

Miroslav Katětov

A theorem on the Lebesgue dimension

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky a fysiky, Vol. 75 (1950), No. 2, 79--87

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120779>

## Terms of use:

© Union of Czech Mathematicians and Physicists, 1950

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## A THEOREM ON THE LEBESGUE DIMENSION

MÍROSLAV KATĚTOV (Praha)

(Received November 15<sup>th</sup> 1949)

In a recent paper [1]\* of the present author, some results have been established concerning the relations between the inductive (Menger-Urysohn) dimension of a compact (= bicomact) space  $P$  and certain properties of the ring  $C(P)$  consisting of all (bounded) continuous real-valued functions on  $P$ . In the present note I intend to give a characterization of the Lebesgue dimension (in a sense slightly different, for non-normal spaces, from the usual one) in terms of the ring  $C(P)$ , namely, to show that the Lebesgue dimension of  $P$  is equal to the analytic pseudodimension of  $P$ , to be defined in the sequel.

### § 1.

We first summarize some definitions and results given in [1]. — Space always means a Hausdorff topological space, mapping means a continuous transformation, function means a real-valued function. The letter  $P$  denotes a (non-void) completely regular space,  $R$  denotes a metric space.

Let  $C$  be a commutative ring (with a unity element) in which there is defined, for any  $x \in C$  and any real number  $\lambda$ , the multiple  $\lambda x \in C$  satisfying the usual axioms, and let  $C$  be, at the same time, a topological space such that the operations  $x + y$ ,  $xy$ ,  $\lambda x$  are continuous. Then  $C$  will be called a (*real commutative*) *analytic ring (with a unity)*. We shall say that a subring  $C_1 \supset C$  is *algebraically closed* (in  $C$ ) if (1)  $C_1$  is an *analytic subring*, i. e. contains all  $\lambda e$  where  $\lambda$  is real,  $e$  is the unity element of  $C$ ; (2)  $x \in C$  is contained in  $C_1$  whenever  $x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0$ ,  $a_i \in C_1$ ; if, moreover,  $\overline{C_1} = C_1$  (i. e.  $C_1$  is a closed set) we shall say that  $C_1$  is *analytically closed* (in  $C$ ).

If  $P$  is a completely regular space, then  $C(P)$  denotes the analytic ring consisting of all bounded continuous functions  $f$  in  $P$  (with the topology defined by the norm  $\|f\| = \sup_{t \in P} |f(t)|$ ).

\*) The number in brackets refer to the list at the end of the paper.

Lemma 1. If  $S \subset P$  is connected and  $C_1 \subset C(P)$  consists of all  $x \in C(P)$  which are constant on  $S$ , then  $C_1$  is an analytically closed subring. See [1], Lemma 17.

Lemma 2. If  $P$  is compact,  $C_1 \subset C(P)$  is algebraically closed, then, for every  $t_0 \in P$ , the set of all  $t \in P$  such that  $x(t) = x(t_0)$ , for any  $x \in C_1$ , is connected.

See [1], Lemma 18.

Proposition 1. Let  $P$  be compact and let  $C_1 \subset C(P)$  be an analytic subring. Then  $\overline{C_1}$  consists of all  $x \in C(P)$  such that  $x(t_1) = x(t_2)$  whenever  $y(t_1) = y(t_2)$  for all  $y \in C_1$ .

See [1], Theorem 2; cf. [2], Theorem 82, as well as [3], Theorem 4, and [4], Corollary 2.

It is clear that the intersection of an arbitrary system of analytically (algebraically) closed subrings of an analytic ring  $C$  is analytically (algebraically) closed. Consequently, there exists, for any  $M \subset C$ , the least analytically closed subring  $C_1 \subset C$  containing  $M$ . We shall say that  $M$  is an analytic base of  $C_1$  (in  $C$ ), or that  $C_1$  is analytically generated<sup>1)</sup> by  $M$ .

If  $C$  is an analytic ring, then the least power of an analytic base of  $C$  will be called the analytic dimension of  $C$ , denoted<sup>2)</sup>  $\dim C$ .

