

Taras Radul

On the functor of order-preserving functionals

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 39 (1998), No. 3, 609--615

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119037>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

On the functor of order-preserving functionals

T. RADUL

Abstract. We introduce a functor of order-preserving functionals which contains some known functors as subfunctors. It is shown that this functor is weakly normal and generates a monad.

Keywords: order-preserving functional, monad

Classification: 54B30, 54C35, 18C15

0. The general theory of functors acting in the category *Comp* of compact Hausdorff spaces (compacta) and continuous mappings was founded by E.V. Shchepin [1]. He distinguished some elementary properties of such functors and defined the notion of normal functor that has become very fruitful. The class of normal functors includes many classical constructions: the hyperspace \exp , the space of probability measures P , the superextension λ , the space of hyperspaces of inclusion G and many other functors ([2], [3]).

The algebraic applications of the theory of functors were discovered rather recently. They are based, mainly, on the existence of a monad structure (in the sense of S. Eilenberg and J. Moore [4]) for such functors.

For all above mentioned functors \exp , P , λ and G there exist the structures of monads denoted by \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{P} , \mathbb{L} and \mathbb{G} respectively ([5]).

In this paper we introduce the functor of order-preserving functionals O . We show that it is a weakly normal functor generating the monad \mathbb{O} . Moreover, the above mentioned monads \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{P} , \mathbb{L} , \mathbb{G} are contained as submonads in \mathbb{O} .

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 1 we investigate some properties of order-preserving functionals and introduce the functor O , in Section 2 we prove that O is a weakly normal functor and in Section 3 we show that the functor O generates a monad \mathbb{O} .

1. All spaces are assumed to be compacta, all mappings are continuous. By $w(X)$ we denote the weight of X and by $d(X)$ the density. The space of real numbers \mathbb{R} is considered with the usual metric.

Let $X \in \text{Comp}$. By $C(X)$ we denote the Banach space of all continuous functions $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the usual sup-norm: $\|\varphi\| = \sup\{|\varphi(x)| \mid x \in X\}$. For each $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by c_X the constant function on $C(X)$ defined by the formula $c_X(x) = c$ for each $x \in X$. We will consider the natural partial order on $C(X)$ defined as follows: for $\varphi, \psi \in C(X)$ we have $\varphi \leq \psi$ iff $\varphi(x) \leq \psi(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

We are going to investigate the functionals $\nu : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We do not suppose apriori that ν is linear or continuous.

A functional $\nu : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *weakly additive* if for each $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in C(X)$ we have $\nu(\varphi + c_X) = \nu(\varphi) + c$; *order-preserving* if for each $\varphi, \psi \in C(X)$ with $\varphi \leq \psi$ we have $\nu(\varphi) \leq \nu(\psi)$ ([6]).

Lemma 1. *Each order-preserving weakly additive functional is a non-expanding map.*

PROOF: Let $\nu : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an order-preserving weakly-additive functional and $\varphi, \psi \in C(X)$. Let $\|\varphi - \psi\| = a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have $\varphi - a_X \leq \psi \leq \varphi + a_X$ and $\nu(\varphi) - a \leq \nu(\psi) \leq \nu(\varphi) + a$. Thus $|\nu(\varphi) - \nu(\psi)| \leq a$. \square

Corollary 1. *Each order-preserving weakly additive functional is continuous.*

A subset $L \subset C(X)$ is called an *A-subspace* if $0_X \in L$ and for each $\varphi \in L, c \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\varphi + c_X \in L$. The next lemma can be considered as an analogue of the Hahn-Banach theorem.

