

Ivan Mezník

On  $G$ -machines generating intersection and union of generable languages

*Kybernetika*, Vol. 11 (1975), No. 6, (391)--397

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125730>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1975

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*  
<http://project.dml.cz>

## On G-machines Generating Intersection and Union of Generable Languages

IVAN MEZNÍK

The article deals with the construction of G-machine generating to given two G-machines the union (if they satisfy certain necessary and sufficient conditions) and the intersection of their languages.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a G-machine was introduced in [2] as a certain generalization of machines studied in [1] and [4]. A generable language is the set of all "words" generated by a G-machine (in the mentioned references "generable set" instead of "generable language" is used). The class of generable languages is closed under intersection, but not generally under union (see [3]). We shall deal with the construction of G-machines generating to given two G-machines the intersection and the union of their languages.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Denotation.**  $T = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $\bar{T} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $T_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\bar{T}_n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

**2.2.** Let  $I$  be a finite set (including the empty set). Denote  $I^\infty$  the set of all nonvoid sequences of elements of  $I$ . These sequences are called *words*. For  $w \in I^\infty$ ,  $m \in T$ ,  $w = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{m-1})$  put  $l(w) = m$ . For  $w \in I^\infty$ ,  $w = (s_0, s_1, \dots)$  put  $l(w) = \infty$ . The symbol  $l(w)$  is called *the length of w*. Instead of  $w = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{m-1})$  and  $w = (s_0, s_1, \dots)$  we write  $w = s_0 s_1 \dots s_{m-1}$  and  $w = s_0 s_1 \dots$  or  $w = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} s_i$  and  $w = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i$ , respectively. Considering a word of finite or infinite length we use the denotation

392  $\prod_i s_i$ . For  $k \in T$  by the symbol  $(s_0 s_1 \dots s_{m-1})^k$  we understand the word  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_m s_{m+1} \dots$   
 $\dots s_{2m} s_{2m+1} \dots s_{km-1}$ , where  $s_{im+j} = s_j$  for all  $i \in \overline{T}_{k-1}$  and all  $j \in \overline{T}_{m-1}$ . Further,  
 by the symbol  $(s_0 s_1 \dots s_{m-1})^\infty$  we understand the word  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_m s_{m+1} \dots s_{nm} s_{nm+1} \dots$   
 where  $s_{nm+j} = s_j$  for all  $j \in \overline{T}_{m-1}$  and all  $n \in \overline{T}$ . For  $m = 1$  we omit the brackets and  
 write  $s_0^k, s_0^\infty$ .

**2.3. Convention.** In the relation  $C \subseteq I^\infty$  we suppose that every element from  $I$  is included in at least one sequence from  $C$ .

**2.4.** A *G-machine* is a triple  $M = (S, I, \delta)$ , where  $S$  is a nonvoid finite set,  $I \subset S(I \neq S)$ ,  $\delta$  is a mapping of  $I$  into the set of all nonvoid subsets of  $S$ . In the following,  $M$  is to be understood as G-machine  $M = (S, I, \delta)$ . Let  $m \in T$ . A word  $\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} s_i$  or  $\prod_{i=0}^\infty s_i$  is called an *output word* of the length  $m$  or  $\infty$  respectively, if  $s_0 \in I$ ,  $s_{i+1} \in \delta(s_i) \cap I$  for all  $i \in \overline{T}_{m-2}$  or for all  $i \in \overline{T}$ . An output word  $w = \prod_i s_i$  is called a *word generated by  $M$*  if either  $l(w) = \infty$  or  $l(w) = m$  and there exists  $v \in \delta(s_{m-1}) \cap (S - I)$ . To distinguish that  $\prod_i s_i$  is an output word of G-machine  $M$  we use the denotation  $\prod_i s_i(\delta)$ . The set of all words generated by  $M$  is denoted  $L(M)$  and called the *language of  $M$* . A set  $C, C \subseteq I^\infty$  is called a *generable language* if there exists  $M$  such that  $C = L(M)$ .

