

Krzysztof Feledziak

Uniformly μ -continuous topologies on Köthe-Bochner spaces and Orlicz-Bochner spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 39 (1998), No. 3, 453--468

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119024>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Uniformly μ -continuous topologies on Köthe-Bochner spaces and Orlicz-Bochner spaces

KRZYSZTOF FELEDZIAK

Abstract. Some class of locally solid topologies (called uniformly μ -continuous) on Köthe-Bochner spaces that are continuous with respect to some natural two-norm convergence are introduced and studied. A characterization of uniformly μ -continuous topologies in terms of some family of pseudonorms is given. The finest uniformly μ -continuous topology $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$ on the Orlicz-Bochner space $L^\varphi(X)$ is a generalized mixed topology in the sense of P. Turpin (see [11, Chapter I]).

Keywords: Orlicz spaces, Orlicz-Bochner spaces, Köthe-Bochner spaces, locally solid topologies, generalized mixed topologies, uniformly μ -continuous topologies, inductive limit topologies

Classification: 46E30, 46E40, 46A70

1. Preliminaries.

For notation and terminology concerning locally solid Riesz spaces we refer to [1].

Throughout the paper let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a complete σ -finite measure space and let L^0 denote the corresponding space of equivalence classes of all Σ -measurable real valued functions. Then L^0 is a super Dedekind complete Riesz space under the ordering $u_1 \leq u_2$ whenever $u_1(\omega) \leq u_2(\omega)$ μ -a.e. on Ω .

For $u \in L^0$ let us put

$$\|u\|_\mu = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \mu(\{\omega \in \Omega : |u(\omega)| > \lambda\}) \leq \lambda\}.$$

It is easy to see that a sequence (u_n) in L^0 is convergent to $u \in L^0$ in measure on Ω (in symbols $u_n \rightarrow u$ ($\mu - \Omega$)) iff $\|u_n - u\|_\mu \rightarrow 0$. We will denote by \mathcal{T}_μ the topology on L^0 of $\|\cdot\|_\mu$.

For a subset A of Ω let χ_A stand for its characteristic function.

Let $[x]$ denote the greatest integer which is less or equal to a real number x .

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be an F -normed function space, that is E is an ideal of L^0 with $\text{supp } E = \Omega$ and $\|\cdot\|_E$ is a complete Riesz F -norm. The Köthe dual E' of E is defined by

$$E' = \{v \in L^0 : \int_\Omega |u(\omega)v(\omega)| d\mu < \infty \text{ for all } u \in E\}.$$

Supported by KBN grant: 2P03A 031 10.

In case $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach function space the associated norm $\|\cdot\|_{E'}$ on E' can be defined for $v \in E'$ by

$$\|v\|_{E'} = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\Omega} u(\omega)v(\omega) d\mu \right| : u \in E, \|u\|_E \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We will write $A_n \searrow \emptyset$ when (A_n) is a decreasing sequence in Σ such that $\mu(A_n \cap A) \rightarrow 0$ for every $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) < \infty$.

We denote by E_a the ideal of elements of absolutely continuous norm in E , i.e. $E_a = \{u \in E : \|\chi_{A_n} u\|_E \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } A_n \searrow \emptyset\}$.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a real Banach space, and let S_X and B_X denote the unit sphere and the closed unit ball in X , respectively.

By $L^0(X)$ we will denote the linear space of equivalence classes of all strongly Σ -measurable functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$.

For $f \in L^0(X)$ let us put

$$\|f\|_{\mu}^X = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \mu(\{\omega \in \Omega : \|f(\omega)\|_X > \lambda\}) \leq \lambda \}.$$

We say that a sequence (f_n) in $L^0(X)$ is convergent to $f \in L^0(X)$ in measure on Ω (in symbols $f_n \rightarrow f$ ($\mu - \Omega$)) whenever $\mu(\{\omega \in \Omega : \|f_n(\omega) - f(\omega)\|_X > \varepsilon\}) \rightarrow 0$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. It can be seen that a sequence (f_n) in $L^0(X)$ is convergent to $f \in L^0(X)$ in measure on Ω iff $\|f_n - f\|_{\mu}^X \rightarrow 0$. The topology on $L^0(X)$ of $\|\cdot\|_{\mu}^X$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}(X)$.

For $f \in L^0(X)$ let

$$\tilde{f}(\omega) := \|f(\omega)\|_X \text{ for } \omega \in \Omega.$$

The linear space $E(X) = \{f \in L^0(X) : \tilde{f} \in E\}$ provided with the norm $\|f\|_{E(X)} := \|\tilde{f}\|_E$ is called a Köthe-Bochner space (see [2], [3]).

Now we recall some concepts and terminology concerning locally solid topologies on vector-valued function spaces as set out in [3].

A subset H of $E(X)$ is said to be *solid* whenever $\|f_1(\omega)\|_X \leq \|f_2(\omega)\|_X$ μ -a.e. and $f_1 \in E(X)$, $f_2 \in H$ imply $f_1 \in H$.

A pseudonorm ρ on $E(X)$ is said to be *solid* whenever for $f_1, f_2 \in E(X)$, $\|f_1(\omega)\|_X \leq \|f_2(\omega)\|_X$ μ -a.e. imply $\rho(f_1) \leq \rho(f_2)$.

A linear topology τ on $E(X)$ is said to be *locally solid* if it has a basis for neighbourhoods of zero consisting of solid sets.

A linear topology τ on $E(X)$ that is at the same time locally solid and locally convex will be called a *locally convex-solid topology* on $E(X)$.

Theorem 1.1 (see [3, Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3]). *For a linear topology τ on $E(X)$ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) τ is a locally solid topology (respectively τ is a locally convex-solid topology);
- (ii) τ is generated by some family of solid pseudonorms (respectively seminorms).

Now we are going to explain the relationship between locally solid topologies on E and $E(X)$ (see [3]).

Let p be a Riesz pseudonorm (respectively seminorm) on E , and let

$$\bar{p}(f) := p(\tilde{f}) \text{ for } f \in E(X).$$

Then \bar{p} is a solid pseudonorm (respectively seminorm) on $E(X)$.