Lemma 2. *For each A-subspace $L \subset C(X)$ and for each order-preserving weakly additive functional $\nu : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there exists an order-preserving weakly additive functional $\nu' : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\nu'|_L = \nu$.*

PROOF: Let us consider the set of all pairs (B, μ) , where $L \subset B \subset C(X)$ is an A-space and μ is an order-preserving weakly additive functional. This set can be regarded as a partially ordered set by the order $(B_1, \mu_1) \leq (B_2, \mu_2)$ iff $B_1 \subset B_2$ and μ_2 is an extension of μ_1 . By Zorn Lemma there exists a maximal element (B_0, μ_0) .

Suppose that $B_0 \neq C(X)$. Take any $\varphi \in C(X) \setminus B_0$. Let $B^+ (B^-)$ be the set of all $\psi \in B_0$ with $\psi \geq \varphi$ ($\psi \leq \varphi$). Then we can choose $p \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\mu_0(B^-) \leq p \leq \mu_0(B^+)$. The set $D = B_0 \cup \{\varphi + c_X \mid c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is an A-subset in $C(X)$. Define the functional $\mu : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows: $\mu|_{B_0} = \mu_0$ and $\mu(\varphi + c_X) = p + c, c \in \mathbb{R}$. It is easy to check that μ is an order-preserving weakly additive functional and we obtain the contradiction with the maximality of (B_0, μ_0) . \square

A functional $\nu : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be called *normed* iff $\nu(1_X) = 1$.

For a compactum X , let $O(X)$ denote the set of all order-preserving weakly additive normed functionals. It is easy to see that for each $\nu \in O(X)$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\nu(c_X) = c$.

We consider $O(X)$ as a subspace of the space $C_p(C(X))$ of all continuous functions on $C(X)$ equipped with the pointwise topology. The base of this topology consists of sets of the form $(\mu; \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n; \varepsilon) = \{\mu' \in C_p(C(X)) \mid |\mu'(\varphi_i) - \mu(\varphi_i)| < \varepsilon \text{ for each } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$, where $\mu \in C_p(C(X)), \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in C(X), \varepsilon > 0$.

Theorem 1. *For each compactum X , the space $O(X)$ is compact.*

PROOF: Observe firstly that $O(X)$ is contained in the Tychonov product of closed intervals $P = \prod\{[-\|\varphi\|, \|\varphi\|] \mid \varphi \in C(X)\}$. Thus it is sufficient to prove that $O(X)$ is closed in P .

Consider $\mu \in P \setminus O(X)$. Then μ fails to satisfy one of the three conditions from the definition of $O(X)$.

Suppose μ is not normed. Then we have $(\mu; 1_X; \frac{|\mu(1_X)-1|}{2}) \cap O(X) = \emptyset$.

Suppose μ is not weakly additive. Then there exist $\varphi \in C(X)$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mu(\varphi + c_X) \neq \mu(\varphi) + c$. Put $\delta = |\mu(\varphi + c_X) - \mu(\varphi) - c| > 0$. Then $(\mu; \varphi + c_X, \varphi, c_X, \delta/4) \cap O(X) = \emptyset$.

Finally, suppose μ is not order-preserving. Then there exist $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C(X)$ such that $\varphi_1 \geq \varphi_2$ and $\mu(\varphi_1) < \mu(\varphi_2)$. Put $\varepsilon = \mu(\varphi_2) - \mu(\varphi_1)$. Then $(\mu; \varphi_1, \varphi_2; \varepsilon/2) \cap O(X) = \emptyset$. Thus $O(X)$ is a closed subset of P . \square

Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}omp$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map. Define the map $O(f) : O(X) \rightarrow O(Y)$ by the formula $(O(f)(\mu))(\varphi) = \mu(\varphi \circ f)$, where $\mu \in O(X)$ and $\varphi \in C(Y)$.

It is easy to check that $O(f)$ is well defined continuous and $O(f \circ g) = O(f) \circ O(g)$. Thus O is a covariant functor on the category $\mathcal{C}omp$.

