

Grzegorz Nowak

Some approximation properties of the Kantorovich variant of the Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn operators

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 49 (2008), No. 1, 67--78

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119702>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2008

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Some approximation properties of the Kantorovich variant of the Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn operators

GRZEGORZ NOWAK

Abstract. For some classes of functions f locally integrable in the sense of Lebesgue or Denjoy-Perron on the interval $[0; \infty)$, the Kantorovich type modification of the Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn operators is considered. The rate of pointwise convergence of these operators at the Lebesgue or Lebesgue-Denjoy points of f is estimated.

Keywords: Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn operator, Lebesgue-Denjoy point, rate of convergence

Classification: 41A25

1. Introduction

In 1980 Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn [5] introduced a sequence of positive linear operators $B_n f$ defined on the space $R([0; \infty))$ of real functions on the infinite interval $I = [0; \infty)$ by

$$B_n f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) f \left(\frac{k}{n+1-k} \right) \quad (x \in I, n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where

$$p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) = \binom{n}{k} \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^n}.$$

The approximation properties of those operators have been extensively studied in the literature [1], [2], [3], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [14]. For function f locally integrable in the Lebesgue or Denjoy-Perron sense, the n -th Kantorovich variant of the $L_n f$ operators is defined as follows

$$M_n f(x) = (n+2) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \int_{k/(n+2-k)}^{(k+1)/(n+1-k)} \frac{f(t)}{(1+t)^2} dt \quad (x \in I, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

U. Abel and M. Ivan [3] found the rate of convergence by estimating $|M_n f(x) - f(x)|$ in terms of the modulus of the continuity of f , where f is assumed to be bounded and continuous on $[0; \infty)$.

The aim of this paper is to examine the rate of the convergence of operators $M_n f$, mainly, at those points $x \in I$ at which

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h (f(x+t) - f(x)) dt = 0.$$

The general estimate is expressed in terms of the quantity

$$w_x(\delta; f) = \sup_{0 < |h| \leq \delta} \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h (f(x+t) - f(x)) dt \right| \quad (\delta > 0).$$

Clearly, if f is locally integrable in the Denjoy-Perron sense on I then

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} w_x(\delta; f) = 0 \quad \text{for almost every } x.$$

In view of this property, we deduce that for some classes of functions,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n f(x) = f(x) \quad \text{almost everywhere.}$$

Moreover, using some other properties of $w_x(\delta; f)$ we present a few estimates of the rate of the norm and pointwise convergence of $M_n f$ in terms of the weighted moduli of continuity. Throughout the paper, the symbol $K(\cdot)$, $K_j(\cdot)$, ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) will mean some positive constants, not necessarily the same at each occurrence, depending only on the parameters indicated in parentheses.

2. Auxiliary estimates

As well-known, for every $x \in I$ and all integers $n \geq 1$,

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) = 1,$$

$$(2) \quad x p_{n,k-1} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) = \frac{k}{n-k+1} p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \quad (k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}).$$

For $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$Q_{q,0}^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{(n-k+q) \dots (n-k+1)} p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right),$$

$$Q_{q,s}^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{k \dots (k-s+1)}{(n-k+q) \dots (n-k+1)} p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right).$$

Lemma 1. For $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in [0; \infty)$ and $q \geq s$ we have

$$(3) \quad Q_{q,s}^{(n)}(x) \leq \frac{x^s(1+x)^{q-s}}{(n+1)^{q-s}}.$$

(In the case where $x = 0$ and $s = 0$, the symbol x^s is equal to one).

PROOF: In view of (1) and (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{1,0}^{(n)}(x) &= \frac{x}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k-1} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \\ &= \frac{x}{n+1} \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{x}{n+1} p_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \\ &< \frac{1+x}{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} xQ_{q,0}^{(n)}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{(n-k+q+1) \dots (n-k+2)} \frac{n+1}{n-k+1} p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{(n-k+q+1) \dots (n-k+2)} p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) + \frac{x}{q!} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(n+1)Q_{q+1,0}^{(n)}(x) \leq (x+1)Q_{q,0}^{(n)}(x).$$

Consequently, (3) follows for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s = 0$ by induction.

