

Marian Nowak; Aleksandra Rzepka

Locally solid topologies on spaces of vector-valued continuous functions

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 43 (2002), No. 3, 473--483

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119336>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2002

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## Locally solid topologies on spaces of vector-valued continuous functions

MARIAN NOWAK, ALEKSANDRA RZEPKA

*Abstract.* Let  $X$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space and  $E$  a real normed space. We examine the general properties of locally solid topologies on the space  $C_b(X, E)$  of all  $E$ -valued continuous and bounded functions from  $X$  into  $E$ . The mutual relationship between locally solid topologies on  $C_b(X, E)$  and  $C_b(X)$  ( $= C_b(X, \mathbb{R})$ ) is considered. In particular, the mutual relationship between strict topologies on  $C_b(X)$  and  $C_b(X, E)$  is established. It is shown that the strict topology  $\beta_\sigma(X, E)$  (respectively  $\beta_\tau(X, E)$ ) is the finest  $\sigma$ -Dini topology (respectively Dini topology) on  $C_b(X, E)$ . A characterization of  $\sigma$ -Dini and Dini topologies on  $C_b(X, E)$  in terms of their topological duals is given.

*Keywords:* vector-valued continuous functions, strict topologies, locally solid topologies, Dini topologies

*Classification:* 47A70, 46E05, 46E10

### 0. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space,  $\beta X$  its Stone-Ćech compactification and let  $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$  be a real normed space. Let  $S_E$  stand for the closed unit sphere in  $E$ . Let  $C_b(X, E)$  be the space of all bounded continuous functions  $f$  from  $X$  into  $E$ . We will write  $C_b(X)$  instead of  $C_b(X, \mathbb{R})$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the field of all real numbers. For a function  $u \in C_b(X)$ ,  $\bar{u}$  denotes its unique continuous extension to  $\beta X$ . For a function  $f \in C_b(X, E)$  we will write  $\|f\|(x) = \|f(x)\|_E$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $\|f\| \in C_b(X)$  and the space  $C_b(X, E)$  can be equipped with a norm  $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} \|f\|(x) = \|\|f\|\|_\infty$ , where  $\|u\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} |u(x)|$  for  $u \in C_b(X)$ .

A subset  $H$  of  $C_b(X, E)$  is said to be *solid* whenever  $\|f_1\| \leq \|f_2\|$  (i.e.  $\|f_1(x)\|_E \leq \|f_2(x)\|_E$  for all  $x \in X$ ) and  $f_1 \in C_b(X, E)$ ,  $f_2 \in H$  implies  $f_1 \in H$ . A linear topology  $\tau$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  is said to be *locally solid* if it has a local base at 0 consisting of solid sets (see [Ku], [KuO]). The so-called strict topologies on  $C_b(X, E)$  and some subspaces of  $C_b(X, E)$  have been considered by many authors (see [A], [F], [K<sub>1</sub>], [K<sub>2</sub>], [K<sub>3</sub>], [Ku], [KuO], [KuV<sub>1</sub>], [KuV<sub>2</sub>]). It is well known that the strict topologies  $\beta_t(X, E)$ ,  $\beta_\tau(X, E)$ ,  $\beta_\sigma(X, E)$ ,  $\beta_\infty(X, E)$ ,  $\beta_g(X, E)$  and  $\beta_p(X, E)$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  are locally solid (see [Ku, Theorem 8.1], [KuO, Theorem 6], [KuV<sub>1</sub>, Theorem 5]).

In Section 1 we examine some general properties of solid sets in  $C_b(X, E)$  and next, in Section 2, general properties of locally solid topologies on  $C_b(X, E)$ . It is shown that a locally convex topology  $\tau$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  is locally solid iff  $\tau$  is generated by some family of solid seminorms defined on  $C_b(X, E)$ . Recall here that a seminorm  $\rho$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  is called solid whenever  $\rho(f_1) \leq \rho(f_2)$  if  $f_1, f_2 \in C_b(X, E)$  and  $\|f_1\| \leq \|f_2\|$ . In Section 3 we introduce a general method which establishes a mutual relationship between locally solid topologies on  $C_b(X)$  and  $C_b(X, E)$ . In particular, in Section 4, the mutual relationship between strict topologies defined on  $C_b(X)$  and  $C_b(X, E)$  is established. In Section 5 we distinguish some important classes of locally convex-solid topologies on  $C_b(X, E)$ . Namely, a locally convex-solid topology  $\tau$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  is said to be a  $\sigma$ -Dini topology whenever for a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $C_b(X, E)$ ,  $\|f_n\| \downarrow 0$  (i.e.  $\|f_n(x)\|_E \downarrow 0$  for each  $x \in X$ ) implies  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  for  $\tau$ . Replacing sequences by nets in  $C_b(X, E)$  we obtain a Dini topology on  $C_b(X, E)$ . It is shown that the strict topology  $\beta_\sigma(X, E)$  (resp.  $\beta_\tau(X, E)$ ) is the finest  $\sigma$ -Dini topology (resp. Dini topology) on  $C_b(X, E)$ . We obtain a characterization of both the  $\sigma$ -Dini and the Dini-topologies on  $C_b(X, E)$  in terms of their topological duals.

