

Włodzimierz M. Mikulski

Non-existence of some canonical constructions on connections

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 44 (2003), No. 4, 691--695

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119423>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2003

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Non-existence of some canonical constructions on connections

W.M. MIKULSKI

Abstract. For a vector bundle functor $H : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\mathcal{B}$ with the point property we prove that H is product preserving if and only if for any m and n there is an $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ -natural operator D transforming connections Γ on (m, n) -dimensional fibered manifolds $p : Y \rightarrow M$ into connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $Hp : HY \rightarrow HM$. For a bundle functor $E : \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}$ with some weak conditions we prove non-existence of $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ -natural operators D transforming connections Γ on (m, n) -dimensional fibered manifolds $Y \rightarrow M$ into connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $EY \rightarrow M$.

Keywords: (general) connection, natural operator

Classification: 58A20

0. Introduction

We recall that a (general) connection on a fibered manifold $p : Y \rightarrow M$ is a smooth section $\Gamma : Y \rightarrow J^1Y$ of the first jet prolongation of Y , which can be also interpreted as the lifting map (denoted by the same symbol)

$$\Gamma : Y \times_M TM \rightarrow TY .$$

Let H be a bundle functor on the category of smooth manifolds and all smooth maps and let $\Gamma : Y \rightarrow J^1Y$ be a connection on the fibered manifold $p : Y \rightarrow M$. It is well known that if H preserves products, then Γ induces a connection $\mathcal{H}\Gamma$ on $Hp : HY \rightarrow HM$. More precisely, there is the canonical flow equivalence $THM = HTM$ and the lifting map of $\mathcal{H}\Gamma$ is of the form

$$\mathcal{H}\Gamma : HY \times_{HM} THM \rightarrow THY .$$

We recall that the connection $\mathcal{H}\Gamma$ has been constructed by I. Kolář [2] in the case of higher order velocities functors and then by J. Slovák [6] in the general case.

In the present paper we study the non-existence of natural operators D lifting connections Γ on $p : Y \rightarrow M$ into connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $Hp : HY \rightarrow HM$ for non-product preserving vector bundle functors $H : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\mathcal{B}$ with the point property $H(pt) = pt$ (pt is a one-point manifold). If H is without the point property, then such D can exist, see [1].

In Section 1, we prove that a vector bundle functor $H : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{VB}$ with the point property is product preserving if and only if for any m and n there is an $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -natural operator D transforming connections Γ on (m, n) -dimensional fibered manifolds $p : Y \rightarrow M$ into connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $Hp : HY \rightarrow HM$.

In particular, if $H = T^{(2)} = (J^2(\cdot, \mathbb{R})_0)^*$ is the second order vector tangent bundle functor, we get negative answer to the question (formulated by I. Kolář) about the existence of natural operators D transforming connections Γ on fibered manifolds $p : Y \rightarrow M$ into connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $T^{(2)}p : T^{(2)}Y \rightarrow T^{(2)}M$.

In next sections, for a bundle functor $E : \mathcal{FM}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ with some weak condition we prove the non-existence of $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -natural operators D transforming connections Γ on (m, n) -dimensional fibered manifolds $Y \rightarrow M$ into connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $EY \rightarrow M$. This is a generalization of the result of [3, Proposition 45.9].

Unless otherwise specified, we use the terminology and notation from the book [3]. All manifolds and maps are assumed to be of class \mathcal{C}^∞ .

1. The case $HY \rightarrow HM$

Let $H : \mathcal{M}f \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{VB}$ be a vector bundle functor with the point property. Let m, n be natural numbers.

Define a natural bundle $F : \mathcal{M}f_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ by

$$FM = H(M \times \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } F\varphi = H(\varphi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$$

for an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -object M and an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -morphism φ .

If $p_M : M \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M$ is the obvious projection, then p_M is a surjective submersion, so is $H(p_M)$ ([3]) and hence $GM = \ker H(p_M)$ is a regular submanifold. Define a natural bundle $G : \mathcal{M}f_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ by

$$GM = \ker H(p_M) \text{ and } G\varphi = \text{the restriction of } H(\varphi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$$

for an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -object M and an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -morphism φ .