Proposition 2. The analytically closed subring generated analytically by a set  $M \subset C(P)$  consists of all functions  $x \in C(P)$  which are constant on every connected set  $S \subset P$  on which all functions  $y \in M$  are constant.

Proof. The set of all  $x \in C(P)$  which have the above property is clearly a subring, contains  $M$  and is analytically closed by Lemma 1 (since the intersection of analytically closed subrings is analytically closed).

Let  $C_1 \subset C(P)$  be an analytically closed subring containing  $M$ . For any  $t \in P$ , denote by  $S(t)$  the set of all  $t' \in P$  such that  $y(t') = y(t)$  whenever  $y \in C_1$ . By Lemma 2, every  $S(t)$  is connected. If  $x \in C(P)$  has the property described in the proposition, then  $x$  is constant on every  $S(t)$  and therefore, by Proposition 1,  $x \in \overline{C_1} = C_1$ .

We now state some further auxiliary definitions and lemmas referring, if necessary, for the proofs to [1].

If  $P$  is a space,  $R$  is a metric space, then  $C(P, R)$  denotes the space consisting of all bounded mappings of  $P$  into  $R$ , with the metric  $\rho(f, g) = \sup_{t \in P} \rho(f(t), g(t))$ .  $E^n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) denotes the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space,  $E^0$  denotes the space containing a single point: instead of  $C(P, E^1)$ ,  $C(P)$  is written.

<sup>1)</sup> This notion is different from E. Hewitt's [4] notion of a "set of analytic generators".

<sup>2)</sup> Thus  $\dim$  has, in this note, two different meanings: 1. the analytic dimension of an analytic ring, 2) the Lebesgue dimension of a space, to be defined below.

Let  $P$  be a space, and let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a finite open covering (abbreviated f. o. c.) of  $P$ ; let  $M \subset P$ . If there exist  $M_i$  such that  $\sum_1^p M_i = M$ ,  $M_i \overline{M_j} = \emptyset$  (for  $i \neq j$ ), and each  $M_i$  is contained in some  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ , then we write  $\delta(M) < \mathfrak{A}$ . It is easy to see that  $M_i$  are open and closed in  $M$ . — If  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$  are f. o. coverings of  $P$  and every  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$  is contained in some  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ , then  $\mathfrak{A} < \mathfrak{B}$  is written. — A set  $M \subset P$  is said to have *property*  $\Delta(R)$  in  $P$ ,  $R$  being a metric space, if, for any  $f \in C(P, R)$  any f. o. c.  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $P$ , and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $g \in C(P, R)$  such that  $\rho(f, g) < \varepsilon$  and  $\delta(Mg^{-1}(y)) < \mathfrak{A}$ , for every  $y \in R$ .

**Lemma 3.** *If  $P$  is compact,  $M \subset P$  is closed,  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a f. o. c. of  $P$ , and  $\delta(Mf^{-1}(y)) < \mathfrak{A}$ , for any  $y \in R$ , then there exists a f. o. c.  $\mathfrak{B}$  of  $f(P) \subset R$  such that  $\delta(f^{-1}(B)) < \mathfrak{A}$ , for any  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Pi Mf^{-1}(\overline{G}) = Mf^{-1}(y)$ ,  $G$  running over all neighborhoods of  $y \in R$ , it is easy to see that there exists, for every  $y \in f(P)$ , an open neighborhood  $G = G(y)$  such that  $\delta(f^{-1}(G)) < \mathfrak{A}$ . Since  $f(P)$  is compact,  $\{G(y)\}$  contains a finite subcovering.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a f. o. c. of  $P$  and let  $K \subset P$  be compact. Then  $\delta(K) < \mathfrak{A}$  if and only if every connected  $S \subset K$  is contained in some  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ .*

