

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum
Naturalium. Mathematica

Mircea Crâșmăreanu

Trace decomposition and recurrency

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 40 (2001), No. 1, 43--46

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120438>

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>



Trace Decomposition and Recurrency

MIRCEA CRĂȘMĂREANU

*Faculty of Mathematics, University “Al. I. Cuza”
and
Institute of Mathematics “Octav Mayer”,
Iasi Branch of Romanian Academy,
Iași, 6600, Romania
e-mail: mcrasm@uaic.ro*

(Received October 30, 2000)

Abstract

Some applications of trace decomposition in recurrence problems are pointed. The main result of this paper establish that the traceless part of a k -recurrent tensor field is also recurrent with the same order and form of recurrence. We apply this fact to Weyl curvature tensors and Einstein tensor.

Key words: Traceless tensor, trace decomposition, recurrent tensor field.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A72, 53A55

1 Trace decompositions of tensor fields

Let E be a real n -dimensional linear space, $n \geq 2$ and $T_q^p E$ the linear space of tensors of type (p, q) on E . By fixing a basis on E , and therefore, by extension, on $T_q^p E$, a given tensor $A \in T_q^p E$ is identified with its components $A = (A_{j_1 \dots j_q}^{i_1 \dots i_p})$. A tensor is said to be *traceless* if its traces are all zeros. After [3], [4, p. 303] the *trace decomposition problem* consists in finding a decomposition of a given tensor in which the first term is traceless and the other terms are linear combinations of Kronecker's δ -tensors.

The following theorem of Krupka gives the solution ([4]):

Theorem 1 *Let p, q, n positive integers, $p \leq q$ and $A = \left(A_{j_1 \dots j_q}^{i_1 \dots i_p} \right) \in T_q^p E$. There exist a traceless tensor $B = \left(B_{j_1 \dots j_q}^{i_1 \dots i_p} \right) \in T_q^p E$ and tensors $B_{(s)}^{(r)} = \left(B_{(s)j_1 j_2 \dots j_{q-1}}^{(r)i_1 i_2 \dots i_{p-1}} \right) \in T_{q-1}^{p-1} E$, where $1 \leq r \leq p$, $1 \leq s \leq q$, such that:*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{j_1 \dots j_q}^{i_1 \dots i_p} &= B_{j_1 \dots j_q}^{i_1 \dots i_p} + \delta_{j_1}^{i_1} B_{(1)j_2 \dots j_q}^{(1)i_2 \dots i_p} + \delta_{j_2}^{i_1} B_{(2)j_1 j_3 \dots j_q}^{(1)i_2 \dots i_p} + \dots + \delta_{j_q}^{i_1} B_{(q)j_1 \dots j_{q-1}}^{(1)i_2 \dots i_p} \\ &\quad + \delta_{j_1}^{i_2} B_{(1)j_2 \dots j_q}^{(2)i_1 i_3 \dots i_p} + \delta_{j_2}^{i_2} B_{(2)j_1 j_3 \dots j_q}^{(2)i_1 i_3 \dots i_p} + \dots + \delta_{j_q}^{i_2} B_{(q)j_1 \dots j_{q-1}}^{(2)i_1 i_3 \dots i_p} \\ &\quad \dots \\ &\quad + \delta_{j_1}^{i_p} B_{(1)j_2 \dots j_q}^{(p)i_1 \dots i_{p-1}} + \delta_{j_2}^{i_p} B_{(2)j_1 j_3 \dots j_q}^{(p)i_1 \dots i_{p-1}} + \dots + \delta_{j_q}^{i_p} B_{(q)j_1 \dots j_{q-1}}^{(p)i_1 \dots i_{p-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The tensor B is unique.

Let us note that Krupka's results are generalized by J. Mikeš in [5], [6].

In the following let us restrict to the case $p = 1$; let us remark that this fact does not restricts the generalization because, usually, we work with a fixed scalar product on E (see the demonstration of the theorem 1 in [4, p. 306]) and then we low supplementary indices with musical isomorphisms (see also the example were we work on a fixed Riemannian manifold). For this case the relation above becomes:

$$A_{j_1 \dots j_q}^i = B_{j_1 \dots j_q}^i + \delta_{j_1}^i B_{(1)j_2 \dots j_q} + \dots + \delta_{j_q}^i B_{(q)j_1 \dots j_{q-1}}. \quad (1)$$

If we make the contraction $(1, s)$, $1 \leq s \leq q$ in (1), using the traceless of B it results:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{j_1 \dots j_{s-1} a j_{s+1} \dots j_q}^a &= B_{(1)j_2 \dots j_{s-1} j_1 j_{s+1} \dots j_q} + \dots + B_{(s-1)j_1 \dots j_{s-2} j_{s-1} j_{s+1} \dots j_q} \\ &\quad + n B_{(s)j_1 \dots j_{s-1} j_{s+1} \dots j_q} + B_{(s+1)j_1 \dots j_{s-1} j_{s+1} j_{s+2} \dots j_q} + \dots + B_{(q)j_1 \dots j_{s-1} j_q j_{s+1} \dots j_{q-1}} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

i.e. we obtain a linear system in unknowns $B_{(s)}$. Then we have:

Proposition 1 *The tensors $B_{(s)}$, $1 \leq s \leq q$, are linear combinations of the contractions of A .*

2 Trace decomposition and k -recurrent spaces

Our next framework consists in a pair (M, ∇) where M is a smooth n -dimensional manifold and ∇ is a linear connection on M . Let us denotes $C^\infty(M)$ the ring of real-valued functions on M , $T_q^p(M)$ the linear space of tensor fields of type (p, q) on M , $\Omega^k(M)$ the $C^\infty(M)$ -module of k -differential forms on M .

