

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum
Naturalium. Mathematica-Physica-Chemica

Jan Voráček

On the solution of certain non-linear differential equations of the third order

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica-Physica-Chemica, Vol. 11 (1971), No. 1, 147--156

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119933>

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

*Katedra matematické analýzy přírodovědecké fakulty
Vedoucí katedry: Prof. RNDr. Miroslav Laitoch, CSc.*

**ON THE SOLUTION OF CERTAIN NON-LINEAR
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF THE THIRD ORDER**

JAN VORÁČEK

(Received May 12th, 1969)

1. Let us at first consider the equation

$$x''' + f(x')x'' + g(x)x' + h(x) = e(t) \quad (1)$$

with $f(y), g(x), h(x), e(t)$ continuous for every real argument. With (1) deals our note [1]; here we will prove some more general results.

Let us pose $F(y) = \int_0^y f(s) ds$, $G(x) = \int_0^x g(s) ds$. In what follows we will also use some assumptions about f, g, h, e .

Assumption A_1 : There exist positive numbers $g, \varepsilon, Y > 1, H, E$, such that

$$|g(x)| \leq g \quad \text{for every } x, \quad (2)$$

$$f(y) \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for every } y, \quad \frac{f(y)}{|y|} \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for every } |y| \geq Y, \quad (3)$$

$$|h(x)| \leq H \quad \text{for every } x, \quad (4)$$

$$|e(t)| \leq E \quad \text{for every } t. \quad (5)$$

Assumption A_2 : A_1 holds and

$$g(x) \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for every } x, \quad (6)$$

$$\left| \int_0^t e(s) ds \right| \leq E \quad \text{for every } t. \quad (7)$$

Assumption A_3 : A_2 holds and there exists a positive number h , such that

$$h(x) \operatorname{sgn} x \geq 0 \quad \text{for every } |x| \geq h. \quad (8)$$

Assumption A_4 : A_2 holds and there exist a real function $r(x)$ and a positive number ϱ , such that $r(x)$ is continuous for every $|x| \geq \varrho$ and

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} r(x) \operatorname{sgn} x > 0. \quad (9)$$

Simultaneously, $h(x)$ satisfies the relation

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} r(x) h(x) > 0. \quad (10)$$

Remark 1: $r(x)$ from A_4 may be f.i. $e^{\mu|x|} \operatorname{sgn} x$.

Assumption A_5 : A_2 holds and we have

$$\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} G(x) h(x) < -H(D'_1 + \max_{|y| \leq D'_1} F(y) + E), \quad (11)$$

where the constant D'_1 is defined in (25).

Theorem 1: If A_3 holds, then each solution $x(t)$ of (1) exists on the interval $I = \langle t_0, +\infty \rangle$ (t_0 stands for a real number) and is bounded on I . There also exists a constant D' such, that every $x(t)$ fulfils the relations

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |x'(t)| \leq D', \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |x''(t)| \leq D'. \quad (12)$$

The proof of theorem 1 will be divided in several steps.

Lemma 1: If A_1 holds, then every $x(t)$ exists on I and the relation

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |x''(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (gY + H + E) + 1 = K \quad (13)$$

holds.

The proof of lemma 1 can be obtained with the same method as in the mentioned note [1]; a change is necessary only in the estimations for the function $\frac{1}{2}x'^2(t)$.

We get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} x'^2(t) = x'x'' = -f(x')x'^2 - g(x)x'x'' - h(x)x'' + e(t)x''$$

and hence, using (2), (3), (4) and (5)

$$\text{for } |x'| \leq Y : \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} x'^2(t) \leq -|x''|(\varepsilon|x''| - gY - H - E), \quad (14)$$

$$\text{for } |x'| \geq Y : \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} x'^2(t) \leq -|x''|(\varepsilon|x''||x''| - g|x''| - H - E). \quad (15)$$

For $|x'| \geq Y$ and $|x''| \geq K$ we then obtain

$$\varepsilon|x''||x''| \geq (gY + H + E + \varepsilon)|x''| \geq g(x') + H + E + \varepsilon. \quad (16)$$

We have thus from (14), (15), (16)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} x'^2(t) \leq -\varepsilon K \quad \text{for every } |x''| \geq K.$$

The remaining part of the proof equals that of [1].

