

Ladislav Nebeský

An outerplanar test of linguistic projectivity

Kybernetika, Vol. 9 (1973), No. 2, (81)--83

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125322>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these

Terms of use.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

An Outerplanar Test of Linguistic Projectivity

LADISLAV NEBESKÝ

In the present paper, a mathematical model (called here an L -tree) of the dependency structure of the sentence is considered. From the linguistic point of view the most important L -trees are the projective ones. For any L -tree L we define a graph G such that G uniquely determines L (Theorem 1) and that L is projective if and only if G is outerplanar (Theorem 2). The outerplanar test of projectivity of L -trees given by Theorem 2 is relative to the planar test of projectivity of L -trees given in [5].

In [5] we defined an L -tree as an quadruple $L = (V_0, E_0, r, \leq_L)$ such that (V_0, E_0) is a tree, r is one of the vertices of V_0 and \leq_L is a complete ordering of V_0 . We said that an L -tree L is projective if for every vertices u, v and w such that uw is an edge of E_0 and that either $u <_L v <_L w$ or $w <_L v <_L u$ it holds, that if u lies on the path from r to w , then u also lies on the path from r to v (notice that in the present paper we use a rather different graphical terminology and notation than in [5]).

The concept of L -trees is an apparatus useful for modelling the sentence structure in dependency syntax; the most important L -trees are the projective ones. For position of the concept of projectivity in algebraic linguistics, see Marcus [3], Chapter VI (our concept of L -trees corresponds to Marcus' concept of simple strings, but Marcus studied projectivity more generally, not only for simple strings). For another mathematical discussion of projectivity of L -trees, see, for example, [4], Chapter IV. For linguistic questions of projectivity or non-projectivity of sentence structures, see, for example, Novák [7] and Uhlířová [8].

In the present paper, for any L -tree L we shall construct a certain graph G and prove that L is projective if and only if G is outerplanar. Outerplanar graphs represent a simple class of planar graphs. A graph G is outerplanar if it can be embedded in the plane such that all the vertices of G lie on the exterior region. Chartrand and Harary [2] proved that a graph is outerplanar if and only if it contains no subgraph homeomorphic from the complete graph K_4 or the complete bipartite graph $K_{2,3}$. A graph H is homeomorphic from a graph H_0 if H is isomorphic either to H_0 or to



82 a graph which can be obtained from H_0 by a suitable insertion of vertices of degree 2 into the edges of H_0 (the concept „homeomorphic from“ is different from the concept „homeomorphic with“; see [1] and [2]).

Now, we shall define the main concept of the present paper:

Definition. Let $L = (V_0, E_0, r, \leq_L)$ be an L -tree such that $V_0 = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, $n \geq 1$, and $v_1 <_L \dots <_L v_n$. We say that a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a graphical expansion of L if there is a set $W = \{w_0, \dots, w_{n+1}\}$ disjoint with V_0 and such that $V = V_0 \cup W$ and

$$E = E_0 \cup \{rw_{n+1}\} \cup \{w_0v_1, v_1w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}v_n, v_nw_n, w_nw_{n+1}\}.$$

Obviously, any two graphical expansion of an L -tree L are isomorphic. A close connection between L -trees and their graphical expansions is given in the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let G be a graphical expansion of an L -tree L . Then G is a graphical expansion of the only L -tree.*

Proof. We can assume that L and G are the same as in the definition. For every $u \in V$ it holds that $u \in V_0$ if and only if u has degree at least 3 in G . Similarly, for every $uv \in E$ it holds that $uv \in E_0$ if and only if both u and v are in V_0 . There is exactly one vertex of degree 1 in G ; it is w_0 . Further, we have $w_0v_1 \in E$. For any i , $1 \leq i < n$, there is exactly one vertex $w \in W$ and exactly one vertex $v \in V_0$ such that $v \neq v_i$ and $v_iw, vw \in E$; obviously $w = w_i$ and $v = v_{i+1}$. There are exactly two vertices $w', w'' \in W - \{w_0, \dots, w_{n-1}\}$; obviously, $w'w'' \in E$. If $v_nw', v_nw'' \in E$, then $r = v_n$. Otherwise, there is j , $1 \leq j < n$, such that either $v_jw', v_nw'' \in E$, or $v_jw'', v_nw' \in E$; then $r = v_j$. This means that G uniquely determines L . Hence the theorem.