For $s > 1$, (2) gives us

$$Q_{q+1,s+1}^{(n)}(x) = xQ_{q,s}^{(n)}(x) - \frac{n \dots (n+1-s)}{q!} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right)^n x < xQ_{q,s}^{(n)}(x).$$

Consequently, (3) follows for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ by induction. □

Remark 1. It is easy to see that for $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_1, \dots, s_q \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in [0; \infty)$

$$(4) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{(n-k+s_1) \dots (n-k+s_q)} p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \leq q! Q_{q,0}^{(n)}(x).$$

For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in [0; \infty)$ we will use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k,j}^{(n)}(x) &= \frac{k+1-i}{n-k+i} - x \quad (0 \leq k \leq n), \\ S_q^{(n)}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,1}^{(n)}(x) \dots a_{k,q}^{(n)}(x) p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2. *Let $x \in I$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \geq 2$. Then*

$$(5) \quad S_{q+1}^{(n)}(x) = \frac{q}{n+q+1} \left((x^2 - 1)S_q^{(n)}(x) + x(1+x)^2 S_{q-1}^{(n)}(x) \right) - R_q^{(n)}(x),$$

where

$$R_q^{(n)}(x) = \frac{x(n+1)^2}{q(n+q+1)} a_{n,1}^{(n)}(x) \cdots a_{n,q-1}^{(n)}(x) p_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right).$$

PROOF: Simple calculations, (2) and identity $a_{k-1,i}^{(n)}(x) = a_{k,i+1}^{(n)}(x)$, give us

$$(6) \quad xS_q^{(n)}(x) = S_{q+1}^{(n)}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,2}^{(n)}(x) \cdots a_{k,q+1}^{(n)}(x) p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) + \tilde{R}_q^{(n)}(x),$$

where

$$\tilde{R}_q^{(n)}(x) = x a_{n,1}^{(n)}(x) \cdots a_{n,q}^{(n)}(x) p_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right).$$

Using the obvious equality

$$\frac{k}{n-k+1} - \frac{k-q+1}{n-k+q} = \frac{q}{n+1} \left(a_{k,1}^{(n)}(x) + 1+x \right) \left(a_{k,q+1}^{(n)}(x) + 1+x \right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{q+1}^{(n)}(x) &= \frac{qx}{n+1} \left(S_{q+1}^{(n)}(x) + (1+x)S_q^{(n)}(x) \right) \\ &\quad + (1+x) \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,2}^{(n)}(x) \cdots a_{k,q+1}^{(n)}(x) p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \\ &\quad + (1+x)^2 \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,2}^{(n)}(x) \cdots a_{k,q}^{(n)}(x) p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) - \tilde{R}_q^{(n)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Applying (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{q+1}^{(n)}(x) &= \frac{q}{n+1} \left(xS_{q+1}^{(n)}(x) + x(1+x)S_q^{(n)}(x) \right) \\ &\quad + (1+x) \left(xS_q^{(n)}(x) - S_{q+1}^{(n)}(x) - \tilde{R}_q^{(n)}(x) \right) \\ &\quad + (1+x)^2 \left(xS_{q-1}^{(n)}(x) - S_q^{(n)}(x) - \tilde{R}_{q-1}^{(n)}(x) \right) - \tilde{R}_q^{(n)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

So (5) is now evident. □

Lemma 3. *Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in I$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$(7) \quad \left| S_q^{(n)}(x) \right| \leq K(q)x(x+1)^{2q-2} \left(\frac{1}{n^{\lfloor (q+1)/2 \rfloor}} + n^{q-1} p_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \right).$$

PROOF: In view of (1) and (2),

$$\left| S_1^{(n)}(x) \right| = \left| -x p_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \right|.$$

The obvious identity

$$x S_1^{(n)}(x) = S_2^{(n)}(x) + x \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,2}^{(n)}(x) p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) + x(n-x) p_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right)$$

and (3) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \left| S_2^{(n)}(x) \right| &= \left| x(n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{(n-k+1)(n-k+2)} p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - x(n-x) p_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{x(1+x)^2}{n+1} + x(x+1) n p_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (7) follows now immediately from the estimate

$$\left| R_q^{(n)}(x) \right| = 2^{q-1} \left((n+1)^q + (x+1)^{q-1} \right) p_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right)$$

and (5) by induction. □

Let the symbol $\prod_{i=0}^{-1}$ be defined as one.