Lemma 2: If A_2 holds, then there exists a constant D' , such that for every $x(t)$ the relations (12) hold.

Proof: Let us prove at first that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |x'(t)| \leq \frac{H}{\varepsilon} + 2. \quad (17)$$

We fix a $x(t)$. By lemma 1 there exists a $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $|x''(t)| \leq K + 1$ for every $t \geq t_1$. If, for a $t_2 > t_1$, should be $|x'(t)| \geq \frac{H}{\varepsilon} + 2$ for every $t \geq t_2$, we should get from (6)

$$|G(x(t)) - G(x(t_2))| = \int_{t_2}^t g(x(s)) x'(s) ds \operatorname{sgn} x'(s) \geq (H + \varepsilon)(t - t_2). \quad (18)$$

But (4) implies

$$\left| \int_{t_2}^t h(x(s)) ds \right| \leq H(t - t_2) \quad (t \geq t_2), \quad (19)$$

and we thus obtain from (18) and (19) for every $t \geq t_2$

$$[G(x(t)) - G(x(t_2))] \operatorname{sgn} x'(t) - \left| \int_{t_2}^t h(x(s)) ds \right| \geq \varepsilon(t - t_2). \quad (20)$$

Integrating (1) from t_2 to $t \geq t_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(x'(t)) + G(x(t)) - G(x(t_2)) + \int_{t_2}^t h(x(s)) ds &= x'(t_2) - x''(t) + \\ &+ F(x(t_2)) + \int_{t_2}^t e(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

and hence, using (7) and multiplying with the constant $\operatorname{sgn} x'(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x'(t)) \operatorname{sgn} x'(t) + [G(x(t)) - G(x(t_2))] \operatorname{sgn} x'(t) - \left| \int_{t_2}^t h(x(s)) ds \right| &\leq \\ &\leq 2(K + 1 + E) + F(x'(t_2)). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

By means of (20) we get from (21) the inequality

$$F(x'(t)) \operatorname{sgn} x'(t) \leq 2(K + 1 + E) + F(x'(t_2)) - \varepsilon(t - t_2),$$

which is, for $t - t_2$ large enough in contradiction to the properties of the function F (cf. (3)). Thus, (17) is proved.

Let us suppose now that there exists an interval $\langle T_1, T_2 \rangle$ ($t_1 \leq T_1 < T_2 < +\infty$), such that $x'(T_1) = x'(T_2) = \frac{H}{\varepsilon} + 2$, $x'(t) > \frac{H}{\varepsilon} + 2$ on (T_1, T_2) . Let $\theta \in (T_1, T_2)$ be the number with the property $x'(\theta) = \max x'(t)$ on $\langle T_1, T_2 \rangle$. Integrating (1) from T_1 to θ we obtain ($x''(\theta) = 0$):

$$0 \leq F(x'(\theta)) = F\left(\frac{H}{\varepsilon} + 2\right) + x''(T_1) - [G(x(\theta)) - G(x(T_1))] - \int_{T_1}^{\theta} h(x(t)) dt + \int_{T_1}^{\theta} e(t) dt. \quad (22)$$

On $\langle T_1, \theta \rangle$ one can easily prove an inequality analogous to (20) i.e. in a weaker form

$$- [G(x(\theta)) - G(x(T_1))] - \int_{T_1}^{\theta} h(x(t)) dt < 0,$$

and thus, we obtain from (22)

$$0 \leq F(x'(\theta)) \leq F\left(\frac{H}{\varepsilon} + 2\right) + K + 1 + 2E = L_1. \quad (23)$$

The case $x'(t) \leq -\frac{H}{\varepsilon} - 2$ on $\langle T_1, T_2 \rangle$ leads to the inequality

$$0 \geq F(x'(\theta)) \geq F\left(\frac{H}{\varepsilon} - 2\right) - K - 1 - 2E = L_2. \quad (24)$$

Herewith the lemma 2 is proved. We have seen also that D' must satisfy the inequality

$$D' \leq \max(K, F_{-1}(L_1), -F_{-1}(L_2)) = D'_1, \quad (25)$$

($F_{-1}(y)$ is the inverse function of $F(y)$).

