

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum
Naturalium. Mathematica

Ján Futák

On the existence of solutions of operator-differential equations

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 30 (1991), No. 1, 203--210

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120257>

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Katedra matematiky fakulty PEDS v Žilině
Vedoucí katedry: Prof. RNDr. Pavol Marušiak, CSc.

ON THE EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS
OF OPERATOR-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

JÁN FUTÁK

(Received February 28, 1990)

Abstract: In this paper there is investigated the existence of solutions of operator-differential equations.

Key words: operator-differential equations, existence of solutions, functional differential equations.

MS Classification: 34G20

1. Introduction

Let R^n be the n -dimensional vector space with a norm $\|\cdot\|$, $R = (-\infty, \infty)$, $R_+ = [0, \infty)$. Let $C_{loc}(R_+, R^n)$ denote the space of continuous functions $u: R_+ \rightarrow R^n$ with the topology of locally uniform convergence on R_+ and let $L_{loc}(R_+, R^n)$ be the space of locally Lebesgue integrable functions $u: R_+ \rightarrow R^n$ with the topology of convergence in the mean on every compact subinterval of R_+ . Let $T: C_{loc}(R_+, R^n) \rightarrow L_{loc}(R_+, R^n)$ be a continuous operator of volterra type. Let $A: R_+ \rightarrow R^{n \times n}$ be a locally integrable matrix function.

Throughout the paper the vertical bars $|\cdot|$ denote vectors or matrices formed from absolute values of their components. Further we put $u \leq v$ ($U \leq V$) if for the corresponding components the inequality $u_i \leq v_i$ ($U_{ij} \leq V_{ij}$) is valid and in this sense we also understand the monotonicity of vector or matrix functions.

We consider an operator-differential equation of the form

$$y'(t) = A(t)y(t) + T(y)(t) \quad (1.1)$$

and the corresponding unperturbed linear equation

$$x'(t) = A(t)x(t). \quad (1.2)$$

By a solution of (1.1) we understand any function $y: [0, t^*) \rightarrow R^n$ which is locally absolutely continuous on $[0, t^*)$ and satisfies (1.1) almost everywhere on $[0, t^*)$ and which is maximally extended to the right.

Let $X(t,s)$ be the Cauchy matrix for the equation (1.2) such that $X(t,t)$ is the identity matrix.

It is well-known that (1.1) is almost everywhere on the existence interval $[0, t^*)$ equivalent to the integral equation

$$y(t) = x(t) + \int_0^t X(t,s)T(y)(s)ds, \quad t \in [0, t^*), \quad (1.3)$$

where x is a solution of (1.2).

Define on the space $C_{loc}(R_+, R^n)$ the successive approximations

$$\left\{ u_k \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \quad (1.4)$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(t) &= x(t) \\ u_k(t) &= x(t) + \int_0^t X(t,s)T(u_{k-1})(s)ds, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ & \quad t \in R_+. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

In this paper we provide sufficient conditions for the

existence solutions of (1.1) on R_+ . These results generalize the results of [1], [2] and [3].

2. Results.

Theorem 2.1. Let the following assumptions hold:

1. $X(t,s) \geq 0$, $s \leq t$, $s, t \in R_+$,
2. the operator T is monotone and nonnegative on $C_{loc}(R_+, R^n)$,
3. for every constant vector $a > 0$ and every $t \in R_+$ the following inequality is fulfilled

$$\int_0^t X(t,s)T(a)ds \leq \frac{a}{2}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then for every bounded solution x of (1.2) there exists a solution y of (1.1) on R_+ which is a locally uniform limit of the nondecreasing sequence (1.5) on R_+ .

