

Dimitrios A. Kandilakis; Manolis Magiropoulos

A  $p$ -Laplacian system with resonance and nonlinear boundary conditions on an unbounded domain

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 48 (2007), No. 1, 59–68

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119638>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## A $p$ -Laplacian system with resonance and nonlinear boundary conditions on an unbounded domain

D.A. KANDILAKIS, M. MAGIROPOULOS

*Abstract.* We study a nonlinear elliptic system with resonance part and nonlinear boundary conditions on an unbounded domain. Our approach is variational and is based on the well known Landesman-Laser type conditions.

*Keywords:* quasilinear problem,  $p$ -Laplacian system, Landesman-Laser condition, resonance

*Classification:* 35D05, 35J45, 35J50

### 1. Introduction and statement of results

Let  $\Omega$  be an unbounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 3$ , with a noncompact and smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . In this paper we consider the following quasilinear elliptic system

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda_1 a(x)|u|^{p-2}u + \lambda_1 b(x)|u|^\alpha|v|^\beta v + g_1(x, u) - h_1(x), & x \in \Omega \\ -\Delta_p v = \lambda_1 d(x)|v|^{p-2}v + \lambda_1 b(x)|u|^\alpha|v|^\beta u + g_2(x, u) - h_2(x), & x \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

subject to the nonlinear boundary conditions

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot \eta + c_1(x)|u|^{p-2}u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega \\ |\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v \cdot \eta + c_2(x)|v|^{p-2}v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$  and  $\eta$  is the unit outward normal vector on  $\partial\Omega$ . On a single equation level with  $\Omega$  bounded and Dirichlet boundary conditions, the problem has been studied by Arcoya and Orsina [1] taking into consideration the well known Landesman-Laser type conditions for the resonance part. The extension to the case of a system, again with  $\Omega$  bounded and Dirichlet boundary conditions, was first considered by Zographopoulos in [7].

In order to confront with our problem we need a suitable space setting which we describe next.

For  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , we set  $w_\xi(x) := \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^\xi}$ , and assume that the space  $L^r(w_\xi, \Omega) := \{u : \int_\Omega w_\xi(x)|u|^r < +\infty\}$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , is supplied with the norm

$$\|u\|_{w_\xi, r} = \left( \int_\Omega w_\xi(x)|u|^r \right)^{1/r}.$$

Let  $C_\delta^\infty(\Omega)$  be the space of  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -functions restricted on  $\Omega$ . For  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ , the weighted Sobolev space  $E_p$  is the completion of  $C_\delta^\infty(\Omega)$  in the norm

$$\|u\|_p = \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + \int_\Omega w_p(x) |u|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

By Lemma 2 in [5], we see that if  $c(\cdot)$  is a positive continuous function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$kw_{p-1}(x) \leq c(x) \leq Kw_{p-1}(x),$$

for some positive constants  $k$  and  $K$ , then the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p} = \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + \int_{\partial\Omega} c(x) |u|^m \right)^{1/p}$$

is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_p$ .

We will consider our system on the space  $E = E_p \times E_p$ , supplied with the norm

$$\|(u, v)\| = \|u\|_{1,p} + \|v\|_{1,p}.$$

The following lemma is useful for our compactness arguments.

**Lemma 1.** (i) *If*

$$p \leq r \leq \frac{pN}{N-p} \quad \text{and} \quad N > \alpha \geq N - r \frac{N-p}{p},$$

*then the embedding  $E \subseteq L^r(w_\alpha, \Omega)$  is continuous. If the upper bound for  $r$  in the first inequality and the upper bound for  $\alpha$  in the second are strict, then the embedding is compact.*

(ii) *If*

$$p \leq m \leq \frac{p(N-1)}{N-p} \quad \text{and} \quad N > \beta \geq N - 1 - m \frac{N-p}{p},$$

*then the trace operator  $T : E \rightarrow L^m(w_\beta, \partial\Omega)$  is continuous. If the upper bound for  $m$  in the first inequality and the lower bound for  $\beta$  are strict, then the trace operator is compact.*

(iii) *If*

$$1 \leq q < p \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha_1 - N}{\alpha_2 - N} > \frac{p}{q},$$

*then the embedding  $L^p(w_{\alpha_1}, \Omega) \subseteq L^q(w_{\alpha_2}, \Omega)$  is continuous.*