Proof of theorem 1: We fix again a $x(t)$. There exists then by lemma 2 a $t_x \geq t_0$ with the property that

$$|x'(t)| \leq D' + 1, \quad |x''(t)| \leq D' + 1 \quad \text{for every } t \geq t_x. \quad (26)$$

If on any interval $\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$ ($t_x \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq +\infty$) the inequality

$$|x(t)| \geq h, \quad (27)$$

holds, then, integrating (1) from t_1 to $t \in (t_1, t_2)$, multiplying it by the constant $\text{sgn } x(t)$ and using (27), (8), (26), (7) and (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sgn } x(t)[G(x(t)) - G(x(t_1))] &\leq |F(x'(t)) - F(x'(t_1))| + |x''(t) - \\ &- x''(t_1)| - \int_{t_1}^t h(x(s)) ds \text{sgn } x(t) + \left| \int_{t_1}^t e(s) ds \right| \leq 2[\max(F(D' + 1), \\ &-F(-D' - 1)) + D' + 1 + E] = P. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|G(x(t))| \leq |G(x(t_1))| + P. \quad (28)$$

If (27) is valid on $\langle t_1, +\infty \rangle$, then our theorem easy follows from (28). Is $t_2 < +\infty$, then it is possible by the same method we have deduced (28) to prove the inequality

$$|G(x(t))| \leq \max(G(h), -G(-h)) + P,$$

for every $t \geq t_2$. Theorem 1 is proved.

Remark 2: When A_2 holds and

$$xh(x) > 0 \quad \text{for every } x \neq 0, \quad (29)$$

then every $x(t)$ is oscillatory or fulfils the relation

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = 0.$$

The proof of this assertion can be obtained by the same method as f.i. the proof of theorem 5 in [2].

Theorem 2: If A_4 holds, is (1) dissipative.

Proof: From (10) we see, that a positive constant h_1 exists, such that $\operatorname{sgn} r(x) = \operatorname{sgn} h(x)$ for every $|x| \geq h_1$. By (9) there also exists a positive constant r_1 , such that $|x| \geq r_1$ implies $\operatorname{sgn} r(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x$. If we now pose $h = \max(h_1, r_1)$ it is clear that A_4 implies A_3 and thus the validity of theorem 1. With a fixed $x(t)$ we now define

$$\sup_{t \geq t_0} |x(t)| = X, \quad \sup_{t \geq t_0} |x'(t)| = X', \quad \sup_{t \geq t_0} |x''(t)| = X''. \quad (30)$$

Let us further set $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} r(x) h(x) = 2\beta$. By (11) it is $\beta > 0$ and a positive number $r > \max(r_1, h)$ may be found, such that for every $|x| \geq r$ the inequality $r(x) h(x) \geq \beta$ holds, i.e.

$$h(x) \operatorname{sgn} x \geq \frac{\beta}{r(x) \operatorname{sgn} x} \quad \text{for every } |x| \geq r. \quad (31)$$

We assume $X > r$ and pose $R = \max r(x) \operatorname{sgn} x$ on $\langle r, X \rangle$. Then (30) and (31) yield

$$h(x(t)) \operatorname{sgn} x(t) \geq \frac{\beta}{R} \quad \text{for every } |x| \geq r. \quad (32)$$

Hence, if there exists a t_0 such that $|x(t)| \geq r$ for all $t > t_0$, we obtain from (32) and (8)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t_0}^t h(x(s)) \operatorname{sgn} x(s) \operatorname{sgn} x(t) \, ds = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \int_{t_0}^t h(x(s)) \operatorname{sgn} x(s) \, ds \right| = +\infty. \quad (33)$$

But, by integration of (1) from t_0 to $t \geq t_0$ and by use of (7) it follows

$$\left| \int_{t_0}^t h(x(s)) \operatorname{sgn} x(s) \, ds \right| \leq 2[X' + \max(F(X), -F(-X')) + \max(G(X), -G(-X)) + E]$$

i.e. a contradiction to (33). Thus, the relation

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |x(t)| \leq r, \quad (34)$$

is proved. The proof can be achieved again with the method of [1].

