

J. S. Chawla

Isomorphism of measure preserving transformations

Kybernetika, Vol. 23 (1987), No. 3, 239--244

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125610>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these

Terms of use.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

ISOMORPHISM OF MEASURE PRESERVING TRANSFORMATIONS

J. S. CHAWLA

The δ -entropy of endomorphism has been defined. This entropy reduces to the Shannon entropy of endomorphism for $\delta = 1$. The two isomorphic measure preserving transformations have the same δ -entropy of endomorphism.

0. MEASURE-PRESERVING TRANSFORMATIONS

In what follows, we assume that (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) is a probability space, that is, Ω is an arbitrary non-empty set, called the set of elementary events, \mathcal{A} a σ -field of subsets of Ω and P a probability measure defined on \mathcal{A} .

Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) and $(\Omega', \mathcal{A}', P')$ be two probability spaces. The transformation T from the set Ω into the set Ω' is called *measurable* if the inverse image $T^{-1}A \in \mathcal{A}$ for each measurable set $A \in \mathcal{A}'$. A measurable transformation T is *measure-preserving* if, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}'$, the sets A and $T^{-1}A$ have the same measure, i.e. $P(T^{-1}A) = P(A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}'$. A measurable (but not necessarily measure-preserving) transformation $T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ is invertible if there exists a (necessarily unique) measurable transformation $T^{-1}: \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega$ such that each of the composites $T^{-1}T$ and TT^{-1} is equal to the identity on its domain. If T is measure-preserving and invertible, then T^{-1} is measure-preserving also. Most of the transformations that we shall consider in this work are transformations from a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) into itself or in other words transformations on (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) . A measure-preserving transformation on (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) is also called *endomorphism* and invertible measure-preserving transformation on (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) is called *automorphism*. The quadruple $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P, T)$ where T is an endomorphism on (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) is called the *dynamical system* (a detailed discussion on measure-preserving transformations can be found in Halmos [4, 5, 6, 7] and Billingsley [1]).

For advances in entropy theory of measure theoretic dynamical systems, with particular emphasis on ideas and results relevant from the point of view of information theory refer to the work of Štefan Šujan [8, 9, 10, 11, 12].

1. δ -ENTROPY OF ENDOMORPHISM

Definition 1.1. If \mathcal{R}_0 is a finite sub- σ -field of \mathcal{R} whose atoms are A_1, A_2, \dots, A_l ; then the δ -entropy of \mathcal{R}_0 is given by

$$H_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0) = \sum_{i=1}^l P(A_i) |\log P(A_i)|^\delta, \quad 0 < \delta \leq 1.$$

The concept has been used earlier by the author in [2, 3].

Definition 1.2. If \mathcal{R}_0 is an arbitrary finite sub- σ -field of \mathcal{R} and T an endomorphism on Ω , then the δ -entropy of endomorphism is defined as:

$$(1.1) \quad h_\delta(T) = \sup_{\mathcal{R}_0 \in \mathcal{Z}} h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0, T), \quad 0 < \delta \leq 1,$$

where \mathcal{Z} is the set of all finite sub- σ -fields of \mathcal{R} , and

$$(1.2) \quad h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0, T) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{n^{-\delta} H_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0 \vee T^{-1}\mathcal{R}_0 \vee \dots \vee T^{-(n-1)}\mathcal{R}_0)\}.$$

Theorem 1.1. If \mathcal{R}_0 and \mathcal{R}_1 are finite sub- σ -fields of \mathcal{R} such that $\mathcal{R}_0 \subset \mathcal{R}_1$, then

$$(1.3) \quad h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0, T) \leq h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_1, T),$$

where T is an endomorphism on Ω .

Proof. If $\mathcal{R}_0 \subset \mathcal{R}_1$, then clearly,

$$\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0 \subset \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_1.$$

If $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$, then it can be shown that $H_\delta(\mathcal{A}) \leq H_\delta(\mathcal{B})$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} H_\delta\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0\right) &\leq H_\delta\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_1\right) \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n^\delta} H_\delta\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0\right) \leq \frac{1}{n^\delta} H_\delta\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_1\right) \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^\delta} H_\delta\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0\right) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^\delta} H_\delta\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_1\right) \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0, T) \leq h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_1, T). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2. If \mathcal{R}_0 and \mathcal{R}_1 are two finite sub- σ -fields of \mathcal{R} such that for some N and T $\mathcal{R}_0 \subset \bigvee_{i=-N}^N T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_1$ is an automorphism on Ω , then

$$(1.4) \quad h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0, T) \leq h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_1, T).$$

Proof. Clearly,

$$\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0 \subset \bigvee_{i=-N}^{N+n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_1.$$

This gives

$$(1.5) \quad H_\delta(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0) \leq H_\delta(\bigvee_{i=-N}^{N+n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_1).$$

Thus,

$$h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0, T) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^\delta} H_\delta(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(n+2N)^\delta} H_\delta(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0).$$