### 1. The solid structure of spaces of vector-valued continuous functions

In this section we examine the solid structure of the space  $C_b(X, E)$ .

**Definition 1.1** (see [Ku]). A subset  $H$  of  $C_b(X, E)$  is said to be *solid* whenever  $\|f_1\| \leq \|f_2\|$  and  $f_1 \in C_b(X, E)$ ,  $f_2 \in H$  implies  $f_1 \in H$ .

The following lemma will be of a key importance for an examination of the solid structure of  $C_b(X, E)$ .

**Lemma 1.1** [The solid decomposition property]. Assume that for  $f, g_1, \dots, g_n \in C_b(X, E)$ ,  $\|f\| \leq \|g_1 + \dots + g_n\|$ . Then there exist  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C_b(X, E)$  satisfying:  $\|f_i\| \leq \|g_i\|$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) and  $f = f_1 + \dots + f_n$ .

PROOF: By using induction it is enough to establish the result for  $n = 2$ . Thus assume first that  $\|f(x)\|_E \leq \|g_1(x) + g_2(x)\|_E$  for all  $x \in X$ , where  $f, g_1, g_2, \in C_b(X, E)$ .

Let us put (for  $i = 1, 2$ )

$$f_i(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\|g_i\|(x)}{\|g_1\|(x) + \|g_2\|(x)} f(x) & \text{if } \|g_1\|(x) + \|g_2\|(x) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \|g_1\|(x) + \|g_2\|(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is seen that  $f_i \in C_b(X, E)$  and  $f_1 + f_2 = f$ . To show that  $\|f_i\| \leq \|g_i\|$  for

$i = 1, 2$ , assume first that  $\|g_1\|(x_0) + \|g_2\|(x_0) > 0$  for  $x_0 \in X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_i\|(x_0) &= \frac{\|g_i\|(x_0)}{\|g_1\|(x_0) + \|g_2\|(x_0)} \|f\|(x_0) \\ &\leq \frac{\|g_i\|(x_0)}{\|g_1\|(x_0) + \|g_2\|(x_0)} (\|g_1\|(x_0) + \|g_2\|(x_0)) = \|g_i\|(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Next, let  $\|g_1\|(x_0) + \|g_2\|(x_0) = 0$  for some  $x_0 \in X$ . Then  $\|f_i\|(x_0) = 0 \leq \|g_i\|(x_0)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.2.** *The convex hull (conv  $H$ ) of a solid subset  $H$  of  $C_b(X, E)$  is solid.*

PROOF: Let  $H$  be a solid subset of  $C_b(X, E)$ , and let  $\|f\| \leq \|g\|$ , where  $f \in C_b(X, E)$  and  $g \in \text{conv } H$ . Then there exist  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in H$  and numbers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \geq 0$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$  such that  $g = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g_i$ . Hence by Lemma 1.1 there exist  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C_b(X, E)$ , such that  $\|f_i\| \leq \alpha_i \|g_i\|$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i$ . Putting  $h_i = \alpha_i^{-1} f_i$  we get  $\|h_i\| \leq \|g_i\|$ , so  $h_i \in H$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). But then  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i h_i \in \text{conv } H$ , so  $\text{conv } H$  is solid, as desired.  $\square$

**2. Locally solid topologies on spaces of vector-valued continuous functions**

We start this section with the definition of locally solid topologies on  $C_b(X, E)$ .

**Definition 2.1** (see [Ku]). A linear topology  $\tau$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  is said to be *locally solid* if it has a local base at zero consisting of solid sets.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\tau$  be a locally solid topology on  $C_b(X, E)$ . Then the  $\tau$ -closure  $\overline{H}$  of a solid subset  $H$  of  $C_b(X, E)$  is solid.*

PROOF: Let  $\mathcal{B}_\tau$  be a local base at 0 for  $\tau$  consisting of solid sets. Then  $\overline{H} = \bigcap \{H + V : V \in \mathcal{B}_\tau\}$ . Assume that  $\|f\| \leq \|g\|$ , where  $f \in C_b(X, E)$ ,  $g \in \overline{H}$ , and let  $V_0 \in \mathcal{B}_\tau$ . Then  $g = g_1 + g_2$  where  $g_1 \in H$  and  $g_2 \in V_0$ . Since  $\|f\| \leq \|g\|$ , by Lemma 1.1 there exist  $f_1, f_2 \in C_b(X, E)$  such that  $f = f_1 + f_2$  and  $\|f_i\| \leq \|g_i\|$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Hence  $f_1 \in H$  and  $f_2 \in V_0$ , because both sets  $H$  and  $V_0$  are solid. Thus  $f \in H + V$  for every  $V \in \mathcal{B}_\tau$ , so  $f \in \overline{H}$ . This means that  $\overline{H}$  is solid, as desired.  $\square$

**Definition 2.2.** A linear topology  $\tau$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  that is at the same time locally solid and locally convex will be called a *locally convex-solid topology* on  $C_b(X, E)$ .