Next, fix $x \in S_X$. Given $u \in E$ let us put $\bar{u}(\omega) := u(\omega) \cdot x$ for $\omega \in \Omega$. Then $\bar{u} \in L^0(X)$ and $\|\bar{u}(\omega)\|_X = |u(\omega)|$ for $\omega \in \Omega$, so $\bar{u} \in E(X)$.

Let ρ be a solid pseudonorm (respectively seminorm) on $E(X)$, and let

$$\tilde{\rho}(u) := \rho(\bar{u}) \text{ for } u \in E.$$

Then $\tilde{\rho}$ is a Riesz pseudonorm (respectively seminorm) on E .

Theorem 1.2 (see [3, Lemma 3.1]). (i) *If ρ is a solid pseudonorm on $E(X)$, then $\tilde{\rho}(f) = \rho(f)$ for $f \in E(X)$.*

(ii) *If p is a Riesz pseudonorm on E , then*

$$\tilde{\bar{p}}(u) = p(u) \text{ for } u \in E.$$

Let τ be a locally solid topology on $E(X)$ generated by some family $\{\rho_\alpha : \alpha \in \{\alpha\}\}$ of solid pseudonorms defined on $E(X)$. By $\tilde{\tau}$ we will denote the locally solid topology on E generated by the family $\{\tilde{\rho}_\alpha : \alpha \in \{\alpha\}\}$ of Riesz pseudonorms on E . If τ is a Hausdorff topology, then so is $\tilde{\tau}$.

In turn, let ξ be a locally solid topology on E generated by some family $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in \{\alpha\}\}$ of Riesz pseudonorms on E . By $\bar{\xi}$ we will denote the locally solid topology on $E(X)$ generated by the family $\{\bar{p}_\alpha : \alpha \in \{\alpha\}\}$ of solid pseudonorms on $E(X)$. Then $\bar{\xi}$ is a Hausdorff topology, whenever ξ is Hausdorff.

Theorem 1.3 (see [3, Theorem 3.2]). (i) *For a locally solid topology τ on $E(X)$ we have: $\tilde{\bar{\tau}} = \tau$.*

(ii) *For a locally solid topology ξ on E we have: $\tilde{\bar{\xi}} = \xi$.*

Now we recall some notation and terminology concerning Orlicz spaces (see [5], [6], [11] for more details).

By an Orlicz function we mean a function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ which is non-decreasing, left continuous, continuous at 0 with $\varphi(0) = 0$ and not identically equal to 0.

A convex Orlicz function is usually called a Young function. For a Young function φ we denote by φ^* the function complementary to φ in the sense of Young, i.e.

$$\varphi^*(s) = \sup\{ts - \varphi(t) : t \geq 0\} \text{ for } s \geq 0.$$

Let φ and ψ be a pair of Orlicz functions vanishing only at zero (respectively taking only finite values). We say that φ *increases essentially more rapidly than* ψ

for small t (respectively for large t) denoted $\psi \overset{s}{\prec} \varphi$ (respectively $\psi \overset{1}{\prec} \varphi$), whenever for any $c > 0$, $\psi(ct)/\varphi(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ (respectively $t \rightarrow \infty$). We will write $\psi \prec \varphi$ when $\psi \overset{s}{\prec} \varphi$ and $\psi \overset{1}{\prec} \varphi$ hold. For φ and ψ being Young functions the condition $\psi \overset{s}{\prec} \varphi$ (respectively $\psi \overset{1}{\prec} \varphi$) implies $\varphi^* \overset{s}{\prec} \psi^*$ (respectively $\varphi^* \overset{1}{\prec} \psi^*$) (see [5, Lemma 13.1]).

An Orlicz function φ determines a functional $m_\varphi : L^0 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$m_\varphi(u) = \int_\Omega \varphi(|u(\omega)|) d\mu.$$

The Orlicz space generated by φ is the ideal of L^0 defined by

$$L^\varphi = \{u \in L^0 : m_\varphi(\lambda u) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}.$$

L^φ can be equipped with the complete metrizable topology \mathcal{T}_φ of the F -norm

$$\|u\|_\varphi = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : m_\varphi\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) \leq \lambda \right\}.$$

Let

$$\varphi_0(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ 1 & \text{for } t > 1. \end{cases}$$

It is known that L^{φ_0} is the largest Orlicz space and consists of all those $u \in L^0$ that are bounded outside of some set of finite measure and $\|u\|_{\varphi_0} = \|u\|_\mu$ for all $u \in L^{\varphi_0}$. (see [11, 0.3.4]).

Moreover one can check that L^{φ_0} is the largest linear subspace of L^0 such that the functional $\|\cdot\|_\mu$ restricted to L^{φ_0} is an F -norm.

We will write $\|\cdot\|_\mu$ and \mathcal{T}_μ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi_0}$ and \mathcal{T}_{φ_0} , respectively.

Moreover, if φ is a Young function, then the topology \mathcal{T}_φ can be generated by the Luxemburg norm:

$$\| \|u\| \|_\varphi = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : m_\varphi\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

For an Orlicz function φ let

$$E^\varphi = \{u \in L^0 : m_\varphi(\lambda u) < \infty \text{ for all } \lambda > 0\}$$

and

$$L_a^\varphi = \{u \in L^\varphi : \|u_{A_n}\|_\varphi \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } A_n \searrow \emptyset\}.$$

It is well known that $E^\varphi = L_a^\varphi$ whenever φ takes only finite values. Moreover, for every Young function φ the identity $(L^\varphi)' = L^{\varphi^*}$ holds.

Let $M_\varphi : L^0(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$M_\varphi(f) = \int_\Omega \varphi(\|f(\omega)\|_X) d\mu.$$

Thus $M_\varphi(f) = m_\varphi(\tilde{f})$. The Köthe-Bochner space

$$\begin{aligned} L^\varphi(X) &= \{f \in L^0(X) : \tilde{f} \in L^\varphi\} \\ &= \{f \in L^0(X) : M_\varphi(\lambda f) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\} \end{aligned}$$

is usually called an *Orlicz-Bochner space* and is equipped with the F -norm

$$\|f\|_{L^\varphi(X)} = \|\tilde{f}\|_\varphi \text{ for } f \in L^\varphi(X).$$

We will denote by $\mathcal{T}_\varphi(X)$ the topology on $L^\varphi(X)$ generated by the F -norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^\varphi(X)}$. Moreover, if φ is a Young function, then $\mathcal{T}_\varphi(X)$ is generated by the Luxemburg norm: $\|f\|_{L^\varphi(X)} = \|\tilde{f}\|_\varphi$ for $f \in L^\varphi(X)$. We will write $\|\cdot\|_\mu^X$ and $\mathcal{T}_\mu(X)$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\varphi_0}(X)}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\varphi_0}(X)$, respectively.