2. In what follows we will need some notions from the general theory of functors.

Let $F : \mathcal{C}omp \rightarrow \mathcal{C}omp$ be a covariant functor. A functor F is called *monomorphic* (*epimorphic*) if it preserves monomorphisms (epimorphisms). For a monomorphic functor F and an embedding $i : A \rightarrow X$, we shall identify the space $F(A)$ and the subspace $F(i)(F(A)) \subset F(X)$.

A monomorphic functor F is said to be *preimage-preserving* if for each map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and each closed subset $A \subset Y$ we have $(F(f))^{-1}(F(A)) = F(f^{-1}(A))$.

For a monomorphic functor F the *intersection-preserving* property is defined as follows: $F(\bigcap\{X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}) = \bigcap\{F(X_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ for every family $\{X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ of closed subsets of X .

A functor F is called *continuous* if it preserves the limits of inverse systems $\mathcal{S} = \{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, \mathcal{A}\}$ over a directed set \mathcal{A} .

Finally, a functor F is called *weight-preserving* if $w(X) = w(F(X))$ for every infinite $X \in \mathcal{C}omp$.

A functor F is called *normal* ([1]) if it is continuous, monomorphic, epimorphic, preserves weight, intersections, preimages, singletons and the empty space. A functor F is said to be *weakly normal* if it satisfies all the properties from the definition of a normal functor except perhaps the preimage-preserving property. Let us remark that the functors \exp, P are normal and λ, G are weakly normal ([3]).

It is obvious that O preserves singletons and the empty set.

Proposition 1. O is a monomorphic functor.

PROOF: Let $j : X \rightarrow Y$ be an embedding. Let us show that $O(j) : O(X) \rightarrow O(Y)$ is an embedding as well. If $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in O(X)$ are two different functionals then there exists a function $\varphi \in C(X)$ with $\mu_1(\varphi) \neq \mu_2(\varphi)$. We can choose a function $\psi \in C(Y)$ such that $\psi \circ j = \varphi$. Then we have $(O(j)(\mu_i))(\psi) = \mu_i(\psi \circ j) = \mu_i(\varphi)$. Hence $O(j)(\mu_1) \neq O(j)(\mu_2)$. \square

Proposition 2. *The functor O is epimorphic.*

PROOF: Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an ephimorphism and $v \in O(Y)$. Denote by C the subset of $C(X)$ consisting of the functions $\psi \circ f$, $\psi \in C(Y)$. It is easy to see that C is an A -subset of $C(X)$. We can define a normed order-preserving weakly additive functional $\nu' : C \rightarrow R$ by the formula $\nu'(\psi \circ f) = \nu(\psi)$. By Lemma 2 we can extend ν' to a functional $\mu \in O(X)$. It is obvious that $O(f)(\mu) = \nu$. \square

For each $x \in X$, let the functional $\delta_x \in O(X)$ be defined by $\delta_x(\varphi) = \varphi(x)$, $\varphi \in C(X)$. It is easy to see that the map $\delta : X \rightarrow O(X)$ defined by $\delta(x) = \delta_x$ is an embedding.

Lemma 3. *Let (X, d) be an infinite metric space and let $E_p(X)$ be the subspace of $C_p(X)$ consisting of all non-expanding maps. Then $w(E_p(X)) \leq d(E_p(X)) \times d(X)$.*

PROOF: Let F be a dense set in $E_p(X)$ with $|F| \leq d(E_p(X))$ and A let be a dense set in X with $|A| \leq d(X)$. Consider the family \mathcal{B} of subsets in $E_p(X)$ of the form $(\varphi; x_1, \dots, x_n; \varepsilon)$, where $\varphi \in F$, $x_i \in A$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$. It is easy to see that $|\mathcal{B}| \leq d(E_p(X)) \times d(X)$. One can check that \mathcal{B} is a base of the space $E_p(X)$. \square

Proposition 3. *The functor O preserves weight of infinite compacta.*

PROOF: Since X can be embedded by the map δ in $O(X)$, we have $w(O(X)) \geq w(X)$.