*Proof.* The necessity being obvious suppose the condition to hold. For every  $x \in K$ , let  $S(x)$  denote the intersection of all  $H \subset K$  which are open and closed in  $K$  and contain the point  $x$ . Then  $S(x)$  is connected; for otherwise  $S(x) = S_1 + S_2$ ,  $S_i$  closed non-void,  $S_1 S_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $x \in S_1$ , and there exist open (in  $K$ )  $G_i \subset K$  such that  $G_i \supset S_i$ ,  $G_1 G_2 = \emptyset$ ; therefore, for appropriate  $H_j$ , open and closed in  $K$ , we have  $x \in \Pi_1^2 H_j \subset G_1 + G_2$ , and  $G_1 \Pi_1^2 H_j$  is easily seen to be open and closed in  $K$  from which a contradiction follows at once. Since  $S(x)$  is connected, it is contained in some  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ . There exists an open and closed (in  $K$ ) set  $H(x)$  such that  $x \in S(x) \subset H(x) \subset A$ . Since  $K$  is compact, we have, for appropriate  $x_i$ ,  $K = \sum_1^n H_i$ , each  $H_i = H(x_i)$  being open and closed (in  $K$ ) and contained in some  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ . From this the assertion of the lemma follows at once.

**Lemma 5.** *If  $R$  is complete, then, for an arbitrary space  $P$ ,  $C(P, R)$  is complete.*

This is obvious. Cf. [1], Lemma 13.

**Lemma 6.** *If  $P$  is compact,  $M \subset P$  is closed,  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a f. o. c. of  $P$ , then the set of all  $f \in C(P, R)$  such that  $\delta(Mf^{-1}(y)) < \mathfrak{A}$ , for any  $y \in R$ , is open.*

See [1], Lemma 7.

**Definition.** The *order* of a finite collection  $\mathfrak{M}$  of sets is the largest integer  $n$  such that there are  $n + 1$  sets from  $\mathfrak{M}$  with a non-void intersection. Given a (non-void) *normal* space  $P$ , the least cardinal number  $m$  such that, for any f. o. c.  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $P$ , there exist a f. o. c.  $\mathfrak{B} < \mathfrak{A}$  of order

$\leq m$  is called the *Lebesgue dimension* of  $P$ , denoted  $\dim P$ . Clearly,  $0 \leq \dim P \leq \aleph_0$ ; for  $S = \emptyset$ , we put  $\dim S = -1$ .

We now proceed to establish the following proposition from which our main theorem will easily follow.

**Proposition 3.** *The following properties of a compact space  $P$  are equivalent (for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ): (1)  $\dim P \leq n$ ; (2) property  $\Delta(E^n)$  (in  $P$ ); (3) every countable  $M \subset P$  is contained in an analytically closed subring  $C_1 \subset C(P)$  analytically generated by a set  $N \subset C(P)$  of power  $\leq n$ ; (4) property (3) with arbitrary finite, instead of countable,  $M$ .*

**Proof.** The proposition is easily seen to hold for  $n = 0$  (observe that a compact space  $P$  is 0-dimensional if and only if no connected  $S \subset P$  contains more than one point and apply Lemma 4). Therefore we may suppose  $n \geq 1$ . — I. (1) implies (2). — Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a f. o. c. of  $P$ ,  $f \in C(P, E^n)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists a f. o. c.  $\mathfrak{G} < \mathfrak{A}$  of order  $\leq n$  such that, for each  $G \in \mathfrak{G}$ ,  $f(G)$  is of diameter  $< \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ . Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  consist of sets  $G_1, \dots, G_p$ . By a well known theorem on normal spaces ( $P$  is compact, hence normal) there exist open  $H_i$  such that  $\overline{H_i} \subset G_i$ ,  $\sum_1^p H_i = P$ . By Urysohn-Tietze Extension Theorem there exist  $g_i \in C(P)$  such that  $0 \leq g_i(x) \leq 1$ , for any  $x \in P$ ,  $g_i(x) = 0$ , for  $x \in P - G_i$ ,  $G_i(x) = 1$ , for  $x \in \overline{H_i}$ . Choose points  $z_i \in E^n$  such that (1) the distance  $\rho(z_i, f(G_i))$  is  $< \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ , (2) every hyperplane in  $E^n$  contains  $n$  points  $z_i$  at most. Put, for every  $x \in P$ ,  $\gamma(x) = (\sum_1^p g_i(x))^{-1}$  (this is possible, for every  $x$  lies in some  $H_i$  which implies  $g_i(x) = 1$ ), and put  $g(x) = \gamma(x) \cdot \sum_1^p g_i(x)z_i \in E^n$ , points  $z_i$  being considered, of course, as vectors. Evidently,  $g \in C(P, E^n)$ . For any  $x \in P$ ,  $g_i(x) \neq 0$  only if  $x \in G_i$ ; since, for  $x \in G_i$ ,  $f(x) \in f(G_i)$ ,  $\rho(z_i, f(x)) < \varepsilon$ , we have  $\rho(g(x), f(x)) = \rho(\sum_1^p \gamma(x)g_i(x)z_i, \sum_1^p \gamma(x)g_i(x)f(x)) \leq \sum_1^p \gamma(x)g_i(x) \cdot \rho(z_i, f(x)) < \varepsilon$ . Hence,  $\rho(f, g) \leq \varepsilon$ .