**2.5.** A pair  $(s, v)$  is called *productive* if  $s \in I$  and  $v \in \delta(s) \cap I$  and *unproductive* if  $s \in I$  and  $v \in \delta(s) \cap (S - I)$ . Denote  $P_\delta$  the set of all productive pairs and  $N_\delta$  the set of all unproductive pairs. Then  $\delta = P_\delta \cup N_\delta$ . For every  $s \in I$  put  $N^s = \{(s, v) \mid (s, v) \in N_\delta\}$ . Choose from every  $N_\delta \neq \emptyset$  an arbitrary  $(s, v^s)$  (a representative) and put  $N_\delta^R = \bigcup_{s \in I, N^s \neq \emptyset} (s, v^s)$  and  $\delta^R = P_\delta \cup N_\delta^R$ . G-machine  $M^R = (S, I, \delta^R)$  is said to be *result of reduction of  $M$* .

**2.6.** G-machines  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$  and  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  are said to be *equivalent* if  $L(M_1) = L(M_2)$ . Then we write  $M_1 \sim M_2$ .

**2.7.** Let  $I$  be a finite set,  $C \subseteq I^\infty$  and  $I \subset S(I \neq S)$ , where  $S$  is a nonvoid finite set. Suppose  $I \neq \emptyset$  (then according to Convention 2.3  $C \neq \emptyset$ ). Denote  $c$  an element (sequence) from  $C$  and by  $s_i$  the  $(i + 1)$ -th element of  $c$ ,  $c = \prod_i s_i$  for all  $i \in \overline{T}_{m-1}$  if  $l(c) = m \in T$  and for all  $i \in \overline{T}$  if  $l(c) = \infty$ . For  $c \in C$ ,  $c = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} s_i (m \in T)$  put  $P(c) = \bigcup_k (s_k, s_{k+1})$  for all  $k \in \overline{T}_{m-2}$  and  $N(c) = (s_{m-1}, v)$ , where  $v$  is an arbitrary element

of  $(S - I)$ . For  $c \in C$ ,  $c = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i$  put  $P(c) = \bigcup_k (s_k, s_{k+1})$  for all  $k \in \bar{T}$ . Denote  $P = \bigcup_{c \in C} P(c)$ ,  $N = \bigcup_{c \in C} N(c)$ ,  $\delta[C] = P \cup N$ . If  $I = \emptyset$  put  $\delta[C] = \emptyset$ . Define G-machine  $M[C] = (S, I, \delta[C])$ .

### 3. G-MACHINES $M_p$ AND $M_U$

**3.1. Proposition.**  $M \sim M^R$ .

(See [2], Corollary 2.)

**3.2. Proposition.** Let  $I$  be a finite set,  $C \subseteq I^\infty$ .  $C$  is a generable language iff  $C = L(M[C])$ .

(See [2], Theorem 6 and Corollary 3.)

**3.3. Proposition.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines. Then the following statements (A), (B) are equivalent:

(A)  $P_{\delta_1} = P_{\delta_2}$  and there exists  $(s, v^1) \in N_{\delta_1}$  iff there exists  $(s, v^2) \in N_{\delta_2}$ .

(B)  $M_1 \sim M_2$ .

(See [2], Corollary 5.)

**3.4. Definition.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines. Put

(1)  $P_1 = \{(s, v) \mid \text{there exist } \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} s_j \text{ and } i \in \bar{T} \text{ such that}$

$$\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} s_j(\delta_1) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} s_j(\delta_2) \text{ and } s_i = s, s_{i+1} = v\}.$$

(2)  $P_2 = \{(s, v) \mid \text{there exist indices } i, n \in \bar{T}, n > i + 1, \text{ states } v^1 \in S_1, v^2 \in S_2 \text{ and an output word } \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} s_j \text{ with } s_0 s_1 \dots s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_1), s_0 s_1 \dots s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_2) \text{ where } s_i = s, s_{i+1} = v \text{ and } (s_{n-1}, v^1) \in N_{\delta_1}, (s_{n-1}, v^2) \in N_{\delta_2}\}$ ;