On the other hand, it follows from [7, 3.4.G] that for each subspace $Y \subset C_p(Z)$ we have $d(Y) \leq w(Z)$. It follows from [2, II.3.12] that $w(C(X)) \leq w(X)$. Using Lemmas 1 and 3 we obtain that $w(O(X)) \leq w(X)$. \square

Proposition 4. *O is a continuous functor.*

PROOF: Let $X = \lim \mathcal{S}$, where $\mathcal{S} = \{X_\alpha, \pi_\alpha^\beta, \mathcal{A}\}$ is an inverse system and all X_α are compact. Denote by Y the limit space of the inverse system $\mathbb{O}(\mathcal{S}) = \{O(X_\alpha), O(\pi_\alpha^\beta), \mathcal{A}\}$ and by $\pi : O(X) \rightarrow Y$ the limit of the maps $O(\pi_\alpha)$, where $\pi_\alpha : X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ are limit projections of the system \mathcal{S} .

Let us show that π is a homeomorphism. Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in O(X)$ be two different functionals. There exists a function $\varphi \in C(X)$ such that $|\mu_1(\varphi) - \mu_2(\varphi)| = a > 0$. It follows from the Weierstrass-Stone theorem that the set of functions $\psi \circ \pi_\alpha$, where $\psi \in C(X_\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ is dense in $C(X)$. Hence there exist an $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and a function $\psi \in X_\alpha$ such that $|\varphi - \psi \circ \pi_\alpha| < a/3$. Since μ_i are non-expanding functionals, we have $|\mu_i(\varphi) - \mu_i(\psi \circ \pi_\alpha)| < a/3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a &= |\mu_1(\varphi) - \mu_2(\varphi)| \\ &= |\mu_1(\varphi) - \mu_1(\psi \circ \pi_\alpha) + \mu_1(\psi \circ \pi_\alpha) - \mu_2(\psi \circ \pi_\alpha) + \mu_2(\psi \circ \pi_\alpha) - \mu_2(\varphi)| \\ &\leq |\mu_1(\varphi) - \mu_1(\psi \circ \pi_\alpha)| + |\mu_1(\psi \circ \pi_\alpha) - \mu_2(\psi \circ \pi_\alpha)| + |\mu_2(\psi \circ \pi_\alpha) - \mu_2(\varphi)| \\ &\leq 2a/3 + |\mu_1(\psi \circ \pi_\alpha) - \mu_2(\psi \circ \pi_\alpha)|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $(O(\pi_\alpha)(\mu_1))(\psi) \neq (O(\pi_\alpha)(\mu_2))(\psi)$ and hence $O(\pi_\alpha)(\mu_1) \neq O(\pi_\alpha)(\mu_2)$. Since π is a limit map of the maps $O(\pi_\alpha)$, we have $\pi(\mu_1) \neq \pi(\mu_2)$. We have just proved that π is an embedding. Since the functor O is epimorphic, the map π is a surjection. \square

Let A be a closed subset of a compactum X . We say that $\mu \in O(X)$ is *supported on A* if $\mu \in O(A) \subset O(X)$. By $O_\omega(X)$ we denote a subset of $O(X)$ consisting of all functionals supported on finite subsets of X .

The next corollary follows from [2] and Propositions 2, 4.

Corollary 2. $O_\omega(X)$ is a dense subset of $O(X)$.

Lemma 4. Let $\mu \in O(X)$ and let A be a closed subset of X . Then μ is supported on A iff for each $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C(X)$ with $\varphi_1|_A = \varphi_2|_A$ we have $\mu(\varphi_1) = \mu(\varphi_2)$.

PROOF: Let $\mu \in O(A)$. Denote by $i : A \rightarrow X$ the identity embedding. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C(X)$ be functions with $\varphi_1|_A = \varphi_2|_A$. There exists a functional $\nu \in O(A)$ such that $O(i)(\nu) = \mu$. Then we have $\mu(\varphi_1) = \nu(\varphi_1|_A) = \nu(\varphi_2|_A) = \mu(\varphi_2)$.

