

Jan J. Dijkstra; Jerzy Mogilski

The ambient homeomorphy of certain function and sequence spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 37 (1996), No. 3, 595--611

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118866>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

The ambient homeomorphy of certain function and sequence spaces

JAN J. DIJKSTRA, JERZY MOGILSKI

Abstract. In this paper we consider a number of sequence and function spaces that are known to be homeomorphic to the countable product of the linear space σ . The spaces we are interested in have a canonical imbedding in both a topological Hilbert space and a Hilbert cube. It turns out that when we consider these spaces as subsets of a Hilbert cube then there is only one topological type. For imbeddings in the countable product of lines there are two types depending on whether the space is contained in a σ -compactum or not.

Keywords: Hilbert space, Hilbert cube, $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber, ambient homeomorphism, function space, p -summable sequence

Classification: 57N20

1. Introduction

The focus of our investigation are so-called $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbers in topological Hilbert spaces and Hilbert cubes ($\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ stands for the class of all absolute $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -sets). $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbers are the “maximal” elements for that Borel class. The standard example of an $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber is the subset $\sigma^{\mathbf{N}}$ in the product space $s^{\mathbf{N}}$, where $s = \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}$ and

$$\sigma = \{x \in s : x_i = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } i\}.$$

Let X be an arbitrary countable completely regular space that is not discrete. Let $C_p(X)$ stand for the subset of the product space \mathbf{R}^X consisting of the continuous functions from X into \mathbf{R} . It was shown by Dobrowolski, Marciszewski and Mogilski [7], [4] that $C_p(X)$ is a generalized $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber (and hence homeomorphic to $\sigma^{\mathbf{N}}$) whenever $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$. Jan van Mill proved essentially in [11] that the pair $(\mathbf{R}^X, C_p(X))$ is homeomorphic to $(s^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$ provided that X is a metrizable space that is not locally compact. This led Dobrowolski and Mogilski [8, 6.11] to ask the following question: is (s, c_0) (or, equivalently, is $(\mathbf{R}^{\widehat{\mathbf{N}}}, C_p(\widehat{\mathbf{N}}))$) homeomorphic to $(s^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$, where

$$c_0 = \{x \in s : \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = 0\}$$

and $\widehat{\mathbf{N}}$ is the convergent sequence? The answer to this question is no because $\sigma^{\mathbf{N}}$ contains a copy of Hilbert space that is closed in $s^{\mathbf{N}}$ where as c_0 is contained in the σ -compactum Σ consisting of the bounded sequences in s . We investigate the natural extension of the question to: for which X is $(\mathbf{R}^X, C_p(X))$ homeomorphic to $(s^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$?

Theorem 1.1. *The pairs $(\mathbf{R}^X, C_p(X))$ and $(s^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$ are homeomorphic if and only if X is not compact and $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$.*

If $p \in (0, \infty)$ let l_p be the subset of s consisting of the p -summable sequences. Put $\tilde{l}_p = \bigcap_{q > p} l_q$ for $p \in [0, \infty)$. It was shown by Dobrowolski and Mogilski [9], [4] that every \tilde{l}_p is homeomorphic to $\sigma^{\mathbf{N}}$ but it is easily seen that \tilde{l}_p is not an $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in s . We have the following result:

Theorem 1.2. *If X is compact and $p \in [0, \infty)$ then $(\mathbf{R}^X, C_p(X))$ and (s, \tilde{l}_p) are homeomorphic to (s, c_0) .*

Consider the canonical compactifications $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{N}}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^X$ of s and \mathbf{R}^X , where $\widehat{\mathbf{R}} = [-\infty, \infty]$. Throughout this paper the Hilbert cube Q is represented by $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{N}}$ and its pseudointerior s by $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}$. In the Hilbert cube the distinction between the two types of imbeddings disappears:

Theorem 1.3. *If $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ and if $p \in [0, \infty)$ then $(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^X, C_p(X))$ and (Q, \tilde{l}_p) are both homeomorphic to $(Q^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$.*

2. Absorbing systems

The material in this section has been taken from the papers [5] and [6]. For background information on infinite-dimensional topology see Bessaga and Pełczyński [2] or van Mill [12].