(3)  $N' = \{(s, v^i) \mid (s, v^i) \in N_{\delta_i} \text{ and there exists } (s, v^j) \in N_{\delta_j} \text{ for all } i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j\}$ ;

(4)  $\delta_p = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup N'$ ,  $P_{\delta_p} = P_1 \cup P_2$ ,  $N_{\delta_p} = N'$ ;

(5)  $S_p \supseteq S$ , where  $S = \{s \mid \text{there exists } (s, t) \in \delta_p\} \cup \{t \mid \text{there exists } (s, t) \in \delta_p\}$ ,  $S_p$  is a nonvoid finite set;

(6)  $I_p = \{s \mid \text{there exists } (s, t) \in \delta_p\} \cup \{t \mid (s, t) \in P_{\delta_p}\}$ .

Define G-machine  $M_p = (S_p, I_p, \delta_p)$ .

**3.5. Theorem.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines,  $C = L(M_2) \cap L(M_2)$ . Then  $L(M_p) = L(M[C])$ .

Proof. Suppose  $P_{\delta_p} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $(s, v) \in P_{\delta_p}$ . By Definition 3.4  $(s, v) \in P_m$  for some  $m \in \{1, 2\}$ . First assume  $(s, v) \in P_1$ . From 2.4 and (1) of Definition 3.4 it follows there exists a word  $w = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} s_j$  which belongs to  $L(M_1)$  and  $L(M_2)$ , thus  $w \in C$ . By 2.7  $(s, v) \in P(w)$  and  $(s, v) \in P_{\delta[C]}$ . Second let  $(s, v) \in P_2$ . By Definition 3.4 there exist  $v^1 \in S_1, v^2 \in S_2$  and an output word  $w$  of the form given by (2). Using 2.4  $w = s_0 s_1 \dots \dots s_{n-1} \in L(M_m)$  for all  $m \in \{1, 2\}$ , thus  $w \in C$ . By 2.7  $(s, v) \in P(w)$ ,  $(s, v) \in P_{\delta[C]}$ . Hence the inclusion

$$(7) \quad P_{\delta_p} \subseteq P_{\delta[C]}$$

holds true. Now suppose  $(s, v) \in P_{\delta[C]}$ . By 2.7 there exist  $c \in C$  and  $i \in \bar{T}$  such that  $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in P(c)$ ,  $s_i = s, s_{i+1} = v$ . Since  $c \in L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$  then there holds  $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in P_{\delta_m}$  for all  $m \in \{1, 2\}$ . First consider  $c = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} s_j$ . Then from (2) and (4) of Definition 3.4 it follows immediately  $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in P_1, (s_i, s_{i+1}) \in P_{\delta_p}$ . Second let  $c = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} s_j (n \in T)$ . Since  $c \in L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$  from 2.4 it follows  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_i s_{i+1} \dots \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_1) = s_0 s_1 \dots s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_2)$  and there exist  $(s_{n-1}, v^1) \in N_{\delta_1}, (s_{n-1}, v^2) \in N_{\delta_2}$ . By Definition 3.4  $(s_i, s_{i+1}) \in P_2, (s_i, s_{i+1}) \in P_{\delta_p}$  and therefore  $P_{\delta[C]} \subseteq P_{\delta_p}$ . Using (7) we obtain

$$(8) \quad P_{\delta_p} = P_{\delta[C]}.$$

Further, suppose  $N_{\delta_p} \neq \emptyset, (s, v) \in N_{\delta_p}$ . By 2.4 and (3) there exists a word  $w = s_0 \in L(M_j)$ , where  $s_0 = s$  for all  $m \in \{1, 2\}$ . From here  $c = s_0 \in L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$  and by 2.7 there exists  $v' \in N_{\delta[C]}$  such that for  $s = s_0$   $(s, v') \in N(c)$  holds, thus  $(s, v') \in N_{\delta[C]}$ . Hence the implication