Using (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0, T) &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(n+2N)^\delta} H_\delta(\bigvee_{i=-N}^{N+n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_1) = \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(n+2N)^\delta} H_\delta(\bigvee_{i=0}^{2N+n-1} T^{-i}T^N\mathcal{R}_1) = h_\delta(T^N\mathcal{R}_1, T) = h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_1, T). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.3. If \mathcal{R}_0 is a finite sub- σ -field of \mathcal{R} and k is a positive integer, then

$$(1.6) \quad h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0, T^k) \leq k^\delta h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0, T)$$

where T is an endomorphism on Ω .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R}_1 = \bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0$. Clearly $\mathcal{R}_0 \subset \mathcal{R}_1$ and thus

$$h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0, T^k) \leq h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_1, T^k) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^\delta} H_\delta(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-ki}(\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\mathcal{R}_0)).$$

Since, $\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-ki}(\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\mathcal{R}_0) = \bigvee_{i=0}^{nk-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0, T^k) &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^\delta} H_\delta(\bigvee_{i=0}^{nk-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0) = k^\delta \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(nk)^\delta} H_\delta(\bigvee_{i=0}^{nk-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0) \leq \\ &\leq k^\delta h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0, T). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.4. If T is an automorphism on Ω and \mathcal{R}_0 is a finite sub- σ -field, then

$$(1.7) \quad h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0, T^k) \leq |k|^\delta h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0, T)$$

for all integers k .

Proof. We have already proved the result for positive k . If $k = 0$, then $T^0 = I$, the identity transformation. Thus, in this case

$$(1.8) \quad H_\delta(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0) = H_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0),$$

and hence

$$(1.9) \quad h_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0, I) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^\delta} H_\delta(\mathcal{R}_0) = 0.$$

If $k = -1$, note that

$$H_\delta^\ell(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i \mathcal{R}_0) = H_\delta^\ell T^{n-1} \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \mathcal{R}_0 = H_\delta^\ell \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \mathcal{R}_0).$$

Thus,

$$(1.10) \quad h_\delta^\ell(\mathcal{R}_0, T^{-1}) = h_\delta^\ell(\mathcal{R}_0, T).$$

If k is a negative integer, then from (1.6) it follows that

$$h_\delta^\ell(\mathcal{R}_0, T^k) = h_\delta^\ell(\mathcal{R}_0, (T^{-1})^{-k}) \leq (-k)^\delta h_\delta^\ell(\mathcal{R}_0, T^{-1}).$$

Thus,

$$h_\delta^\ell(\mathcal{R}_0, T^k) \leq |k|^\delta h_\delta^\ell(\mathcal{R}_0, T^{-1}) = |k|^\delta h_\delta^\ell(\mathcal{R}_0, T). \quad \square$$

Theorem 1.5. If T is an endomorphism on Ω , then

$$(1.11) \quad h_\delta^\ell(T^k) = k^\delta h_\delta^\ell(T),$$

for k a positive integer and if T is an automorphism on Ω , then

$$(1.12) \quad h_\delta^\ell(T^k) = |k|^\delta h_\delta^\ell(T),$$

for k to be an integer.

We first prove the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1. If \mathcal{R}_0 is a finite sub- σ -field of \mathcal{R} and $\mathcal{R}_1 = \bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-i} \mathcal{R}_0$, then

$$h_\delta^\ell(\mathcal{R}_0, T) = \frac{1}{k^\delta} h_\delta^\ell(\mathcal{R}_1, T^k),$$

where T is an endomorphism on Ω and k , a positive integer.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} h_\delta^\ell(\mathcal{R}_1, T^k) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^\delta} H_\delta^\ell \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} (T^k)^{-i} \mathcal{R}_1 \right) = \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^\delta} H_\delta^\ell \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-ki} \left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j} \mathcal{R}_0 \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since, $\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-ki} \left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j} \mathcal{R}_0 \right) = \bigvee_{i=0}^{nk-1} T^{-i} \mathcal{R}_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_\delta^\ell(\mathcal{R}_1, T^k) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^\delta} H_\delta^\ell \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{nk-1} T^{-i} \mathcal{R}_0 \right) = \\ &= k^\delta \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(nk)^\delta} H_\delta^\ell \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{nk-1} T^{-i} \mathcal{R}_0 \right) \leq k^\delta h_\delta^\ell(\mathcal{R}_0, T). \end{aligned}$$

Next, since $H_\delta^{nk-1}(\bigvee_{i=0}^{nk-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0)$ is a monotone increasing sequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_\delta'(\mathcal{R}_1, T^k) &= k^\delta \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(nk)^\delta} H_\delta^{nk-1}(\bigvee_{i=0}^{nk-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0) = \\ &= k^\delta \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(nk-j)^\delta}{(nk)^\delta} \cdot \frac{1}{(nk-j)^\delta} H_\delta^{nk-1}(\bigvee_{i=0}^{nk-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0); \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-1 \\ &= k^\delta \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(nk-j)^\delta} H_\delta^{nk-1}(\bigvee_{i=0}^{nk-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0); \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-1 \\ &\geq k^\delta \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(nk-j)^\delta} H_\delta^{nk-j}(\bigvee_{i=0}^{nk-j} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0); \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$h_\delta'(\mathcal{R}_1, T^k) \geq k^\delta h_\delta'(\mathcal{R}_0, T).$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proof of the Theorem. From Theorem 1.4, it follows that the result holds for $k = 0$ and $h_\delta(T) = h_\delta(T^{-1})$ if T is an automorphism. Hence we need prove the theorem only for $k > 0$. Let \mathcal{R}_0 be any finite sub- σ -field of \mathcal{R} and $\mathcal{R}_1 = \bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0$. From Theorem 1.3, it follows that