2. Uniformly μ -continuous topologies on Köthe-Bochner spaces

Definition 2.1. (i) A solid pseudonorm ρ on $E(X)$ is said to be *uniformly μ -continuous*, whenever $f_n \in E(X)$, $f_n \rightarrow 0$ ($\mu - \Omega$) with $\sup_n \|f_n\|_{E(X)} < \infty$ imply $\rho(f_n) \rightarrow 0$.

(ii) A locally solid topology τ on $E(X)$ is said to be *uniformly μ -continuous* whenever $f_n \in E(X)$, $f_n \rightarrow 0$ ($\mu - \Omega$) with $\sup_n \|f_n\|_{E(X)} < \infty$ imply $f_n \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$.

In view of [3, Theorem 2.3] a locally solid topology τ on $E(X)$ is uniformly μ -continuous iff it is generated by some family $\{\rho_\alpha : \alpha \in \{\alpha\}\}$ of uniformly μ -continuous pseudonorms defined on $E(X)$.

It is easy to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. (i) If ρ is a uniformly μ -continuous pseudonorm on $E(X)$, then $\tilde{\rho}$ is a uniformly μ -continuous pseudonorm on E (i.e. $u_n \in E$ $u_n \rightarrow 0$ ($\mu - \Omega$) with $\sup_n \|u_n\|_E < \infty$ imply $\tilde{\rho}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$).

(ii) If p is a uniformly μ -continuous pseudonorm on E , then \bar{p} is a uniformly μ -continuous pseudonorm on $E(X)$.

From Lemma 2.1 we easily get the following theorem that explains the relationship between uniformly μ -continuous topologies on E and $E(X)$.

Theorem 2.2. (i) If τ is a uniformly μ -continuous topology on $E(X)$, then $\tilde{\tau}$ is a uniformly μ -continuous topology on E .

(ii) If ξ is a uniformly μ -continuous topology on E , then $\bar{\xi}$ is a uniformly μ -continuous topology on $E(X)$.

We shall need the following result.

Theorem 2.3. (i) If τ is the finest uniformly μ -continuous topology on $E(X)$, then $\tilde{\tau}$ is the finest uniformly μ -continuous topology on E .

(ii) If ξ is the finest uniformly μ -continuous topology on E , then $\bar{\xi}$ is the finest uniformly μ -continuous topology on $E(X)$.

PROOF: (i) Let ξ be a uniformly μ -continuous topology on E . By Theorem 2.2 $\bar{\xi}$ is a uniformly μ -continuous topology on $E(X)$, so $\bar{\xi} \subset \tau$. By [3, Theorem 3.3] and Theorem 1.3 $\xi = \tilde{\xi} \subset \tilde{\tau}$, as desired.

(ii) Let τ be a uniformly μ -continuous topology on $E(X)$. By Theorem 2.2 $\tilde{\tau}$ is a uniformly μ -continuous topology on E , so $\tilde{\tau} \subset \xi$. By [3, Theorem 3.3] and Theorem 1.3 $\tau = \bar{\tau} \subset \bar{\xi}$, as desired. □

Now we are going to give a description of uniformly μ -continuous topologies on Orlicz-Bochner spaces. We start with the following definition.

Definition 2.2. A solid pseudonorm ρ on $E(X)$ is said to be *uniformly summable* whenever the following conditions hold:

For every $r > 0$

$$(*) \quad \sup\{\rho(\chi_{A(f,\lambda)}f) : f \in E(X), \|f\|_{E(X)} \leq r\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0_+,$$

where $A(f, \lambda) = \{\omega \in \Omega : \|f(\omega)\|_X \leq \lambda \text{ or } \|f(\omega)\|_X > \frac{1}{\lambda}\}$ for $0 < \lambda < 1$ and

$$(**) \quad \rho(\bar{\chi}_A) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \mu(A) \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 2.4. Let φ be an arbitrary Orlicz function and ψ be a finite valued Orlicz function such that $\psi \ll \varphi$. Then the F -norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^\psi(X)}$ (restricted to $L^\varphi(X)$) is uniformly summable on $L^\varphi(X)$.

PROOF: Since $\psi \ll \varphi$, so $L^\varphi \subset L^\psi$ (see [11, 0.2.5, 0.3.5]). Hence $L^\varphi(X) \subset L^\psi(X)$. Let $r > 0, \varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $\eta > 0$ such that $\eta(r + 1) < \varepsilon$ and let $c = \frac{\varepsilon}{r+1}$. Then there exist $0 < t_1 < t_2$ such that $\psi(t) \leq \eta\varphi(ct)$ for $0 \leq t < t_1$ or $t > t_2$, and choose $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\lambda_0 \leq \varepsilon t_1$ and $\frac{1}{\lambda_0} > \varepsilon t_2$. Hence for $f \in L^\varphi(X)$ and $\|f\|_{L^\varphi(X)} \leq r$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} M_\psi\left(\frac{\chi_{A(f,\lambda)}f}{\varepsilon}\right) &= \int_{A(f,\lambda)} \psi\left(\frac{\|f(\omega)\|_X}{\varepsilon}\right) d\mu \leq \int_{A(f,\lambda)} \eta\varphi\left(c\frac{\|f(\omega)\|_X}{\varepsilon}\right) d\mu \\ &\leq \int_\Omega \eta\varphi\left(\frac{\|f(\omega)\|_X}{r+1}\right) d\mu \leq \eta(r+1) < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for every $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0$. It follows that $\|\chi_{A(f,\lambda)}f\|_{L^\psi(X)} \leq \varepsilon$ for every $f \in L^\varphi(X), \|f\|_{L^\varphi(X)} \leq r$ and $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0$. This means that for $r > 0$

$$\sup\{\|\chi_{A(f,\lambda)}f\|_{L^\psi(X)} : f \in L^\varphi(X), \|f\|_{L^\varphi(X)} \leq r\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0_+.$$

