

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum
Naturalium. Mathematica

Ludmila Kubáčková

Mixed multiePOCH linear regression models with nuisance parameters

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 34 (1995), No. 1, 109--126

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120320>

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Mixed Multiepoche Linear Regression Models with Nuisance Parameters

LUDMILA KUBÁČKOVÁ*

*Department of Mathematical Analysis, Faculty of Science, Palacký University,
Tomkova 40, Hejčín, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic
E-mail: kubacekl@risc.upol.cz*

(Received January 18, 1995)

Abstract

The optimum linear estimators of the useful mean value parameters and the optimum quadratic estimators of the variance parameters within a mixed linear regression model with stable and variable parameters and with nuisance parameters are derived including their characteristics of accuracy.

Key words: multiepoche regression models, useful and nuisance parameters, best linear estimators of the mean value parameter and of the variance parameters.

MS Classification: 62J05

1 Motivation of the problem

The estimation procedures in linear mixed multiepoche models with stable and variable parameters were described in [3]. There the general model with stable and variable parameters was taken into account. This model occurs frequently in connection with deformation measurements performed for studying time changes of various subjects.

The aim of this paper is to derive optimum estimators of the useful mean value and variance parameters within a mixed linear multiepoche model with

*Supported by grant No 2/1226/94 of the Grant Agency of the Slovak republic

stable and variable parameters, where the results of measurement are affected by a deterministic noise, i.e., by a noise which can be described by a linear or linearizable model and whose parameters called nuisance (disturbing) are estimable from results of measurement. The subject of an interpretation are changes of the useful parameters in single epochs and their characteristics of accuracy.

Very often the dimension of the useful mean value parameter is essentially smaller than that of the nuisance parameter and in connection with this fact the problem occurs how to determine the optimum estimators of the useful parameters and their accuracy without evaluating in each epoch the large vector of the nuisance (unuseful) parameters and how to determine the optimum estimators of the variance components without any loss of information on them.

Mixed multiepoch linear regression models with nuisance parameters in both their versions, i.e., with stable and variable useful parameters and with variable parameters only (the latter is a special case of the former) occur in the geodetic practice, e.g., within replicated levelling and gravimetric measurements performed for a research of recent crustal movements of some territory. The data obtained from measurements by gravimeters are influenced by the drift of single devices, which represents a typical example of a deterministic noise. It has to be realized that not only drifts of various devices but the drifts of the same gravimeters within various days differ. Nevertheless, the drift can be modelled (there exist catalogues of drifts) and the best linear estimators of the unknown parameters of the mean value and the best quadratic estimators of the variance parameters can be determined without determining an essentially larger vector of unknown disturbing parameters. For example a concrete case: it is really a difference to solve 1 000 linear equation for determining the useful parameter vector of the mean value instead of solving 23 500 equation for determining the whole parameter vector of the mean value.

The results given in [3] are a special case of results obtained here.

2 Fundamental notions and definitions

Definition 2.1 A linear model

$$\left(Y^{(m)}, (A_1^{(m)}, A_2^{(m)}, S^{(m)}) \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2^{(m)} \\ \kappa^{(m)} \end{pmatrix}, \text{var}(Y^{(m)}) = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1, & 0, & \dots, & 0 \\ 0, & \Sigma_2, & \dots, & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0, & 0, & \dots, & \Sigma_m \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $\Sigma_j = \sum_{s_j=1}^{p_j} \vartheta_{js_j} V_{js_j}$, is said to be an m -epoch mixed linear model with stable and variable parameters and with nuisance parameters.

Here $Y^{(m)} = (Y'_{1(n_1)}, Y'_{2(n_2)}, \dots, Y'_{m(n_m)})'$ is a $\sum_{i=1}^m n_i$ -dimensional observation vector after the m th epoch of measurement consisting of m n_i -dimensional observation vectors of single epochs, the $[\sum_{i=1}^m n_i \times (k_1 + \sum_{i=1}^m k_{2i} + \sum_{i=1}^m l_i)]$ -dimensional design matrix $(A_1^{(m)}, A_2^{(m)}, S^{(m)})$ is split into three block matrices

expressing the relation (linear or linearized) between the directly observable parameters and the k_1 -dimensional useful stable (the $\sum_{i=1}^m n_i \times k_1$ -dimensional matrix $A_1^{(m)}$), the $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i$ -dimensional useful variable (the matrix $\sum_{i=1}^m n_i \times \sum_{i=1}^m k_{2i}$ -dimensional matrix $A_2^{(m)}$) and the $\sum_{i=1}^m l_i$ -dimensional disturbing (the $\sum_{i=1}^m n_i \times \sum_{i=1}^m l_i$ -dimensional matrix $S^{(m)}$) parameter vector. Each of the mentioned matrices analogously as the observation vector consists from the blocks corresponding to separate stochastically independent epochs of measurement; thus

$$(A_1^{(m)}, A_2^{(m)}, S^{(m)}) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}, & A_{21}, & 0, & \dots, & 0, & S_1, & 0, & \dots, & 0 \\ A_{12}, & 0, & A_{22}, & \dots, & 0, & 0, & S_2, & \dots, & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_{1m}, & 0, & 0, & \dots, & A_{2m}, & 0, & 0, & \dots, & S_m \end{pmatrix};$$

the $(k_1 + \sum_{i=1}^m k_{2i})$ -dimensional vector of the useful mean value parameters $(\beta_1', \beta_2^{(m)'})'$ consists of the k_1 -dimensional unknown vector β_1 of the stable parameters of the model and m k_{2i} -dimensional variable parameters β_i changing within various epochs that are subject of the interpretation; thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2^{(m)} \end{pmatrix} = (\beta_1', \beta_{21}', \dots, \beta_{2m}')'$$

and $\kappa^{(m)}$ a $\sum_{i=1}^m l_i$ -dimensional vector of the unknown nuisance parameters $\kappa^{(m)} = (\kappa_1', \kappa_2', \dots, \kappa_m')'$ modelling the systematic deterministic effect $s(\kappa^{(m)}) = S^{(m)} \kappa^{(m)}$; $\text{var}(Y^{(m)})$ is a $(\sum_{i=1}^m n_i \times \sum_{i=1}^m n_i)$ -dimensional covariance matrix of the whole observation vector whose diagonal blocks are of the form $\Sigma_k = \text{var}(Y_k) = \sum_{s_k=1}^{p_k} \vartheta_{ksk} V_{ksk}$, $k = 1, \dots, m$; they are assumed to be positive definite and the design matrix is assumed to possess the full rank in columns.