In view of Theorems 1.2 and 2.1 we see that for a locally convex-solid topology on  $C_b(X, E)$  the collection of all  $\tau$ -closed, convex and solid  $\tau$ -neighborhoods of zero forms a local base at 0 for  $\tau$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A seminorm  $\rho$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  is said to be *solid* whenever  $\rho(f_1) \leq \rho(f_2)$  if  $f_1, f_2 \in C_b(X, E)$  and  $\|f_1\| \leq \|f_2\|$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** For a locally convex topology  $\tau$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $\tau$  is generated by some family of solid seminorms;
- (ii)  $\tau$  is a locally convex-solid topology.

PROOF: (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). It is obvious.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $\mathcal{B}_\tau = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  be a basis of zero for  $\tau$  consisting of  $\tau$ -closed, solid and convex sets. Let  $\rho_\alpha$  stand for the Minkowski functional generated by  $V_\alpha$ , that is

$$\rho_\alpha(f) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : f \in \lambda V_\alpha\} \text{ for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Then  $\rho_\alpha$  is a solid  $\tau$ -continuous seminorm and  $\{f \in C_b(X, E) : \rho_\alpha(f) < 1\} \subset V_\alpha = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : \rho_\alpha(f) \leq 1\}$ . This means that the family  $\{\rho_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  generates the topology  $\tau$ . □

### 3. The relationship between topological structures of $C_b(X)$ and $C_b(X, E)$

In this section, using Theorem 2.2 we introduce a general method which establishes a mutual relationship between locally solid topologies on  $C_b(X)$  and  $C_b(X, E)$ .

Recall that the algebraic tensor product  $C_b(X) \otimes E$  is the subspace of  $C_b(X, E)$  spanned by the functions of the form  $u \otimes e$ ,  $(u \otimes e)(x) = u(x)e$ , where  $u \in C_b(X)$  and  $e \in E$ .

Given a Riesz seminorm  $p$  on  $C_b(X)$  let us set

$$p^\vee(f) := p(\|f\|) \text{ for all } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

It is easy to verify that  $p^\vee$  is a solid seminorm on  $C_b(X, E)$ .

From now on let  $e_0 \in S_E$  be fixed. Given a solid seminorm  $\rho$  on  $C_b(X, E)$ , let us put

$$\rho^\wedge(u) := \rho(u \otimes e_0) \text{ for all } u \in C_b(X).$$

It is seen that  $\rho^\wedge$  is well defined because  $\rho(u \otimes e_0)$  does not depend on  $e_0 \in S_E$ , due to solidness of  $\rho$ . It is easy to check that  $\rho^\wedge$  is a Riesz seminorm on  $C_b(X)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** (i) If  $\rho$  is a solid seminorm on  $C_b(X, E)$ , then  $(\rho^\wedge)^\vee(f) = \rho(f)$  for all  $f \in C_b(X, E)$ .

(ii) If  $p$  is a Riesz seminorm on  $C_b(X)$ , then  $(p^\vee)^\wedge(u) = p(u)$  for  $u \in C_b(X)$ .

PROOF: (i) For  $f \in C_b(X, E)$  we have  $(\rho^\wedge)^\vee(f) = \rho^\wedge(\|f\|) = \rho(\|f\| \otimes e_0)$ , where  $\|(\|f\| \otimes e_0)(x)\|_E = \|\|f\|(x)e_0\|_E = \|f\|(x) = \|f(x)\|_E$  for all  $x \in X$ . In view of the solidness of  $\rho$  we get  $(\rho^\wedge)^\vee(f) = \rho(f)$ .

(ii) For  $u \in C_b(X)$  we have  $(p^\vee)^\wedge(u) = p^\vee(u \otimes e_0) = p(\|u \otimes e_0\|)$ , where  $\|u \otimes e_0\|(x) = \|(u \otimes e_0)(x)\|_E = \|u(x)e_0\|_E = |u(x)| = |u|(x)$  for  $x \in X$ . Since  $p$  is a Riesz seminorm, we get  $(p^\vee)^\wedge(u) = p(|u|) = p(u)$ .  $\square$

Let  $\tau$  be a locally convex-solid topology on  $C_b(X, E)$ . Then in view of Theorem 2.2  $\tau$  is generated by some family  $\{\rho_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  of solid seminorms on  $C_b(X, E)$ . By  $\tau^\wedge$  we will denote the locally convex-solid topology on  $C_b(X)$  generated by the family  $\{\rho_\alpha^\wedge : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  of Riesz seminorms on  $C_b(X)$ . One can check that  $\tau^\wedge$  does not depend on the choice of a family  $\{\rho_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  of solid seminorms on  $C_b(X, E)$  generating  $\tau$ .