Throughout this section let E denote either a topological Hilbert space or Hilbert cube. Let Γ be a fixed index set. A collection $\mathcal{X} = (X_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of subsets of the space E (formally the pair (E, \mathcal{X})) is called a Z -system if $\bigcup\{X_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is contained in a σZ -set of E . Let Δ be a subset of Γ . We say that a Z -system (E, \mathcal{X}) is Δ -imbeddable in (Δ -homeomorphic to) a Z -system (E', \mathcal{Y}) if there exists a closed imbedding (homeomorphism) $f : E \rightarrow E'$ such that $f^{-1}(Y_\gamma) = X_\gamma$ for each $\gamma \in \Delta$. The map f is called a Δ -imbedding (Δ -homeomorphism). If $\Delta = \Gamma$ then we simply say that \mathcal{X} is imbeddable in (homeomorphic to) \mathcal{Y} . (Maps are assumed to be continuous.)

A Z -system \mathcal{X} is called *reflexively universal* if for every map $f : E \rightarrow E$ that restricts to a Z -imbedding on some closed set $K \subset E$, there exists a Z -imbedding $g : E \rightarrow E$ that can be chosen arbitrarily close to f with the properties: $g|K = f|K$ and $g^{-1}(X_\gamma) \setminus K = X_\gamma \setminus K$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. A Z -system \mathcal{X} is called *reflexively universal rel P* (a subset of E) if for every map $f : E \rightarrow E$ that restricts to a Z -imbedding on some closed set $K \subset E$, there exists a Z -imbedding $g : E \rightarrow E$ that can be chosen arbitrarily close to f with the properties: $g|K = f|K$, $g(E \setminus K) \subset P$, and $g^{-1}(X_\gamma) \setminus K = X_\gamma \setminus K$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. In our applications P is usually the pseudointerior s of Q .

These notions come together in the following result (see [5, Theorem 2.1] and [6, Theorem 2.1]).

Theorem 2.1.

- (a) Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be reflexively universal Z -systems in E respectively E' . If \mathcal{X} is Δ -imbeddable in \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{Y} is Δ -imbeddable in \mathcal{X} then \mathcal{X} is Δ -homeomorphic to \mathcal{Y} .
- (b) Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be reflexively universal rel s in Q and assume that $\bigcup_{\gamma} X_{\gamma}$ and $\bigcup_{\gamma} Y_{\gamma}$ are contained in a σ -compact subset of s . If \mathcal{X} is Δ -imbeddable in \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{Y} is Δ -imbeddable in \mathcal{X} then \mathcal{X} is Δ -homeomorphic to \mathcal{Y} via a homeomorphism that preserves s .

PROOF: We prove part (b). The proof for (a) is essentially the same. Let $\bigcup_{\gamma} X_{\gamma} \cup \bigcup_{\gamma} Y_{\gamma} \subset \bigcup_i A_i$ and let $B = Q \setminus s = \bigcup_i B_i$, where $\emptyset = A_0 \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots \subset s$ and $\emptyset = B_0 \subset B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots$ are sequences of Z -sets in Q . By induction we shall construct sequences of homeomorphisms $f_i : Q \rightarrow Q$ and $g_i = f_i \circ \dots \circ f_0$ with the properties (for each $\gamma \in \Delta$):

$$\begin{aligned} A_i \cap X_{\gamma} &= A_i \cap g_i^{-1}(Y_{\gamma}), \\ A_i \cap g_i(X_{\gamma}) &= A_i \cap Y_{\gamma}, \\ g_i(B) &= B, \\ f_i|_{(g_{i-1}(A_{i-1} \cup B_{i-1}) \cup A_{i-1} \cup B_{i-1})} &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

where 1 denotes the identity map. Put $f_0 = 1$.