**PROOF:** The first and second part of the lemma is Theorem 1 in [5], while the third is a consequence of the following inequality

$$\int_\Omega \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{\alpha_2}} |u|^q dx \leq \left( \int_\Omega \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^d} dx \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left( \int_\Omega \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{\alpha_1}} |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}},$$

where  $d = \frac{\alpha_2 p - \alpha_1 q}{p - q}$ . Note that the integral  $\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^d} dx$  converges since  $d > N$ .  $\square$

We study (1)–(2) in connection with the eigenvalue problem

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda_1 a(x)|u|^{p-2}u + \lambda_1 b(x)|u|^\alpha|v|^\beta v, \\ -\Delta_p v = \lambda_1 d(x)|v|^{p-2}v + \lambda_1 b(x)|u|^\alpha|v|^\beta u, \end{cases}$$

subject to the boundary conditions (2), which was considered in [4] under the following set of assumptions, also needed for the present problem:

(H1)  $2 < p < N$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  with  $\alpha + \beta = p - 2$  and  $\alpha + 1, \beta + 1 \leq \frac{pp^*}{N}$ , where  $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ .

(H2) (i) There exist positive constants  $\alpha_1, A$  with  $\alpha_1 \in \left(p + \frac{(\beta+1)(N-p)}{p^*}, N\right)$  such that  $0 < a(x) \leq Aw_{\alpha_1}(x)$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

(ii) There exist positive constants  $\alpha_2, D$  with  $\alpha_2 \in \left(p + \frac{(\alpha+1)(N-p)}{p^*}, N\right)$  such that

$$0 < d(x) \leq Dw_{\alpha_2}(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

(iii)  $m\{x \in \Omega : b(x) > 0\} > 0$  and

$$0 \leq b(x) \leq Bw_s(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$

where  $B > 0$  and  $s \in (p, N)$ .

(H3)  $c_1(\cdot)$  and  $c_2(\cdot)$  are positive continuous functions defined on  $R^N$  with

$$kw_{p-1}(x) \leq c_1(x), c_2(x) \leq Kw_{p-1}(x),$$

for some positive constants  $k$  and  $K$ .

Let

$$I(u, v) = \frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} c_1(x)|u|^p + \frac{\beta+1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p + \frac{\beta+1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} c_2(x)|v|^p$$

and

$$J(u, v) = \frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^p + \frac{\beta+1}{p} \int_{\Omega} d(x)|v|^p + \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^\alpha|v|^\beta uv.$$

**Theorem 2** ([4]). *Let  $\Omega$  be an unbounded domain in  $R^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , with a non-compact and smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Assume that hypotheses (H1), (H2) and (H3) hold. Then*

(a) *the system (3) admits a positive principal eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  given by*

$$\lambda_1 = \inf\{I(u, v) : J(u, v) = 1\}.$$

*Each component of the associated normalized eigenfunction  $(u_1, v_1)$  is positive on  $\bar{\Omega}$  and of class  $C_{\text{loc}}^{1,\delta}(\Omega)$  for some  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .*

(b) *the set of eigenfunctions corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  forms a one dimensional manifold  $X \subseteq E$  defined by*

$$X = \{c(u_1, v_1) ; c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

(c)  *$\lambda_1$  is isolated, in the sense that there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that the interval  $(0, \lambda_1 + \eta)$  does not contain any other eigenvalue than  $\lambda_1$ .*

We make the following assumptions concerning the resonance part:

(H4) (i)  $g_1(\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $g_2(\cdot, \cdot)$  are Caratheodory functions such that

$$|g_1(x, s)| \leq \frac{C_1}{(1 + |x|)^{\alpha_3}} \text{ and } |g_2(x, s)| \leq \frac{C_2}{(1 + |x|)^{\alpha_4}}, \text{ where}$$

$\alpha_3 > N - \frac{N-\alpha_1}{p}$ ,  $\alpha_4 > N - \frac{N-\alpha_2}{p}$ ,  $C_1, C_2$  are positive constants, and the limits

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} g_i(x, s) = g_i^\pm(x), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

exist for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ .

(ii)  $|h_1(x)| \leq \frac{H_1}{(1 + |x|)^{\alpha_3}}$  and  $|h_2(x)| \leq \frac{H_2}{(1 + |x|)^{\alpha_4}}$  for some positive constants  $H_1, H_2$ .