Next, let  $\xi$  be a locally convex-solid topology on  $C_b(X)$ . Then  $\xi$  is generated by some family  $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  of Riesz seminorms on  $C_b(X)$  (see [AB, Theorem 6.3]). By  $\xi^\vee$  we will denote the locally convex-solid topology on  $C_b(X, E)$  generated by the family  $\{p_\alpha^\vee : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  of solid seminorms on  $C_b(X, E)$ . One can verify that  $\xi^\vee$  does not depend on the choice of a family  $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  of Riesz seminorms on  $C_b(X)$  that generates  $\xi$ .

In view of Lemma 3.1 we can easily get:

**Theorem 3.2.** (i) For a locally convex-solid topology  $\tau$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  we have:  $(\tau^\wedge)^\vee = \tau$ .

(ii) For a locally convex-solid topology  $\xi$  on  $C_b(X)$  we have:  $(\xi^\vee)^\wedge = \xi$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\xi$  be a locally convex-solid topology on  $C_b(X)$  and let  $\tau$  be a locally convex-solid topology on  $C_b(X, E)$ .

(i) For a net  $(f_\sigma)$  in  $C_b(X, E)$  we have:

$$f_\sigma \xrightarrow{\tau} 0 \text{ if and only if } \|f_\sigma\| \xrightarrow{\tau^\wedge} 0.$$

(ii) For a net  $(u_\sigma)$  in  $C_b(X)$  we have:

$$u_\sigma \xrightarrow{\xi} 0 \text{ if and only if } u_\sigma \otimes e_0 \xrightarrow{\xi^\vee} 0.$$

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be locally convex-solid topologies on  $C_b(X, E)$  and let  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  be locally convex-solid topologies on  $C_b(X)$ . Then

(i) if  $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2$ , then  $\tau_1^\wedge \subset \tau_2^\wedge$ ;

(ii) if  $\xi_1 \subset \xi_2$ , then  $\xi_1^\vee \subset \xi_2^\vee$ .

PROOF: (i) Let  $\{\rho_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  and  $\{\rho_\beta : \beta \in \mathcal{B}\}$  be generating families of solid seminorms for  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  respectively. Since  $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2$ , for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  there exist  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\rho_\alpha(f) \leq a \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \rho_{\beta_i}(f)$  for some  $a > 0$  and all  $f \in C_b(X, E)$ . It easily follows that  $\rho_\alpha^\wedge(u) \leq a \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \rho_{\beta_i}^\wedge(u)$  for all  $u \in C_b(X)$ , and this means that  $\tau_1^\wedge \subset \tau_2^\wedge$ .

(ii) Let  $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  and  $\{p_\beta : \beta \in \mathcal{B}\}$  be generating families of Riesz seminorms for  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  respectively. Since  $\xi_1 \subset \xi_2$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  there exist  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $p_\alpha(u) \leq a \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_{\beta_i}(u)$  for some  $a > 0$  and all

$u \in C_b(X)$ . It follows that  $p_\alpha^\wedge(f) \leq a \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_{\beta_i}^\wedge(f)$  for all  $f \in C_b(X, E)$ , and this means that  $\xi_1^\vee \subset \xi_2^\vee$ . □

#### 4. Strict topologies on spaces of continuous functions

In this section, by making use of the results of Section 3, we establish a mutual relationship between strict topologies on  $C_b(X)$  and  $C_b(X, E)$  which allows us to examine in a unified manner strict topologies on  $C_b(X, E)$  by means of strict topologies on  $C_b(X)$ .

First we recall some definitions (see [S], [W], [Ku], [KuO], [KuV<sub>1</sub>]). For a compact subset  $Q$  of  $\beta X \setminus X$  let  $C_Q(X) = \{v \in C_b(X) : \bar{v}|_Q \equiv 0\}$ . For each  $v \in C_Q(X)$  let

$$p_v(u) = \sup_{x \in X} |v(x)u(x)| \quad \text{for } u \in C_b(X)$$

and

$$\rho_v(f) = \sup_{x \in X} |v(x)| \|f\|(x) \quad \text{for } f \in C_b(X, E).$$

Then  $p_v$  is a Riesz seminorm on  $C_b(X)$  and  $\rho_v$  is a solid seminorm on  $C_b(X, E)$ . For each  $u \in C_b(X)$  and a fixed  $e_0 \in S_E$  we have:

$$(4.1) \quad \rho_v^\wedge(u) = \rho_v(u \otimes e_0) = \sup_{x \in X} |v(x)| |u(x)| = p_v(u)$$

and moreover, for each  $f \in C_b(X, E)$  we get:

$$(4.2) \quad p_v(\|f\|) = \sup_{x \in X} |v(x)| \|f\|(x) = \rho_v(f).$$

Let  $\beta_Q(X)$  be the locally convex-solid topology on  $C_b(X)$  defined by  $\{p_v : v \in C_Q(X)\}$  and let  $\beta_Q(X, E)$  be the locally convex-solid topology on  $C_b(X, E)$  defined by  $\{\rho_v : v \in C_Q(X)\}$ .