Now let $\mu \in O(X)$ be a functional such that $\mu(\varphi_1) = \mu(\varphi_2)$ for each $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C(X)$ with $\varphi_1|_A = \varphi_2|_A$. Then we can define a functional $\nu \in O(A)$ by $\nu(\varphi) = \mu(\varphi')$, where $\varphi \in C(A)$ and φ' is any extension of φ on X . It is easy to see that $O(i)(\nu) = \mu$. \square

Proposition 5. The functor O preserves intersections.

PROOF: Since O is a continuous functor, it is sufficient to prove the proposition for the intersection of two closed subsets A_1 and A_2 of a compactum X .

It is evident that $O(A_1 \cap A_2) \subset O(A_1) \cap O(A_2)$. Let us show the inverse inclusion. Let $\mu \in O(A_1) \cap O(A_2)$. Choose any functions $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in C(X)$ such that $\psi_1|(A_1 \cap A_2) = \psi_2|(A_1 \cap A_2)$. By Lemma 4 it is sufficient to prove that $\mu(\psi_1) = \mu(\psi_2)$. Consider a function $\varphi \in C(X)$ such that $\varphi|_{A_1} = \psi_1$ and $\varphi|_{A_2} = \psi_2$. Since $\mu \in O(A_1)$, we have $\mu(\varphi) = \mu(\psi_1)$ and, since $\mu \in O(A_2)$, $\mu(\varphi) = \mu(\psi_2)$. \square

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of the results of this section.

Theorem 2. The functor O is weakly normal.

At the end of this section we give an example showing that the functor O does not preserve preimages, thus it is not normal.

Example. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2\}$ be finite compacta (all the points x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2 are distinct). Define the map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ as follows: $f(x_1) = y_1$ and $f(x_2) = f(x_3) = y_2$. Consider the functional $\delta_{y_2} \in O(Y)$ supported on $\{y_2\} \subset Y$. Define a functional $\mu \in O(X)$ by the formula

$$\mu(\varphi) = \max\{\min\{\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_2)\}, \min\{\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_3)\}, \min\{\varphi(x_2), \varphi(x_3)\}\}.$$

It is easy to check that $O(f)(\mu) = \delta_{y_2}$ and $\mu \notin O(\{x_2, x_3\})$. Thus O does not preserve preimages.

3. In this section we show that the functor O generates a monad on $Comp$.

Let F, G be two functors in the category \mathcal{E} . We say that a transformation $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$ is defined if for every $X \in \mathcal{E}$ a mapping $\varphi X : FX \rightarrow GX$ is given. The transformation $\varphi = \{\varphi X\}$ is called *natural* if for every mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ we have $\varphi Y \circ F(f) = G(f) \circ \varphi X$.

A *monad* $\mathbb{T} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ in the category \mathcal{E} consists of an endofunctor $T : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and natural transformations $\eta : \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow T$ (unity), $\mu : T^2 \rightarrow T$ (multiplication) satisfying the relations $\mu \circ T\eta = \mu \circ \eta T = \mathbf{1}_T$ and $\mu \circ \mu T = \mu \circ T\mu$.

A natural transformation $\psi : T \rightarrow T'$ is called a *morphism* from a monad $\mathbb{T} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ into a monad $\mathbb{T}' = (T', \eta', \mu')$ if $\psi \circ \eta = \eta'$ and $\psi \circ \mu = \mu' \circ \eta T' \circ T\psi$. If all the components of ψ are monomorphisms then the monad \mathbb{T} is called a *submonad* of \mathbb{T}' .