For an arbitrary  $y \in g(P)$ , denote by  $A_y$  the set of all  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p) \in E^p$  such that  $\sum_1^p \lambda_i z_i = y$ , and for some  $x \in P$ ,  $\lambda_i = \gamma(x)g_i(x)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ). The set  $A_y$  is finite, for otherwise there would exist (since, for any  $x \in P$ ,  $g_k(x) = 0$  for all  $k$  except  $n+1$  at most) points  $z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_r}$ ,  $r \leq n+1$ , such that  $y = \sum_1^r \lambda_{i_k} z_{i_k}$  for infinitely many  $r$ -uples  $(\lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_r})$  which is impossible ( $z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_r}$  are independent). Since, for any given  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p) \in E^p$ , the set of all  $x \in P$  such that  $g_i(x) = \lambda_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ) is clearly contained in some  $G_j$ , we have, consequently,  $\delta(g^{-1}(y)) < \mathfrak{G}$ ,  $\delta(g^{-1}(y)) < \mathfrak{A}$ . Hence  $P$  has property  $\Delta(E^n)$ .

II. (2) implies (3). — Let  $P$  have property  $\Delta(E^n)$  (in  $P$ ). Let  $f_i \in C(P)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ). It is easy to see that there exists, for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , a f. o. c.  $\mathfrak{A}_m$  of  $P$  such that the diameter  $d(f_k(A))$  is  $< m^{-1}$  whenever  $A \in \mathfrak{A}_m$ ,  $k \leq m$  (to find such a f. o. c., we have only to choose f. o. coverings  $\mathfrak{B}_k$  of  $f_k(P)$  such that  $d(B) < m^{-1}$  whenever  $B \in \mathfrak{B}_k$  and to take for  $\mathfrak{A}_m$  the collection of all  $\prod_{k=1}^m f_k^{-1}(B_k)$ ,  $B_k \in \mathfrak{B}_k$ ). By Lemmas 5 and 6, and

Baire's Theorem, property  $\Delta(E^n)$  implies that there exists  $g \in C(P, E^n)$  such that  $\delta(g^{-1}(y)) < \mathfrak{A}_m$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ), for any  $y \in E^n$ . Put, for  $x \in P$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $g_k(x) = k$ -th coordinate of  $g(x)$ ; then  $g_k \in C(P)$ . Let  $S \subset P$  be connected and let every  $g_k$  be constant on  $S$ ; then, for some  $y$ ,  $S \subset g^{-1}(y)$  and therefore, for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $S$  is contained in some  $A \in \mathfrak{A}_m$ . Hence  $d(f_k(S)) < m^{-1}$  ( $k, m = 1, 2, \dots; k \leq m$ ),  $d(f_k(S)) = 0$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), every  $f_k$  is constant on  $S$ . Hence, by Proposition 2, all the functions  $f_k$  are contained in the subring analytically generated by  $g_1, \dots, g_n$ . Thus  $P$  has property (3).