The described model arises by sequential realizations of the linear partial regression model

$$\left(Y_{j(n_j)}, (A_{1j(n_j, k_1)}, A_{2j(n_j, k_{2j})}, S_{j(n_j, l_j)}) \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_{2j} \\ \kappa_j \end{pmatrix}, \text{var}(Y_j) = \Sigma_j \right) \quad (2)$$

representing the model of the measurement in the j th epoch.

The problem is to determine the locally best linear unbiased estimators (LBLUES) of the useful mean value parameters and the locally minimum variance quadratic unbiased and invariant estimators (LMVQUIEs) of the variance parameters both of them in the j th epoch of measurement and after the j th epoch of measurement, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

3 LBLUEs of the useful mean value parameters

Theorem 3.1 *The Σ_{j0} -locally best linear unbiased estimators of the useful parameters β_1 and β_{2j} in the j th epoch of measurement modelled by (2) are*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{1,\Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j) &= (A'_{1j}[M_{A_{2j}}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})M_{A_{2j}}]^+ A_{1j})^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{1j}[M_{A_{2j}}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})M_{A_{2j}}]^+ Y_j \equiv \\ &\equiv [A'_{1j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j}]^{-1} A'_{1j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ [Y_j - A_{2j}\hat{\beta}_{2j,\Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)],\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{2j,\Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j) &= (A'_{2j}[M_{A_{1j}}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})M_{A_{1j}}]^+ A_{2j})^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{2j}[M_{A_{1j}}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})M_{A_{1j}}]^+ Y_j \equiv \\ &\equiv [A'_{2j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j}]^{-1} A'_{2j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ [Y_j - A_{1j}\hat{\beta}_{1,\Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)],\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, respectively (evidently $\Sigma_{j0} = \sum_{s_j=1}^{p_j} \vartheta_{js_j0} V_{js_j}$, where ϑ_{js_j0} , $s_j = 1, \dots, p_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ are the approximate values of the unknown variance parameters). The variance matrices of the estimators (1) and (2) and the covariance matrix between them (at the point Σ_{j0}) are

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}_{\Sigma_{j0}}[\hat{\beta}_{1,\Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)] &= (A'_{1j}[M_{A_{2j}}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})M_{A_{2j}}]^+ A_{1j})^{-1} \equiv \\ &\equiv [A'_{1j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j}]^{-1} + [A'_{1j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j}]^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{1j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j} (A'_{2j}[M_{A_{1j}}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})M_{A_{1j}}]^+ A_{2j})^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{2j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j} [A'_{1j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j}]^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}_{\Sigma_{j0}}[\hat{\beta}_{2j,\Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)] &= (A'_{2j}[M_{A_{1j}}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})M_{A_{1j}}]^+ A_{2j})^{-1} \equiv \\ &\equiv [A'_{2j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j}]^{-1} + [A'_{2j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j}]^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{2j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j} (A'_{1j}[M_{A_{2j}}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})M_{A_{2j}}]^+ A_{1j})^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{1j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j} [A'_{2j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j}]^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{cov}_{\Sigma_{j0}}[\hat{\beta}_{1,\Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j), \hat{\beta}_{2j,\Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)] &= -(A'_{1j}[M_{A_{2j}}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})M_{A_{2j}}]^+ A_{1j})^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{1j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j} [A'_{2j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j}]^{-1} \equiv \\ &\equiv -[A'_{1j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j}]^{-1} A'_{1j}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ \times \\ &\quad \times A_{2j} (A'_{2j}[M_{A_{1j}}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})M_{A_{1j}}]^+ A_{2j})^{-1};\end{aligned}$$

here

$$(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ = \Sigma_{j0}^{-1} - \Sigma_{j0}^{-1} S_j (S'_j \Sigma_{j0}^{-1} S_j)^{-1} S'_j \Sigma_{j0}^{-1}$$

and analogously

$$\begin{aligned}[M_{A_{ij}}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})M_{A_{ij}}]^+ &= (M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ - \\ &\quad - (M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{ij} [A'_{ij}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+ A_{ij}]^{-1} A'_{ij}(M_{S_j}\Sigma_{j0}M_{S_j})^+, \\ i &= 1, 2.\end{aligned}$$

Proof Since in our case the Σ_{j0} -LBLUE of the unknown parameter in the j th epoch reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j) \\ \hat{\beta}_{2j, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j) \\ \hat{\kappa}_{j, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j) \end{pmatrix} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} A'_1 \\ A'_{2j} \\ S'_j \end{pmatrix} \Sigma_{j0}^{-1}(A_1, A_{2j}, S_j) \right]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} A'_1 \\ A'_{2j} \\ S'_j \end{pmatrix} \Sigma_{j0}^{-1} Y_j,$$

when simultaneously the Σ_{j0} -LBLUE of the useful parameters β_1 and β_{2j} is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j) \\ \hat{\beta}_{2j, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j) \end{pmatrix} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} A'_1 \\ A'_{2j} \end{pmatrix} (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j})^+ (A_1, A_{2j}) \right]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} A'_1 \\ A'_{2j} \end{pmatrix} (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j})^+ Y_j,$$

the crucial point of the proof consists in the fact that for any $n_j \times n_j$ positive definite matrix W_j