Let us define the mapping $\mu X : O^2(X) \rightarrow O(X)$ by the formula $\mu X(\alpha)(g) = \alpha(\tilde{g})$, where $\alpha \in O^2(X)$, $g \in C(X, [0; 1])$ and the mapping $\tilde{g} : O(X) \rightarrow [0; 1]$ is given by $\tilde{g}(\mu) = \mu(g)$, $\mu \in O(X)$. It is easy to check that μX is correctly defined and continuous.

Put $\eta X = \delta$. It is easy to check that ηX and μX are the components of natural transformations $\eta : \text{Id}_{Comp} \rightarrow O$ and $\mu : O^2 \rightarrow O$.

Theorem 3. *The triple $\mathbb{O} = (O, \eta, \mu)$ forms a monad on the category $Comp$.*

PROOF: Let $\nu \in O(X)$. Consider any $\varphi \in C(X)$. Then we have $\mu X \circ \eta O(X)(\nu)(\varphi) = \eta O(X)(\nu)(\tilde{\varphi}) = \tilde{\varphi}(\nu) = \nu(\varphi)$ and $\mu X \circ O(\eta X)(\nu)(\varphi) = O(\eta X)(\nu)(\tilde{\varphi}) = \nu(\tilde{\varphi} \circ \eta X) = \nu(\varphi)$.

Now let $\mathcal{N} \in O^3(X)$ and $\varphi \in C(X)$. Then $\mu X \circ \mu O(X)(\mathcal{N})(\varphi) = \mu O(X)(\mathcal{N})(\tilde{\varphi}) = \mathcal{N}(\tilde{\tilde{\varphi}})$ and $\mu X \circ O(\mu X)(\mathcal{N})(\varphi) = O(\mu X)(\mathcal{N})(\tilde{\varphi}) = \mathcal{N}(\tilde{\varphi} \circ \mu X) = \mathcal{N}(\tilde{\tilde{\varphi}})$, where $\tilde{\tilde{\varphi}} \in C(O^2(X))$ is defined by the formula $(\tilde{\tilde{\varphi}})(\nu) = \nu(\tilde{\varphi})$, $\nu \in O^2(X)$. \square

Remark. It is easy to check that the monad \mathbb{P} is a submonad of \mathbb{O} . On the other hand, it is shown in [8] that a wide class of monads which includes monads \mathbb{G} , \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{L} have a functional representation, otherwise speaking, their functional part $F(X)$ can be embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{C(X)}$. Moreover the images of $\lambda(X)$, $\text{exp}(X)$ and $G(X)$ lie in $O(X)$. Thus the monad \mathbb{O} contains \mathbb{P} , \mathbb{G} , \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{L} as submonads.

REFERENCES

- [1] Shchepin E.V., *Functors and uncountable powers of compacta* (in Russian), Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **36** (1981), 3–62.
- [2] Fedorchuk V.V., Filippov V.V., *General Topology. Fundamental Constructions* (in Russian), Moscow, 1988, p. 252.
- [3] Fedorchuk V.V., Zarichnyi M.M., *Covariant functors in categories of topological spaces* (in Russian), Results of Science and Technics. Algebra. Topology. Geometry, vol. 28, Moscow, VINITI, pp. 47–95.
- [4] Eilenberg S., Moore J., *Adjoint functors and triples*, Illinois J. Math. **9** (1965), 381–389.
- [5] Radul T., Zarichnyi M.M., *Monads in the category of compacta* (in Russian), Uspekhi Mat.Nauk **50** (1995), no. 3, 83–108.

- [6] Shapiro L.B., *On function extension operators and normal functors* (in Russian), Vestnik Mosk. Univer. Ser.1 (1992), no. 1, 35–42.
- [7] Engelking R., *General Topology*, Warszawa, 1978.
- [8] Radul T., *On functional representations of Lawson monads*, to appear.

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, LVIV STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSYTETSKA ST. 1, 290602 LVIV, UKRAINE

E-mail: trad@mebm.lviv.ua

(Received October 3, 1997, revised March 20, 1998)