Now, choose $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < \delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{\psi(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})}$. Then $M_\psi\left(\frac{\bar{\chi}_A}{\varepsilon}\right) = \int_A \psi\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) d\mu = \mu(A) \cdot \psi\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \delta \cdot \psi\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) < \varepsilon$ for every $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) \leq \delta$. Hence $\|\bar{\chi}_A\|_{L^\psi(X)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\mu(A) \rightarrow 0$, and the proof is finished. □

Remark 2.1. Let φ be an Orlicz function such that $\varphi(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\varphi_0 \prec\prec \varphi$ and it follows that the F -norm $\|\cdot\|_\mu^X$ is uniformly summable on $L^\varphi(X)$.

Theorem 2.5. Let φ be an Orlicz function such that $\varphi(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. For a solid pseudonorm ρ on $L^\varphi(X)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) ρ is uniformly summable;
- (ii) ρ is uniformly μ -continuous.

PROOF: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Take a sequence (f_n) in $L^\varphi(X)$ such that $f_n \rightarrow 0$ ($\mu - \Omega$) and $\sup_n \|f_n\|_{L^\varphi(X)} \leq r$ for some $r > 0$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\sup_n \rho(\chi_{A(f_n, \lambda_0)} f_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Moreover, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\rho(\bar{\chi}_A) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\left(\left[\frac{1}{\lambda_0}\right] + 1\right)} \text{ whenever } A \in \Sigma \text{ with } \mu(A) \leq \delta.$$

Since $f_n \rightarrow 0$ ($\mu - \Omega$), we can find a natural number k such that for all $n \geq k$

$$\mu(\Omega \setminus A(f_n, \lambda_0)) \leq \mu(\{\omega \in \Omega : \|f_n(\omega)\|_X > \lambda_0\}) \leq \delta.$$

Hence for $n \geq k$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f_n) &= \rho(\chi_{A(f_n, \lambda_0)} f_n + \chi_{\Omega \setminus A(f_n, \lambda_0)} f_n) \leq \rho(\chi_{A(f_n, \lambda_0)} f_n) \\ &\quad + \rho(\chi_{\Omega \setminus A(f_n, \lambda_0)} f_n) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \rho\left(\left(\left[\frac{1}{\lambda_0}\right] + 1\right) \bar{\chi}_{\Omega \setminus A(f_n, \lambda_0)}\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \left(\left[\frac{1}{\lambda_0}\right] + 1\right) \rho(\bar{\chi}_{\Omega \setminus A(f_n, \lambda_0)}) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \left(\left[\frac{1}{\lambda_0}\right] + 1\right) \frac{\varepsilon}{2\left(\left[\frac{1}{\lambda_0}\right] + 1\right)} \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\rho(f_n) \rightarrow 0$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) For $r > 0$ let $B_X^\varphi(r) = \{f \in L^\varphi(X) : \|f\|_{L^\varphi(X)} \leq r\}$, $B_X^\rho(r) = \{f \in L^\varphi(X) : \rho(f) \leq r\}$, $B_X^\mu(r) = \{f \in L^{\varphi_0}(X) : \|f\|_\mu^X \leq r\}$. By (ii) the identity map

$$id : (B_X^\varphi(r), \mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{B_X^\varphi(r)}) \rightarrow (B_X^\rho(r), \tau(\rho)|_{B_X^\varphi(r)})$$

is continuous at zero for any $r > 0$, where $\tau(\rho)$ denotes the topology on $L^\varphi(X)$ generated by ρ . Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $r > 0$ be given. There exists $\eta > 0$ such that $B_X^\mu(\eta) \cap B_X^\varphi(r) \subset B_X^\rho(\varepsilon)$. Since $\|\cdot\|_\mu^X$ is uniformly summable on $L^\varphi(X)$ (see Remark 2.1) there exists $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\sup\{\|\chi_{A(f, \lambda)} f\|_\mu^X : f \in L^\varphi(X), \|f\|_{L^\varphi(X)} \leq r\} \leq \eta \text{ whenever } 0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0.$$

Then $\sup\{\rho(\chi_{A(f,\lambda)}f) : f \in L^\varphi(X), \|f\|_{L^\varphi(X)} \leq r\} \leq \varepsilon$ whenever $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0$. Hence $\sup\{\rho(\chi_{A(f,\lambda)}f) : f \in L^\varphi(X), \|f\|_{L^\varphi(X)} \leq r\} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0_+$.

Moreover, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|\overline{\chi}_A\|_\mu^X \leq \eta$ for $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) \leq \delta$. Then $\rho(\overline{\chi}_A) \leq \varepsilon$ whenever $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) \leq \delta$. It follows that $\rho(\overline{\chi}_A) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mu(A) \rightarrow 0$.

Thus ρ is a uniformly summable pseudonorm on $L^\varphi(X)$. □

Theorem 2.6. *Let φ be an Orlicz function such that $\varphi(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. For a locally solid topology τ on $L^\varphi(X)$ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) τ is uniformly μ -continuous;
- (ii) $\tau|_{B_X^\varphi(r)} \subset \mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{B_X^\varphi(r)}$ for every $r > 0$;
- (iii) τ is generated by some family of uniformly summable pseudonorms.

PROOF: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since $\mathcal{T}_\mu(X)$ is a linear metrizable topology, it follows from Definition 2.1 (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Obvious.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) Let τ be defined by the family $\{\rho_\alpha : \alpha \in \{\alpha\}\}$ of solid pseudonorms. Then by Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.5 τ is generated by the family $\{\rho_\alpha : \alpha \in \{\alpha\}\}$ of uniformly summable pseudonorms.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) It follows from Theorem 2.5. □

3. Generalized mixed topologies on Orlicz-Bochner spaces

In this section we consider some kind of inductive limit topology on Orlicz-Bochner space $L^\varphi(X)$.