III. (3) implies (4) (trivially). IV. (4) implies (1). — Suppose that (4) holds. Let  $\mathfrak{G} = \{G_i\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ) be a f. o. c. of  $P$ . There exist open sets  $H_i$  such that  $\overline{H_i} \subset G_i$ ,  $\sum_1^p H_i = P$ , and continuous functions  $f_i \in C(P)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ) such that  $0 \leq f_i(x) \leq 1$ , for any  $x \in P$ ,  $f_i(x) = 1$ , for  $x \in \overline{H_i}$ ,  $f_i(x) = 0$ , for  $x \in P - G_i$ . Since (4) holds, there exist  $g_j \in C(P)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that every  $f_i$  is contained in the ring  $C_1 \subset C(P)$  generated analytically by the functions  $g_j$ . By Proposition 2, every  $f_i$  is constant on every connected  $S \subset P$  on which each  $g_j$  is constant. Put, for any  $x \in P$ ,  $g(x) = (g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x)) \in E^n$ ; then  $g \in C(P, E^n)$ . Every (non-void) connected  $S \subset P$  which is contained in some  $g^{-1}(y)$  is clearly contained in some  $G_i \in \mathfrak{G}$ , for otherwise we would have, for appropriate  $u_i \in S$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ),  $u_i \in P - G_i$ ,  $f_i(u_i) = 0$  (since every  $f_i$  is constant on  $S$ ),  $f_i(x) = 0$  whenever  $x \in S$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ), hence  $S \subset \prod_1^p (P - H_i)$  which is impossible. Therefore, by Lemma 4,  $\delta(g^{-1}(y)) < \mathfrak{G}$ , for any  $y \in E^n$ , which, by Lemma 3, implies that there exists a f. o. c.  $\mathfrak{B}$  of  $g(P)$  such that, for each  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\delta(g^{-1}(B)) < \mathfrak{B}$ . Since  $T = g(P)$  is  $n$ -dimensional at most, there exists a f. o. c.  $\mathfrak{K} < \mathfrak{B}$  of order  $\leq n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{K} = \{U_1, \dots, U_r\}$ . Since  $\delta(g^{-1}(U_i)) < \mathfrak{G}$ , for each  $U_i$ , there exist  $V_{ij} \subset P$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r; j = 1, \dots, k_i$ ) such that  $V_{ih} \cdot \overline{V_{ij}} = \emptyset$  (for  $h \neq j$ ),  $\sum_1^{k_i} V_{ij} = g^{-1}(U_i)$ , every  $V_{ij}$  is contained in some  $G \in \mathfrak{G}$ . It is easy to see that the collection of all  $V_{ij}$  is a f. o. c. (of  $P$ ) of order  $\leq n$ . This completes the proof.

**Definition.** Let  $C$  be an analytic ring. The least cardinal number  $m$  such that every countable  $M \subset C$  is contained in a subring generated analytically by a set of power  $\leq m$  is called the *analytic pseudodimension* of  $C$ , denoted  $\text{psdim } C$ .

**Remarks.** (1) Proposition 3 implies that, for  $C = C(P)$ ,  $P$  compact, „finite“ may be substituted for „countable“ in the above definition. — (2) Evidently, (a)  $\text{psdim } C \leq \dim C$ , (b)  $\text{psdim } C \leq \aleph_0$ , (c)  $\text{psdim } C = \dim C$  whenever  $\dim C \leq \aleph_0$ .

**Proposition 4.**  $\dim P = \text{psdim } C(P)$ , for any compact  $P$ .

This follows at once from Proposition 3.

**Remark.** By Proposition 4 and the preceding remark (2),  $\dim P = \dim C(P)$  whenever  $P$  is compact,  $\dim C(P) \leq \aleph_0$ . The main theorem of [1] asserts that the inductive (Menger-Urysohn) dimension of a com-

compact space  $P$  is equal to  $\dim C(P)$  whenever  $\dim C(P) \leq \aleph_0$ . Thus  $\dim C(P) \leq \aleph_0$  implies, for  $\mathfrak{a}$  compact  $P$ ,  $\dim P = \text{ind} P$ ,  $\text{ind} P$  denoting the inductive dimension.

As a matter of fact, the main theorem of [1] is virtually contained in the above Proposition 4. For it is easy to show that  $\text{ind} P \leq \dim C(P)$  (cf. [1], Theorems 1 and 3). On the other hand, it is known (see [5]) that, for a compact  $P$ ,  $\dim P \leq \text{ind} P$ ; hence, by Proposition 4,  $\text{psdim} C(P) \leq \leq \text{ind}(P)$  and therefore  $\text{ind} P = \dim P$  whenever  $\dim C(P) = \text{psdim} C(P)$  which is equivalent to  $\dim C(P) \leq \aleph_0$ .

