset: Regression quantiles. Econometrica *46* (1978), 33 – 50.
[9] H. Koul and F. DeWet: Minimum distance estimation in a linear regression model. Ann. Statist. *11* (1983), 921 – 932.
[10] R. A. Maronna and V. J. Yohai: Asymptotic behaviour of general M-estimates for regression and scale with random carriers. Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete *58* (1981), 7 – 20.
[11] P. J. Rousseeuw and A. M. Leroy: Robust Regression and Outlier Detection. J. Wiley, New York 1987.
[12] D. Ruppert and R. J. Carroll: Trimmed least squares estimation in linear model. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. *75* (1980), 828 – 838.
[13] A. V. Skorokhod: Limit theorems for stochastic processes. Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. *1* (1956), 261 – 290.
[14] C. Stein: Efficient nonparametric testing and estimation. In: Proc. Third Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob. *1* (1956), 187 – 196. Univ. of California Press, Berkeley, Calif. 1956.
[15] C. Stone: Adaptive maximum likelihood estimators of a location parameter. Ann. Statist. *3* (1975), 267 – 284.
[16] J. Á. Víšek: What is adaptivity of regression analysis intended for? In: Transactions of ROBUST'90, JČMF, Prague 1990, pp. 160 – 181.
[17] J. Á. Víšek: Adaptive Estimation in Linear Regression Model. Research Report No. 1642, Institute of Information Theory and Automation, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague 1990.
[18] J. Á. Víšek: Adaptive Maximum-likelihood-like Estimation in Linear Model. Research Report No. 1654, Institute of Information Theory and Automation, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague 1990.
[19] J. Á. Víšek: Adaptive estimation in linear regression model and test of symmetry of residuals. Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on Model-Oriented Data Anaylsis, Saint Kyrik, Plovdiv, Bulgaria 1990 (to appear).
[20] J. Á. Víšek: Adaptive estimation in linear regression model. Part 1: Consistency. Kybernetika *28* (1992), 1, 26 – 36.

RNDr. Jan Ámos Víšek, CSc., Ústav teorie informace a automatizace ČSAV (Institute of Information Theory and Automation – Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences), Pod vodárenskou věží 4, 182 08 Praha 8. Czechoslovakia.