Assume that f_i has been constructed. Put $K = g_i(A_i) \cup A_i$ and observe that $g_i(X_{\gamma}) \cap K = Y_{\gamma} \cap K$. Let $p : Q \rightarrow Q$ be a Δ -imbedding of the system \mathcal{X} into \mathcal{Y} . Then the inverse of $p \circ g_i^{-1}$ is defined on a closed subset of Q and can therefore be extended to a map $r : Q \rightarrow Q$. Since \mathcal{Y} is reflexively universal rel s and K is a subset of s we can approximate r by a Z -imbedding $\tilde{r} : Q \rightarrow s$ with the properties $\tilde{r}^{-1}(Y_{\gamma}) = Y_{\gamma}$ for each $\gamma \in \Delta$ and \tilde{r} coincides with r on $p \circ g_i^{-1}(K)$. Let α be the Z -imbedding $\tilde{r} \circ p \circ g_i^{-1}$ and note that α fixes K and that it has the property $\alpha^{-1}(Y_{\gamma}) = g_i(X_{\gamma})$ for each $\gamma \in \Delta$. Observe that $\alpha|_{g_i(A_{i+1}) \cup A_i}$ is a homeomorphism between compacta in s and hence it can be extended to a homeomorphism $\tilde{\alpha}$ of Q . Without loss of generality we may assume that $\tilde{\alpha}(g_i(B)) = B$ and $\alpha|_{g_i(B_i) \cup B_i} = 1$. This homeomorphism satisfies in addition:

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(Y_{\gamma}) \cap g_i(A_{i+1}) = g_i(X_{\gamma} \cap A_{i+1}).$$

By a similar argument we can find a homeomorphism $\tilde{\beta}$ of Q that fixes the set $\tilde{\alpha} \circ g_i(A_{i+1} \cup B_{i+1}) \cup A_i \cup B_i$ and that has the properties $\tilde{\beta}(B) = \tilde{\alpha} \circ g_i(B)$ and

$$\tilde{\beta}^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha} \circ g_i(X_{\gamma})) \cap A_{i+1} = Y_{\gamma} \cap A_{i+1}.$$

If we put $f_{i+1} = \tilde{\beta}^{-1} \circ \tilde{\alpha}$ then one can easily verify the induction hypothesis for $i + 1$. Since $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ and hence f_{i+1} can be chosen arbitrarily close to the identity we may assume that $h = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i$ is a homeomorphism of Q . The function h

maps X_γ onto Y_γ for each $\gamma \in \Delta$ and it maps the pseudoboundary B onto itself. □

A subset A is *locally homotopy negligible* in X if for every map $f : M \rightarrow X$ from an absolute neighborhood retract M and for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X there exists a homotopy $h : M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that $\{h(\{x\} \times [0, 1])\}_{x \in M}$ refines \mathcal{U} , $h(x, 0) = f(x)$ and $h(M \times (0, 1)) \subset X \setminus A$. A $\sigma\mathbb{Z}$ -set and the complement of a capset or fd-capset is always locally homotopy negligible.

For a space X and $* \in X$ we define the weak cartesian product

$$W(X, *) = \{x \in X^{\mathbb{N}} : x_i = * \text{ for all but finitely many } i\}.$$

The following lemma is essentially [5, Lemma 6.2] and [6, Proposition 3.6].

Lemma 2.2.

- (a) Let $\mathcal{X} = (X_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be a system in E such that $E \setminus \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$ is locally homotopy negligible in E and let $* \in \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$. Assume that there exists a homeomorphism $\Phi : E \rightarrow E^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

$$W(X_\gamma, *) \subset \Phi(X_\gamma) \subset X_\gamma^{\mathbb{N}}$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then \mathcal{X} is reflexively universal.

- (b) Let $\mathcal{X} = (X_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be a system in Q such that $\bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$ is a subset of s whose complement is locally homotopy negligible in Q and let $* \in \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$. Assume that (Q, \mathcal{X}) has a Γ -imbedding into itself whose image is contained in s . If there exists a homeomorphism $\Phi : E \rightarrow E^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying $s^{\mathbb{N}} \subset \Phi(s)$ and

$$W(X_\gamma, *) \subset \Phi(X_\gamma) \subset X_\gamma^{\mathbb{N}}$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ then \mathcal{X} is reflexively universal rel s .