We have an $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural equivalence of natural bundles $GM \times_M HM \cong FM$ given by

$$\Phi(\omega, \tilde{\omega}) = \omega + H(i_M^y)(\tilde{\omega}),$$

where $\omega \in H_{(x,y)}(M \times \mathbb{R}^n) \cap G_xM$, $\tilde{\omega} \in H_xM$, $(x, y) \in M \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $+$ is the sum in the vector space $H_{(x,y)}(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $i_M^y = (\text{id}_M, y) : M \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}^n$. The inverse isomorphism is given by $\Phi^{-1}(\omega) = (\omega - H(i_M^y \circ p_M)(\omega), H(p_M)(\omega))$, where $\omega \in H_{(x,y)}(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $(x, y) \in M \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proposition 1. *The natural bundle G is of order 0 if and only if $H(\mathbb{R}^{m+n}) = H(\mathbb{R}^m) \times H(\mathbb{R}^n)$ modulo a diffeomorphism, i.e. iff H preserves product in dimension m and n .*

PROOF: If the equality holds, then $G_0\mathbb{R}^m = H(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and then G is of order 0. If G is of order 0, then $G_0(\mathbb{R}^m) = H(t \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n})(G_0(\mathbb{R}^m))$ for all $t \neq 0$. Putting

$t \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $G_0(\mathbb{R}^m) = H(\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^n) = H(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $\dim(H_{(0,0)}(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n)) = \dim(H_0(\mathbb{R}^m)) + \dim(H_0(\mathbb{R}^n))$, and Proposition 38.14 in [3] completes the proof. \square

Proposition 2. *If G is not of order 0, then there is no $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -natural operator D transforming connections Γ on (m, n) -dimensional fibered manifolds $p : Y \rightarrow M$ into connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $Hp : HY \rightarrow HM$.*

PROOF: Suppose that we have an $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -natural operator D lifting connections Γ on $p : Y \rightarrow M$ into connections $D(\Gamma) : HY \times_{HM} THM \rightarrow THY$ on $Hp : HY \rightarrow HM$. Then we can define a natural operator $A : T_{\mathcal{M}f_m} \rightsquigarrow TG$ by

$$A(X)_\omega = T \text{pr}_1(D(\Gamma_M)(\omega, \mathcal{H}X_{0_x})),$$

where $\omega \in G_xM$, $x \in M$, $0_x = 0 \in H_xM$, $\mathcal{H}X$ is the flow lifting of X to HM , $\text{pr}_1 : FM \cong GM \times_M HM \rightarrow GM$ is the obvious projection and Γ_M is the trivial connection on the trivial bundle $p_M : M \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M$.

Since $\mathcal{H}X_{0_x}$ depends only on X_x , A is of order 0.

Since $D(\Gamma_M)$ is a lifting transformation, $A(X)$ covers X . Hence

$$A(X) = \mathcal{G}X + \mathcal{V}(X),$$

where $\mathcal{G}X$ is the flow lifting of X to GM and $\mathcal{V}(X)$ is a vertical type operator $T_{\mathcal{M}f_m} \rightsquigarrow TG$. Clearly, \mathcal{G} is of order $\text{ord}(G) \geq 1$ and not of order $\text{ord}(G) - 1$ and \mathcal{V} is of order $\text{ord}(G) - 1$, see Lemma 1 in [5] (or Appendix of the present paper). So, A is not of order 0, which is a contradiction. \square

Thus we have proved the following general fact.

Theorem 1. *A vector bundle functor $H : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{VB}$ with the point property is product preserving if and only if for any m and n there is an $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -natural operator D transforming connections Γ on (m, n) -dimensional fibered manifolds $p : Y \rightarrow M$ into connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $Hp : HY \rightarrow HM$.*

Any product-preserving vector bundle functor $H : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{VB}$ is equivalent to some vector bundle functor $T^{[s]} : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{VB}$, $T^{[s]}M = TM \otimes \mathbb{R}^s$, $T^{[s]}f = Tf \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^s}$, see [3]. So, we have the following classification theorem.

Theorem 1'. *Up to natural equivalence the $T^{[s]}$ for $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are all vector bundle functors $H : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{VB}$ with the point property such that for any m and n there is an $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -natural operator D transforming connections Γ on (m, n) -dimensional fibered manifolds $p : Y \rightarrow M$ into connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $Hp : HY \rightarrow HM$.*

Open problem: Our conjecture is that a bundle functor $H : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ with the point property is product preserving if and only if for any m and n there is an $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -natural operator D transforming connections Γ on (m, n) -dimensional fibered manifolds $p : Y \rightarrow M$ into connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $Hp : HY \rightarrow HM$.