An outerplanar test of projectivity of L -trees is given in the following theorem:

Theorem 2. *Let L be an L -tree and G be a graphical expansion of L . A necessary and sufficient condition for L to be projective is that G be outerplanar.*

Proof. We assume that L and G are the same as in the definition.

Necessity: Let L be projective. If $1 \leq i \leq n$, then by d_i we denote the distance between r and v_i in (V_0, E_0) . For every vertex v in V we denote the points P_v and Q_v in the cartesian plane as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{v_i} &= (i - 1, -d_i), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n; \\ P_{w_0} &= (-1/2, -d_1); \\ P_{w_j} &= (j - (1/2), -\max(d_j, d_{j+1})), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n - 1; \\ P_{w_n} &= (n - (1/2), -d_n); \end{aligned}$$

$$P_{w_{n+1}} = (n, 1);$$

$$\text{if } P_v = (x, y), \text{ then } Q_v = (x, -n), \text{ for every } v \in V.$$

If P and P' are points then by PP' we denote the straight-line segment which connects P and P' . Denote $S_0 = \{P_u P_v \mid uv \in E_0\}$, $S = \{P_u P_v \mid uv \in E\}$, $T_0 = \{P_u Q_u \mid u \in V_0\}$ and $T = \{P_u Q_u \mid u \in V\}$. As L is projective then no two straight-line segments in $S_0 \cup T_0$ cross; cf. [3], pp. 237–240. The set S gives an embedding of G in the plane. It is easy to see that no two straight-line segments in $S \cup T$ cross. This means that G is outerplanar.

Sufficiency: Let L be not projective. Then, there are u, v and w in V_0 such that (i) uw is in E_0 , (ii) u lies on the path from r to w , (iii) u does not lie on the path from r to v , and (iv) either $u <_L v <_L w$ or $w <_L v <_L u$. It is obvious that $u \neq r \neq w$. Without loss of generality we assume that $u <_L v <_L w$.

Let either $r <_L u$ or $w <_L r$. Then there is an edge st in E_0 such that either $t <_L u <_L s <_L w$ or $u <_L s <_L w <_L t$. Without loss of generality we assume that $u <_L s <_L w <_L t$. There are i, j such that $1 < i < j - 1 < n$ and $s = v_i$, $t = v_j$. It is evident that G contains a subgraph which includes the vertices $u, w_{i-1}, s, w_i, w, w_{j-1}, t$ and which is homeomorphic from $K_{2,3}$.

Let $u <_L r <_L w$. There is k such that $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $r = v_k$. It is evident that G contains a subgraph which includes the vertices $u, w_{k-1}, r, w_k, w, w_n, w_{n+1}$ and which is homeomorphic from $K_{2,3}$. Thus G is not outerplanar which completes the proof.

The test of projectivity of L -trees given by Theorem 2 is relative to the planar test of projectivity of L -trees given in [5] (cf. also [6]).

Notice that there is an L -tree with a non-planar graphical expansion; for example an L -tree (V_0, E_0, r, \leq_L) with $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_6\}$, $E_0 = \{v_3 v_6, v_6 v_1, v_1 v_4, v_4 v_2, v_2 v_5\}$, $r = v_1$, $v_1 <_L \dots <_L v_6$.

(Received June 15, 1972.)

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Behzad and G. Chartrand: Introduction to the Theory of Graphs. Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston 1971.
- [2] G. Chartrand and F. Harary: Planar permutation graphs. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré 3 (1967), Section B, 433–438.
- [3] S. Marcus: Algebraic Linguistics; Analytical Models. Academic Press, New York 1967.
- [4] L. Nebeský: Algebraic Properties of Trees. Karlova universita, Praha 1969.
- [5] L. Nebeský: A planar test of linguistic projectivity. Kybernetika 8 (1972), 351–354.
- [6] L. Nebeský: Projectivity in linguistics and planarity in graph theory. Prague Studies in Mathematical Linguistics 5 (submitted).
- [7] P. Novák: Postscript, [4], 83–95.
- [8] L. Uhlířová: On the non-projective constructions in Czech. Prague Studies in Mathematical Linguistics 3, Academia, Praha 1972, pp. 171–181.

RNDr. Ladislav Nebeský, CSc., filozofická fakulta Karlovy university (Faculty of Philosophy, Charles University), nám. Krasnoarmějců 2, 116 38 Praha 1, Czechoslovakia.