Let Γ be an ordered set and let \mathcal{M}_γ be a collection of spaces for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Each \mathcal{M}_γ is assumed to be *topological* and *closed hereditary*. Let \mathcal{M} stand for the whole system $(\mathcal{M}_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$. Let $\mathcal{X} = (X_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be an order preserving indexed collection of subsets of a topological Hilbert cube (Hilbert space) E , i.e. $X_\gamma \subset X_{\gamma'}$ if and only if $\gamma \leq \gamma'$.

The system \mathcal{X} is called *\mathcal{M} -universal* if for every order preserving system $(A_\gamma)_\gamma$ in E such that $A_\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_\gamma$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there is a closed imbedding $f : E \rightarrow E$ with $f^{-1}(X_\gamma) = A_\gamma$. The system \mathcal{X} is called *strongly \mathcal{M} -universal rel $P \subset E$* if for every order preserving system $(A_\gamma)_\gamma$ in E such that $A_\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_\gamma$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and for every map $f : E \rightarrow E$ that restricts to a \mathbb{Z} -imbedding on some compact set K , there exists a \mathbb{Z} -imbedding $g : E \rightarrow E$ that can be chosen arbitrarily close to f with the properties: $g|K = f|K$, $g(E \setminus K) \subset P$, and $g^{-1}(X_\gamma) \setminus K = A_\gamma \setminus K$ for every γ . If \mathcal{X} is strongly \mathcal{M} -universal rel E in E then it is simply called

strongly \mathcal{M} -universal. Observe that X is strongly \mathcal{M} -universal (rel P) whenever X is \mathcal{M} -universal and reflexively universal (rel P). If $X_\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_\gamma$ then the converse is also true.

The system X is called \mathcal{M} -absorbing (rel P) if

- (1) $X_\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_\gamma$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$,
- (2) $\bigcup\{X_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is a \mathbf{Z} -system of E , and
- (3) X is strongly \mathcal{M} -universal (rel P).

The following uniqueness result follows immediately from Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.3.

- (a) If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are both \mathcal{M} -absorbing systems in E respectively E' then (E, \mathcal{X}) and (E', \mathcal{Y}) are homeomorphic, i.e. there is a homeomorphism $h : E \rightarrow E'$ such that $h(X_\gamma) = Y_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. If $E = E'$ then the map h can be found arbitrarily close to the identity.
- (b) If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are both \mathcal{M} -absorbing systems rel s in Q and $\bigcup_\gamma (X_\gamma \cup Y_\gamma)$ is contained in a σ -compactum of s , then (Q, s, \mathcal{X}) and (Q, s, \mathcal{Y}) are homeomorphic, i.e. the homeomorphism h maps the pseudointerior onto itself.

If the absorbing system consists of just one element X then we say that X is an \mathcal{M} -absorber. A *capset* is an absorber for the class of compacta. The standard examples of capsets are Σ in s and Q and the pseudoboundary $B = Q \setminus s$ in Q . An *fd-capset* is an absorber for the class of finite-dimensional compacta. Standard examples are σ in s and Q and

$$l_f^p = \{x \in l^p : x_i = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } i\}$$

in the Banach space l^p . The examples of $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbers are $\Sigma^{\mathbf{N}}$ and $\sigma^{\mathbf{N}}$ in $s^{\mathbf{N}}$ and $Q^{\mathbf{N}}$.

We finish this section with a few useful lemmas. The first concerns \mathbf{Z} -imbeddings (see [6, Lemma 3.2]). Let I denote the interval $[0, 1]$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let f and g be functions from a space X into the space E . Let $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow I$ be a map and let d be a metric on E such that f and g are ε -close (i.e. $d(f(x), g(x)) \leq \varepsilon(x)$ for $x \in X$) and $\varepsilon(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}d(f(x), f(\varepsilon^{-1}(0)))$ for $x \in X$. If f is a \mathbf{Z} -imbedding and $g|_{\varepsilon^{-1}([\delta, 1])}$ is a \mathbf{Z} -imbedding for each $\delta > 0$ then g is a \mathbf{Z} -imbedding.*

Recall that since maps into E can be approximated by \mathbf{Z} -imbeddings we have that if $f : X \rightarrow E$ and $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow I$ are continuous maps then there is a $g : X \rightarrow I$ that is ε -close to f and with the property $g|_{\varepsilon^{-1}([\delta, 1])}$ is a \mathbf{Z} -imbedding for each $\delta > 0$.

