

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum  
Naturalium. Mathematica

---

Alena Vanžurová

Construction of one epimorphism of projective planes over Cartesian groups

*Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica*, Vol. 27 (1988), No. 1, 49-53

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120207>

**Terms of use:**

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Katedra algebry a geometrie přírodovědecké fakulty  
Univerzity Palackého v Olomouci  
Vedoucí katedry: Doc.RNDr. Jiří Rachůnek, CSc.

## CONSTRUCTION OF ONE EPIMORPHISM OF PROJECTIVE PLANES OVER CARTESIAN GROUPS

ALENA VANŽUROVÁ

(Received March 15, 1987)

In this paper we shall construct a non-trivial example of an epimorphism for projective planes of a very general type.

In [3], generalized formal Laurent series were investigated. It was proved that a set of all Laurent series with coefficients in a given commutative Cartesian group  $T$  and exponents in an ordered loop  $L$  endowed with suitable addition and multiplication is again a commutative Cartesian group. We shall show here that there is a place of  $D(T, L)$  onto an original Cartesian group  $T$ . According to the general theory, this place induces an epimorphism of corresponding non-desarguesian planes over Cartesian groups.

Let  $T$  be a Cartesian group with commutative addition. Let  $(L, \leq)$  be an ordered loop with unit element denoted by  $e$ . Let us recall that  $D(T, L)$  consists of all mappings  $f: L \rightarrow T$

with support  $\text{spt}(f)$  well ordered by the linear ordering  $\leq$  of  $L$ . This set forms a commutative Cartesian group provided we define

$$(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x),$$

$$(f.g)(x) = \sum_{y+z=x} f(y).g(z)$$

for all  $x \in L$ . Now let us define a mapping  $\varphi: D(T, L) \rightarrow T \cup \{\infty\}$  where  $\infty \notin T$ , in the following way:

$$\varphi(f) = f(e) \text{ if } f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x < e, x \in L,$$

$$\varphi(f) = \infty \text{ if there exists } x_0 < e, x_0 \in L \text{ with } f(x_0) \neq 0.$$

Proposition. The mapping  $\varphi$  is a place <sup>1)</sup> of commutative Cartesian groups.

P r o o f. Let.  $\varphi(f) \neq \infty$ ,  $\varphi(g) \neq \infty$ . Then  $f(x) = g(x) = 0$  for all  $x < e$ . Thus  $(f-g)(x) = 0$  for all  $x < e$ . Hence  $\varphi(f-g) \neq \infty$ . This gives  $\varphi(f-g) = (f-g)(e) = f(e) - g(e) = \varphi(f) - \varphi(g)$ . Since  $(f.g)(x) = \sum_{\substack{f+\eta=x \\ \eta \in L}} f(\xi).g(\eta) = 0$  for every  $x < e$ , it must be  $\varphi(f.g) \neq \infty$ . A simple computation shows that  $\varphi(f.g) = \varphi(f). \varphi(g)$ .

- 1) A place of a Cartesian group  $T$  onto a Cartesian group  $\bar{T}$  is a mapping  $\varphi: T \rightarrow \bar{T} \cup \{\infty\}$ ,  $\infty \notin \bar{T}$ , such that
- (1) If  $\varphi(f), \varphi(g) \neq \infty$  then  $\varphi(f-g) = \varphi(f) - \varphi(g)$ ,  
 $\varphi(f.g) = \varphi(f). \varphi(g)$ .
  - (2) If  $\varphi(f) \neq 0$  and  $\varphi(g) = \infty$  then  $\varphi(f.g) = \varphi(g.f) = \infty$ .
  - (3) If  $\varphi(-f.g+h.g) \neq \infty$  and  $\varphi(g) = \infty$  then  $\varphi(f) = \varphi(h)$ .
  - (4) If  $\varphi(f.g-f.k) \neq \infty$  and  $\varphi(f) = \infty$  then  $\varphi(g) = \varphi(k)$ .
  - (5) If  $h.g+f.k = f.g$  and  $\varphi(f) = \varphi(g) = \varphi(h.g) = \varphi(f.k) = \infty$  then either  $\varphi(h) = \infty$  or  $\varphi(k) = \infty$ .

Now suppose  $\varphi(f) \neq 0$ ,  $\varphi(g) = \infty$ . Denote  $x_1 = \min \text{spt}(f)$  and  $x_2 = \min \text{spt}(g)$ . In our case,  $x_1 < e$ ,  $x_2 < e$ . Since  $\min \text{spt}(f.g) = \min \text{spt}(f) + \min \text{spt}(g) = x_1 + x_2 < e$  and similarly for  $g.f$ , we obtain  $\varphi(f.g) = \varphi(g.f) = \infty$ .