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} A'_1 W_j^{-1} A_{1j}, & A'_1 W_j^{-1} A_{2j}, & A'_1 W_j^{-1} S_j \\ A'_{2j} W_j^{-1} A_{1j}, & A'_{2j} W_j^{-1} A_{2j}, & A'_{2j} W_j^{-1} S_j \\ S'_j W_j^{-1} A_{1j}, & S'_j W_j^{-1} A_{2j}, & S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{11}, & Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{12}, & Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{13} \\ Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{21}, & Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{22}, & Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{23} \\ Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{31}, & Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{32}, & Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{33} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{11} &= (A'_{1j} [M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{2j}}]^+ A_{1j})^{-1} \equiv \\ &\equiv [A'_{1j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j}]^{-1} + [A'_{1j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j}]^{-1} A'_{1j} \times \\ &\quad \times (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j} (A'_{2j} [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{1j}}]^+ A_{2j})^{-1} A'_{2j} \times \\ &\quad \times (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j} [A'_{1j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j}]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{12} &= -(A'_{1j} [M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{2j}}]^+ A_{1j})^{-1} A'_{1j} \times \\ &\quad \times (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j} [A'_{2j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j}]^{-1} \equiv \\ &\equiv -[A'_{1j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j}]^{-1} A'_{1j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j} \times \\ &\quad \times (A'_{2j} [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{1j}}]^+ A_{2j})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{13} &= -(A'_{1j} [M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{2j}}]^+ A_{1j})^{-1} A'_{1j} \times \\ &\quad \times M_{A_{2j}}^{(M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+} W_j^{-1} S_j (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} \equiv \\ &\equiv -[A'_{1j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j}]^{-1} A'_{1j} [I - (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j} \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times (A'_{2j} [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{1j}}]^+ A_{2j})^{-1} A'_{2j} M_{A_{1j}}^{(M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+} \times \\ & \times W_j^{-1} S_j (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{21} = Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{12},$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{22} &= [A'_{2j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j}]^{-1} + [A'_{2j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j}]^{-1} \times \\ & \times A'_{2j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j} (A'_{1j} [M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{2j}}]^+ A_{1j})^{-1} \times \\ & \times A'_{1j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j} [A'_{2j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j}]^{-1} \equiv \\ & \equiv \left(A'_{2j} [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{1j}}]^+ A_{2j} \right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{23} &= -[A'_{2j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j}]^{-1} A'_{2j} [I - (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ \times \\ & \times A_{1j} (A'_{1j} [M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{2j}}]^+ A_{1j})^{-1} \times \\ & \times A'_{1j} M_{A_{2j}}^{(M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+}] W_j^{-1} S_j (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} \equiv \\ & \equiv -(A'_{2j} [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{1j}}]^+ A_{2j})^{-1} \times \\ & \times A'_{2j} M_{A_{1j}}^{(M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+} W_j^{-1} S_j (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{31} = Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{13},$$

$$Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{32} = Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{23},$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{33} &= (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} + (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} S'_j W_j^{-1} A_{2j} \times \\ & \times [A'_{2j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j}]^{-1} A'_{2j} W_j^{-1} S_j (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} + (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} \times \\ & \times S'_j W_j^{-1} M_{A_{2j}}^{(M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+} A_{1j} (A'_{1j} [M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{2j}}]^+ A_{1j})^{-1} \times \\ & \times A'_{1j} M_{A_{2j}}^{(M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+} W_j^{-1} S_j (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} \equiv \\ & \equiv (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} + (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} S'_j W_j^{-1} A_{1j} \times \\ & \times [A'_{1j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j}]^{-1} A'_{1j} W_j^{-1} S_j (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} + (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} \times \\ & \times S'_j W_j^{-1} M_{A_{1j}}^{(M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+} A_{2j} (A'_{2j} [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{1j}}]^+ A_{2j})^{-1} \times \\ & \times A'_{2j} M_{A_{1j}}^{(M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+} W_j^{-1} S_j (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_{A_{ij}}^{(M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+} = I - A_{ij} [A'_{ij} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{ij}]^{-1} A'_{ij} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+,$$

$i = 1, 2.$

This assertion can be verified directly or by applying known formulas for inverse of a block matrix ¹

$$\begin{pmatrix} A'_j W_j^{-1} A_j, & A'_j W_j^{-1} S_j \\ S'_j W_j^{-1} A_j, & S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{W_j}^{11}, & Q_{W_j}^{12} \\ Q_{W_j}^{21}, & Q_{W_j}^{22} \end{pmatrix};$$

using the second possible expression of the inverse of a block matrix given in the footnote we get namely

$$Q_{W_j}^{11} = [A'_j W_j^{-1} A_j - A'_j W_j^{-1} S_j (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^+ A_j]^{-1} = [A'_j (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_j]^{-1}$$

(the first expression of the inverse of the block matrix from the footnote is not suitable for our case of eliminating the influence of the nuisance parameters). After replacing A_j by (A_{1j}, A_{2j}) we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{W_j}^{11} &= \begin{pmatrix} A'_{1j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j}, & A'_{1j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j} \\ A'_{2j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j}, & A'_{2j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{11}, & Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{12} \\ Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{21}, & Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{22} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{W_j}^{12} &= - \begin{pmatrix} Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{11}, & Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{12} \\ Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{21}, & Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A'_{1j} \\ A'_{2j} \end{pmatrix} W_j^{-1} S_j (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{13} \\ Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{23} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{W_j}^{22} &= (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} + (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} S'_j W_j^{-1} (A_{1j}, A_{2j}) \times \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{11}, & Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{12} \\ Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{21}, & Q_{M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}}^{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A'_{1j} \\ A'_{2j} \end{pmatrix} W_j S_j (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} = Q_{M_{S_j} W_j^{-1} M_{S_j}}^{33} \end{aligned}$$

(two equivalent forms of the inverse of the block matrix

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} A'_{1j} \\ A'_{2j} \end{pmatrix} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ (A_{1j}, A_{2j}) \right]$$

¹Let $\begin{pmatrix} A, & B \\ B', & C \end{pmatrix}$ be a positive definite matrix. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} A, & B \\ B', & C \end{pmatrix}^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} + A^{-1} B (C - B' A^{-1} B)^{-1} B' A^{-1}, & -A^{-1} B (C - B' A^{-1} B)^{-1} \\ -(C - B' A^{-1} B)^{-1} B' A^{-1}, & (C - B' A^{-1} B)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (A - B C^{-1} B')^{-1}, & -(A - B C^{-1} B')^{-1} B C^{-1} \\ -C^{-1} B' (A - B C^{-1} B')^{-1}, & C^{-1} + C^{-1} B' (A - B C^{-1} B')^{-1} B C^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

were used for obtaining the equivalent forms of the matrix (3)).