## § 2.

We are now going to extend the equality  $\dim P = \text{psdim} C(P)$  to arbitrary completely regular spaces, after defining the Lebesgue dimension of non-normal completely regular spaces in an adequate way.

Let  $P$  be completely regular. It is well known (see e. g. [6]) that there exists an (essentially unique) compact space  $\beta P$ , called the  $\beta$ -extension of  $P$ , such that (1)  $P \subset \beta P$ ,  $\overline{P} = \beta P$ ; (2) every  $f \in C(P)$  admits of an extension  $F \in C(\beta P)$ .

It is clear that the correspondence between a function  $f \in C(P)$  and its extension  $F \in C(\beta P)$  is one-to one and preserves algebraic operations as well as closures of sets (in fact, even distances). Therefore, analytic rings  $C(P)$  and  $C(\beta P)$  enjoy the same properties and may be considered as identical.

**Lemma 7.** *If  $P$  is normal, then, for arbitrary closed (in  $P$ ) sets  $F_k \subset P$ , the closure of  $\Pi_1^m F_i$  in  $\beta P$  is equal to the intersection of closures of  $F_i$  in  $\beta P$ .*

**Remark.** Lemma 7 and the following Proposition 5 are essentially due to H. Wallman [7] (observe that, if  $P$  is normal, Wallman's extension  $\omega P$  and  $\beta$ -extension coincide).

**Proof.** It is sufficient to prove  $\overline{F_1 F_2} = \overline{F_1} \overline{F_2}$ . Obviously,  $\overline{F_1 F_2} \supset \supset \overline{F_1} \overline{F_2}$ . Suppose  $b \in \overline{F_1 F_2} - \overline{F_1} \overline{F_2}$ . Choose an open (in  $\beta P$ ) set  $G$  such that  $b \in G$ ,  $\overline{G F_1 F_2} = \emptyset$ , and put  $A_k = \overline{G F_k}$ . Then  $A_1 A_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $b \in \overline{A_k}$ . There exists, by Urysohn's Lemma, a function  $f \in C(P)$  such that  $f(x) = k$  for  $x \in A_k$ . Since  $f$  admits of an extension  $F \in C(\beta P)$ , we have a contradiction (namely,  $F(b) = k$  for  $k = 1, 2$ ).

**Proposition 5.** *For a normal  $P$ ,  $\dim P = \dim \beta P$ .*

**Proof.** I. Suppose  $\dim \beta P \leq n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_m\}$  be a f. o. c. of  $P$ . Put  $U_i = \beta P - \overline{P - G_i}$ . Lemma 7 implies  $\Sigma_1^m U_i = \beta P$ . There exists a f. o. c.  $\{H_j\}$  of  $\beta P$ , of order  $\leq n$ , such that each  $H_j$  is contained in some  $U_i$ . Clearly,  $\{P H_j\} < \mathfrak{G}$ . II. Suppose  $\dim P \leq n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_r\}$  be a f. o. c. of  $\beta P$ . Let  $H_i$  be open in  $\beta P$ ,  $\overline{H_i} \supset G_i$ ,  $\Sigma_1^r H_i = \beta P$ .

There exists a f. o. c.  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_j\}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, s$ ) of  $P$ , of order  $\leq n$ , such that each  $V_j$  is contained in some  $H_i$ . Put  $U_j = \beta P - \overline{P - V_j}$ . Then Lemma 7 implies  $\Sigma_1^s U_j = \beta P - \Pi_1^s \overline{P - V_j} = \beta P$ . If  $\Pi V_j = \emptyset$ ,  $j$  running over a given set of integers  $1, \dots, s$ , then  $\Sigma \overline{P - V_j} = \beta P$ ,  $\Pi(\beta P - \overline{P - V_j}) = \emptyset$ ,  $\Pi U_j = \emptyset$ . Hence  $\{U_j\}$  is of order  $\leq n$ . If  $V_j \subset H_i$ , then

$$\beta P - \overline{P - V_j} \subset \beta P - \overline{P - H_i} \subset \overline{H_i} \subset G_i.$$

Hence  $\{U_j\} < \mathcal{G}$  which proves the proposition.