Lemma 4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in I$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $k \leq n$. Given any numbers $r, q \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have*

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{k,r}^{(n)}(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^s \left(K_j(q, r, n, x) + \overline{K}_j(q, r, n, x) a_{k,q+j}^{(n)}(x) \right) \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} a_{k,q+i}^{(n)}(x) \\ &\quad + a_{k,r}^{(n)}(x) \overline{\overline{K}}_s(q, r, n, x) \prod_{i=0}^s a_{k,q+i}^{(n)}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\overline{K}}_j(q, r, n, x) &= \prod_{i=0}^j \frac{q+i-r}{n+1-(q+i-r)(x+1)}, \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}_0), \\ K_0(q, r, n, x) &= \frac{(q-r)(x+1)^2}{(n+1)-(q-r)(x+1)}, \\ K_j(q, r, n, x) &= K_0(q, r, n, x) \overline{\overline{K}}_{j-1}(q, r, n, x), \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}), \\ \overline{K}_0(q, r, n, x) &= \frac{n+1+(q-r)(x+1)}{(n+1)-(q-r)(x+1)}, \\ \overline{K}_j(q, r, n, x) &= \overline{K}_0(q, r, n, x) \overline{\overline{K}}_{j-1}(q, r, n, x), \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}).\end{aligned}$$

PROOF: It is easy to see that

$$a_{k,r}^{(n)}(x) = a_{k,q}^{(n)}(x) + \frac{q-r}{n+1} \left(a_{k,r}^{(n)}(x) + x + 1 \right) \left(a_{k,q}^{(n)}(x) + x + 1 \right).$$

Hence,

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned}a_{k,r}^{(n)}(x) &= \frac{(q-r)(x+1)^2}{n+1-(q-r)(x+1)} + \frac{n+1-(q-r)(x+1)}{(n+1)-(q-r)(x+1)} a_{k,q}^{(n)}(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{q-r}{n+1-(q-r)(x+1)} a_{k,q}^{(n)}(x) a_{k,r}^{(n)}(x).\end{aligned}$$

Using (9) and the method of induction one can easily verify that for all $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (8) is true. \square

Lemma 5. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in I$. Then*

$$(10) \quad \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,s_1}^{(n)}(x) \dots a_{k,s_r}^{(n)}(x) p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \right| \leq Kx(x+1)^{2r-2} \left(\frac{1}{n^{\lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor}} + n^{r-1} p_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \right),$$

with a constant K depending only on s_1, \dots, s_r, r .

PROOF: First, we prove the estimate:

$$(11) \quad \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,1}^{(n)}(x) \dots a_{k,q-1}^{(n)}(x) a_{k,s_1}^{(n)}(x) \dots a_{k,s_r}^{(n)}(x) p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \right| \leq Kx(x+1)^{2r+2q-4} \left(\frac{1}{n^{\lfloor (r+q)/2 \rfloor}} + n^{r+q-2} p_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \right) \quad (r \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For $r = 1$, by (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,1}^{(n)}(x) \dots a_{k,q-1}^{(n)}(x) a_{k,s_1}^{(n)}(x) p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=0}^s \left(|K_j| |S_{q+j-1}^{(n)}(x)| + |\overline{K}_j| |S_{q+j}^{(n)}(x)| \right) \\ & \quad + \overline{\overline{K}}_s \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,r}^{(n)}(x) \prod_{i=1}^{q+s} a_{k,i}^{(n)}(x) p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3) and (4) it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,r}^{(n)}(x) \prod_{i=1}^{q+s} a_{k,i}^{(n)}(x) p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right)$$

is bounded from above by $K(q, s, r)(x + 1)^{q+s+1}$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} |K_j| & \leq K(q, r, j)(x + 1)^{j+2} \frac{1}{(n + 1)^{j+1}}, \\ |\overline{K}_j| & \leq K(q, r, j)(x + 1)^{j+1} \frac{1}{(n + 1)^j}, \\ |\overline{\overline{K}}_j| & \leq K(q, r, j)(x + 1)^{j+1} \frac{1}{(n + 1)^{j+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