Let φ be an arbitrary Orlicz function, and let

$$F_n^X = B_X^\varphi(2^n) \text{ and } \mathcal{T}_n(X) = \mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{F_n^X} \text{ for } n \geq 0.$$

It can be seen that the metric bounded sets F_n^X ($n \geq 0$) are balanced subsets of $L^\varphi(X)$. Moreover, the sequence $(F_n^X, \mathcal{T}_n(X))$ ($n \geq 0$) of balanced topological spaces satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $L^\varphi(X) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} F_n^X$;
- (ii) $F_n^X + F_n^X \subset F_{n+1}^X$, and the function

$$F_n^X \times F_n^X \ni (f, g) \mapsto f + g \in F_{n+1}^X$$

is continuous ($n \geq 0$);

- (iii) the function $[-1, 1] \times F_n^X \ni (\lambda, f) \mapsto \lambda \cdot f \in F_n^X$ is continuous ($n \geq 0$);
- (iv) $\mathcal{T}_{n+1}(X)|_{F_n^X} = \mathcal{T}_n(X)$ for $n \geq 0$.

Thus the space $L^\varphi(X)$ with the system $\{(F_n^X, \mathcal{T}_n(X)) : n \geq 0\}$ comes under the definition of the strict inductive limit of balanced topological spaces (in the sense of Turpin; see [11, Definition 1.1.1]).

Definition 3.1. Let φ be an Orlicz function and let (ε_n) be a sequence of positive numbers. The family of all sets of the form:

$$(*) \quad \bigcup_{N=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=0}^N B_X^\varphi(2^n) \cap B_X^\mu(\varepsilon_n) \right)$$

forms a base of neighbourhoods of zero for a linear topology $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$ on $L^\varphi(X)$ that will be called *generalized mixed topology*. $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$ is exactly the strict inductive limit topology of balanced topological spaces $\{(B_X^\varphi(2^n), \mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{B_X^\varphi(2^n)}) : n \geq 0\}$ in the sense of Turpin [11, Chapter I].

Using the solid decomposition property (see [3, Lemma 1.1]) it is easy to verify that the sets of the form $(*)$ are solid, so $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$ is locally solid.

According to [11, Theorem 1.1.6] $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$ is the finest of all linear topologies τ on $L^\varphi(X)$, which satisfy the condition

$$(1) \quad \tau|_{B_X^\varphi(2^n)} \subset \mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{B_X^\varphi(2^n)} \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

Moreover, in view of [11, Theorem 1.1.8] we have

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)|_{B_X^\varphi(2^n)} = \mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{B_X^\varphi(2^n)} \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{L^\varphi(X)} \subset \mathcal{T}_\varphi(X)$ we have $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X) \subset \mathcal{T}_\varphi(X)$; hence $\mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{L^\varphi(X)} \subset \mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X) \subset \mathcal{T}_\varphi(X)$.

Henceforth, we assume in this section that $\varphi(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3.1. *The topology $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$ is the finest uniformly μ -continuous topology on $L^\varphi(X)$.*

PROOF: It follows from (1) and Theorem 2.6. □

The generalized mixed topology \mathcal{T}_I^φ on Orlicz spaces L^φ has been studied in [11], [8], [9], [10]. Now we will extend the study of the generalized mixed topology to the Orlicz-Bochner spaces.

Theorem 3.2. *The space $(L^\varphi(X), \mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X))$ is complete.*

PROOF: First we show that the balls $B_X^\varphi(2^n)$ are closed subsets of $(L^{\varphi_0}(X), \mathcal{T}_\mu(X))$. Indeed, let (f_k) be a sequence in $B_X^\varphi(2^n)$ and let $f \in L^{\varphi_0}(X)$ be such that $f_k \rightarrow f$ for $\mathcal{T}_\mu(X)$. This means that $\mu(\{\omega \in \Omega : \|f_k(\omega) - f(\omega)\|_X > \varepsilon\}) \rightarrow 0$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence $\mu(\{\omega \in \Omega : \|\|f_k(\omega)\|_X - \|f(\omega)\|_X\| > \varepsilon\}) \rightarrow 0$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus $\tilde{f}_k \rightarrow \tilde{f}$ for \mathcal{T}_μ in L^{φ_0} . It is known that the balls $B_\varphi(2^n)$ are closed subsets of $(L^{\varphi_0}, \mathcal{T}_\mu)$ (see [11, 0.3.6]). But $\tilde{f}_k \in B_\varphi(2^n)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$), $\tilde{f} \in L^{\varphi_0}$, so we get $\tilde{f} \in B_\varphi(2^n)$. It follows that $f \in B_X^\varphi(2^n)$.

Since the spaces $(B_X^\varphi(2^n), \mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{B_X^\varphi(2^n)})$ ($n \geq 0$) are complete, by [11, Theorem 1.1.10] the space $(L^\varphi(X), \mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X))$ is complete. □

Theorem 3.3. For a subset $Z \subset L^\varphi(X)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $\sup\{\|f\|_{L^\varphi(X)} : f \in Z\} < \infty$;
- (ii) Z is bounded for $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$.

PROOF: Observe that the balls $B_X^\varphi(2^n)$ are bounded subsets of $(L^\varphi(X), \mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{L^\varphi(X)})$. In fact, fix an $r > 0$, let $f_n \in B_X^\varphi(r)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and let $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ let $\Omega_n(\varepsilon) = \{\omega \in \Omega : \|\lambda_n f_n(\omega)\|_X > \varepsilon\}$. Then we have

$$\mu(\Omega_n(\varepsilon)) \cdot \varphi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{r|\lambda_n|}\right) \leq \int_{\Omega_n(\varepsilon)} \varphi\left(\frac{\|f_n(\omega)\|_X}{r}\right) d\mu \leq M_\varphi\left(\frac{f_n}{r}\right) \leq r.$$

Since $\varphi(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$ we get $\mu(\Omega_n(\varepsilon)) \rightarrow 0$ and this means that $\lambda_n f_n \rightarrow 0$ for $\mathcal{T}_\mu(X)$.