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{M_{S_j}, W_j, M_{S_j}}^{11} A'_{1j} W_j^{-1} + Q_{M_{S_j}, W_j, M_{S_j}}^{12} A'_{2j} W_j^{-1} + Q_{M_{S_j}, W_j, M_{S_j}}^{13} S_j W_j^{-1} = \\ & = Q_{M_{S_j}, W_j, M_{S_j}}^{11} A'_{1j} \{ (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ - \\ & \quad - (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j} [A'_{2j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j}]^{-1} A'_{2j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ \} = \\ & = Q_{M_{S_j}, W_j, M_{S_j}}^{11} A'_{1j} [M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ M_{A_{2j}}]^+ \equiv \\ & \equiv [A'_{1j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j}]^{-1} A'_{1j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ M_{A_{2j}}^{[M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ M_{A_{1j}}]^+}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{M_{S_j}, W_j, M_{S_j}}^{21} A'_{1j} W_j^{-1} + Q_{M_{S_j}, W_j, M_{S_j}}^{22} A'_{2j} W_j^{-1} + Q_{M_{S_j}, W_j, M_{S_j}}^{23} S_j W_j^{-1} = \\ & = [A'_{2j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j}]^{-1} A'_{2j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ M_{A_{1j}}^{[M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ M_{A_{2j}}]^+} \\ & \equiv Q_{M_{S_j}, W_j, M_{S_j}}^{22} A'_{2j} [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ M_{A_{1j}}]^+ \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{M_{S_j}, W_j, M_{S_j}}^{31} A_{1j} W_j^{-1} + Q_{M_{S_j}, W_j, M_{S_j}}^{32} A_{2j} W_j^{-1} + Q_{M_{S_j}, W_j, M_{S_j}}^{33} S_j W_j^{-1} = \\ & = (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} S'_j W_j^{-1} M_{A_{2j}}^{(M_{S_j}, W_j, M_{S_j})^+} M_{A_{1j}}^{[M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ M_{A_{2j}}]^+} \equiv \\ & \equiv (S'_j W_j^{-1} S_j)^{-1} S'_j W_j^{-1} M_{A_{1j}}^{(M_{S_j}, W_j, M_{S_j})^+} M_{A_{2j}}^{[M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ M_{A_{1j}}]^+}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.2 Consider the global model (1). The $\Sigma_0^{(j)}$ -locally best linear unbiased estimators of the useful parameters β_1 and $\beta_{21}, \beta_{22}, \dots, \beta_{2j}$ after the j th epoch are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)}) &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}} (M_{S_i} \Sigma_{i0} M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \\ & \times \sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}} (M_{S_i} \Sigma_{i0} M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ Y_i \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_{2k, \Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)}) &= [A'_{2k} (M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k})^+ A_{2k}]^{-1} A'_{2k} \times \\ & \times (M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k})^+ [Y_k - A_{1k} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)})], \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$k = 1, 2, \dots, j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \Sigma_0^{(j)} = \text{Diag}(\Sigma_{10}, \Sigma_{20}, \dots, \Sigma_{j0})$.

Their variance matrices and the covariance matrices between them at the point $\Sigma_0^{(j)}$ are

$$\text{var}_{\Sigma_0^{(j)}}[\hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)})] = \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}} (M_{S_i} \Sigma_{i0} M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}_{\Sigma_0^{(j)}}[\hat{\beta}_{2k, \Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)})] &= \\ &= [A'_{2k}(M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k})^+ A_{2k}]^{-1} + [A'_{2k}(M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k})^+ A_{2k}]^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{2k}(M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k})^+ A_{1k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}}(M_{S_i} \Sigma_{i0} M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{1k}(M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k})^+ A_{2k} [A'_{2k}(M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k})^+ A_{2k}]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}_{\Sigma_0^{(j)}}[\hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)}), \hat{\beta}_{2k, \Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)})] &= \\ &= - \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}}(M_{S_i} \Sigma_{i0} M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{1k}(M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k})^+ A_{2k} [A'_{2k}(M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k})^+ A_{2k}]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$k = 1, 2, \dots, j$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}_{\Sigma_0^{(j)}}[\hat{\beta}_{2k, \Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)}), \hat{\beta}_{2l, \Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)})] &= -[A'_{2k}(M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k})^+ A_{2k}]^{-1} A_{2k} \times \\ &\quad \times (M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k})^+ A_{1k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}}(M_{S_i} \Sigma_{i0} M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{1l}(M_{S_l} \Sigma_{l0} M_{S_l})^+ A_{2l} [A'_{2l}(M_{S_l} \Sigma_{l0} M_{S_l})^+ A_{2l}]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$k, l = 1, 2, \dots, j$, $k \neq l$, respectively.

Proof. The crucial point of proving the assertion consists in inverting a matrix of the block form (the proof is given for any positive definite matrix $W^{(j)}$)

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} A_1^{(j)}, & A_1^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} A_2^{(j)}, & A_1^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} S^{(j)} \\ A_2^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} A_1^{(j)}, & A_2^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} A_2^{(j)}, & A_2^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} S^{(j)} \\ S^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} A_1^{(j)}, & S^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} A_2^{(j)}, & S^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} S^{(j)} \end{pmatrix},$$

(here $A_1^{(j)}$, $A_2^{(j)}$ and $S^{(j)}$ are given by (1) and $W^{(j)} = \sum_{i=1}^j e_{i(j)} e'_{i(j)} \otimes W_i$), which reads

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{pmatrix} A_1^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} A_1^{(j)}, & A_1^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} A_2^{(j)}, & A_1^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} S^{(j)} \\ A_2^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} A_1^{(j)}, & A_2^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} A_2^{(j)}, & A_2^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} S^{(j)} \\ S^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} A_1^{(j)}, & S^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} A_2^{(j)}, & S^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1} S^{(j)} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}} W^{(j)} M_{S^{(j)}}}^{11}, & \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}} W^{(j)} M_{S^{(j)}}}^{12}, & \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}} W^{(j)} M_{S^{(j)}}}^{13} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}} W^{(j)} M_{S^{(j)}}}^{21}, & \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}} W^{(j)} M_{S^{(j)}}}^{22}, & \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}} W^{(j)} M_{S^{(j)}}}^{23} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}} W^{(j)} M_{S^{(j)}}}^{31}, & \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}} W^{(j)} M_{S^{(j)}}}^{32}, & \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}} W^{(j)} M_{S^{(j)}}}^{33} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{11} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}}(M_{S_i}W_iM_{S_i})M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1}$$