$$(9) \quad \text{if } (s, v) \in N_{\delta_p} \text{ then there exists } (s, v') \in N_{\delta[C]}$$

holds true. Now suppose  $(s, v') \in N_{\delta[C]}$ . By 2.4 and 2.7 there exist a word  $c = s_0 \in C = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$ , where  $s_0 = s$  and  $v^1 \in (S_1 - I_1), v^2 \in (S_2 - I_2)$  such that  $(s, v^1) \in N_{\delta_1}, (s, v^2) \in N_{\delta_2}$ . From (3) it follows  $(s, v^1) \in N', (s, v^1) \in N_{\delta_p}$  and therefore the implication

$$(10) \quad \text{if } (s, v') \in N_{\delta[C]} \text{ then there exists } (s, v) \in N_{\delta_p},$$

where  $v = v^1$  holds. By (8), (9), (10) and (A) of Proposition 3.3 we obtain  $L(M_p) = L(M[C])$ .

**3.6. Corollary.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1), M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be  $G$ -machines,  $C = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$ . Then  $M_p \sim M[C] \sim M_p^R$ .

**3.7. Theorem.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines,  $C = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$ . Then  $C = L(M[C]) = L(M_P) = L(M_P^g)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $C = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$  is a generable language (see [3]) then by Proposition 3.2  $C = L(M[C])$  and the proof is completed.

**3.8. Example.** Using Definition 3.4 we shall construct to given G-machines  $M_1, M_2$  the G-machine  $M_P$ , for which  $L(M_P) = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$ . G--machines  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  are given as follows:  $S_1 = \{a, b, c, x\}$ ,  $I_1 = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\delta_1 : [a \rightarrow \{a, x\}, b \rightarrow \{a, b\}, c \rightarrow \{c, x\}]$ ,  $S_2 = \{a, b, y\}$ ,  $I_2 = \{a, b\}$ ,  $\delta_2 : [a \rightarrow \{y\}, b \rightarrow \{a, b\}, c \rightarrow \{b\}]$ . Since  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_1) = s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_2)$ , where  $s_j = b$  for every  $n \in (T - \{1\})$  and  $j \in \overline{T}_{n-1}$  then by (1)  $(b, b) \in P_1$ . Further,  $ba(\delta_1) = ba(\delta_2)$ ,  $(a, x) \in N_{\delta_1}$ ,  $(a, y) \in N_{\delta_2}$ , thus by (2)  $(b, a) \in P_2$ . The pairs  $(a, a)$ ,  $(c, c)$ ,  $(c, b)$  obviously do not belong to  $P_j$  for any  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Further,  $(a, x) \in N_{\delta_1}$ ,  $(a, y) \in N_{\delta_2}$  and by (3)  $(a, x) \in N'$ ,  $(a, y) \in N'$ . Hence  $\delta_P : [a \rightarrow \{x, y\}, b \rightarrow \{a, b\}]$ ,  $S_P = \{a, b, x, y\}$ ,  $I_P = \{a, b\}$ ,  $M_P = (S_P, I_P, \delta_P)$ . By 2.5  $M_P^g = (S_P, I_P, \delta_P^g)$ , where  $\delta_P^g : [a \rightarrow \{x\}, b \rightarrow \{a, b\}]$ . Apparently  $L(M_P^g) = \{b^* a, b^k a, a | k \in T\} = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$ .

**3.9. Definition.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines. Define G-machine  $M_U = (S_U, I_U, \delta_U)$ , where  $S_U = S_1 \cup S_2$ ,  $I_U = I_1 \cup I_2$ ,  $\delta_U = \delta_1 \cup \delta_2$ .