Furthermore, we will need the following inequalities

$$(4) \quad L^+ < (\alpha + 1) \int_{\Omega} h_1(x)u_1 + (\beta + 1) \int_{\Omega} h_2(x)v_1 < L^-,$$

$$(5) \quad L^- < (\alpha + 1) \int_{\Omega} h_1(x)u_1 + (\beta + 1) \int_{\Omega} h_2(x)v_1 < L^+,$$

where  $(u_1, v_1)$  is the normalized eigenfunction of (3)–(2) with positive components and

$$L^+ = (\alpha + 1) \int_{\Omega} g_1^+(x)u_1 + (\beta + 1) \int_{\Omega} g_2^+(x)v_1,$$

$$L^- = (\alpha + 1) \int_{\Omega} g_1^-(x)u_1 + (\beta + 1) \int_{\Omega} g_2^-(x)v_1.$$

Inequalities (4) and (5) are the adaptation to the case of systems of the Landesman-Laser type conditions for scalar equations.

The energy functional of the problem (1)–(2) is

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u, v) = & \frac{\alpha + 1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{\alpha + 1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} c_1(x)|u|^p - \lambda_1 \frac{\alpha + 1}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^p \\ & - (\alpha + 1) \int_{\Omega} G_1(x, u) + (\alpha + 1) \int_{\Omega} h_1(x)u \\ & + \frac{\beta + 1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p + \frac{\beta + 1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} c_2(x)|v|^p - \lambda_1 \frac{\beta + 1}{p} \int_{\Omega} d(x)|v|^p \\ & - (\beta + 1) \int_{\Omega} G_2(x, v) + (\beta + 1) \int_{\Omega} h_2(x)v - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta uv, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_i(x, s) = \int_0^s g_i(x, t) dt, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

In view of (H1)–(H3), the functional  $\Phi$  is well defined and continuously differentiable on  $E$ . By a *weak solution* of (1)–(2) we mean an element of  $E$  which is a critical point of  $\Phi$ .

The main result of this work is the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.** (i) *Assume that hypotheses (H1)–(H3) and inequality (4) or (5) hold. Then the system (1)–(2) admits a weak solution.*

## 2. The main result

In view of Theorem 2(a), it is clear that  $\lambda_1 \leq \min\{\lambda_u, \lambda_v\}$ , where  $\lambda_u, \lambda_v$  are the first eigenvalues of the problems  $-\Delta_p u = \lambda a(x)|u|^{p-2}u$  and  $-\Delta_p v = \lambda d(x)|v|^{p-2}v$ , with the boundary conditions (2), respectively. The following lemma shows that this inequality is actually strict.

**Lemma 4.**  $\lambda_1 < \min\{\lambda_u, \lambda_v\}$ .

PROOF: Let  $u_0 > 0$  be an eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda_u$  and  $v_0 > 0$  an eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda_v$ . If  $\lambda_u = \lambda_v$ , then

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{I(u_0, v_0)}{J(u_0, v_0)} < \lambda_u,$$

so without loss of generality we may assume that  $\lambda_u < \lambda_v$ . Let  $t > 0$  be such that

$$(6) \quad \frac{\beta+1}{p} \int_{\Omega} d(x)|v_0|^p < \frac{\lambda_u}{\lambda_v - \lambda_u} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|tu_0|^\alpha |v_0|^\beta tu_0 v_0.$$

Then, in view of (6),

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{I(tu_0, v_0)}{J(tu_0, v_0)} < \lambda_u = \min\{\lambda_u, \lambda_v\}.$$

□

Note that due to assumptions H(1)–H(4), the operators  $A, N, B, C : E \rightarrow E^*$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(u, v), (\varphi, \psi) \rangle &:= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} u \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi, \\ \langle N(u, v), (\varphi, \psi) \rangle &:= \int_{\Omega} a(x) |u|^{p-2} u \varphi - \int_{\partial\Omega} c_1(x) |u|^{p-2} u \varphi \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} d(x) |v|^{p-2} v \psi - \int_{\partial\Omega} c_2(x) |v|^{p-2} v \psi, \\ \langle B(u, v), (\varphi, \psi) \rangle &:= \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta} v \varphi + \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta} u \psi, \\ \langle C(u, v), (\varphi, \psi) \rangle &:= \int_{\Omega} (g_1(x, u) - h_1(x)) \varphi + \int_{\Omega} (g_2(x, v) - h_2(x)) \psi, \end{aligned}$$

are well defined. Following standard arguments based on the embeddings given in Lemma 1, we have:

**Lemma 5.** *The operators  $A, N, B$  and  $C$  are continuous. Moreover,  $N, B$  and  $C$  are compact.*

We can now proceed with the proof of the main result:

**PROOF OF THEOREM 3:** We assume first that (4) holds. We claim that  $\Phi$  satisfies the PS-condition. Indeed, let  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a PS-sequence in  $E$ . Then

$$(7) \quad -c \leq \Phi(u_n, v_n) \leq c,$$

for some  $c > 0$ , and there exists a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converging to  $0^+$ , such that

$$(8) \quad -\varepsilon_n \|(u, v)\| \leq \Phi'(u_n, v_n)(u, v) \leq \varepsilon_n \|(u, v)\| \quad \text{for every } (u, v) \in E.$$

We will show that the sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $E$ . Assume the contrary, that is  $\|(u_n, v_n)\| \rightarrow +\infty$ . Let

$$(9) \quad \hat{u}_n := \frac{u_n}{\|(u_n, v_n)\|}, \quad \hat{v}_n := \frac{v_n}{\|(u_n, v_n)\|}.$$

Since  $\|\widehat{u}_n\|_{E_p} \leq 1$  and  $\|\widehat{v}_n\|_{E_p} \leq 1$ , by passing to subsequences if necessary, we may assume that  $\widehat{u}_n \rightarrow \widehat{u}$  and  $\widehat{v}_n \rightarrow \widehat{v}$  weakly in  $E_p$ . Due to our hypotheses on  $h_1$  and  $g_1$  we obtain

$$(10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{G_1(x, u_n)}{\|(u_n, v_n)\|^p} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{h_1 u_n}{\|(u_n, v_n)\|^p} = 0$$

and similarly for  $G_2(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $h_2(\cdot)$ . Dividing (7) by  $\|(u_n, v_n)\|^p$  and using (10), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left[ \frac{\alpha+1}{p} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \widehat{u}_n|^p + \int_{\partial\Omega} c_1(x) |\widehat{u}_n|^p - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\widehat{u}_n|^p \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\beta+1}{p} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \widehat{v}_n|^p + \int_{\partial\Omega} c_2(x) |\widehat{v}_n|^p - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} d(x) |\widehat{v}_n|^p \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x) |\widehat{u}_n|^\alpha |\widehat{v}_n|^\beta \widehat{u}_n \widehat{v}_n \right] \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left[ \frac{\alpha+1}{p} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \widehat{u}_n|^p + \int_{\partial\Omega} c_1(x) |\widehat{u}_n|^p \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\beta+1}{p} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \widehat{v}_n|^p + \int_{\partial\Omega} c_2(x) |\widehat{v}_n|^p \right\} \right] \\ & \leq \lambda_1 \left( \frac{\alpha+1}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\widehat{u}|^p + \frac{\beta+1}{p} \int_{\Omega} d(x) |\widehat{v}|^p + \int_{\Omega} b(x) |\widehat{u}|^\alpha |\widehat{v}|^\beta \widehat{u} \widehat{v} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The reverse inequality (with the limsup replaced by liminf) also holds due to the lower semicontinuity of the norms. Thus  $(\widehat{u}, \widehat{v})$  is a nonzero solution of (3) with  $\|(\widehat{u}, \widehat{v})\| = 1$ . In view of Lemma 4,  $\widehat{u} \neq 0$  and  $\widehat{v} \neq 0$ . By Theorem 2,  $\widehat{u}$  and  $\widehat{v}$  have the same sign. Suppose that both  $\widehat{u}$  and  $\widehat{v}$  are positive, the other case can be treated similarly. Thus  $\widehat{u} = u_1$  and  $\widehat{v} = v_1$ . If we replace  $(u, v)$  by  $(u_n, v_n)$  in (8), write the relation for  $-\Phi'$ , multiply the members of (7) by  $p$ , add memberwise the resulting inequalities, and divide by  $\|(u_n, v_n)\|$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (\alpha+1)(p-1) \int_{\Omega} h_1(x) \widehat{u}_n + (\beta+1)(p-1) \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) \widehat{v}_n \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (\alpha+1)p \int_{\Omega} \widehat{g}_1(x, u_n) \widehat{u}_n + (\alpha+1) \int_{\Omega} g_1(x, u_n) \widehat{u}_n - (\beta+1)p \int_{\Omega} \widehat{g}_2(x, v_n) \widehat{v}_n \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (\beta+1) \int_{\Omega} g_2(x, v_n) \widehat{v}_n \right| \leq \frac{c}{\|(u_n, v_n)\|} + \varepsilon_n, \end{aligned}$$



where

$$\widehat{g}_i(x, s) := \begin{cases} \frac{G_i(x, s)}{s} & \text{if } s \neq 0, \\ g_i(x, 0) & \text{if } s = 0, \end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2.$$

By letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad & \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left\{ (\alpha + 1) \int_{\Omega} [g_1(x, u_n) \widehat{u}_n - p \widehat{g}_1(x, u_n) \widehat{u}_n] \right. \\ & \left. + (\beta + 1) \int_{\Omega} [g_2(x, v_n) \widehat{v}_n - p \widehat{g}_2(x, v_n) \widehat{v}_n] \right\} \\ & = (\alpha + 1)(1 - p) \int_{\Omega} h_1(x) \widehat{u} + (\beta + 1)(1 - p) \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) \widehat{v}. \end{aligned}$$

By (9),  $u_n(x)$  and  $v_n(x)$  tend to  $+\infty$ , so

$$g_1(x, u_n) \rightarrow g_1^+(x) \text{ and } g_2(x, v_n) \rightarrow g_2^+(x) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Therefore

$$(12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} [g_1(x, u_n) \widehat{u}_n - p \widehat{g}_1(x, u_n) \widehat{u}_n] = (1 - p) \int_{\Omega} g_1^+(x) \widehat{u},$$

with a similar relation holding for  $g_2(\cdot, \cdot)$  as well. In view of (11) and (12), we have

$$(\alpha + 1) \int_{\Omega} g_1^+(x) u_1 + (\beta + 1) \int_{\Omega} g_2^+(x) v_1 = (\alpha + 1) \int_{\Omega} h_1(x) u_1 + (\beta + 1) \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) v_1,$$

contradicting (4). Thus  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n \in N}$  is bounded. Therefore, up to subsequences,  $u_n \rightarrow u_0$  and  $v_n \rightarrow v_0$  weakly in  $E_p$  and strongly in  $L^p(w_{\alpha_1}, \Omega)$  and  $L^p(w_{\alpha_2}, \Omega)$ , respectively. By taking  $(u, v) = (u_n, v_n) - (u_0, v_0)$  in (8), and using Lemma 1, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha + 1) \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \nabla u_0 \right) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u_0) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\partial\Omega} c_1 \left( |u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |u_0|^{p-2} u_0 \right) (u_n - u_0) \right\} \\ & + (\beta + 1) \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla v_n|^{p-2} \nabla v_n - |\nabla v_0|^{p-2} \nabla v_0 \right) (\nabla v_n - \nabla v_0) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\partial\Omega} c_1 \left( |v_n|^{p-2} v_n - |v_0|^{p-2} v_0 \right) (v_n - v_0) \right\} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

which, in view of inequality 2.5 in [2], implies that  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u_0, v_0)$  in  $E$ .

We show next that  $\Phi$  is coercive. Indeed, if this were not the case, there would exist a sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $\|(u_n, v_n)\| \rightarrow +\infty$  and

$$(13) \quad |\Phi(u_n, v_n)| \leq M, \quad \text{for some } M > 0.$$

Working as before, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left[ \frac{\alpha+1}{p} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \widehat{u}_n|^p + \int_{\partial\Omega} c_1(x) |\widehat{u}_n|^p - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\widehat{u}_n|^p \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\beta+1}{p} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \widehat{v}_n|^p + \int_{\partial\Omega} c_2(x) |\widehat{v}_n|^p - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} d(x) |\widehat{v}_n|^p \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x) |\widehat{u}_n|^\alpha |\widehat{v}_n|^\beta \widehat{u}_n \widehat{v}_n \right] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\widehat{u}_n$  and  $\widehat{v}_n$  are defined in (9). Thus  $(\widehat{u}_n, \widehat{v}_n) \rightarrow (u_1, v_1)$  or  $(\widehat{u}_n, \widehat{v}_n) \rightarrow -(u_1, v_1)$  in  $E$ . If  $(\widehat{u}_n, \widehat{v}_n) \rightarrow (u_1, v_1)$ , by (13) and the variational characterization of  $\lambda_1$ , we obtain

$$(\alpha+1) \int_{\Omega} g_1^+(x) u_1 + (\beta+1) \int_{\Omega} g_2^+(x) v_1 \geq (\alpha+1) \int_{\Omega} h_1(x) u_1 + (\beta+1) \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) v_1,$$

while if  $(\widehat{u}_n, \widehat{v}_n) \rightarrow -(u_1, v_1)$ , we get

$$(\alpha+1) \int_{\Omega} g_1^-(x) u_1 + (\beta+1) \int_{\Omega} g_2^-(x) v_1 \leq (\alpha+1) \int_{\Omega} h_1(x) u_1 + (\beta+1) \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) v_1,$$

contradicting (4). We can now use Theorem 4.7 in [3] to get a weak solution of (1)–(2).