(it consists of one block only), the 1, k th block of the matrix $\mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{12}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{12}\}_{1,k} &= - \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}}(M_{S_i}W_iM_{S_i})M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{1k} (M_{S_k}W_kM_{S_k})^+ A_{2k} [A'_{2k} (M_{S_k}W_kM_{S_k})^+ A_{2k}]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$k = 1, \dots, j$,

$$\mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{21} = \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{12}$$

and as far as the $j \times j$ block matrix $\mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{22}$ is concerned, its k , k th (diagonal) block is

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{22}\}_{k,k} &= \\ &= [A'_{2k} (M_{S_k}W_kM_{S_k})^+ A_{2k}]^{-1} + [A'_{2k} (M_{S_k}W_kM_{S_k})^+ A_{2k}]^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{2k} (M_{S_k}W_kM_{S_k})^+ A_{1k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}}(M_{S_i}W_iM_{S_i})M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{1k} (M_{S_k}W_kM_{S_k})^+ A_{2k} [A'_{2k} (M_{S_k}W_kM_{S_k})^+ A_{2k}]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$k = 1, \dots, j$, while its k , l th non-diagonal block is

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{22}\}_{k,l} &= [A'_{2k} (M_{S_k}W_kM_{S_k})^+ A_{2k}]^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{2k} (M_{S_k}W_kM_{S_k})^+ A_{1k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}}(M_{S_i}W_iM_{S_i})M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{1l} (M_{S_l}W_lM_{S_l})^+ A_{2l} [A'_{2l} (M_{S_l}W_lM_{S_l})^+ A_{2l}]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$k, l = 1, \dots, j$, $k \neq l$.

Furthermore, the 1, k th block of the matrix $\mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{13}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{13}\}_{1,k} &= - \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}}(M_{S_i}W_iM_{S_i})M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times A'_{1k} M_{A_{2k}}^{(M_{S_k}W_kM_{S_k})^+} W_k^{-1} S_k (S_k' W_k^{-1} S_k)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

the k , k th block of the matrix $\mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{23}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_{M_{S^{(j)}} W^{(j)} M_{S^{(j)}}}^{23}\}_{k,k} &= [A'_{2k} (M_{S_k} W_k M_{S_k})^+ A_{2k}]^{-1} A'_{2k} \times \\ &\times \left[I - (M_{S_k} W_k M_{S_k})^+ A_{1k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}} (M_{S_i} W_i M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \right. \\ &\times \left. A'_{1k} M_{A_{2k}}^{(M_{S_k} W_k M_{S_k})^+} \right] W_k^{-1} S_k (S'_k W_k^{-1} S_k)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

its k, l th non-diagonal block reads

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_{M_{S^{(j)}} W^{(j)} M_{S^{(j)}}}^{23}\}_{k,l} &= -[A'_{2k} (M_{S_k} W_k M_{S_k})^+ A_{2k}]^{-1} A'_{2k} (M_{S_k} W_k M_{S_k})^+ A_{1k} \times \\ &\times \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}} (M_{S_i} W_i M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \\ &\times A'_{1l} M_{A_{2l}}^{(M_{S_l} W_l M_{S_l})^+} W_l^{-1} S_l (S'_l W_l^{-1} S_l)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

the k, k th block of the matrix $Q_{M_{S^{(j)}} W^{(j)} M_{S^{(j)}}}^{33}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_{M_{S^{(j)}} W^{(j)} M_{S^{(j)}}}^{33}\}_{k,k} &= \\ &= (S'_k W_k^{-1} S_k)^{-1} \left[I + S'_k W_k^{-1} A_{2k} [A'_{2k} (M_{S_k} W_k M_{S_k})^+ A_{2k}]^{-1} \times \right. \\ &\times A'_{2k} W_k^{-1} S_k (S'_k W_k^{-1} S_k)^{-1} + \\ &+ S'_k W_k^{-1} M_{A_{2k}}^{(M_{S_k} W_k M_{S_k})^+} A_{1k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}} (M_{S_i} W_i M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \\ &\times \left. A'_{1k} M_{A_{2k}}^{(M_{S_k} W_k M_{S_k})^+} W_k^{-1} S_k (S'_k W_k^{-1} S_k)^{-1} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and its k, l th nondiagonal block is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_{M_{S^{(j)}} W^{(j)} M_{S^{(j)}}}^{33}\}_{k,l} &= (S'_k W_k^{-1} S_k)^{-1} S'_k W_k^{-1} M_{A_{2k}}^{(M_{S_k} W_k M_{S_k})^+} A_{1k} \times \\ &\times \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}} (M_{S_i} W_i M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \\ &\times A'_{1l} M_{A_{2l}}^{(M_{S_l} W_l M_{S_l})^+} W_l^{-1} S_l (S'_l W_l^{-1} S_l)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The assertion can be proved either directly or analogously as in the preceding case of Theorem 3.1 for $A_{1j} \rightarrow A_1^{(j)}$, $A_{2j} \rightarrow A_2^{(j)}$ and $S_j \rightarrow S^{(j)}$. (The equivalent formulas are not suitable now, as the matrix

$$A_2^{(j)'} [M_{A_1^{(j)}} (M_{S^{(j)}} \Sigma_0^{(j)} M_{S^{(j)}}) M_{A_1^{(j)}}]^+ A_2^{(j)}$$

which has to be inverted does not possess a diagonal block form.) Applying this way we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_{W^{(j)}}^{11} &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} A_1^{(j)'}(M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}})+A_1^{(j)}, & A_1^{(j)'}(M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}})+A_2^{(j)} \\ A_2^{(j)'}(M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}})+A_1^{(j)}, & A_2^{(j)'}(M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}})+A_2^{(j)} \end{array} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{11}, & \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{12} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{21}, & \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{22} \end{array} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_{W^{(j)}}^{12} &= - \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{11}, & \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{12} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{21}, & \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{22} \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{(j)'} \\ A_2^{(j)'} \end{pmatrix} \times \\ &\quad \times (W^{(j)})^{-1}S^{(j)}[S^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1}S^{(j)}]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{13} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{23} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_{W^{(j)}}^{22} &= [S^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1}S^{(j)}]^{-1} \left(I + S^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1}(A_1^{(j)}, A_2^{(j)}) \times \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{11}, & \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{12} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{21}, & \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{(j)'} \\ A_2^{(j)'} \end{pmatrix} \right) \times \\ &\quad \times (W^{(j)})^{-1}S^{(j)}[S^{(j)'}(W^{(j)})^{-1}S^{(j)}]^{-1} = \mathbf{Q}_{M_{S^{(j)}}W^{(j)}M_{S^{(j)}}}^{33}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4 LMVQUIEs of the variance parameters