Assume  $\varphi(-f.g+h.g) \neq \infty$  and  $\varphi(g) = \infty$ . Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be as above. Then  $x_2 < e$ . First let  $\varphi(f) = \infty$ . We have  $x_1 < e$  and  $x_1 + x_2 < e$  because of  $\varphi(f.g) = \infty$ . Further,  $0 = (-f.g+h.g)(x_1+x_2) = -f(x_1).g(x_2) + \sum h(\xi).g(\eta)$ , where  $\xi \leq x_1$ ;  $x_2 \leq \eta$  and  $\xi + \eta = x_1+x_2$ . This yields  $\min \text{spt}(h) \leq x_1$ , and  $\varphi(h) = \infty$ . Similarly,  $\varphi(h) = \infty$  implies  $\varphi(f) = \infty$ . Consequently,  $\varphi(f) = \infty$  if and only if  $\varphi(h) = \infty$ . Now assume  $\varphi(f) \neq \infty$ . If  $\varphi(f) = f(e) \neq 0$ , that is,  $x_1 = e$ , then  $0 = (-f.g+h.g)(x_2) = -f(e).g(x_2) + h(e).g(x_2)$ , where  $\xi + \eta = x_2$ ,  $\xi \leq e$  and  $x_2 \leq \eta$ . From  $g(x_2) \neq 0$  it follows  $f(e) = h(e) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(f) = \varphi(h)$ . Thus the equality holds in both cases.

Let  $h.g+f.k = f.g$  and let  $\varphi(f) = \varphi(g) = \varphi(h.g) = \varphi(f.k) = \infty$ . Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be as above and  $x_3 = \min \text{spt}(h)$ ,  $x_4 = \min \text{spt}(k)$ . It holds  $\min(x_3+x_2, x_1+x_4) \leq x_1+x_2$ . Let us suppose that  $e \leq x_3$  and  $e \leq x_4$ . Then the inequalities  $x_1 < e \leq x_3$  and  $x_2 < e \leq x_4$  imply  $x_1+x_2 < x_3+x_2$ ,  $x_1+x_2 < x_1+x_4$ . This gives  $x_1+x_2 < \min(x_3+x_2, x_1+x_4)$ , a contradiction. Hence either  $x_3 < e$  or  $x_4 < e$ , that is, either  $\varphi(h) = \infty$  or  $\varphi(k) = \infty$ . This proves that  $\varphi$  is a place of commutative Cartesian groups.

Corollary. Let  $T$  be a commutative Cartesian group but not a skew-field. Then  $\varphi$  induces an epimorphism of the corresponding non-desarguesian plane over  $D(T,L)$  onto the non-desarguesian projective plane over  $T$ .

Remark. Let  $T$  be a (non-associative) ring without divisors of zero. Then  $D(T,L)$  is a ring with the same properties and the above mapping  $\varphi$  is a place of a ring  $D(T,L)$  to  $T$ . Moreover, a function  $V: D(T,L) - \{0\} \rightarrow L$  given by

$$V(f) = \min \text{spt}(f)$$

is a valuation on  $D(T,L)$  with a value loop  $L$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] V a n ž u r o v á, A.: Homomorphisms of projective planes over quasifields and nearfields, Acta Univ. Palac. Olomucensis, fac. rer. nat. 69 (1981), 35-40.
- [2] V a n ž u r o v á, A.: Places of alternative fields, AUPO 69 (1981), 41-46.
- [3] V a n ž u r o v á, A.: On generalized formal power series, AUPO, to appear.

#### KONSTRUKCE JEDNOHO EPIMORFISMU PROJEKTIVNÍCH ROVIN NAD KARTÉZSKÝMI GRUPAMI

#### Souhrn

V článku je použito komutativní kartézské grupy formálních mocninných (Laurentových) řad s koeficienty v libovolné komutativní kartézské grupě a exponenty v uspořádané lupě ke konstrukci netriviálních epimorfismů projektivních rovin velmi obecného typu.

КОНСТРУКЦИЯ ОДНОГО ЭПИМОРФИЗМА ПРОЕКТИВНЫХ  
ПЛОСКОСТЕЙ НАД КАРТЕЗИАНСКИМИ ГРУППАМИ

Резюме

В статье применяется конструкция обобщенных степенных рядов с экспонентами в упорядоченной лупе и коэффициентами в коммутативной, картезианской группе к построению нетривиального эпиморфизма проективных плоскостей над картезианскими группами.

Author's address:

RNDr. Alena Vanžurová, CSc.  
přírodovědecká fakulta  
Univerzity Palackého  
Leninova 26  
771 46 Olomouc  
ČSSR /Czechoslovakia/