Moreover the balls $B_X^\varphi(2^n)$ are also closed in $(L^\varphi(X), \mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{L^\varphi(X)})$. In view of (1) and (2) $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$ is the finest of all linear topologies τ on $L^\varphi(X)$ such that $\tau|_{B_X^\varphi(2^n)} = \mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{B_X^\varphi(2^n)}$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Hence by [11, Corollary 1.1.12] the equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) holds. □

Theorem 3.4. For a subset $Z \subset L^\varphi(X)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) Z is relatively compact for $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$;
- (ii) Z is relatively compact for $\mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{L^\varphi(X)}$ and $\sup\{\|f\|_{L^\varphi(X)} : f \in Z\} < \infty$.

PROOF: follows from Theorem 3.3 and (2). □

Definition 3.2. A sequence (f_n) in $L^\varphi(X)$ is said to be γ_φ^X -convergent to $f \in L^\varphi(X)$, in symbols $f_n \xrightarrow{\gamma_\varphi} f$, whenever

$$f_n \rightarrow f \ (\mu - \Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_n \|f_n\|_{L^\varphi(X)} < \infty.$$

Theorem 3.5. For a sequence (f_n) in $L^\varphi(X)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $f_n \rightarrow 0$ for $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$;
- (ii) $f_n \xrightarrow{\gamma_\varphi} 0$.

Moreover, $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$ is the finest of all linear topologies τ on $L^\varphi(X)$ which satisfy the condition:

$$(+)\quad f_n \xrightarrow{\gamma_\varphi} 0 \text{ implies } f_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } \tau.$$

PROOF: The equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) follows immediately from Theorem 3.3 and (2). Now let τ be a linear topology on $L^\varphi(X)$ for which the condition (+) holds. Then $\tau|_{B_X^\varphi(r)} \subset \mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{B_X^\varphi(r)}$ for $r > 0$, because $\mathcal{T}_\mu(X)$ is a linear metrizable topology. Hence by (1) we get $\tau \subset \mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$. □

Definition 3.3. Let (Y, η) be a linear topological space. A linear mapping $T : L^\varphi(X) \rightarrow Y$ is said to be γ_φ -linear, whenever

$$f_n \xrightarrow{\gamma_\varphi} 0 \text{ implies } T(f_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } \eta.$$

Then following theorem gives a characterization of γ_φ -linear operators on $L^\varphi(X)$.

Theorem 3.6. For a linear topological space (Y, η) and a linear mapping $T : L^\varphi(X) \rightarrow Y$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is $(\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X), \eta)$ -continuous;
- (ii) T is γ_φ -linear;
- (iii) for every $r > 0$, the restriction $T|_{B_X^\varphi(r)}$ is $(\mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{B_X^\varphi(r)}, \eta)$ -continuous.

PROOF: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) It follows from Theorem 3.5.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Obvious.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let W be a neighbourhood of zero in Y for η . Since η is a linear topology, there exists a sequence $(W_n : n \geq 0)$ of neighbourhoods of zero for η such that $\sum_{n=0}^N W_n \subset W$ for every $N \geq 0$. By (iii) we can find a sequence $(\varepsilon_n : n \geq 0)$ of positive numbers such that $T(B_X^\varphi(2^n) \cap B_X^\mu(\varepsilon_n)) \subset W_n$ for $n \geq 0$. Thus for $N \geq 0$ we have

$$T\left(\sum_{n=0}^N (B_X^\varphi(2^n) \cap B_X^\mu(\varepsilon_n))\right) \subset \sum_{n=0}^N W_n \subset W,$$

so

$$T\left(\bigcup_{N=0}^\infty \left(\sum_{n=0}^N (B_X^\varphi(2^n) \cap B_X^\mu(\varepsilon_n))\right)\right) \subset \bigcup_{N=0}^\infty T\left(\sum_{n=0}^N (B_X^\varphi(2^n) \cap B_X^\mu(\varepsilon_n))\right) \subset W.$$

It follows that T is $(\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X), \eta)$ -continuous. □

Theorem 3.7. Assume that (Ω, Σ, μ) is an atomless measure space or that μ is the counting measure on \mathbb{N} . If $(L^\varphi(X), \mathcal{T}_\varphi(X))$ is a locally bounded space then for a subset Z of $L^\varphi(X)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) Z is bounded for $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$;
- (ii) $\sup\{\|f\|_{L^\varphi(X)} : f \in Z\} < \infty$;
- (iii) Z is bounded for $\mathcal{T}_\varphi(X)$.

PROOF: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) See Theorem 3.3.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) In view of [11, 0.3.10.2] $\sup\{\|f\|_{L^\varphi(X)} : f \in Z\} < \infty$ iff Z is additively bounded (see [11, 0.3.10.1]), so arguing as in the proof of [9, Lemma 2.5] we obtain that Z is bounded for $\mathcal{T}_\varphi(X)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Obvious. □

The next theorem compares the topology $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$ with the mixed topology $\gamma[\mathcal{T}_\varphi(X), \mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{L^\varphi(X)}]$ in the sense of Wiweger (see [12]).

Theorem 3.8. *Assume that (Ω, Σ, μ) is an atomless measure space or that μ is the counting measure on \mathbb{N} . If $(L^\varphi(X), \mathcal{T}_\varphi(X))$ is a locally bounded space, then the generalized mixed topology $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$ coincides with the mixed topology $\gamma[\mathcal{T}_\varphi(X), \mathcal{T}_\mu(X)|_{L^\varphi(X)}]$.*

PROOF: In view of Theorem 3.7 it follows from [12, 2.2.1, 2.2.2]. □

An Orlicz function φ continuous for all $u \geq 0$, taking only finite values, vanishing only at zero and not bounded is usually called a φ -function. By Φ we will denote the collection of all φ -functions.

A Young function φ vanishing only at zero and taking only finite values is called an N -function whenever $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. By Φ_N we will denote the collection of all N -functions.