Theorem 4.1 Consider the partial model (2), where the observation vector Y_j is assumed to be normally distributed and its variance matrix to be of the form $\sum_{s_j=1}^{p_j} \vartheta_{js_j} V_{js_j}$, where ϑ_{js_j} are unknown parameters of the second order and V_{js_j} known symmetric matrices such that Σ_j is positive definite. Then the Σ_{j0} -LMVQUIE of a linear function $g_j' \vartheta_j$ of the s_j -dimensional second order parameter $\vartheta_j \in \Theta_j \subset \mathcal{R}^j$, $g_j \in \mathcal{M}(C_{W_j}^{(I)})$, $C_{W_j}^{(I)}$ being a $(p_j \times p_j)$ -dimensional I-criterion matrix of the regular linear model describing the j th epoch of measurement is ²

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{g_j' \vartheta_j})_{\Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j) &= \\ &= \sum_{s_j=1}^{p_j} \lambda_{js_j}^{(I)} Y_j' \left(M_{A_{1j}} [M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j}) M_{A_{2j}}] M_{A_{1j}} \right)^+ V_{js_j} \times \end{aligned}$$

²In [2], p. 42 it was shown, that generally the criterion matrix can be determined for any positive definite matrix W . Of course the simplest case is to determine it for $W = I$; from the computational point of view the most suitable case is to use $W = \Sigma_0$, where Σ_0 is the point at which the locally best estimators of the useful mean value parameters and the variance parameters are being determined.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \left(M_{A_{1j}} [M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j}) M_{A_{2j}}] M_{A_{1j}} \right)^+ Y_j \equiv \\
 \equiv & \sum_{s_j=1}^{p_j} \lambda_{js_j}^{(I)} Y_j' \left(M_{A_{2j}} [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j}) M_{A_{1j}}] M_{A_{2j}} \right)^+ V_{js_j} \times \\
 & \times \left(M_{A_{2j}} [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j}) M_{A_{1j}}] M_{A_{2j}} \right)^+ Y_j \equiv \\
 \equiv & \sum_{s_j=1}^{p_j} \lambda_{js_j}^{(I)} [Y_j - A_{2j} \hat{\beta}_{2j, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)]' [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j}) M_{A_{1j}}]^+ \times \\
 & \times V_{js_j} [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j}) M_{A_{1j}}]^+ [Y_j - A_{2j} \hat{\beta}_{2j, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)] \equiv \\
 \equiv & \sum_{s_j=1}^{p_j} \lambda_{js_j}^{(I)} [Y_j - A_{1j} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)]' [M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j}) M_{A_{2j}}]^+ \times \\
 & \times V_{js_j} [M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j}) M_{A_{2j}}]^+ [Y_j - A_{1j} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)] \equiv \\
 \equiv & \sum_{s_j=1}^{p_j} \lambda_{js_j}^{(I)} [Y_j - A_{1j} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j) - A_{2j} \hat{\beta}_{2j, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)]' (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j})^+ \times \\
 & \times V_{js_j} (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j})^+ [Y_j - A_{1j} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j) - A_{2j} \hat{\beta}_{2j, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{C_{W_j}^{(I)}\}_{s_j, t_j} &= \text{tr} \left[\left(M_{A_{1j}} [M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{2j}}] M_{A_{1j}} \right)^+ V_{js_j} \times \right. \\
 & \times \left. \left(M_{A_{1j}} [M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{2j}}] M_{A_{1j}} \right)^+ V_{jt_j} \right] \equiv \\
 \equiv & \text{tr} \left[\left(M_{A_{2j}} [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{1j}}] M_{A_{2j}} \right)^+ V_{js_j} \times \right. \\
 & \times \left. \left(M_{A_{2j}} [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{1j}}] M_{A_{2j}} \right)^+ V_{jt_j} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

W_j is an arbitrary $n_j \times n_j$ positive definite matrix and the p_j -dimensional vector $\lambda_j^{(I)} = (\lambda_{j1}^{(I)}, \dots, \lambda_{jp_j}^{(I)})'$ of the indefinite Lagrange multipliers is any solution of the system of equations

$$C_{\Sigma_{j0}}^{(I)} \lambda_j^{(I)} = g_j,$$

$C_{\Sigma_{j0}}^{(I)}$ is the I -criterion matrix for $W_j = \Sigma_{j0}$, $\hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)$ and $\hat{\beta}_{2j, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)$ are the Σ_{j0} -LBLUEs of the parameters β_1 and β_{2j} , respectively, in the j th epoch of measurement.

Proof As far as the I -criterion matrix is concerned the relation for it is a generalization of the basic relationship for it that reads that in the linear regression model $Y \sim N_n(X\beta, \sum_{i=1}^p \vartheta_i V_i)$ the i, j th element of the I -criterion matrix $C_W^{(I)}$, where W is any positive definite matrix of the proper dimension, is

$$\{C_W^{(I)}\}_{i, j} = \text{tr}[(M_X W M_X)^+ V_i (M_X W M_X)^+ V_j].$$

Analogously the relation for the Σ_{j0} -LMVQUIE is a generalation of the assertion that in the model $Y \sim N_n(X\Theta, \sum_{i=1}^p \vartheta_i V_i)$ the Σ_0 -LMVQUIE of an unbiasedly and invariantly estimable function $g'\vartheta$ of the variance parameters is

$$(\widehat{g'\vartheta})_{\Sigma_0}(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i Y'(M_X \Sigma_0 M_X)^+ V_i (M_X \Sigma_0 M_X)^+ Y,$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)'$ is a solution of the system of equations $C_{\Sigma_0}^{(I)} \lambda = g$ (see [5]) for $X = (A_{1j}, A_{2j}, S_j)$.