These estimates and (7) for $s = [(q + 1)/2]$ give us (11) for $r = 1$. Next (11) follows for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction. Choosing $q = 1$ in (11) we obtain (10). \square

Identity (1), estimate (10) and the Schwarz inequality lead to

Lemma 6. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in I$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad & \sum_{k=0}^n \left| a_{k,s_1}^{(n)}(x) \dots a_{k,s_r}^{(n)}(x) \right| p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \\ & \leq K(r, s_1, \dots, s_r) x(x + 1)^{2r} \left(n^{-r/2} + n^{r-1} p_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

3. Main result

In this section we consider only the points $x \in [0; \infty)$ at which $w_x(\delta; f) < \infty$ for all $\delta > 0$.

Theorem. Let $f : I \rightarrow R$ be integrable in the Lebesgue or Denjoy-Perron sense on every compact interval contained in I and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in I$. Given any number $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(13) \quad |M_n f(x) - f(x)| \leq K(q)(x+1)^{2q+4} \left(1 + n^{3q/2+2} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right)^n \right) \\ \times \sum_{k=0}^{\mu} \frac{1}{(k+1)^q} w_x \left(\frac{k+1}{\sqrt{n}}; f \right),$$

where $\mu = \lceil \sqrt{n} \lfloor n/2 - x \rfloor \rceil$.

PROOF: For the sake of brevity we will write $f(x+r) - f(x) = \varphi_x(t)$ and $w_x(\delta; f) = w_x(\delta)$. In view of (1) we have

$$M_n f(x) - f(x) = (n+2) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \int_{k/(n+2-k)}^{(k+1)/(n+1-k)} \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{(1+t)^2} dt \\ = (n+2) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \left(\int_0^{(k+1)/(n+1-k)-x} \frac{\varphi_x(t)}{(1+x+t)^2} dt \right. \\ \left. - \int_0^{k/(n+2-k)-x} \frac{\varphi_x(t)}{(1+x+t)^2} dt \right) \\ = (n+2) p_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \int_0^{n+1-x} \frac{\varphi_x(t)}{(1+x+t)^2} dt \\ - (n+2) p_{n,0} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \int_0^{-x} \frac{\varphi_x(t)}{(1+x+t)^2} dt \\ + (n+2) \sum_{k=1}^n \left(p_{n,k-1} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) - p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \right) \int_0^{k/(n+2-k)-x} \frac{\varphi_x(t)}{(1+x+t)^2} dt.$$

Consequently by (2)

$$x(M_n f(x) - f(x)) = x(n+2) p_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \int_0^{n+1-x} \frac{\varphi_x(t)}{(1+x+t)^2} dt \\ + (n+2) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \left(\frac{k}{n-k+1} - x \right) \int_0^{k/(n+2-k)-x} \frac{\varphi_x(t)}{(1+x+t)^2} dt.$$

In view of the second mean value theorem

$$\left| \int_0^{k/(n+2-k)-x} \frac{\varphi_x(t)}{(1+x+t)^2} dt \right| \leq \left(\frac{1}{(1+x)^2} \right) \left| \int_0^{\xi_1} \varphi_x(t) dt \right| \\ + \left(\frac{(n+2-k)^2}{(n+2)} \right) \left| \int_{-|k/(n+2-k)-x|}^{\xi_2} \varphi_x(t) dt \right|,$$

where $0 < \xi_1 < |k/(n+2-k) - x|, -|k/(n+2-k) - x| < \xi_2 < 0$.