Let Φ_1 be the set of all Orlicz functions φ vanishing only at zero and such that $\varphi(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{11} &= \{\varphi \in \Phi_1 : \varphi(t) < \infty \text{ for } t \geq 0\}, \\ \Phi_{12} &= \{\varphi \in \Phi_1 : \varphi \text{ jumps to } \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Phi_1 = \Phi_{11} \cup \Phi_{12}$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\varphi \in \Phi_{1i}$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then the topology $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$ is generated by the family of solid F -norms:*

$$\{\|\cdot\|_{L^\psi(X)} : \psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi\},$$

where $\Psi_{11}^\varphi = \{\psi \in \Phi : \psi \prec\prec \varphi\}$, $\Psi_{12}^\varphi = \{\psi \in \Phi : \psi \prec^s \prec \varphi\}$.

Moreover, the following identities hold:

$$(3) \quad L^\varphi(X) = \bigcap \{L^\psi(X) : \psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi\} = \bigcap \{E^\psi(X) : \psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi\}.$$

PROOF: Let $\varphi \in \Phi_{1i}$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then \mathcal{T}_I^φ is the finest uniformly μ -continuous topology on L^φ (see [10, Theorem 2.4]) and is generated by the family $\{\|\cdot\|_\psi : \psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi\}$ (see [10, Theorem 4.5, Theorem 3.8]). Then the topology $\overline{\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi}$ on $L^\varphi(X)$ is generated by the family $\{\|\cdot\|_{L^\psi(X)} : \psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi\}$ of solid F -norms and by Theorem 2.3 $\overline{\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi}$ is the finest uniformly μ -continuous topology on $L^\varphi(X)$. By Theorem 3.1 $\overline{\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi} = \mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$, and we are done.

The identities (3) follow from [10, Theorem 3.1]. □

Let Φ_1^c be the set of all Young functions φ vanishing only at zero and such that $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{11}^c &= \{\varphi \in \Phi_1^c : \varphi(t) < \infty \text{ for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0\}, \\ \Phi_{12}^c &= \{\varphi \in \Phi_1^c : \varphi \text{ jumps to } \infty \text{ and } \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi_{13}^c = \{\varphi \in \Phi_1^c : \varphi(t) < \infty \text{ for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \rightarrow a \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \text{ for some } a > 0\},$$

$$\Phi_{14}^c = \{\varphi \in \Phi_1^c : \varphi \text{ jumps to } \infty \text{ and } \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \rightarrow a \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \text{ for some } a > 0\}.$$

Then $\Phi_1^c = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \Phi_{1i}^c$ and the sets Φ_{1i}^c ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are pairwise disjoint. It can be seen that $\Phi_{11}^c = \Phi_N$.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\varphi \in \Phi_{1i}^c$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Then the topology $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$ is generated by the family of solid norms*

$$\{\|\cdot\|_{L^\psi(X)} : \psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi(N)\},$$

where $\Psi_{11}^\varphi(N) = \{\psi \in \Phi_N : \psi \prec\prec \varphi\}$, $\Psi_{12}^\varphi(N) = \{\psi \in \Phi_N : \psi \prec\prec^s \varphi\}$,

$$\Psi_{13}^\varphi(N) = \{\psi \in \Phi_N : \psi \prec\prec^1 \varphi\}, \quad \Psi_{14}^\varphi(N) = \Phi_N.$$

Moreover, the following identities hold:

$$(4) \quad L^\varphi(X) = \bigcap \{L^\psi(X) : \psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi(N)\} = \bigcap \{E^\psi(X) : \psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi(N)\}.$$

PROOF: Let $\varphi \in \Phi_{1i}^c$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Then \mathcal{T}_I^φ is the finest uniformly μ -continuous topology on L^φ (see [10, Theorem 2.4]) and is generated by the family $\{\|\cdot\|_\psi : \psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi(N)\}$ (see [10, Theorem 3.12 and Theorem 4.5]). Then the topology $\overline{\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi}$ on $L^\varphi(X)$ is generated by the family $\{\|\cdot\|_{L^\psi(X)} : \psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi(N)\}$ of solid norms, and by Theorem 2.3 $\overline{\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi}$ is the finest uniformly μ -continuous topology on $L^\varphi(X)$. By Theorem 3.1 $\overline{\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi} = \mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$, as desired.

The identities (4) follow from [10, Theorem 3.2]. □

As an application of Theorem 3.10 we get a characterization of uniformly μ -continuous seminorms on $L^\varphi(X)$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\varphi \in \Phi_{1i}^c$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Then for a solid seminorm ρ on $L^\varphi(X)$ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) ρ is uniformly μ -continuous;
- (ii) there exist $\psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi(N)$ and a number $a > 0$ such that

$$\rho(f) \leq a \|f\|_{L^\psi(X)} \text{ for all } f \in L^\varphi(X).$$

PROOF: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$ is the finest uniformly μ -continuous topology on $L^\varphi(X)$ (see Theorem 3.1), in view of Theorem 3.10 and [4, Chapter 4, §18(4)] there exist $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi(N)$ and a number $a > 0$ such that

$$\rho(f) \leq a \max(\|f\|_{L^{\psi_1}(X)}, \dots, \|f\|_{L^{\psi_n}(X)}) \text{ for all } f \in L^\varphi(X).$$

Let $\psi(u) = \max(\psi_1(u), \dots, \psi_n(u))$ for $u \geq 0$. Then $\psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi(N)$ and $\|f\|_{L^{\psi_i}(X)} \leq \|f\|_{L^\psi(X)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and all $f \in L^\varphi(X)$, so

$$\rho(f) \leq a\|f\|_{L^\psi(X)} \text{ for all } f \in L^\varphi(X).$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) It is obvious, because for each $\psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi(X)$, $\|\cdot\|_{L^\psi(X)}$ is a uniformly μ -continuous norm on $L^\varphi(X)$. □

To present the general form of $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$ -continuous linear functionals on $L^\varphi(X)$ we recall the terminology concerning some spaces of X -weak measurable functions (see [2]).

Given a function $g : \Omega \rightarrow X^*$ and $x \in X$ we denote by g_x the real function on Ω defined by $g_x(\omega) = g(\omega)(x)$. A function g is said to be X -weak measurable if the functions g_x are measurable for each $x \in X$. We say that two X -weak measurable functions g_1, g_2 are equivalent whenever $g_1(\omega)(x) = g_2(\omega)(x)$ μ -a.e. for all $x \in X$.

