

Alan S. Dow

Property D and pseudonormality in first countable spaces

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 46 (2005), No. 2, 369--372

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119530>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## Property D and pseudonormality in first countable spaces

ALAN DOW

*Abstract.* In answer to a question of M. Reed, E. van Douwen and M. Wage [vDW79] constructed an example of a Moore space which had property D but was not pseudonormal. Their construction used the Martin's Axiom type principle  $P(c)$ . We show that there is no such space in the usual Cohen model of the failure of CH.

*Keywords:* property D, pseudonormal, first countable, Cohen model

*Classification:* Primary 54A35, 54E30

### 1. Introduction

A space is *pseudonormal* if any pair of disjoint closed sets, one of which is countable, can be separated by disjoint open sets. A family of subsets of a space  $X$  is said to be *discrete*, if the sets have pairwise disjoint closures and the family is locally finite. A space has *property D* if every countable closed discrete set can be separated by a discrete family of open sets. It is easy to see that every Hausdorff pseudonormal (hence regular) space will have property D. As mentioned above, van Douwen and Wage [vDW79] showed that it is consistent that there is a Moore space with property D which is not pseudonormal. John Porter and P. Nyikos have shown that there are ZFC examples of spaces which have property D and which are not pseudonormal. They have asked if there can be a first countable such example. We establish in this paper that there is no such example in the Cohen model. The reader is referred to Kunen's book [Kun83] for the necessary background on Cohen forcing.

### 2. First countable spaces with property D in the Cohen model

We will need many well-known facts about reflection and forcing with Cohen reals. Most of them can be found in Kunen's book [kunen] and for other facts we refer the reader to the survey [Dow92]. The proof is a somewhat standard reflection and forcing style argument.

The Cohen forcing poset for adding  $\omega_2$  Cohen reals is denoted as  $\text{Fn}(\omega_2, \omega)$  and consists of all finite functions into  $\omega$  with domain contained in  $\omega_2$ . In general,  $\text{Fn}(I, \omega)$  consists of all finite functions into  $\omega$  with domain contained in  $I$ . The elements are ordered by  $p \leq q$  if  $p \supseteq q$ .

Recall that if  $J \subset I$ , then the poset  $\text{Fn}(I, \omega)$  is forcing isomorphic to the iteration (or product)  $\text{Fn}(J, \omega) * \text{Fn}(I \setminus J, \omega)$ . Therefore if  $G$  is a generic filter for  $\text{Fn}(\omega_2, \omega)$  over the model  $V$ , and  $J \subset \omega_2$ , then the model  $V[G]$  is equal to the model obtained by forcing with  $\text{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus J, \omega)$  over the inner model  $V[G \cap \text{Fn}(J, \omega)]$ .

**Theorem 1.** *It is consistent that every first countable regular space with property  $D$  is pseudonormal.*

PROOF: Let  $V$  be a model of CH and let  $G$  be  $\text{Fn}(\omega_2, \omega)$ -generic over  $V$ . In  $V[G]$  assume that  $X$  is a first countable space and that  $Q$  is a countable closed subset of  $X$ . Let  $F$  denote a closed subset of  $X$  which is disjoint from  $Q$  and we will show that  $Q$  and  $F$  can be separated by disjoint open sets.

For each  $x \in X$ , let  $\{U(x, n) : n \in \omega\}$  denote a countable base of open sets for  $x$  in the topology on  $X$ . For each  $q \in Q$ , we may assume that the intersection of  $\overline{U(q, 0)}$  and  $F$  is empty and that  $\overline{U(q, 1)} \subset U(q, 0)$ . Let  $\{q_n : n \in \omega\}$  be an enumeration of  $Q$ , and for each  $n \in \omega$ , let  $W_n = \bigcup_{k \leq n} U(q_k, 1)$ . If there is an  $n \in \omega$  such that  $Q \subset \overline{W_n}$ , then it follows that  $Q$  and  $F$  can be separated. So we may assume that  $A_n = Q \setminus \overline{W_n}$  is infinite for each  $n \in \omega$ . If  $f : \omega \rightarrow Q$  is any function such that  $f(n) \in A_n$  for each  $n$ , i.e.  $f \in \prod_n A_n$ , then  $D_f = \{f(n) : n \in \omega\}$  is a closed discrete subset of  $X$ . Therefore there is a function  $h_f$  from  $\omega$  to  $\omega \setminus 2$  such that the family

$$\{U(f(n), h_f(n)) : n \in \omega\}$$

is a discrete family. In particular,  $F \cap \overline{\bigcup_n U(f(n), h_f(n))}$  is empty.