**3.10. Theorem.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines,  $C = L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$ . Then  $L(M_U) = L(M[C])$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(s, t) \in P_{\delta_U}$ . Obviously  $(s, t) \in (P_{\delta_1} \cup P_{\delta_2})$ . There exists a word  $w \in L(M_U)$  beginning with the output word  $s_0 s_1(\delta_U)$ , where  $s_0 = s$ ,  $s_1 = t$  (see [2], Corollary 1). By 2.7  $(s_0, s_1) \in P(w)$ , thus  $(s_0, s_1) \in P_{\delta[C]}$ ,  $(s, t) \in P_{\delta[C]}$ . Herefrom it follows

$$(11) \quad P_{\delta_U} \subseteq P_{\delta[C]}.$$

Now assume  $(s, t) \in P_{\delta[C]}$ . By 2.7 there exists a word  $c \in C$  such that  $(s, t) \in P(c)$ . Since  $C = L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$  it must hold  $(s, t) \in P_{\delta_j}$  at least for one  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , therefore  $(s, t) \in P_{\delta_U}$  and  $P_{\delta[C]} \subseteq P_{\delta_U}$ . Using (11) we obtain

$$(12) \quad P_{\delta_U} = P_{\delta[C]}.$$

Let  $(s, t) \in N_{\delta_U}$ . By 2.4  $w = s_0 = s \in L(M_U)$ . Apparently  $(s, t) \in N_{\delta_j}$  at least for one  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . From 2.7 it follows there exists  $(s, t') \in N(w)$ , thus  $(s, t') \in N_{\delta[C]}$  and the implication

$$(13) \quad \text{if } (s, t) \in N_{\delta_U} \text{ then there exists } (s, t') \in N_{\delta[C]}$$

396 holds true. Now suppose  $(s, z) \in N_{\delta[C]}$ . Then there exists  $c \in L(M[C])$  such that  $(s, z) \in N(c)$ . Since  $c \in L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$ , then  $c \in L(M_j)$  and there exists  $(s, z^j)$  at least for one  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Hence the implication

$$(14) \quad \text{if } (s, t') \in N_{\delta[C]} \text{ then there exists } (s, t) \in N_{\delta_U},$$

where  $t' = z, t = z^j$  is satisfied. From (12), (13), (14) it follows the condition (A) of Proposition 3.3 is fulfilled, hence  $M_U \sim M[C]$  and  $L(M_U) = L(M[C])$ .

**3.11. Theorem.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines and let  $C = L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$  be a generable language. Then  $C = L(M[C]) = L(M_U) = L(M_U^g)$ .

Pro of. The statement is the consequence of Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and Theorem 3.10.

**3.12. Proposition.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines. Then the following statements (A), (B) are equivalent:

(A) For every  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $i \neq j$  and for every  $n \in T$

(A') if  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_j)$  and  $(s_{n-1}, v) \in P_{\delta_i}$  then  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_i)$   
or  $(s_{n-1}, v) \in P_{\delta_j}$  and

(A'') if  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_j)$  and  $(s_{n-1}, v^i) \in N_{\delta_i}$  then  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_i)$   
or there exists  $(s_{n-1}, v^j) \in N_{\delta_j}$ .

(B)  $L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$  is a generable language.

(See [3]).

**3.13. Corollary.** Let  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be G-machines. Then the following statements (A), (B), (C) are equivalent:

(A) For every  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $i \neq j$  and for every  $n \in T$

(A') if  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_j)$  and  $(s_{n-1}, v) \in P_{\delta_i}$  then  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_i)$   
or  $(s_{n-1}, v) \in P_{\delta_j}$  and

(A'') if  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_j)$  and  $(s_{n-1}, v^i) \in N_{\delta_i}$  then  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}(\delta_i)$   
or there exists  $(s_{n-1}, v^j) \in N_{\delta_j}$ .

(B)  $L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$  is a generable language.

(C)  $L(M_1) \cup L(M_2) = L(M_U) = L(M_U^g) = L(M[L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)])$ .