Thus  $\beta_Q(X) = \beta_Q(X, \mathbb{R})$  and by (4.1) and (4.2) we get:

$$(4.3) \quad \beta_Q(X)^\vee = \beta_Q(X, E)$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \beta_Q(X, E)^\wedge = \beta_Q(X).$$

Now let  $\mathcal{C}$  be some family of compact subsets of  $\beta X \setminus X$ . The *strict topology*  $\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E)$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  determined by  $\mathcal{C}$  is the greatest lower bound (in the class of locally convex topologies) of the topologies  $\beta_Q(X, E)$ , as  $Q$  runs over  $\mathcal{C}$ . Thus  $\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E)$  is an inductive limit topology, and we denote it by  $\text{LIN} \{\beta_Q(X, E) : Q \in \mathcal{C}\}$ .

We will shortly write  $\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$  instead of  $\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \mathbb{R})$ . It is well known that the strict topology  $\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$  on  $C_b(X)$  is locally solid (see [W, Theorem 11.6]). Observe that the strict topology  $\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E)$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  has a local base at 0 consisting of all sets of the form:

$$(+) \quad \text{abs conv} \left( \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{C}} W_{v_Q} : \text{for some } v_Q \in C_Q(X) \right)$$

where for  $v_Q \in C_Q(X)$ ,  $W_{v_Q} = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : \rho_{v_Q}(f) \leq 1\}$ .

By making use of Lemma 1.1 it is easy to check that the sets of the form (+) are solid. Thus we get:

**Theorem 4.1.** *The strict topologies  $\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E)$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  are locally solid.*

**Remark.** The property of local solidness of strict topologies  $\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E)$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  for some important classes  $\mathcal{C}_\tau, \mathcal{C}_\sigma$  (see definition below) was obtained in a different way in [Ku].

The following theorem establishes a mutual relationship between strict topologies  $\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E)$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  and  $\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$  on  $C_b(X)$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *We have:*

$$\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X)^\vee = \beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E)^\wedge = \beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X).$$

PROOF: By the definition of strict topologies and (4.3) and (4.4) we get

$$\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \subset \beta_Q(X) = \beta_Q(X, E)^\wedge \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E) \subset \beta_Q(X, E) = \beta_Q(X)^\vee.$$

Hence by Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 for each  $Q \in \mathcal{C}$  we have

$$\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X)^\vee \subset (\beta_Q(X, E)^\wedge)^\vee = \beta_Q(X, E), \quad \text{so} \quad \beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X)^\vee \subset \beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E)$$

and

$$\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E)^\wedge \subset (\beta_Q(X)^\vee)^\wedge = \beta_Q(X), \quad \text{so} \quad \beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E)^\wedge \subset \beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X).$$

Thus

$$\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E) = (\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E)^\wedge)^\vee \subset \beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X)^\vee \subset \beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E), \quad \text{so} \quad \beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E) = \beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X)^\vee$$

and

$$\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X) = (\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X)^\vee)^\wedge \subset \beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E)^\wedge \subset \beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X), \quad \text{so} \quad \beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X) = \beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E)^\wedge.$$

Thus the proof is complete. □

As an application of Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 3.3 we get:



**Corollary 4.3.** (i) For a net  $(f_\sigma)$  in  $C_b(X, E)$  we have:  
 $f_\sigma \rightarrow 0$  for  $\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E)$  if and only if  $\|f_\sigma\| \rightarrow 0$  for  $\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ .

(ii) For a net  $(u_\sigma)$  in  $C_b(X)$  we have:  
 $u_\sigma \rightarrow 0$  for  $\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$  if and only if  $u_\sigma \otimes e_0 \rightarrow 0$  for  $\beta_{\mathcal{C}}(X, E)$ .

Now we distinguish some important families of compact subsets of  $\beta X \setminus X$ .  
 Let

- $\mathcal{C}_\tau$  = the family of all compact subsets of  $\beta X \setminus X$ .
- $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$  = the family of all zero subsets of  $\beta X \setminus X$ .