Recall that for a natural number k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, a tensor field $A \in T_q^p(M)$ is called *k -recurrent with respect to ∇* (if A is a Riemannian tensor then see [2]) if there exists $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$ such that:

$$\nabla_{X_k} \dots \nabla_{X_1} A = \omega(X_1, \dots, X_k) \cdot A \quad (3)$$

for all $X_1, \dots, X_k \in T_0^1(M) = \mathcal{X}(M)$ = the $C^\infty(M)$ -module of vector fields on M . In a local chart (3) reads:

$$A_{j_1 \dots j_q, l_1 \dots l_k}^{i_1 \dots i_p} = \omega_{l_1 \dots l_k} A_{j_1 \dots j_q}^{i_1 \dots i_p} \quad (4)$$

where “ ∇ ” denotes the covariant derivative with respect to ∇ . We call ω the k -form of recurrency for A . If in (4) we make the contraction (r, s) then:

$$A_{j_1 \dots j_{s-1} a j_{s+1} \dots j_q, l_1 \dots l_k}^{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} a i_{r+1} \dots i_p} = \omega_{l_1 \dots l_k} A_{j_1 \dots j_{s-1} a j_{s+1} \dots j_q}^{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} a i_{r+1} \dots i_p} \quad (5)$$

i.e. it follows:

Proposition 2 *If A is k -recurrent then every contraction of A is k -recurrent with the same form of recurrence.*

Then propositions 1 and 2 yields:

Proposition 3 *Let M be a n -dimensional manifold and $A \in T_q^1(M)$ with $q \leq n$. If A is k -recurrent then the tensors $B_{(s)}$ from (1) are k -recurrent with the same form of recurrence.*

Because the recurrency is preserved by sum and obviously the Kronecker tensor is parallel (so k -recurrent with $\omega = 0$) we obtain the main result of the paper:

Proposition 4 *Let M be a n -dimensional manifold and $A \in T_q^1(M)$ with $q \leq n$. If A is k -recurrent then the traceless part of A is k -recurrent with the same form of recurrence.*

Applications Let $g = (g_{ij})$ be a Riemannian metric on M and $R = \left(R_{jkl}^i \right) \in T_3^1(M)$ the curvature tensor of g . The Riemannian space (M, g) is called k -recurrent space if R is k -recurrent and is called k -symmetric space if R is k -recurrent with $\omega \equiv 0$ (see [2]). In [4, p. 314] it is proved that the traceless part of R is exactly the Weyl projective curvature tensor and the traceless part of $R_{kl}^{ij} = g^{js} R_{skl}^i$ is exactly the Weyl conformal curvature tensor. Applying the proposition 4 we get:

Proposition 5 *In a k -recurrent (particularly k -symmetric) space the Weyl projective curvature tensor and the Weyl conformal curvature tensor are k -recurrent (particularly k -symmetric) with the same form of recurrence as the curvature tensor.*

In [5, p. 50] it is proved that the traceless part of the Ricci tensor is exactly the Einstein tensor. Also, is it used the notion of Ricci k -recurrent space as a Riemannian space with the Ricci tensor k -recurrent. Therefore:

Proposition 6 *In a Ricci-recurrent space the Einstein tensor is k -recurrent with the same form of recurrence as the Ricci tensor.*

Acknowledgement The author would like to thank professor Josef Mikeš for useful remarks.

References

- [1] Crășmăreanu, M.: *Particular trace decompositions and applications of trace decomposition to almost projective invariants*. Math. Bohem. (2001), to appear.
- [2] Kaigorodov, V. R.: *On the curvature of s -recurrent and quasi-symmetric Riemannian manifolds.*, Sov. Math., Dokl. 14 (1973), 1454–1458, translation from Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **212** (1973), 796–799.
- [3] Krupka, D.: *The trace decomposition of tensors of type $(1, 2)$ and $(1, 3)$* . In: New Developments in Differential Geometry (Debrecen, 1994), Math. Appl. **350** (1996), Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, 243–253.
- [4] Krupka, D.: *The trace decomposition problem*. Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie (Contributions to Algebra and Geometry) **36**, 2 (1995), 303–315.
- [5] Mikeš, J.: *On the general trace decomposition problem*. In: Proc. Conf., Aug. 28–Sept. 1, 1995, Brno, Czech Republic, Masaryk Univ., Brno, 1996, 45–50.
- [6] Lakomá, L., Mikeš, J.: *On the Special Trace Decomposition Problem on Quaternion Structure*. In: Proceedings of the Third International Workshop on Differential Geometry and its Applications and the First German–Romanian Seminar on Geometry (Sibiu, 1997), Gen. Math. **5** (1997), 225–230.
- [7] Lakomá, L., Mikeš, J., Mikušová, L.: *The decomposition of tensor spaces*. In: Differential Geometry and Applications, (Brno, 1998), Masaryk Univ., Brno, 1999, 371–378.
- [8] Mikeš, J.: *Projective-symmetric and projective-recurrent affinely connected spaces*. Tr. Geom. Semin. **13** (1981), 61–62.
- [9] Mikeš, J.: *On geodesic and holomorphic-projective mappings of generalized M -recurrent Riemannian spaces*. Sib. Math. Zh. **33**, 5 (1992), 215.
- [10] Mikeš, J., Radulovich, Z.: *On geodesic and holomorphically projective mappings of generalized recurrent spaces*. Publ. Inst. Math. **59** (1996), 153–160.