There is no loss of generality if we assume that the base set for  $X$  is some set in  $V$  (e.g. an ordinal). In addition, we may assume that the indexing  $\{q_n : n \in \omega\}$  for  $Q$  is an element of  $V$ .

Working in  $V$  now, we may choose  $\text{Fn}(\omega_2, \omega)$ -names for each of  $F$ ,  $\{W_n : n \in \omega\}$  and the collection  $\mathcal{U} = \{\{U(x, n) : n \in \omega\} : x \in X\}$  and let  $p' \in G \subset \text{Fn}(\omega_2, \omega)$  be any condition which forces the relations outlined in the previous paragraphs will hold. Let  $M$  be an elementary submodel of  $H(\theta)$  for a suitably large  $\theta$  so that  $p'$  and each of these names are elements of  $M$ . Since CH holds in  $V$ , we may choose  $M$  so that  $M^\omega \subset M$  and  $|M| = \omega_1$ . With these assumptions it follows that  $M \cap \omega_2$  will be some ordinal  $\lambda$  with cofinality  $\omega_1$ . Let  $G_\lambda$  denote the set  $G \cap \text{Fn}(\lambda, \omega) = G \cap M$ .

It is well known that for each  $x \in X \cap M$  and each integer  $n$ , there is a  $\text{Fn}(\lambda, \omega)$ -name  $\dot{U}'(x, n)$  such that for each  $y \in X \cap M$

$$y \in \text{val}_{G_\lambda}(\dot{U}'(x, n)) \text{ iff } y \in \text{val}_G(\dot{U}(x, n)).$$

Similarly, there are  $\text{Fn}(\lambda, \omega)$ -names,  $\dot{F}'$  and  $\dot{W}'_n$  ( $n \in \omega$ ), so that for each  $y \in X \cap M$ ,

$$y \in \text{val}_{G_\lambda}(\dot{F}') \text{ iff } y \in \text{val}_G(\dot{F})$$

and

$$y \in \text{val}_{G_\lambda} \dot{W}'_n \text{ iff } y \in \text{val}_G(\dot{W}_n).$$

We now work in the model  $V[G_\lambda]$  and consider the forcing  $\text{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus \lambda, \omega)$ . Note that since  $G$  is a coherent family of functions from  $\omega_2$  into  $\omega$ , we will have that  $\bigcup G$  is a function from  $\omega_2$  into  $\omega$ . The function  $g : \omega \mapsto \omega$  which is defined by  $g(n) = \bigcup G(\lambda + n)$  is usually thought of as the “ $\lambda$ -th” Cohen real added by  $G$ . For each  $n$ , the set  $Q \setminus W'_n = A_n$  is a member of  $V[G_\lambda]$  and can be enumerated as  $\{a(n, m) : m \in \omega\}$ . We let  $\dot{f}$  denote the canonical name of the element of  $\prod_n A_n$  which satisfies  $\dot{f}(n) = a(n, g(n))$  for each  $n$ . Recall that there is also a name  $\dot{h}_f$  which satisfies that, in  $V[G]$ ,

$$F \cap \overline{\bigcup_n U(f(n), h_f(n))} = \emptyset.$$

We may assume that for each  $p \in \text{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus \lambda, \omega)$ ,

$$p \Vdash \dot{F} \cap \overline{\bigcup_n \dot{U}(f(n), h_f(n))} = \emptyset.$$

For each  $p \in \text{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus \lambda, \omega)$ , let

$$U_p = \bigcup \{U'(q, m) : (\exists q \leq p, \exists n \in \omega) q \Vdash f(n) = q \text{ and } h_f(n) = m\}.$$

For each  $p \in \text{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus \lambda, \omega)$ , there is some  $n_p = n$  such that  $\text{dom}(p) \cap [\lambda, \lambda + \omega) \subset [\lambda, \lambda + n]$ . It follows then, that for each  $p \in \text{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus \lambda, \omega)$ ,  $Q \subset W_{n_p} \cup U_p$ .