2. The case $EY \rightarrow M$

Theorem 2. *Let $E : \mathcal{FM}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ be a bundle functor such that the corresponding natural bundle $\tilde{E} : \mathcal{M}f_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$, $\tilde{E}M = E(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\tilde{E}\varphi = E(\varphi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ is not of order 0. Then there is no $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -natural operator D transforming connections Γ on (m, n) -dimensional fibered manifolds $Y \rightarrow M$ into connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $EY \rightarrow M$.*

PROOF: Suppose we have such an $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -natural operator $D(\Gamma)$. Then we can define a natural operator $A : T_{\mathcal{M}f_m} \rightsquigarrow T\tilde{E}$ by

$$A(X)_\omega = D(\Gamma_M)(\omega, X_x),$$

where $\omega \in \tilde{E}_xM$, $x \in M$, X is a vector field on M and Γ_M is the trivial connection on the trivial bundle $p_M : M \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M$.

Then A is of order 0 and $A(X)$ covers X .

This is a contradiction by the same arguments as at the end of the proof of Proposition 2. □

For $E = J^1$ we reobtain Proposition 45.9 from [3] without the order assumption.

Remark 2. The existence of a connection $\mathcal{V}^F\Gamma$ on a vertical bundle $V^FY \rightarrow M$ canonically depending on a connection Γ on $Y \rightarrow M$ ([4]) shows that the assumption of Theorem 2 is essential.

3. The case $EY \rightarrow Y$

Theorem 3. *Let $E : \mathcal{FM}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ be a bundle functor such that the corresponding natural bundle $\tilde{E} : \mathcal{M}f_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$, $\tilde{E}M = E(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\tilde{E}\varphi = E(\varphi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ is not of order 0. Then there is no $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -natural operator D transforming connections Γ on (m, n) -dimensional fibered manifolds $Y \rightarrow M$ into connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $EY \rightarrow Y$.*

PROOF: Suppose that such $D(\Gamma)$ exists. Composing $D(\Gamma)$ with Γ we obtain a connection on $EY \rightarrow M$ canonically dependent on Γ . This contradicts Theorem 2. □

We remark that in [5] we proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4 ([5]). *Let $E : \mathcal{FM}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ be a bundle functor such that the corresponding natural bundle $\bar{E} : \mathcal{M}f_n \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$, $\bar{E}N = E(\mathbb{R}^m \times N)$, $\bar{E}\varphi = E(\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \times \varphi)$ is not of order 0. Then there is no $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -natural operator D transforming connections Γ on (m, n) -dimensional fibered manifolds $Y \rightarrow M$ into connections $D(\Gamma)$ on $EY \rightarrow Y$.*

4. Appendix

Because Lemma 1 from [5] is essential in the proof of Proposition 2, we cite this lemma with the proof here for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 1 ([5]). *Let $G : \mathcal{M}f_n \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ be a natural bundle of order $r \geq 1$. Then any natural operator $\mathcal{V} : T\mathcal{M}f_n \rightsquigarrow TG$ of vertical type is of order $r - 1$.*

PROOF: ([5]) Let $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{X}(N)$ be two vector fields with $j_x^{r-1}(X_1) = j_x^{r-1}(X_2)$, $x \in N$. Let $w \in G_x N$. Because of the regularity of \mathcal{V} we can assume that $X_1(x) \neq 0$. There is an x -preserving local diffeomorphism $\varphi : N \rightarrow N$ such that $j_x^r \varphi = \text{id}$ and $\varphi_* X_1 = X_2$ near x , see [3]. Then $\mathcal{V}(X_2)(w) = \mathcal{V}(\varphi_* X_1)(w) = TG_x(\varphi) \circ \mathcal{V}(X_1) \circ G_x(\varphi^{-1})(w) = \mathcal{V}(X_1)(w)$ since $G_x(\varphi) = \text{id}$ as G is of order r and $j_x^r \varphi = \text{id}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Doupovec M., Mikulski W.M., *Horizontal extension of connections into (2)-connections*, to appear.
- [2] Kolář I., *On generalized connections*, Beiträge Algebra Geom. **11** (1981), 29–34.
- [3] Kolář I., Michor P.W., Slovák J., *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [4] Kolář I., Mikulski W.M., *Natural lifting of connections to vertical bundles*, Suppl. Rend. Circolo Math. Palermo II **63** (2000), 97–102.
- [5] Mikulski W.M., *Non-existence of a connection on $FY \rightarrow Y$ canonically dependent on a connection on $Y \rightarrow M$* , Arch. Math. Brno, to appear.
- [6] Slovák J., *Prolongations of connections and sprays with respect to Weil functors*, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Serie II **14** (1987), 143–155.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, REYMONTA 4, KRAKÓW, POLAND
E-mail: mikulski@im.uj.edu.pl

(Received March 3, 2003, revised June 18, 2003)