It suffices to realize, that

$$\begin{aligned} & [M_{(A_{1j}, A_{2j}, S_j)} W_j M_{(A_{1j}, A_{2j}, S_j)}]^+ = \\ & = [M_{(A_{1j}, A_{2j})} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{(A_{1j}, A_{2j})}]^+ = \\ & = (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ - (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ (A_{1j}, A_{2j}) \times \\ & \quad \times \begin{pmatrix} A'_{1j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j}, & A'_{1j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j} \\ A'_{2j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{1j}, & A'_{2j} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ A_{2j} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \times \\ & \quad \times \begin{pmatrix} A'_{1j} \\ A'_{2j} \end{pmatrix} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j})^+ = \\ & = (M_{A_{1j}} [M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{2j}}] M_{A_{1j}})^+ \equiv \\ & \equiv (M_{A_{2j}} [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} W_j M_{S_j}) M_{A_{1j}}] M_{A_{2j}})^+ \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} & (M_{A_{2j}} [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j}) M_{A_{1j}}] M_{A_{2j}})^+ Y_j = \\ & = [M_{A_{2j}} (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j}) M_{A_{2j}}]^+ [Y_j - A_{1j} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)] = \\ & = (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j})^+ [Y_j - A_{1j} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j) - A_{2j} \hat{\beta}_{2j, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)], \end{aligned}$$

when simultaneously

$$\begin{aligned} & (M_{A_{2j}} [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j}) M_{A_{1j}}] M_{A_{2j}})^+ Y_j = \\ & = [M_{A_{1j}} (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j}) M_{A_{1j}}]^+ [Y_j - A_{2j} \hat{\beta}_{2j, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)] = \\ & = (M_{S_j} \Sigma_{j0} M_{S_j})^+ [Y_j - A_{1j} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j) - A_{2j} \hat{\beta}_{2j, \Sigma_{j0}}(Y_j)]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.2 Consider multipepoch model (1) under the condition that the observation vector is normally distributed and its variance matrix is of the form $\text{var}(Y^{(m)}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{s_i=1}^{p_i} \vartheta_{is_i} e_{i(m)} e'_{i(m)} \otimes V_{is_i}$, where $\vartheta^{(m)} = (\vartheta_1', \dots, \vartheta_m')' \in \Theta_1 \times \dots \times \Theta_j \subset R^{\sum_{i=1}^m p_i}$, $\vartheta_i = (\vartheta_{i1}, \dots, \vartheta_{ip_i})'$ and V_{i1}, \dots, V_{ip_i} are symmetric

matrices such that $\sum_{s_i=1}^{p_i} \vartheta_{is} V_{is}$ is positive definite, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then a $\Sigma_0^{(j)}$ -LMVQUIE of a linear function $g^{(j)'} \vartheta^{(j)}$ of the second order parameter $\vartheta^{(j)} = (\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_j)' \in \Theta_1 \times \dots \times \Theta_j \subset R^{\sum_{i=1}^j p_i}$, $g^{(j)} = (g_1', \dots, g_j') \in \mathcal{M}(C_{W^{(j)}}^{(I)})$, where $C_{W^{(j)}}^{(I)}$ is a $[(\sum_{i=1}^j p_i) \times (\sum_{i=1}^j p_i)]$ -dimensional I-criterion matrix of the regular model (1) describing for $m = j$ the measurement after the j th epoch, is

$$\begin{aligned}
 (g^{(j)'} \widehat{\vartheta}^{(j)})_{\Sigma_0^{(j)}}(Y^{(j)}) &= \tag{1} \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{s_k=1}^{p_k} \lambda_{ksk}^{(I,j)} \left[Y_k - A_{1k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}} (M_{S_i} \Sigma_{i0} M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}} (M_{S_i} \Sigma_{i0} M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ Y_i \right) \right]' \times \\
 &\quad \times [M_{A_{2k}} (M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k}) M_{A_{2k}}]^+ V_{ksk} [M_{A_{2k}} (M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k}) M_{A_{2k}}]^+ \times \\
 &\quad \times \left[Y_k - A_{1k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}} (M_{S_i} \Sigma_{i0} M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}} (M_{S_i} \Sigma_{i0} M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ Y_i \right) \right] = \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{s_k=1}^{p_k} \lambda_{ksk}^{(I,j)} [Y_k - A_{1k} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)})]' [M_{A_{2k}} (M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k}) M_{A_{2k}}]^+ V_{ksk} \times \\
 &\quad \times [M_{A_{2k}} (M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k}) M_{A_{2k}}]^+ [Y_k - A_{1k} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)})] \equiv \\
 &\equiv \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{s_k=1}^{p_k} \lambda_{ksk}^{(I,j)} [Y_k - A_{1k} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)}) - A_{2k} \hat{\beta}_{2k, \Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)})]' (M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k})^+ \times \\
 &\quad \times V_{ksk} (M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k})^+ [Y_k - A_{1k} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)}) - A_{2k} \hat{\beta}_{2k, \Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)})];
 \end{aligned}$$

here

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{C_{W^{(j)}}^{(I)}\}_{ksk, ltl} &= \text{tr} \left[[M_{A_{2l}} (M_{S_l} W_l M_{S_l}) M_{A_{2l}}]^+ A_{1l} \times \tag{2} \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}} (M_{S_i} W_i M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \right. \\
 &\quad \times A'_{1k} [M_{A_{2k}} (M_{S_k} W_k M_{S_k}) M_{A_{2k}}]^+ V_{ksk} [M_{A_{2k}} (M_{S_k} W_k M_{S_k}) M_{A_{2k}}]^+ A_{1k} \times \\
 &\quad \times \left. \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}} (M_{S_i} W_i M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. A'_{1l} [M_{A_{2l}} (M_{S_l} W_l M_{S_l}) M_{A_{2l}}]^+ V_{ltl} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