By $L^0(X^*, X)$ we denote the linear space of equivalence classes of all X -weak measurable functions $g : \Omega \rightarrow X^*$. It is known that the set $\{|g_x| : x \in B_X\}$ is order bounded in L^0 for every $g \in L^0(X^*, X)$.

The function $\vartheta : L^0(X^*, X) \rightarrow L^0$ defined by

$$\vartheta(g) = \sup\{|g_x| : x \in B_X\} \text{ for } g \in L^0(X^*, X)$$

is called an abstract norm.

It is known that for $f \in L^0(X)$, $g \in L^0(X^*, X)$ the function $\langle f, g \rangle : \Omega \rightarrow R$ defined by $\langle f, g \rangle(\omega) = \langle f(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle = g(\omega)(f(\omega))$ is measurable and

$$|\langle f, g \rangle(\omega)| \leq \|f(\omega)\|_X \cdot \vartheta(g)(\omega) \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

For an ideal I of L^0 let

$$I(X^*, X) = \{g \in L^0(X^*, X) : \vartheta(g) \in I\}.$$

Theorem 3.12. *Let $\varphi \in \Phi_{1i}^c$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Then for a linear functional F on $L^\varphi(X)$ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) F is continuous for $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$;
- (ii) F is γ_φ -linear;
- (iii) there exists a unique $g \in E^{\varphi^*}(X^*, X)$ such that

$$F(f) = F_g(f) = \int_\Omega \langle f(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \text{ for } f \in L^\varphi(X).$$

PROOF: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) The equivalence follows from Theorem 3.6.
 (i) \Rightarrow (iii) Let $\varphi \in \Phi_{1i}^c$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). In view of Theorem 3.10 (see also the proof of Theorem 3.11) there exist $\psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi(N)$ and $r > 0$ such that F is bounded on $B_X^{(\psi)}(r) \cap L^\varphi(X)$, where $B_X^{(\psi)}(r) = \{f \in L^\psi(X) : \|f\|_{L^\psi(X)} \leq r\}$. This means that F is continuous on the linear subspace $(L^\varphi(X), \mathcal{T}_\psi(X)|_{L^\varphi(X)})$ of the normed space $(E^\psi(X), \mathcal{T}_\psi(X)|_{E^\psi(X)})$. Hence by the Hahn-Banach extension theorem there exists a $\mathcal{T}_\psi(X)|_{E^\psi(X)}$ -continuous linear functional \bar{F} on $E^\psi(X)$ such that $\bar{F}(f) = F(f)$ for $f \in L^\varphi(X)$. Since $E^\psi = L_a^\psi$, we get $E^\psi(X) = L_a^\psi(X)$. By [2, Corollary 4.1] there exists a unique $g \in (L_a^\psi)'(X^*, X)$ such that

$$\bar{F}(f) = \int_{\Omega} \langle f(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \text{ for } f \in L_a^\psi(X).$$

But $(L_a^\psi)' = L^{\psi^*}$ (see [6, p.56]), so by [10, Corollary 3.5] we get $L^{\psi^*} \subset E^{\varphi^*}$. Finally, there exists a unique $g \in E^{\varphi^*}(X^*, X)$ such that

$$\bar{F}(f) = \int_{\Omega} \langle f(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \text{ for } f \in L_a^\psi(X).$$

Hence

$$F(f) = F_g(f) = \int_{\Omega} \langle f(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \text{ for } f \in L^\varphi(X).$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $\varphi \in \Phi_{1i}^c$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). According to [10, Corollary 3.5] there exists $\psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi(N)$ such that $g \in L^{\psi^*}(X^*, X)$. Then $L^\varphi(X) \subset E^\psi(X) \subset L^\psi(X)$. Moreover, by [2, Theorem 1.1] using the Hölder's inequality we get for $f \in L^\varphi(X)$

$$\begin{aligned} |F_g(f)| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\langle f(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle| d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} \|f(\omega)\|_X \cdot \vartheta(g)(\omega) d\mu \\ &\leq 2\|f\|_{\tilde{\psi}} \cdot \|\vartheta(g)\|_{\psi^*} = 2\|f\|_{L^\psi(X)} \cdot \|\vartheta(g)\|_{\psi^*}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that F_g is $\mathcal{T}_\psi(X)|_{L^\varphi(X)}$ -continuous, so F_g is $\mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$ -continuous, because $\mathcal{T}_\psi(X)|_{L^\varphi(X)} \subset \mathcal{T}_I^\varphi(X)$ by Theorem 3.10.

Thus the proof is complete. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Aliprantis C.D., Burkinshaw O., *Locally Solid Riesz Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [2] Bukhvalov A.V., *On an analytic representation of operators with abstract norm* (in Russian), *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved.* **11** (1975), 21–32.
- [3] Feledziak K., Nowak M., *Locally solid topologies on vector valued function spaces*, *Collect. Math.*, to appear.
- [4] Köthe G., *Topological Vector Spaces I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1983.

- [5] Krasnoselskii M., Rutickii Ya.B., *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, 1961.
- [6] Luxemburg W.A., *Banach Function Spaces*, Delft, 1955.
- [7] Nowak M., *On two linear topologies on Orlicz spaces $L^{*\varphi}$, I*, Comment. Math. **23** (1983), 71–84.
- [8] Nowak M., *Inductive limit of a sequence of balanced topological spaces in Orlicz spaces $L_E^{*\varphi}(\mu)$* , Comment. Math. **25** (1985), 295–313.
- [9] Nowak M., *On some linear topology in Orlicz spaces $L_E^{*\varphi}(\mu)$, I*, Comment. Math. **26** (1986), 51–68.
- [10] Nowak M., *A generalized mixed topology on Orlicz spaces*, Revista Matematica **7** (1) (1994), 27–56.
- [11] Turpin P., *Convexités dans les espaces vectoriels topologiques généraux*, Dissertationes Math. **131** (1976), 221 pp.
- [12] Wiweger A., *Linear spaces with mixed topology*, Studia Math. **20** (1961), 47–68.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, T. KOTARBINSKI PEDAGOGICAL UNIVERSITY,
PL. SŁOWIAŃSKI 9, 65–069 ZIELONA GÓRA, POLAND

(Received March 4, 1997)