Lemma 2.5. *If \mathcal{X} is an \mathcal{M} -absorbing system in the pseudointerior of the Hilbert cube Q then it is also an \mathcal{M} -absorbing system in Q .*

PROOF: We only need to look at strong \mathcal{M} -universality. Let f be a map from Q to Q , A_γ an order preserving system from \mathcal{M} in Q , and let K be a closed subset

in Q . We may assume that f is a Z -imbedding with the property $f(Q \setminus K) \subset s$. Let d be some metric on Q , let d' be a complete metric on s with $d' \geq d$, and let $\varepsilon : Q \rightarrow I$ be an arbitrary map that satisfies the conditions $\varepsilon^{-1}(0) = K$ and $\varepsilon(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}d(f(x), f(K))$ for each $x \in Q$. Define the compacta $K_i = \varepsilon([0, 2^{-i+1}])$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. We shall construct inductively a sequence $g_i : Q \setminus K \rightarrow s$ of Z -imbeddings with induction hypothesis:

$$g_i^{-1}(X_\gamma) \setminus K_{i+1} = A_\gamma \setminus K_{i+1}.$$

Put $g_0 = f|_{Q \setminus K}$ and assume that g_i has been found. Since we can imbed $Q \setminus K$ as a closed subset of s and since $A_\gamma \setminus \text{int}(K_{i+2}) \in \mathcal{M}$ the strong universality of the system in s implies that we can find a Z -imbedding $g_{i+1} : Q \setminus K \rightarrow s$ that is $(\varepsilon 2^{-i-1})$ -close to g_i with respect to d' and with the additional properties:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{i+1}^{-1}(X_\gamma) \setminus K_{i+2} &= A_\gamma \setminus K_{i+2}, \\ g_{i+1}|_{\overline{Q \setminus K_i}} &= g_i|_{\overline{Q \setminus K_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since g_i is a Cauchy sequence with respect to d' we have that $g = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i$ exists. Obviously, $\tilde{g} = g \cup (f|_K)$ is ε -close to f . Since $\tilde{g}|_{\overline{Q \setminus K_i}} = g_i|_{\overline{Q \setminus K_i}}$ we have that $\tilde{g}|_{\varepsilon^{-1}([\delta, 1])}$ is a Z -imbedding for every $\delta > 0$. This means that according to Lemma 2.4 \tilde{g} is a Z -imbedding. One easily verifies that $\tilde{g}^{-1}(X_\gamma) \setminus K = A_\gamma \setminus K$ for every γ . □

The following lemma is a reformulation of [5, Lemma 6.4] with an identical proof.

Lemma 2.6. *If \mathcal{X} is strongly \mathcal{M} -universal rel P in Q and Y is a subset of a compact absolute retract M with a locally homotopy negligible complement, then $(X_\gamma \times Y)_\gamma$ is strongly \mathcal{M} -universal rel $P \times Y$ in $Q \times M$.*

3. Function spaces in the topology of pointwise convergence

In this section we prove the $C_p(X)$ parts of the theorems in the introduction. We first consider spaces with only one accumulation point, which leads us to free filters on the set \mathbf{N} .

Let $\mathfrak{F}_{\text{cof}}$ stand for the Fréchet filter on \mathbf{N} , i.e. $\mathfrak{F}_{\text{cof}} = \{A \subset \mathbf{N} : \mathbf{N} \setminus A \text{ is finite}\}$. Throughout this section let \mathfrak{F} stand for an arbitrary filter on \mathbf{N} that is free, i.e. it contains $\mathfrak{F}_{\text{cof}}$. Define the following subspaces of $s = \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\mathfrak{F}} &= \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}} : \lim_{\mathfrak{F}} x = 0\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}} : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists F \in \mathfrak{F} \text{ with } |x_a| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in F\} \end{aligned}$$

and for $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$X_n(\mathfrak{F}) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}} : \exists F \in \mathfrak{F} \text{ such that } |x_a| \leq 2^{-n} \text{ for all } a \in F\}.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{X} = (X_n)_n$ is a decreasing sequence of subsets of $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}$ with the property that its intersection is $c_{\mathfrak{F}}$.