The strict topologies  $\beta_\tau(X, E)$  and  $\beta_\sigma(X, E)$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  are now obtained by choosing  $\mathcal{C}_\tau$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$  as  $\mathcal{C}$  appropriately (see [W, Definition 7.8, Definition 10.13], [Ku]). In particular, in view of Theorem 4.2 we get:

**Corollary 4.4.** We have:

$$\beta_\tau(X)^\vee = \beta_\tau(X, E), \quad \beta_\sigma(X)^\vee = \beta_\sigma(X, E),$$

and

$$\beta_\tau(X, E)^\wedge = \beta_\tau(X), \quad \beta_\sigma(X, E)^\wedge = \beta_\sigma(X).$$

**Remark.** The statement (i) of Corollary 4.3 was obtained in a different way for topologies  $\beta_\tau(X, E)$  and  $\beta_\sigma(X, E)$  in [Ku, Lemma 2.4].

**Remark.** The important classes of strict topologies  $\beta_s(X, E)$ ,  $\beta_p(X, E)$  and  $\beta_g(X, E)$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  can also be defined as inductive limit topologies by taking appropriate classes  $\mathcal{C}$  of subsets of  $\beta X \setminus X$  (see [W, Definitions 10.13, 10.15], [KuV], [KuO]).

### 5. Dini topologies on spaces of vector-valued continuous functions

The well known Dini’s theorem is telling us that whenever a topological space  $X$  is pseudocompact then for a net  $(u_\sigma)$  in  $C_b(X)$ ,  $u_\sigma \downarrow 0$  (i.e.,  $u_\sigma(x) \downarrow 0$  for each  $x \in X$ ) implies  $\|u_\sigma\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ . F.D. Sentilles (see [S, Theorem 6.3]) showed that a Dini type theorem holds for topologies  $\beta_\sigma(X)$  and  $\beta_\tau(X)$  for  $X$  being a completely regular Hausdorff space, that is,  $\beta_\sigma(X)$  (resp.  $\beta_\tau(X)$ ) is the finest of all locally convex topologies  $\xi$  on  $C_b(X)$  such that  $u_n \downarrow 0$  implies  $u_n \xrightarrow{\xi} 0$  (resp.  $u_\sigma \downarrow 0$  implies  $u_\sigma \xrightarrow{\xi} 0$ ). These properties of strict topologies justify the following definition of  $\sigma$ -Dini and Dini topologies in the vector-valued setting.

**Definition 5.1.** (i) A locally convex-solid topology  $\tau$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  is said to be a  $\sigma$ -Dini topology whenever for a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $C_b(X, E)$ ,  $\|f_n\| \downarrow 0$  (i.e.,  $\|f_n\|(x) \downarrow 0$  for each  $x \in X$ ) implies  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  for  $\tau$ .

(ii) A locally convex-solid topology  $\tau$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  is said to be a Dini topology whenever for a net  $(f_\sigma)$  in  $C_b(X, E)$ ,  $\|f_\sigma\| \downarrow 0$  (i.e.,  $\|f_\sigma\|(x) \downarrow 0$  for each  $x \in X$ ) implies  $f_\sigma \rightarrow 0$  for  $\tau$ .

Thus  $\beta_\sigma(X)$  (resp.  $\beta_\tau(X)$ ) is the finest  $\sigma$ -Dini (resp. Dini) topology on  $C_b(X)$ .

In this section, by making use of the results of Sections 3 and 4 we show that  $\beta_\sigma(X, E)$  (resp.  $\beta_\tau(X, E)$ ) is the finest  $\sigma$ -Dini (resp. Dini) topology on  $C_b(X, E)$ .

We need the following technical results.

**Lemma 5.1.** (i) *If  $\xi$  is a  $\sigma$ -Dini topology (resp. a Dini topology) on  $C_b(X)$ , then  $\xi^\vee$  is a  $\sigma$ -Dini topology (resp. a Dini topology) on  $C_b(X, E)$ .*

(ii) *If  $\tau$  is a  $\sigma$ -Dini topology (resp. a Dini topology) on  $C_b(X, E)$ , then  $\tau^\wedge$  is a  $\sigma$ -Dini topology (resp. a Dini topology) on  $C_b(X)$ .*

PROOF: (i) Assume that  $\xi$  is a  $\sigma$ -Dini topology on  $C_b(X)$  generated by a family  $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  of Riesz seminorms on  $C_b(X)$ . Then for a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $C_b(X, E)$  with  $\|f_n\| \downarrow 0$  we get  $p_\alpha^\vee(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ , because  $p_\alpha^\vee(f_n) = p_\alpha(\|f_n\|)$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This means that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  for  $\xi^\vee$ , as desired.

Similarly we get  $f_\sigma \rightarrow 0$  for  $\xi^\vee$  whenever  $\xi$  is a Dini topology.