Remark 3: If (1) fulfils a condition of unicity and $e(t)$ is periodical, then, if A_4 holds, (1) has a periodical solution.

Theorem 3: If A_3 holds, there exist solutions of (1), satisfying the relation

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |x(t)| = +\infty, \quad (35)$$

(and simultaneously the relations (12)).

This assertion can be proved by transforming (1) into the system

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = x_2, \quad \frac{dx_2}{dt} = x_3, \quad \frac{dx_3}{dt} = -f(x_2)x_3 - g(x_1)x_2 - h(x_1) + e(t)$$

and using the function

$$2U(x_1, x_2, x_3; t) = (x_3 + F(x_2) + G(x_1) - \int_0^t e(s) ds)^2$$

in the manner shown in the proof of an analogous assertion in [3].

2. Let us now, consider the equation

$$x^{(n)} + f(x')x'' + g(x') + h(x) = e(t), \quad (36)$$

with f, g, h, e continuous for every real value of their argument. For the purpose of studying this equation we recall the following theorem, proved in [4].

Theorem: Let us consider the differential equation

$$x^{(n)} = f(x, x', \dots, x^{(n-1)}; t), \quad (37)$$

with $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; t)$ continuous on $E_{n+1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; t)$. Assume further that there exist functions $v_i(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), continuous on $E_{n-1}(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$ and a function $V(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n; t)$, with all partial derivatives continuous on $E_n(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n; t)$. These functions may have following properties:

(i) There exists a positive number R such that for $\sum_{i=2}^n |x_i| \geq R$ and for every t the inequality

$$v_1(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) \geq V(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n; t) \geq v_2(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) \text{ holds.} \quad (38)$$

(ii) We have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} v_2(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = +\infty \quad \text{for} \quad \sum_{i=2}^n |x_i| \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (39)$$

(iii) On the set $\sum_{i=2}^n |x_i| \geq R$ the inequality $v_3(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) > 0$ holds.

(iv) For every point from $E_{n+1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; t)$, satisfying the inequalities $\sum_{i=2}^n |x_i| \geq R$, $-\infty < t < +\infty$ we have

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} x_{i+1} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_n} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; t) \leq -v_3(x_2, \dots, x_n). \quad (40)$$

Then there exists each solution $x(t)$ of (37) on the interval $I = \langle t_0, +\infty \rangle$ and satisfies the inequality

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |x^{(i)}| \leq D' \quad (41)$$

with a common constant D' .

Next, we will use following assumptions:

Assumption A_6 : There exist positive numbers ε, H, E, Y , such that (4), (5) hold and

$$f(y) \geq 4\varepsilon \quad \text{for every } y, \quad (42)$$

$$g(y) \operatorname{sgn} y \geq E + H + \varepsilon \quad \text{for every } |y| \geq Y. \quad (43)$$

Assumption A_7 : A_6 and (7) hold and there exist positive numbers d, m , such that

$$|g(y) - dy| \leq m \quad \text{for every } y. \quad (44)$$

Assumption A_8 : A_7 holds and there exist a positive number h , such that

$$h(x) \operatorname{sgn} x \geq m \quad \text{for every } |x| \geq h. \quad (45)$$

Assumption A_9 : A_7 holds and further

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} h(x) \operatorname{sgn} x > m. \quad (46)$$

Assumption A_{10} : A_7 holds and there exist two positive constants h, δ , such that

$$h(x) \operatorname{sgn} x \leq -m - \delta \quad \text{for every } |x| \geq h. \quad (47)$$

Theorem 4: If A_8 holds, then each solution $x(t)$ of (36) exists on I and is bounded there.

Proof: At first, the following lemma will be proved:

Lemma 3: If A_6 holds, then every $x(t)$ exists on I and there exists a constant D' , such that (12) holds.