Proposition 3.1. *If $\mathfrak{F} \neq \mathfrak{F}_{\text{cof}}$ and $c_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is absolute Borel then the system $\mathcal{X}(\mathfrak{F})$ is \mathcal{F}_{σ} -universal (and hence $c_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal) in $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}$.*

PROOF: We shall use the following fact: if A is an \mathcal{F}_{σ} -absorber in Q and A' is a σZ -set then for every σ -compactum C in Q there is an imbedding $f : Q \rightarrow Q$ such that $f^{-1}(A) = C$ and $f(Q \setminus C) \cap A' = \emptyset$ (cf. [5, Proposition 6.1]).

Since \mathfrak{F} is not the Fréchet filter we may choose an infinite set $N_0 \subset \mathbf{N}$ whose complement is in \mathfrak{F} . According to Lutzer and McCoy [10] there exists a partition $\{P_{ijk} : i, j, k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of $\mathbf{N} \setminus N_0$ consisting of finite sets such that for every $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ there is a $j \in \mathbf{N}$ with

$$F \cap P_{ijk} \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } i \text{ and } k.$$

Put $N_i = \bigcup_{j,k=1}^{\infty} P_{ijk}$ and for every $i \in \mathbf{N}$ define the Hilbert cube $Q_i = [-2^{-i+1}, 2^{-i+1}]^{N_i}$. For $i, j, k \in \mathbf{N}$ let π_{ijk} be the projection from Q_i onto the finite-dimensional cell $Z_{ijk} = [-2^{-i+1}, 2^{-i+1}]^{P_{ijk}}$. It is easily verified with the capset characterization theorem in Curtis [3] that

$$C_i = \{x \in Q_i : \exists k \in \mathbf{N} \text{ such that } |x_a| \leq 2^{k-a} \text{ for all } a \in N_i\}$$

is an \mathcal{F}_{σ} -absorber in Q_i . Observe that for every $x \in C_i$ we have $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} x_a = 0$. Since P_{ijk} is finite the set

$$B_{ijk} = \{x \in Z_{ijk} : |x_a| \leq 2^{-i} \text{ for some } a \in P_{ijk}\}$$

is compact for every $i, j, k \in \mathbf{N}$. By infinite deficiency the compactum $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \pi_{ijk}^{-1}(B_{ijk})$ is a Z -set in Q_i and hence

$$D_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \pi_{ijk}^{-1}(B_{ijk})$$

is a σZ -set.

Let $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots$ be a sequence of σ -compacta in Q . Let $f_0 : \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N_0}$ be a homeomorphism and let $f_i : \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}} \rightarrow Q_i$ ($i \in \mathbf{N}$) be an imbedding such that $f_i^{-1}(C_i) = A_i$ and $f_i(Q_i \setminus A_i)$ does not meet D_i . Consider the closed imbedding

$$f = (f_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} : \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N_0} \times \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i \subset \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}.$$

Let $x \in A_n$. If $i > n$ then we have $f_i(x) \in Q_i$ and hence all components of $f_i(x)$ are in $[-2^{-n}, 2^{-n}]$. If $i \leq n$ then we have $x \in A_i$ and hence $f_i(x) \in C_i$. Note that only finitely many components of $f_i(x)$ are outside $[-2^{-n}, 2^{-n}]$ and hence $|f(x)_a| > 2^{-n}$ for only finitely many a in $\mathbf{N} \setminus N_0$. This means that $f(x)$ is an element of $X_n(\mathfrak{F})$. If $x \notin A_n$ then we have $f_n(x) \notin D_n$. If F is an arbitrary

element of \mathfrak{F} then there is a $j \in \mathbf{N}$ such that F meets P_{njk} for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Observe that if $f_n(x) \notin D_n$ then $f_n(x) \notin \pi_{njk}^{-1}(B_{njk})$ for some k . Consequently, we have $|f_n(x)_a| > 2^{-n}$ for all $a \in P_{njk}$. Since F and P_{njk} have at least one a in common we find that $f(x) \notin X_n(\mathfrak{F})$. So we may conclude that $f^{-1}(X_n(\mathfrak{F})) = A_n$. \square