Applying the obvious inequality $|\int_0^h \varphi_x(t) dt| \leq |h|w_x(|h|)$, we obtain

$$\left| \int_0^{k/(n+2-k)-x} \frac{\varphi_x(t)}{(1+x+t)^2} dt \right| \leq 3 \left| \frac{k}{n+2-k} - x \right| w_x \left(\left| \frac{k}{n+2-k} - x \right| \right).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & x |M_n f(x) - f(x)| \\ & \leq R_n(x) + 3(n+2) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\left| a_{k,1}^{(n)}(x) a_{k,2}^{(n)}(x) \right| + \frac{1}{n+1} \left| a_{k,1}^{(n)}(x) a_{k,2}^{(n)}(x) \right| + \frac{x+1}{n+1} \left| a_{k,1}^{(n)}(x) \right| \right) \\ & \quad \times w_x \left(\left| \frac{k}{n+2-k} - x \right| \right) \\ & \leq R_n(x) + 3 \sum_{\nu=0}^{\mu} T_{\nu}^n(\lambda; x) w_x((\nu+1)\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda \in (0; 1)$, $\mu = [\frac{1}{\lambda} |\frac{n}{2} - x|]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{\nu}^{(n)}(\lambda; x) \\ & = \sum_{\nu\lambda < |k/(n-k+2)-x| \leq (\nu+1)\lambda} (n+2) \left(2 \left| a_{k,1}^{(n)}(x) a_{k,2}^{(n)}(x) \right| + \frac{x+1}{n+1} \left| a_{k,1}^{(n)}(x) \right| \right) \\ & \quad \times p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$R_n(x) = x(n+2)p_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \left| \int_0^{n+1-x} \frac{\varphi_x(t)}{(1+x+t)^2} dt \right|.$$

(If $k < 0$ or $k > n$, then $p_{n,k}(\frac{x}{1+x})$ is equal to zero.)

Applying (12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_0^{(n)}(\lambda; x) & \leq (n+2) \sum_{k=0}^n \left(2 \left| a_{k,1}^{(n)}(x) a_{k,2}^{(n)}(x) \right| + \frac{x+1}{n+1} \left| a_{k,1}^{(n)}(x) \right| \right) p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \\ & \leq K(q)x(1+x)^4 \left(1 + np_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and, if $1 \leq \nu \leq \mu$

$$\begin{aligned} T_\nu^{(n)} &\leq \frac{2n}{\nu^q \lambda^q} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(2 \left| a_{k,1}^{(n)}(x) \right| \left| a_{k,2}^{(n)}(x) \right| + \frac{x+1}{n+1} \left| a_{k,1}^{(n)}(x) \right| \right) \\ &\quad \times \left| \frac{k}{n-k+2} - x \right| p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{4^{q+1} n}{\nu^q \lambda^q} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\left| a_{k,1}^{(n)}(x) \right| \left| a_{k,2}^{(n)}(x) \right|^{q+1} + \frac{(x+1)^q}{(n+1)^q} \left| a_{k,1}^{(n)}(x) a_{k,2}^{(n)}(x) \right| \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{(x+1)^{q+1}}{(n+1)^{q+1}} \left| a_{k,1}^{(n)}(x) \right| + \frac{x+1}{n+1} \left| a_{k,2}^{(n)}(x) \right|^q p_{n,k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore using (12)

$$T_\nu^{(n)}(\lambda; x) \leq K(q)x \frac{(x+1)^{2q+4}}{\nu^q \lambda^q} \left(n^{-q/2} + n^{q+2} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right)^n \right).$$

Collecting the results, choosing $\lambda = n^{-1/2}$ and estimating

$$\left| R_n(x) \right| \leq 3x(x+1)n^2 w_x(|n+1-x|) p_{n,n} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right),$$

we get (13) immediately. □

4. Special cases

Let $D_{\text{loc}}^*(I)$ be the class of all functions integrable in the Denjoy-Perron sense on every compact interval contained in I . Clearly, if $f \in D_{\text{loc}}^*(I)$, then the function

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$$

is ACG^* on every $[a; b] \subset I$ and $F'(x) = f(x)$ almost everywhere [13]. Consequently,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} w_x(\delta; f) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } I.$$

Suppose that $f \in D_{\text{loc}}^*(I)$ and that

$$\|f\| \equiv \sup_{0 \leq \nu < \infty} \left(\left| \int_\nu^{\nu+\mu} f(t) dt \right| \right) < \infty.$$

The operators $M_n f$ are well-defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

As is known [12], for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$w_x(\delta; f) \leq \varepsilon + |f(x) + \frac{1}{\delta_0}(1 + 2\delta)\|f\| \text{ for all } \delta > 0.$$