(ii) Assume that  $\tau$  is a  $\sigma$ -Dini topology on  $C_b(X, E)$  generated by a family  $\{\rho_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  of solid seminorms on  $C_b(X, E)$ . Then for a sequence  $(u_n)$  in  $C_b(X)$  with  $u_n \downarrow 0$  and a fixed  $e_0 \in S_E$  we get  $\|u_n \otimes e_0\| \downarrow 0$ , because  $\|u_n \otimes e_0\|(x) = \|u_n(x)e_0\|_E = |u_n(x)|$ . Since  $\rho_\alpha^\wedge(u_n) = \rho_\alpha(u_n \otimes e_0)$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  for  $\tau^\wedge$ , as desired.

Similarly, we obtain that  $u_\sigma \rightarrow 0$  for  $\tau^\wedge$  whenever  $\tau$  is a Dini topology. □

The next theorem is an extension of the Sentilles results (see [S, Theorem 6.3], [W, Corollary 11.16, Corollary 11.28]).

**Theorem 5.2.** (i) *The strict topology  $\beta_\sigma(X, E)$  is the finest  $\sigma$ -Dini topology on  $C_b(X, E)$ .*

(ii) *The strict topology  $\beta_\tau(X, E)$  is the finest Dini topology on  $C_b(X, E)$ .*

PROOF: (i) Since  $\beta_\sigma(X)$  is a  $\sigma$ -Dini topology on  $C_b(X)$ , by Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 4.4 we obtain that  $\beta_\sigma(X, E)$  is a  $\sigma$ -Dini topology on  $C_b(X, E)$ . Now assume that  $\tau$  is a  $\sigma$ -Dini topology on  $C_b(X, E)$ . Then by Lemma 5.1  $\tau^\wedge$  is a  $\sigma$ -Dini topology on  $C_b(X)$ . Hence  $\tau^\wedge \subset \beta_\sigma(X)$ , because  $\beta_\sigma(X)$  is the finest  $\sigma$ -Dini topology on  $C_b(X)$  (see [S, Theorem 6.3]). By making use of Theorem 3.2, Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 4.4 we get  $\tau = (\tau^\wedge)^\vee \subset \beta_\sigma(X)^\vee = \beta_\sigma(X, E)$ , as desired.

(ii) Similarly as in (i). □

Now we are going to characterize  $\sigma$ -Dini topologies and Dini topologies on  $C_b(X, E)$  in terms of their topological duals.

For a linear topology  $\tau$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  by  $(C_b(X, E), \tau)'$  we denote the topological dual of  $(C_b(X, E), \tau)$ . In particular, let  $C_b(X, E)'$  stand for the topological dual of  $(C_b(X, E), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ .

We shall need the following definitions.

**Definition 5.2.** (i) A functional  $\Phi \in C_b(X, E)'$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -additive whenever for a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $C_b(X, E)$ ,  $\|f_n\| \downarrow 0$  implies  $\Phi(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ . The set consisting of all  $\sigma$ -additive functionals on  $C_b(X, E)$  will be denoted by  $L_\sigma(C_b(X, E))$ .

(ii) A functional  $\Phi \in C_b(X, E)'$  is said to be  $\tau$ -additive whenever for a net  $(f_\sigma)$  in  $C_b(X, E)$ ,  $\|f_\sigma\| \downarrow 0$  implies  $\Phi(f_\sigma) \rightarrow 0$ . The set consisting of all  $\tau$ -additive functionals on  $C_b(X, E)$  will be denoted by  $L_\tau(C_b(X, E))$ .

Now we are in position to state our desired result.

**Theorem 5.3.** For a locally convex-solid Hausdorff topology  $\tau$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $(C_b(X, E), \tau)' \subset L_\sigma(C_b(X, E))$ ;
- (ii)  $\tau$  is a  $\sigma$ -Dini topology.

PROOF: (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). It is obvious.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $\{\rho_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  be the family of solid seminorms on  $C_b(X, E)$  that generates  $\tau$  (see Theorem 2.2), and let  $\tau^\wedge$  denote the locally convex-solid topology generated by the family  $\{\rho_\alpha^\wedge : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  of Riesz seminorms on  $C_b(X)$ , where  $\rho_\alpha^\wedge(u) = \rho(u \otimes e_0)$  for some fixed  $e_0 \in S_E$  and  $u \in C_b(X)$ .