For each  $x \in F'$  and  $p \in \text{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus \lambda, \omega)$ , there are  $p_x \leq p \in \text{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus \lambda, \omega)$  and  $n_x \in \omega$  such that  $p_x \Vdash \dot{U}(x, n_x) \cap \bigcup_n \dot{U}(\dot{f}(n), \dot{h}_f(n))$  is empty since  $1 \Vdash x \notin \bigcup_n \dot{U}(\dot{f}(n), \dot{h}_f(n))$ .

Since  $\text{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus \lambda, \omega)$  is ccc, there is a countable subset  $J$  of  $\omega_2 \setminus \lambda$  such that for each  $p \in \text{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus J, \omega)$ , each  $q \in Q$ , and integers  $n, m$ , if

$$p \Vdash \dot{f}(n) = q \text{ and } \dot{h}_f(n) = m \text{ iff } p \upharpoonright J \Vdash \dot{f}(n) = q \text{ and } \dot{h}_f(n) = m.$$

Let  $\{p_n : n \in \omega\}$  enumerate  $\text{Fn}(J, \omega)$  and for each  $n$ , let  $h(n)$  be a large enough integer such that the closure of  $U(q_n, h(n))$  is contained in  $W_{n_{p_k}} \cup U_{p_k}$  for each  $k \leq n$ . Therefore the function  $h$  is in  $V[G_\lambda]$  and, since  $M^\omega \subset M$ , there is a name,  $\dot{h}$ , for  $h$  such that  $\dot{h}$  is in  $M$ . Furthermore,  $h$  is a member of  $M[G_\lambda]$ . By [Dow92, 4.5],  $M[G_\lambda]$  is an elementary submodel of  $H(\theta)[G]$ . Observe that  $H(\theta)[G] \models F \cap M[G_\lambda] = F'$  and that  $F \in M[G_\lambda]$ .

The proof will finish, in  $V[G]$ , by showing that

$$M[G_\lambda] \models F \cap \overline{\bigcup_n U(q_n, h(n))} = \emptyset$$

and concluding, by elementarity, that

$$H(\theta)[G] \models F \cap \overline{\bigcup_n U(q_n, h(n))} = \emptyset.$$

To show this, consider any  $x \in F'$  and work in  $V[G_\lambda]$ . By our assumptions we know there is some  $p_x \in \text{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus \lambda, \omega)$  such that  $x$  is not in the closure of  $U_{p_x}$ . Since the definition of  $U_{p_x}$  only depends on  $\dot{h}_f$ , it follows that we may assume that  $p_x \in \text{Fn}(J, \omega)$ . Therefore there is some  $k$  such that  $p_x = p_k$ . Since  $U(q_n, h(n)) \subset U_{p_x}$  for all  $n > k$ , it follows that  $x$  is not in the closure of  $\bigcup\{U'(q_n, h(n)) : n > k\}$ . In addition,  $x$  is not in the closure of  $U'(q_m, h(m))$  for  $m \leq k$  since  $h(m) > 0$ . Fix any  $m$  such that  $U'(x, m) \cap \bigcup\{U'(q_n, h(n)) : n \in \omega\}$  is empty and recall that it follows then that  $M[G_\lambda] \models U(x, m) \cap \bigcup\{U(q_n, h(n)) : n \in \omega\}$  is empty. Since this holds for each  $x \in F \cap M$ , we have proven that  $M[G_\lambda] \models F \cap \overline{\bigcup\{U(q_n, h(n)) : n \in \omega\}}$  is empty and finished the proof.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [Dow92] Dow A., *Set Theory in Topology*, in Recent progress in general topology (Prague, 1991); North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992, pp. 167–197.
- [Kun83] Kunen K., *Set Theory*, volume 102 of *Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics*; North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1983; An introduction to independence proofs, Reprint of the 1980 original.
- [vDW79] van Douwen E.K., Wage M.L., *Small subsets of first countable spaces*, *Fund. Math.* **103** (1979), no. 2, 103–110.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHARLOTTE,  
376 FRETWELL BLDG., 9201 UNIVERSITY CITY BLVD., CHARLOTTE, NC 28223-0001, USA

(Received October 18, 2004)