Proof. Let x be bounded solution of (1.2) on R_+ . Denote $b = \sup_{t \in R_+} |x(t)|$. From (1.5) it follows that the functions $u_k(t)$

are defined and continuous on R_+ for every $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. With respect to the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 and (2.1), from (1.5) by using the principle of mathematical induction, we obtain

$$-b \leq u_{k-1}(t) \leq u_k(t) \leq 2b, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad t \in R_+. \quad (2.2)$$

Further the sequence (1.4) is nondecreasing and bounded on R_+ . Therefore there exists $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k(t) = u(t)$ for which

$$|u(t)| \leq 2b, \quad t \in R_+.$$

With functions $u_k(t)$ fulfilling (2.2) the functions

$$X(t,s)T(u_k)(s) \quad (2.3)$$

for any fixed $t \in R_+$, are uniformly bounded for $0 \leq s \leq t$. By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem it follows that

$$u(t) = x(t) + \int_0^t X(t,s)T(u)(s)ds, \quad t \in R_+.$$

From the last equality we have that the function u is continuous and there exists such a function y that $u(t) = y(t)$, $t \in R_+$, and the inequality

$$|y(t)| \leq 2b$$

is true.

The function y fulfils the relation

$$y(t) = x(t) + \int_0^t X(t,s)T(y)(s)ds, \quad t \in R_+.$$

Therefore y is the solution of (1.1) almost everywhere on R_+ . By Dini's theorem the sequence (1.4) is uniformly convergent to y on every compact subinterval from R_+ . Thus the theorem is proved.

Theorem 2.2. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold and let the solution of (1.1) be uniquely determined by the initial condition

$$y(0+) = y_0. \quad (2.4)$$

Then for every solution x of (1.2) with $x(0+) = x_0$ there exists a unique solution y of initial problem (1.1), (2.4) on R_+ with $y(0+) = x(0+) = x_0$.

Proof. Choose a sequence of compact intervals $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ so that $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k = R_+$ and for any $k \in N$, $I_k \subset I_{k+1}$ is true.

Consider an arbitrary interval I_k . Since the solution x of (1.2) is bounded on I_k , we can repeat the whole proof of Theorem 2.1 only with one exception: consideration will be carried out on the interval I_k and not on R_+ . Thus we obtain the existence of the solution y_k of the initial problem (1.1), (2.4) on I_k . With respect to uniqueness of the problem (1.1), (2.4), $y_k(t) = y_p(t)$ for any $t \in I_k$, $p > k$. Therefore y defined on R_+ by relation

$$y(t) = y_k(t), \quad t \in I_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

is already a solution of the initial problem (1.1), (2.4) on the whole interval.

Theorem 2.3. Let the following assumptions hold:

1. there exists locally integrable matrix functions $M, N: R_+ \rightarrow R_+^{n \times n}$ such that

$$|X(t,s)| \leq M(t)N(s), \quad t \geq s, \quad t,s \in R_+, \quad (2.5)$$

2. there exists a function $\omega: R_+ \times R^n \rightarrow R^n$ nondecreasing in the second argument for every fixed $t \in R_+$ and

$$N(t)|T(u)(t)| \leq \omega(t, |u(t)|) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in R_+, \quad (2.6)$$

3. there exists positive constant vectors q, r such that $q - r > 0$ and

$$\int_0^{\infty} \omega(t, M(t)N(0)q) dt < N(0)[q - r]. \quad (2.7)$$

Then for every solution x of (1.2) with

$$|x(0+)| = |x_0| = r \quad (2.8)$$

there exists a solutions y of (1.1) on R_+ such that

$$|y(t)| \leq M(t)N(0)q \quad (2.9)$$

is true for any $t \in R_+$.

Proof. Let x be an arbitrary solution of (1.2) such that (2.8) is true. Let y be a solution of (1.1) with its existence interval $[0, t^*]$. Then from (1.3) with regard to the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| \leq & |x(t)| + \int_0^t |X(t,s)| |T(y)(s)| ds \leq |X(t,0)x(0)| + \\ & + \int_0^t M(t)N(s) |T(y)(s)| ds \leq M(t)N(0)|x_0| + \\ & + M(t) \int_0^t N(s) |T(y)(s)| ds \leq M(t) \{ N(0)|x_0| + \\ & + \int_0^t \omega(s, |y(s)|) ds \}, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, t^*]. \quad (2.10) \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$u(t) = N(0)|x_0| + \int_0^t \omega(s, |y(s)|) ds, \quad t \in [0, t^*]. \quad (2.11)$$

Then we can write (2.10) in the form

$$|y(t)| \leq M(t)u(t), \quad t \in [0, t^*]. \quad (2.12)$$

Using (2.12), we obtain from (2.11) the inequality

$$u(t) \leq N(0)|x_0| + \int_0^t \omega(s, M(s)u(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, t^*]. \quad (2.13)$$

We will show that the inequality $u(t) \leq N(0)q$ is true for every $t \in [0, t^*]$. We prove it in indirect way.