Assume next that (5) holds. We split  $E$  as the direct sum of the eigenspace  $X$  and  $Y = \{(u, v) \in E : \int_{\Omega} uu_1^{p-1} + \int_{\Omega} vv_1^{p-1} = 0\}$ . Then  $\Phi$  has a saddle point geometry, i.e.,

- (i)  $\Phi(t(u_1, v_1)) \rightarrow -\infty$  if  $|t| \rightarrow +\infty$ , and
- (ii)  $\Phi$  is bounded from below on  $Y$ .

Indeed, since

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t(u_1, v_1)) &= (\alpha+1) \left[ \int_{\Omega} h_1(x) t u_1 - \int_{\Omega} G_1(x, t u_1) \right] \\ & \quad + (\beta+1) \left[ \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) t v_1 - \int_{\Omega} G_2(x, t v_1) \right] \\ &= (\alpha+1)t \left[ \int_{\Omega} h_1(x) u_1 - \int_{\Omega} \frac{G_1(x, t u_1)}{t u_1} u_1 \right] \\ & \quad + (\beta+1)t \left[ \int_{\Omega} h_2(x) v_1 - \int_{\Omega} \frac{G_2(x, t v_1)}{t v_1} v_1 \right], \end{aligned}$$

by taking the limit as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$  and working as in the first part of the proof, we can use (5) to get (i). To prove (ii) we exploit the isolation of  $\lambda_1$ , see Theorem 2, to derive that there exists  $\hat{\lambda} > \lambda_1$  such that

$$\hat{\lambda} < \frac{I(u, v)}{J(u, v)}$$

for every  $(u, v) \in Y$ . If  $(u, v) \in Y$ , in view of Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u, v) &= I(u, v) - \lambda_1 J(u, v) + (\alpha + 1) \left[ \int_{\Omega} h_1(x)u - \int_{\Omega} G_1(x, u) \right] \\ &\quad + (\beta + 1) \left[ \int_{\Omega} h_2(x)v - \int_{\Omega} G_2(x, v) \right] \\ &> \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\hat{\lambda}} \right) I(u, v) - (\alpha + 1)c_1 \|u\|_{1,p} - (\beta + 1)c_2 \|v\|_{1,p}, \end{aligned}$$

for some  $c_1, c_2 > 0$ . Consequently,  $\Phi$  is bounded from below on  $Y$ . An application of the saddle point theorem, see [6], provides a weak solution of (1)–(2).  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The first author is supported by the Greek Ministry of Education at the University of the Aegean under the project EPEAEK II-PYTHAGORAS with title “Theoretical and numerical study of evolutionary and stationary PDEs arising as mathematical models in physics and industry”.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Arcoya D., Orsina L., *Landesman-Laser conditions and quasilinear elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **28** (1997), 1623–1632.
- [2] Drábek P., Hernández J., *Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for some quasilinear elliptic problems*, Nonlinear Anal. **44** (2001), 189–204.
- [3] De Figueiredo D.G., *The Ekeland Variational Principle with Applications and Detours*, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [4] Kandilakis D.A., Magiropoulos M., Zographopoulos N.B., *The first eigenvalue of  $p$ -Laplacian systems with nonlinear boundary conditions*, Bound. Value Probl. (2005), no. 3, 307–321.
- [5] Pflüger K., *Existence and multiplicity of solutions to a  $p$ -Laplacian equation with nonlinear boundary condition*, Electron. J. Differential Equations **10** (1998), 1–13.
- [6] Rabinowitz P., *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1986.
- [7] Zographopoulos N.,  *$p$ -Laplacian systems on resonance*, Appl. Anal. **83** (2004), no. 5, 509–519.

DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCES, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF CRETE, 73100 CHANIA, GREECE

*E-mail:* dkan@science.tuc.gr

SCIENCE DEPARTMENT, TECHNOLOGICAL AND EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE OF CRETE, 71500 HERACLION, GREECE

*E-mail:* mageir@stef.teiher.gr

(Received December 23, 2005, revised October 25, 2006)