$k, l = 1, \dots, j$, $s_k = 1, \dots, p_k$, $tl = 1, \dots, pl$, $i \neq k$ (a non-diagonal block of

the I -criterion matrix) and

$$\begin{aligned} \{C_{W^{(j)}}^{(I)}\}_{k s_k, k t_k} &= \text{tr} \left\{ [M_{A_{2k}}(M_{S_k} W_k M_{S_k}) M_{A_{2k}}]^+ \times \right. \\ &\times \left[I - A_{1k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}}(M_{S_i} W_i M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \right. \\ &\times A'_{1k} [M_{A_{2k}}(M_{S_k} W_k M_{S_k}) M_{A_{2k}}]^+ \left. \right] V_{k s_k} [M_{A_{2k}}(M_{S_k} W_k M_{S_k}) M_{A_{2k}}]^+ \times \\ &\times \left[I - A_{1k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j A'_{1i} [M_{A_{2i}}(M_{S_i} W_i M_{S_i}) M_{A_{2i}}]^+ A_{1i} \right)^{-1} \times \right. \\ &\left. \left. \times A'_{1k} [M_{A_{2k}}(M_{S_k} W_k M_{S_k}) M_{A_{2k}}]^+ \right] V_{k t_k} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$k = 1, \dots, j$, $s_k, t_k = 1, \dots, p_k$ (the diagonal block of the I -criterion matrix; this consists of $j \times j$ blocks, the (i, k) th being $(p_i \times p_i)$ dimensional), $W^{(j)} = \sum_{i=1}^j e_{i(j)} e'_{i(j)} \otimes W_i$, where W_i , $i = 1, \dots, j$, are arbitrary $(n_i \times n_i)$ positive definite matrices; the $\sum_{i=1}^j p_i$ dimensional vector $(\lambda^{(I,j)})' = (\lambda_{1(p_1)}^{(I,j)}, \dots, \lambda_{j(p_j)}^{(I,j)})$, $(\lambda_i^{(I,j)})' = (\lambda_{1i}^{(I,j)}, \dots, \lambda_{ip_i}^{(I,j)})$ of the unknown Lagrange coefficients is any solution of the system of equations

$$C_{\Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(I)} \lambda^{(I,j)} = g^{(j)}, \quad (4)$$

where $C_{\Sigma_0^{(j)}}$ is the I -criterion matrix for $W^{(j)} = \Sigma_0^{(j)} = \sum_{i=1}^j e_{i(j)} e'_{i(j)} \otimes \Sigma_{0i}$, and $\hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma_0^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)})$ is given by (4).

Proof The way of proving the assertion is the same as in the preceding theorem. Here the substitution $X = (A_1^{(j)}, A_2^{(j)}, S^{(j)})$ has to be applied.

In a consequence of this substitution

$$(M_{X_{2k}} W_k M_{X_{2k}})^+ = (M_{(A_{2k}, S_k)} W_k M_{(A_{2k}, S_k)})^+ = [M_{A_{2k}}(M_{S_k} W_k M_{S_k}) M_{A_{2k}}]^+.$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} &[M_{A_{2k}}(M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k}) M_{A_{2k}}]^+ [Y_k - A_{1k} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)})] = \\ &= (M_{S_k} \Sigma_{k0} M_{S_k})^+ [Y_k - A_{1k} \hat{\beta}_{1, \Sigma^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)}) - A_{2k} \hat{\beta}_{2k, \Sigma^{(j)}}^{(j)}(Y^{(j)})]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.3 The notation introduced in [6] was used here.

5 Conclusions

(i) Another approach to obtaining the best linear estimators of the useful mean value parameters and the best quadratic estimators of the variance parameters without losing information on them consist in an a priori elimination of the systematic deterministic influences expressed by the terms $S_i\kappa_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then we process an observation vector $Y^{(el)} = T_e Y$ instead of processing directly the vector Y . Here the problem arises if there exists an elimination matrix T_e preserving full information on both the useful mean value and the variance parameters. Moreover the time efficiency of algorithms implied by this two different approaches has to be compared (for more detail see [1] and [2]).

(ii) The epoch model discussed here is general in the sense that the epoch models

$$\left(Y^{(m)}, (A_1^{(m)}, A_2^{(m)}) \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2^{(m)} \end{pmatrix}, \Sigma^{(m)} \right)$$

an epoch linear regression model with stable and variable parameters (without nuisance parameters) [3],

$$\left(Y^{(m)}, A_2^{(m)} \beta_2^{(m)}, \Sigma^{(m)} \right)$$

an epoch linear regression model with variable parameters,

$$\left(Y^{(m)}, (A_2^{(m)}, S^{(m)}) \begin{pmatrix} \beta_2^{(m)} \\ \kappa \end{pmatrix}, \Sigma^{(m)} \right)$$

an epoch linear regression model with variable parameters and with nuisance parameters are its special cases. Of course, some simplification of the models mentioned concerns the special versions of the covariance matrix of the observation vector.

(iii) It has to be notice that all expressions for the best linear estimators of the usefull mean value parameters and the best quadratic estimators of the variance parameters (without determining the estimators of the unuseful parameters) are composed from the expressions occurring in the preceeding epochs. This is important also from the viewpoint of the dimensions of the operating matrices.

References

- [1] Kubáčková, L., Kubáček, L.: *Elimination transformation of an observation vector preserving information on the first and second order parameters*. Technical report No 11 (1990), Institute of Geodesy, University of Stuttgart, 71 pp.
- [2] Kubáčková, L.: *Locally best estimators of the second order parameters in fundamental replicated structures with nuisance parameters*. Technical report No 12 (1990), Institute of Geodesy, University of Stuttgart, 67 pp.
- [3] Kubáčková, L.: *The locally best estimators of the first and second order parameters in epoch regression models*. Applications of Mathematics **37** (1992), 1–12.
- [4] Kubáčková, L.: *Multiepoche linear regression models*. Technical report No 19 (1993), Institute of Geodesy, University of Stuttgart, 65 pp.

- [5] Rao, C. R., Kleffe, J.: *Estimation of variance components*. In: P. R. Krishnaiah (ed.), *Handbook of Statistics*. Vol. I. North-Holland, Amsterdam–New York, 1980.
- [6] Rao, C. R., Kleffe, J.: *Estimation of Variance Components and Applications*. North Holland, Amsterdam–Oxford–New York–Tokyo, 1988.