**3.14. Example.** Let G-machines  $M_1 = (S_1, I_1, \delta_1)$ ,  $M_2 = (S_2, I_2, \delta_2)$  be given as follows:  $S_1 = \{a, b, c, x\}$ ,  $I_1 = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\delta_1 : [a \rightarrow \{a, x\}, b \rightarrow \{b, c, x\}, c \rightarrow \{a\}]$ ,

$S_2 = \{a, c, d, y\}$ ,  $I_2 = \{a, c, d\}$ ,  $\delta_2 : [a \rightarrow \{a, y\}, c \rightarrow \{a\}, d \rightarrow \{c, d\}]$ . First, we shall examine the condition (A) of Corollary 3.13. Let  $k, m \in T$ . Then the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & a^k(\delta_1), (a, a) \in P_{\delta_2}, a^k(\delta_2); a^k(\delta_1), (a, y) \in N_{\delta_2}, (a, x) \in N_{\beta_1}; \\ & b^k c(\delta_1), (c, a) \in P_{\delta_2}, (c, a) \in P_{\delta_1}; b^k c a^m(\delta_1), (a, a) \in P_{\delta_2}, (a, a) \in P_{\delta_1}; \\ & b^k c a^m(\delta_1), (a, y) \in N_{\delta_2}, (a, x) \in N_{\delta_1}; a^k(\delta_2), (a, a) \in P_{\delta_1}, a^k(\delta_1); \\ & a^k(\delta_2), (a, x) \in N_{\delta_1}, (a, y) \in N_{\delta_2}; c a^k(\delta_2), (a, a) \in P_{\delta_1}, (a, a) \in P_{\delta_2}; \\ & d c a^k(\delta_2), (a, x) \in N_{\delta_1}, (a, y) \in N_{\delta_2}; d c a^k(\delta_2), (a, a) \in P_{\delta_1}, (a, a) \in P_{\delta_2}; \\ & d c a^k(\delta_2), (a, x) \in N_{\delta_1}, (a, y) \in N_{\delta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

From the above G-machines  $M_1, M_2$  satisfy the condition (A) of Corollary 3.13, therefore  $L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$  is a generable language and  $L(M_1) \cup L(M_2) = L(M_U) = L(M_U^R)$  holds true. By 2.4  $L(M_1) \cup L(M_2) = \{a^\infty, a^k, b^\infty, b^k, c a^k, b^k c a^\infty, b^k c a^m, c a^\infty, d c a^\infty, d c a^k, d^\infty\}$ . By Definition 3.9  $S_U = S_1 \cup S_2 = \{a, b, c, d, x, y\}$ ,  $I_U = I_1 \cup I_2 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\delta_U = (\delta_1 \cup \delta_2) : [a \rightarrow \{a, x, y\}, b \rightarrow \{b, c, x\}, c \rightarrow \{a\}, d \rightarrow \{c, d\}]$ ,  $M_U = (S_U, I_U, \delta_U)$ . By 2.5  $\delta_U^R : [a \rightarrow \{a, x\}, b \rightarrow \{b, c, x\}, c \rightarrow \{a\}, d \rightarrow \{c, d\}]$ ,  $M_U^R = (S_U, I_U, \delta_U^R)$ . It is easy to verify that  $L(M_U) = L(M_U^R) = \{a^\infty, a^k, b^\infty, b^k, c a^k, b^k c a^\infty, b^k c a^m, c a^\infty, d c a^\infty, d c a^k, d^\infty\} = L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$ .

(Received January 3, 1973.)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Kwasowicz: Generable Sets. *Information and Control* 17 (1970), 257–264.
- [2] I. Mezník: G.-Machines and Generable Sets. *Information and Control* 5 (1972), 499–509.
- [3] I. Mezník: On Some Closure Properties of Generable Languages. *CMUC 14* (1973), 3, 541–552.
- [4] Z. Pawlak: Stored Program Computers. *Algoritmy 10* (1969), 7–22.

RNDr. Ivan Mezník, CSc.; katedra matematiky FE VUT v Brně (Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Brno), Hlilého 6, 602 00 Brno. Czechoslovakia.