Proof of lemma 3: Let us consider two functions (inspired by [5])

$$u(y) = \int_0^y g(s) + \varepsilon \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + |s|} \right) \frac{sf(s)}{1 + a|s|} ds$$

and

$$2w(y, z) = z^2 + 2\varepsilon z \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + |y|} \right) \frac{y}{1 + a|y|},$$

where a stands for a positive constant satisfying the inequality

$$0 < a < 8\varepsilon^3(H + E)^{-2}. \quad (48)$$

Using A_6 , it is easy to show that u, w are lower bounded and that

$$\lim_{|y| \rightarrow +\infty} u(y) = \lim_{|z| \rightarrow +\infty} w(y, z) = +\infty.$$

Let us now consider the function

$$V(y, z) = u(y) + w(y, z), \quad (49)$$

with the property

$$\lim_{|y|+|z| \rightarrow +\infty} V(y, z) = +\infty. \quad (50)$$

The system equivalent to (36) is

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = y, \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = z, \quad \frac{dz}{dt} = -f(y)z - g(y) - h(x) + e(t)$$

and thus, the expression on the left side of (40) is

$$V' = z^2 \left(\varepsilon \left(\frac{|y|^2}{(1+a|y|)(1+|y|)} 2 + \frac{|y|}{(1+|y|)(1+a|y|)} 2 \right) - f(y) + \frac{1}{z} (e(t) - h(x)) \right) + \frac{\varepsilon |y| y (e(t) - h(x) - g(y))}{(1+a|y|)(1+|y|)}. \quad (51)$$

Using (42), (4) and (5) we can estimate

$$V' \leq z^2 \left(-2\varepsilon + \frac{1}{|z|} (E + H) \right) + \frac{\varepsilon |y| y (e(t) - h(x) - g(y))}{(1+a|y|)(1+|y|)} \quad (52)$$

and hence for $|y| \leq Y$ (with $G = \max |g(y)|$ for $|y| \leq Y$)

$$V' \leq z^2 \left(-2\varepsilon + \frac{1}{|z|} (E + H) \right) + \varepsilon Y^2 (E + H + G).$$

This leads us finally to the inequality

$$V' \leq -\varepsilon < 0 \text{ for every } |y| \leq Y \text{ and every } |z| \geq M = \max \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} (E + H), (Y^2 (E + H + G) + 1)^{1/2} \right). \quad (53)$$

For $|y| \geq Y$ we have because of (43)

$$|y g(y)| = |y| |g(y)| \operatorname{sgn} y \geq |y| (E + H + \varepsilon), \quad (54)$$

and thus also the following relation must be true (note that $M > 1$)

$$V' \leq -\varepsilon z^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2 y^2}{(1+|y|)(1+a|y|)} < -\varepsilon < 0 \quad \text{for every } |y| \geq Y \text{ and every } |z| \geq M. \quad (55)$$

Let us further consider the case $|z| \leq M$. From (52) it follows also

$$\begin{aligned} V' &\leq \max_{|z| \leq M} (-2\varepsilon z^2 + (E + H) |z|) + \frac{\varepsilon |y| y (e(t) - h(x) - g(y))}{(1+a|y|)(1+|y|)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{8\varepsilon} (E + H)^2 + \frac{\varepsilon |y| y (e(t) - h(x) - g(y))}{(1+a|y|)(1+|y|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (54) we see that it must hold

$$\limsup_{|y| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\varepsilon |y| y(e(t) - h(x) - g(y))}{(1 + a|y|)(|y|)} \leq -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{a}$$

and thus, if a fulfils the inequality (48), there must exist a positive N , such that

$$V' \leq -\frac{4\varepsilon^3}{(E+H)} 2 + \frac{a}{2} = -\eta < 0 \quad \text{for every } |z| \leq M \text{ and every } |y| \geq N. \quad (56)$$

Resuming, we can write

$$V' \leq \max(-\varepsilon, -\eta) < 0 \quad \text{for every } |y| + |z| \geq M + N = R. \quad (57)$$

Thus, if we pose $v_1(y, z) = v_2(y, z) = V(y, z)$, $v_3(y, z) = \min(\varepsilon, \eta)$, we see that by the above mentioned theorem lemma 3 is proved.