Propositions 4 and 5 imply (since  $C(P)$  and  $C(\beta P)$  may be considered as identical):

**Proposition 6.** *For a normal space  $P$ ,  $\dim P = \text{psdim} C(P)$ .*

**Definition.** If  $P$  is completely regular then the Lebesgue dimension of the compact (hence, normal) space  $\beta P$  will be called the *Lebesgue dimension* of  $P$ , denoted  $\dim P$ .

**Proposition 7.** *The above definition coincides, for a normal  $P$ , with the usual one (this note, p. 81—82).*

This follows at once from Proposition 5.

**Remark.** It is possible to replace the above definition by an equivalent one not making use of the  $\beta$ -extension. This may be done e. g. by restricting the considerations to normal (Tukey [8]) f. o. coverings or, which is the same, to f. o. coverings possessing refinements of the form  $\{f^{-1}(G_i)\}$  where  $f$  is a mapping of  $P$  into  $E^r$ ,  $\{G_i\}$  is a f. o. c. of  $f(P)$ .

We now state our main theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *For any completely regular space  $P$ ,  $\dim P = \text{psdim} C(P)$ .*

This follows immediately from Propositions 4 and 5 and includes Proposition 6 as a special case (cf. Proposition 7).

We now have to show that the above generalized definition of the Lebesgue dimension is „reasonable“ which essentially means that the inequality  $\dim M \leq \dim P$ , for  $M \subset P$ , and the Sum Theorem obtain, under some reasonable assumptions. This will be shown below (Theorem 2).

**Definition.** A subset  $M$  of a completely regular space  $P$  will be called *normally closed* if it is closed and every  $f \in C(M)$  admits of an extension  $F \in C(P)$ .

**Proposition 8.** *If  $P$  is completely regular,  $M \subset P$  is normally closed, then  $\dim M \leq \dim P$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly,  $\overline{M} \subset \beta P$  is compact, and every  $f \in C(M)$  may be extended over  $\beta P$ . Hence  $\overline{M} = \beta M$ . Now let  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_i\}$  be a f. o. c. of  $\overline{M}$ . There exist open (in  $\beta P$ ) sets  $H_i$  such that  $\overline{M} H_i = G_i$ . The sets  $H_i$  together with  $\beta P - \overline{M}$  cover  $\beta P$ . Therefore, supposing  $\dim P \leq n$ , there



exists a f. o. c.  $\mathcal{D} = \{V_j\}$  of  $\beta P$ , of order  $\leq n$ , such that each  $V_j$  is contained either in  $\beta P - \overline{M}$  or in some  $H_i$ . The f. o. c.  $\mathcal{U} = \{\overline{MV_j}\}$  of  $\overline{M} = \beta M$  is of order  $\leq n$ ;  $\mathcal{U} < \mathcal{G}$ .

**Proposition 9.** *If  $P$  is normal,  $A_k \subset P$  are closed,  $P = \Sigma_1^\infty A_k$ ,  $\dim A_k \leq n$ , then  $\dim P \leq n$ .*

This well known result is due to E. Čech [9]; cf. E. Hemmingsen [10].

**Proposition 10.** *If  $P$  is regular and every open covering of  $P$  contains a countable subcovering, then  $P$  is normal.*

**Remark.** This result is due to E. Čech (unpublished). The idea of the proof is due to A. Tychonoff [11].

**Proof.** Let  $A \subset P$ ,  $B \subset P$  be closed,  $AB = \emptyset$ . For every  $x \in P$  choose an open set  $G(x)$  such that  $x \in G(x)$  and either  $\overline{AG(x)}$  or  $\overline{BG(x)}$  is void. The covering  $\{G(x)\}$  contains a countable subcovering  $\{G_n\}$ . Denote by  $F_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) the sum of  $\overline{G_k}$ ,  $k \leq n$ , such that  $\overline{AG_k} = \emptyset$ , and put  $G = \Sigma(G_n - F_n)$ . Since  $\Sigma_1^\infty G_n = P$ ,  $F_n A = \emptyset$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), we have  $A \subset G$ . If  $x \in B$ , then  $x \in G_m$ , for some  $m$ , and clearly  $G_m(G_n - F_n) = \emptyset$  ( $n = m, m + 1, \dots$ ) whereas, for  $n \leq m$ , we have either  $G_n - F_n = \emptyset$  or  $\overline{G_n B} = \emptyset$ ; therefore  $x \notin G$ . Hence  $\overline{GB} = \emptyset$  which proves the normality of  $P$ .