We shall first show that  $(C_b(X), \tau^\wedge)' \subset L_\sigma(C_b(X))$ . Indeed, let  $\varphi \in (C_b(X), \tau^\wedge)'$  and let  $u_n \downarrow 0$  (i.e.  $u_n(x) \downarrow 0$  for all  $x \in X$ ), where  $u_n \in C_b(X)$ . Define a linear functional  $\Phi_\varphi$  on a subspace  $C_b(X)(e_0) (= \{u \otimes e_0 : u \in C_b(X)\})$  of  $C_b(X, E)$  by putting  $\Phi_\varphi(u \otimes e_0) = \varphi(u)$ . Since  $\varphi \in (C_b(X), \tau^\wedge)'$  there exist  $c > 0$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $|\Phi_\varphi(u \otimes e_0)| = |\varphi(u)| \leq c \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \hat{\rho}_{\alpha_i}(u) = c \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \rho_{\alpha_i}(u \otimes e_0)$  for all  $u \in C_b(X)$ . This means that  $\Phi_\varphi \in (C_b(X)(e_0), \tau|_{C_b(X)(e_0)})'$ , so by the Hahn-Banach extension theorem there is  $\overline{\Phi}_\varphi \in (C_b(X, E), \tau)'$  such that  $\overline{\Phi}_\varphi(u \otimes e_0) = \varphi(u)$  for all  $u \in C_b(X)$ . By our assumption  $\overline{\Phi}_\varphi \in L_\sigma(C_b(X, E))$ , so  $\overline{\Phi}_\varphi(u_n \otimes e_0) \rightarrow 0$ , because  $\|u_n \otimes e_0\| = u_n \downarrow 0$ . It follows that  $\varphi(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ , so  $\varphi \in L_\sigma(C_b(X))$ .

Thus in view of [K2, Theorem 5.6] (applied to a Banach lattice  $E = \mathbb{R}$ ),  $\tau^\wedge$  is a  $\sigma$ -Dini topology on  $C_b(X)$ , so by Lemma 5.1  $(\tau^\wedge)^\vee$  is a  $\sigma$ -Dini topology on  $C_b(X, E)$ . But by Theorem 3.2  $\tau = (\tau^\wedge)^\vee$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

We have an analogous result for Dini topologies with a similar proof.

**Theorem 5.4.** For a locally convex-solid Hausdorff topology  $\tau$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $(C_b(X, E), \tau)' \subset L_\tau(C_b(X, E))$ ;
- (ii)  $\tau$  is a Dini topology.

**Remark.** In case  $E$  is a Banach lattice, the spaces  $C_b(X, E)$  and  $C_{rc}(X, E)$  (= the space of all  $f \in C_b(X, E)$  for which  $f(X)$  is relatively compact in  $E$ ) became vector lattices under the natural ordering:  $f \leq g$  whenever  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  in  $E$  for all  $x \in X$ . Thus one can consider the concepts of solidness and a locally

solid topology for  $C_b(X, E)$  and  $C_{rc}(X, E)$  in terms of the theory of Riesz spaces (see [AB]). Moreover, in [K<sub>2</sub>, Section 5] a functional  $\Phi \in C_{rc}(X, E)'$  is called  $\sigma$ -additive if  $\Phi(f_n) \rightarrow 0$  for a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $C_{rc}(X, E)$  such that  $f_n(x) \downarrow 0$  in  $E$  for all  $x \in X$ . Similarly  $\tau$ -additive functionals on  $C_{rc}(X, E)$  are defined. The above Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 are analogous to [K<sub>2</sub>, Theorem 5.6, Theorem 5.5].

## REFERENCES

- [A] Aguayo-Garrido J., *Strict topologies on spaces of continuous functions and  $u$ -additive measure spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **220** (1998), 77–89.
- [AB] Aliprantis C.D., Burkinshaw O., *Locally Solid Topologies*, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London, 1978.
- [F] Fontenot R.A., *Strict topologies for vector-valued functions*, Canad. J. Math. **26** (1974), 841–853.
- [K<sub>1</sub>] Katsaras A.K., *Spaces of vector measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **206** (1975), 313–328.
- [K<sub>2</sub>] Katsaras A.K., *Some locally convex spaces of continuous vector-valued functions over a completely regular space and their duals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **216** (1976), 367–387.
- [K<sub>3</sub>] Katsaras A.K., *Locally convex topologies on spaces of continuous vector functions*, Math. Nachr. **71** (1976), 211–226.
- [Ku] Khurana S.S., *Topologies on spaces of vector-valued continuous functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **241** (1978), 195–211.
- [KuO] Khurana S.S., Othman S.I., *Grothendieck measures*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **39** (1989), 481–486.
- [KuV<sub>1</sub>] Khurana S.S., Vielma J.E., *Strict topology and perfect measures*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **40** (1990), 1–7.
- [KuV<sub>2</sub>] Khurana S.S., Vielma J.E., *Weak sequential convergence and weak compactness in spaces of vector-valued continuous functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **195** (1995), 251–260.
- [S] Sentilles F.D., *Bounded continuous functions on a completely regular space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **168** (1972), 311–336.
- [W] Wheeler R.F., *Survey of Baire measures and strict topologies*, Exposition Math. **2** (1983), 97–190.
- [W<sub>1</sub>] Wheeler R.F., *The strict topology, separable measures, and paracompactness*, Pacific J. Math. **47** (1973), 287–302.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZIELONA GÓRA, UL. PODGÓRNA 50,  
65–246 ZIELONA GÓRA, POLAND

*E-mail:* M.Nowak@sns.uz.zgora.pl

(Received March 29, 2001, revised April 4, 2002)