If $t = 0$, then $u(0) \leq N(0)q$. With respect to the continuity of u there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for $t \in [0, \delta)$, $u(t) \leq N(0)q$, holds. Let $t_0 \in [0, t^*)$ be the first point on the right from 0 such that $u(t_0) = N(0)q$. Then for $t \in [0, t_0)$ from (2.12) we get

$$|y(t)| \leq M(t)u(t) \leq M(t)N(0)q.$$

Thus, from (2.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(0)q = u(t_0) &\leq N(0)|x_0| + \int_0^{t_0} \omega(s, M(s)u(s)) ds \leq N(0)|x_0| + \\ &+ \int_0^{t_0} \omega(s, M(s)N(0)q) ds < N(0)|x_0| + N(0)[q - |x_0|] = \\ &= N(0)q. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. In this way we have proved that $u(t) \leq N(0)q$ for $t \in [0, t^*]$. With respect to (2.12) we obtain (2.9) on the interval $[0, t^*]$. Since (2.9) means the boundedness of a solution of (1.1) on $[0, t^*]$, we have that $t^* = +\infty$. Thus the proof is complete.

Next we shall consider a functional differential equation

$$y'(t) = A(t)y(t) + f(t, \mathcal{Q}(y; h(t))) \quad (2.14)$$

where A has the same meaning as above, $f: R_+ \times R^n \rightarrow R^n$ fulfils local Carathéodory conditions and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is an operator defined by

$$\tilde{\sigma}(u; t) = \begin{cases} u(t) & \text{for } t \in R_+ \\ 0 & \text{for } t < 0, \end{cases}$$

where $h: R_+ \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function such that $h(t) \leq t$ for $t \in R_+$.

Corollary 2.1. Let the following assumptions hold:

1. $X(t, s) \geq 0$, $s \leq t$, $s, t \in R_+$,
2. $f \geq 0$ for every $(t, u) \in R_+ \times R^n$ and f is nondecreasing in the second argument for every fixed $t \in R_+$,
3. for every constant vector $a > 0$ and every $t \in R_+$ the inequality

$$\int_0^t X(t, s) f(s, a) ds \leq \frac{a}{2}$$

holds.

Then for every bounded solution x of (1.2) there exists a solution y of (2.14) on R_+ which is a locally uniform limit of the nondecreasing sequence

$$u_0(t) = x(t)$$

$$u_k(t) = x(t) + \int_0^t X(t, s) f(s, \tilde{\sigma}(u_{k-1}; h(s))) ds, \\ k = 1, 2, \dots, t \in R_+.$$

Corollary 2.2. Let the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 be satisfied, except of (2.6). Let instead of (2.6)

$$N(t) f(t, u) \leq \omega(t, |u|) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in R_+$$

hold. Then for every x of (1.2) with $|x(0)| = r < q$ there exists a solution y of (2.14) on R_+ such that $|y(t)| \leq M(t) N(0) q$ is true for each $t \in R_+$.

REFERENCES

- [1] F u t á k, J.: Existence and boundedness of solutions of the n-th order nonlinear differential equation with delay. *Práce a štúdie VŠDS, Žilina*, 4 (1981), 7-20.
- [2] F u t á k, J.: On the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions of operator-differential equations. *Fasciculi Math., Posnaniae* (to appear).
- [3] R o s a, V.: Existence theorems for initial value problems with nonlinear differential system with delay. *Acta Math. Univ. Comen.*, XL-XLI (1982), 51-58.

Department of Mathematics
Transport College
Marxa a Engelsa 25, 010 01 Žilina
Czechoslovakia