$$h_\delta'(\mathcal{R}_0, T^k) \leq k^\delta h_\delta'(\mathcal{R}_0, T),$$

and thus

$$(1.13) \quad h_\delta'(T^k) \leq k^\delta h_\delta'(T).$$

Now, from Lemma 1.1, we have

$$h_\delta'(\mathcal{R}_0, T) = \frac{1}{k^\delta} h_\delta'(\mathcal{R}_1, T^k),$$

where $\mathcal{R}_1 = \bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0$. Therefore,

$$h_\delta'(T) = \sup_{\mathcal{R}_0 \in \mathcal{Z}} h_\delta'(\mathcal{R}_0, T) = \frac{1}{k^\delta} \sup_{\mathcal{R}_0 \in \mathcal{Z}} h_\delta'(\bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{R}_0, T^k) \leq \frac{1}{k^\delta} h_\delta(T^k).$$

Thus,

$$(1.14) \quad h_\delta'(T^k) \geq k^\delta h_\delta'(T).$$

Now, from (1.13) and (1.14) the required result follows. \square

2. THE PROBLEM OF ISOMORPHISM

It is known that if two measure-preserving transformations are isomorphic, then they have the same Shannon entropy of endomorphism. In the following theorem it has been shown that this result also holds for the δ -entropy of endomorphism.

Theorem 2.1. The δ -entropy of endomorphism is invariant under isomorphism.

Proof. Consider the two dynamical systems $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{B}_i, P_i, T_i)$ where T_i is an endomorphism on Ω_i , $i = 1, 2$. Let Φ be the isomorphism between T_1 and T_2 . Thus to every finite sub- σ -field \mathcal{B}_1 , of \mathcal{B}_1 there corresponds a finite sub- σ -field

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_2 &= \Phi \mathcal{B}_1 = \{\Phi B_1 : B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1\} \text{ of } \mathcal{B}_2 \text{ and conversely.} \\ \frac{1}{n^\delta} H_\delta \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T_2^{-i} \mathcal{B}_2 \right) &= \frac{1}{n^\delta} H_\delta \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \Phi^{-1} T_2^{-i} \mathcal{B}_2 \right) = \frac{1}{n^\delta} H_\delta \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T_1^{-i} \Phi^{-1} \mathcal{B}_2 \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{n^\delta} H_\delta \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T_1^{-i} \mathcal{B}_1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking lim sup on both sides with respect to n , we obtain

$$h_\delta(\mathcal{B}_2, T_2) = h_\delta(\mathcal{B}_1, T_1).$$

Thus for each \mathcal{B}_1 there is a \mathcal{B}_2 with $h_\delta(\mathcal{B}_1, T_1) = h_\delta(\mathcal{B}_2, T_2)$ and vice-versa.

Hence,

$$h_\delta(T_1) = h_\delta(T_2).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

(Received September 4, 1985.)

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Billingsley: *Ergodic Theory and Information*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York—London—Sydney 1965.
- [2] J. S. Chawla: A note on characterizations of entropies. *Kybernetika 13* (1977), 3, 194—199.
- [3] J. S. Chawla: An invariant for continuous mappings. *Kybernetika 16* (1980), 4, 314—317.
- [4] P. R. Halmos: Measurable transformations. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 55 (1949), 1015—1034.
- [5] P. R. Halmos: *Measure Theory*. D. Van Nostrand, New York 1950.
- [6] P. R. Halmos: *Lectures on Ergodic Theory*. The Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo 1956.
- [7] P. R. Halmos: Recent progress in ergodic theory. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 67 (1961), 70—80.
- [8] Š. Šujan: Epsilon-rates, epsilon-quantiles and group coding theorems for finitely additive information sources. *Kybernetika 16* (1980), 2, 105—119.
- [9] Š. Šujan: Channels with additive asymptotically mean stationary noise. *Kybernetika 17* (1981), 1, 1—15.
- [10] Š. Šujan: On the capacity of asymptotically mean stationary channels. *Kybernetika 17* (1981), 3, 222—233.
- [11] Š. Šujan: Continuity and quantization of channels with infinite alphabets. *Kybernetika 17* (1981), 6, 465—478.
- [12] Š. Šujan: Ergodic theory, entropy, and coding problems of information theory. *Kybernetika 19* (1982), supplement pp. 1—67.

Prof. J. S. Chawla, Department of Mathematics, York University, 4700 Keele Street, North York, Ontario M3J 1P3, Canada.