**Lemma 8.** *If there exist, in a space  $P$ , compact sets  $K_n \subset P$  such that  $P = \Sigma_1^\infty K_n$ , then every open covering  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $P$  contains a countable subcovering.*

**Proof.** Since  $K_n$  is compact,  $\mathcal{G}$  contains  $G_{ni}$  such that  $\Sigma_{i=1}^{p_n} G_{ni} \supset K_n$ . The collection of all  $G_{ni}$  covers  $P$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $P$  is completely regular,  $P = \Sigma_1^\infty A_n$ ,  $A_n$  are normally closed in  $P$ , then  $\dim P = \sup \dim A_n$ .*

**Proof.** Denote by  $B_n$  the closure of  $A_n$  in  $\beta P$  and put  $B = \Sigma B_n$ . Since  $A_n$  are normally closed,  $B_n = \beta A_n$ , and therefore  $\dim B_n = \dim A_n$ . Clearly  $\beta P = \beta B$  which implies  $\dim B = \dim \beta P = \dim P$ . Now apply Lemma 8 and Propositions 10 and 8.

**Remark.** It is sufficient to suppose, in Theorem 2, instead of  $A_n$  being normally closed only that every  $f \in C(A_n)$  admits of an extension  $F \in C(P)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. М. Катетов: О кольцах непрерывных функций и размерности бикомпактов. (On rings of continuous functions and the dimension of compact spaces), *Časopis pěst. mat. fys.* 75 (1950), 1—16.
2. M. H. Stone: Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 41 (1937), 375—481.
3. N. Dunford and J. Segal: Semi-groups of operators and the Weierstrass theorem, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 52 (1946), 911—914.

4. E. Hewitt: Certain generalizations of the Weierstrass approximation theorem, *Duke Math. J.* **14** (1947), 419—427.
5. П. С. Александров: Теорема сложения в теории размерности бикompактных пространств. (Der endlich dimensionstheoretische Summensatz für bikompakte Räume.) *Сообщ. Акад. наук Грузинской ССР (Mitt. Akad. Wiss. Georgischen SSR)*. **2**. (1941), 1—6.
6. E. Čech: On bcompact spaces, *Annals of Math.* **38** (1937), 823—844.
7. H. Wallman: Lattices and topological spaces, *Annals of Math.* **39** (1938), 112—126.
8. J. W. Tukey: Convergence and Uniformity in Topology, *Annals of Math. Studies*, no. 2., Princeton, N. J., 1940.
9. E. Čech: Příspěvek k teorii dimense (Contribution à la théorie de dimension), *Časopis pěst. mat. fys.* **62** (1933), 277—292.
10. E. Hemmingsen: Some theorems in dimension theory for normal Hausdorff spaces, *Duke Math. J.* **13** (1946), 495—504.
11. A. Tychonoff: Über einen Metrisationssatz von P. Urysohn, *Math. Annalen* **95** (1926), 139—142.

### Věta o Lebesgueově dimenzi

(Obsah předešlého článku).

Hlavním výsledkem článku je věta: Je-li  $P$  úplně regulární prostor, pak  $\dim P = \text{psdim } C(P)$ . Při tom je  $\dim P$  definována jako Lebesgueova dimense Čechova obalu  $\beta P$  (takže pro normální prostor  $P$  se shoduje s Lebesgueovou dimensí, definovanou obvyklým způsobem pomocí konečných otevřených pokrytí prostoru  $P$ ),  $\text{psdim } C(P)$  je pak nejmenší kardinální číslo  $m$  takové, že každá spočetná  $M \subset C(P)$  je obsažena v jistém analyticky uzavřeném podokruhu  $C_1$  okruhu  $C(P)$  (jenž se skládá z omezených spojitých funkcí v  $P$ ), vytvořeném nejvýše  $m$  funkcemi z  $C(P)$ .