Proof of theorem 4: We pose $g(y) = dy + \psi(y)$; because of (44) is then $|\psi(y)| \leq m$. The above lemma results in the existence of a $t_x \geq t_0$, such that

$$|x'(t)| \leq D' + 1, |x''(t)| \leq D' + 1 \quad \text{for every } t \geq t_x. \quad (58)$$

Integrating (36) and multiplying by $\text{sgn } x(t)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} d|x(t)| &= d|x(t_x)| + (x''(t_x) - x''(t) + F(x'(t_x)) - F(x'(t))) \\ &\quad \cdot \text{sgn } x(t) - \int_{t_x}^t (h(x(s)) + \psi(x'(s)) - e(s)) \text{ ds } \text{sgn } x(t), \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

if we suppose $\text{sgn } x(s) = \text{const.}$ for $s \in \langle t_x, t \rangle$. Hence, for $|x(s)| \geq h$ on $\langle t_x, t \rangle$ (note that from (41) and (42) it follows then $|h(x(t))| - |\psi(x'(t))| \geq 0$) we obtain

$$d|x(t)| \leq d|x(t_x)| + 2(D' + 1 + \max_{|y| \leq D'+1} F(y) + E).$$

Hereby is our theorem proved.

Theorem 5: If A_0 holds, then (35) is dissipative.

Proof: Let us pose $\gamma = \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} h(x) \text{sgn } x$; there exists a h_1 , such that $|h(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2}(m + \gamma)$ for every $|x| \geq h_1$. From (59) we get now

$$d|x(t)| \leq d|x(t_x)| + 2(D' + 1 + \max_{|y| \leq D'+1} F(y) + E) - \frac{1}{2}(m + \gamma)(t - t_x),$$

if only $|x(t)| \geq h$ on $\langle t_x, t \rangle$. For $t - t_x$ large enough this leads to a contradiction and hence the relation

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |x(t)| \leq h,$$

must be valid. Now the proof can be achieved as f.i. the proof of theorem 2.

Remark 4: If (36) fulfils a condition of unicity and $e(t)$ is periodical, then, if A_0 holds, (36) has a periodical solution.

Theorem 6: If A_{10} holds, there exist $x(t)$, satisfying the relation (35) and simultaneously (12).

Theorem 6 can be proved like theorem 3 using the function

$$2U(x, y, z; t) = (z + F(y) + dx - \int_0^t e(s) ds)^2 + 2 \int_0^y (s) ds.$$

LITERATURE

- [1] *Voráček J.:* Sur une équation différentielle non linéaire du troisième ordre. Publ. Fac. Sci. Univ. J. E. Purkyně, Brno, (to appear).
- [2] *Voráček J.:* O některých nelineárních diferenciálních rovnicích třetího řádu. Acta Univ. Palackianae Olomucensis, F. R. N., T. 21., 1966.
- [3] *Voráček J.:* Einige Bemerkungen über eine nichtlineare Differentialgleichung dritter Ordnung. Archivum mathematicum, Brno, T. 2., 1966.
- [4] *Voráček J.:* Dissipativnost některých nelineárních diferenciálních rovnic 3. a 4. řádu. Acta Univ. Palackianae Olomucensis, F. R. N., T. 28., 1968.
- [5] *Bhatia N. P.:* Anwendung der direkten Methode von Ljapunow zum Nachweis der Beschränktheit und der Stabilität der Lösungen einer Klasse nichtlinearer Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung. Abhandlungen der Deutschen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 5, 1961.

Shrnutí

O ŘEŠENÍCH JISTÝCH NELINEÁRNÍCH DIFERENCIÁLNÍCH ROVNIC TŘETÍHO ŘÁDU

JAN VORÁČEK

V první části práce jsou odvozeny postačující podmínky pro dissipativnost rovnice (1) (podmínka A_4), resp. pro existenci D' – divergentních řešení (podmínka A_5). Ve druhé části je uvedena postačující podmínka omezenosti řešení rovnice (36) (podmínka A_8), resp. její dissipativnosti (podmínka A_9). Je-li splněna podmínka A_{10} , pak má (36) D' – divergentní řešení.