This inequality and the fact that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} w_x(\delta; f) = 0$ ensure that the right-hand side of the estimate (13) (with arbitrary $q \geq 3$) converges almost everywhere to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Denote by $L_m(I)$ the class of all measurable functions f on I such that

$$\|f\|_m \equiv \sup_{x \in I} \frac{|f(x)|}{1 + x^{2m}} < \infty.$$

It is easy to see that the operators $M_n f$ are well-defined for every function $f \in L_m(I)$. Moreover, for any $\delta > 0$, the inequality

$$w_x(\delta; f) \leq \left\{ 2 + (1 + 2^m)x^{2m} + 2^m\delta^{2m} \right\} \|f\|_m,$$

(see [12]) assures the convergence of the sum

$$\sum_{k=0}^{[\sqrt{n} \frac{n}{2} - x]} \frac{1}{(k+1)^q} w_x \left(\frac{k+1}{\sqrt{n}}; f \right)$$

with an arbitrary $q \geq 2m + 2$. Consequently, if x is a Lebesgue point of f , i.e. if $w_x(\delta; f) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$, then the right-hand side of the inequality (13) (with $q \geq 2m + 2$) converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Further, for continuous $f \in L_m(I)$, let us introduce the weighted modulus of continuity

$$\omega(\delta; f)_m = \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \|f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)\|_m \quad (\delta > 0).$$

Then Theorem (with $q = 2m + 3$) and inequality

$$w_x(r\delta; f) \leq \left\{ 1 + (2x)^{2m} + (2(r-1)\delta)^{2m} \right\} r\omega(\delta; f)_m, \quad (x \in I, \delta > 0, r \in \mathbb{N})$$

(see [12]) give us

Corollary 1. *If $f \in L_m(I)$ is continuous on I then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|M_n f - f\|_m \leq K(m)\omega \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}; f \right)_m.$$

Clearly, if f is such that $f(x)(1+x^{2m})^{-1} = o(1)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, then $\omega(\delta; f)_m \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$. Hence in this case $\|M_n f - f\|_m$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abel U., *On the asymptotic approximation with Bivariate operators of Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn*, J. Approx. Theory **97** (1999), 181–198.
- [2] Abel U., *On the asymptotic approximation with operators of Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **7** (1996), 1–9.
- [3] Abel U., Ivan M., *Some identities for the operator of Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn involving divided differences*, Calcolo **36** (1999), no. 3, 143–160.
- [4] Abel U., Ivan M., *A Kantorovich variant of the Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn operators*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. (2002), no. 68, 205–218.
- [5] Bleimann G., Butzer P.L., Hahn L., *A Bernstein-type operator approximating continuous functions of the semi-axis*, Indag. Math. **42** (1980), 255–262.
- [6] de la Cal J., Luquin F., *A note on limiting properties of some Bernstein-type operators*, J. Approx. Theory **68** (1992), 322–329.
- [7] Della Vecchia B., *Some properties of a rational operator of Bernstein-type*, in Progress in Approximation Theory (P. Nevai and A. Pinkus, Eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1991, pp. 177–185.
- [8] Hermann T., *On the operator of Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn*, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai **58** (1991), 355–360.
- [9] Khan R.A., *A note on a Bernstein-type operator of Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn*, J. Approx. Theory **53** (1988), 295–303.
- [10] Khan R.A., *Some properties of a Bernstein-type operator of Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn*, in Progress in Approximation Theory (P. Nevai and A. Pinkus, Eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1991, pp. 497–504.
- [11] Jayasri C., Sitaraman Y., *On a Bernstein type operator of Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **47** (1993), no. 2, 267–272.
- [12] Nowak G., Pych-Taberska P., *Approximation properties of the generalized Favard-Kantorovich operators*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. **39** (1999), 139–152.
- [13] Saks S., *Theory of the Integral*, New York, 1937.
- [14] Totik V., *Uniform approximation by Bernstein-type operators*, Indag. Math. **46** (1984), 87–93.

UNIVERSITY OF MARKETING AND MANAGEMENT, OSTROROGA 9A, 64-100 LESZNO, POLAND
E-mail: grzegnow@amu.edu.pl

(Received October 2, 2006)