The following observation is essentially due to R. Cauty:

Lemma 3.2. *If $(L_\gamma)_\gamma$ is a system of linear subspaces of a Fréchet space E such that $\bigcap_\gamma L_\gamma$ is dense then we have:*

- (a) *The system $(L_\gamma \times E)_\gamma$ is reflexively universal in $E \times E$.*
- (b) *If E is the pseudointerior s then the system $(L_\gamma \times Q)_\gamma$ is reflexively universal in $Q \times Q$.*

PROOF: We prove part (a); the proof for (b) is similar. Let $f = (f_1, f_2) : E \times E \rightarrow E \times E$ be a Z -embedding and let K be a closed subset of $E \times E$. Select an F -norm $\|\cdot\|$ on E and let d be the metric on $E \times E$ that corresponds with the max norm. Let $\varepsilon : E \times E \rightarrow I$ be a map such that $\varepsilon^{-1}(0) = K$ and $\varepsilon(x) \leq d(f(x), f(K))/4$. Since $\bigcap_\gamma L_\gamma$ is a dense linear subspace its complement is locally homotopy negligible (see [2, Proposition VIII.3.2]) and we can find a map $\tilde{f}_1 : E \times E \rightarrow \bigcap_\gamma L_\gamma$ that is ε -close to f_1 . Select now a continuous $\xi : E \times E \rightarrow I$ such that $\xi^{-1}(0) = K$ and $\|\xi(x.y)x\| \leq \varepsilon(x, y)$ for each $(x, y) \in E \times E$. Observe that the map $g_1 : E \times E \rightarrow E$ given by

$$g_1(x, y) = \tilde{f}_1(x, y) + \xi(x, y)x$$

is 2ε -close to f_1 and has the property $g_1^{-1}(L_\gamma) \setminus K = (L_\gamma \times E) \setminus K$. Select a map $g_2 : E \times E \rightarrow E$ such that g_2 and f_2 are ε -close, $g_2|_K = f_2|_K$, and $g_2|_{\varepsilon^{-1}([\delta, 1])}$ is a Z -embedding for each $\delta > 0$. Put $g = (g_1, g_2)$ and note that this map is a Z -embedding according to Lemma 2.4. The map g is 2ε -close to f and it has the property $g^{-1}(L_\gamma \times E) \setminus K = (L_\gamma \times E) \setminus K$. \square

Throughout the remainder of this section let X stand for an arbitrary nondiscrete, completely regular, countably infinite space.

Proposition 3.3. *If X is not compact then $C_p(X)$ is reflexively universal in \mathbf{R}^X .*

PROOF: This follows immediately from Lemma 3.2. Choose an infinite closed discrete subspace A of X . Then $C_p(X)$ is canonically isomorphic in \mathbf{R}^X to the product of $C_p(A) = \mathbf{R}^A$ and $C_p(X; A) = \{f \in C_p(X) : f|_A = 0\}$: if $r : X \rightarrow A$ is a retraction then

$$\alpha(f) = (f|_A, f - (f|_A) \circ r) \quad \text{for } f \in \mathbf{R}^X$$

defines a linear homeomorphism with the required property. \square

A similar argument shows that if X is not compact then $C_p(X)$ is also reflexively universal in $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^X$. Since we already showed in [5] that $C_p(X)$ is reflexively universal in $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^X$ for every metric X we have that $C_p(X)$ is reflexively universal in the Hilbert cube for every X .

Proposition 3.4. *If X is not compact and $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ then $C_p(X)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in \mathbf{R}^X .*

PROOF: We use the method of Dobrowolski, Marciszewski and Mogilski [7]. It is shown in that paper that $C_p(X)$ if it is Borel is contained in a σZ -set. We have the following two cases:

I. The space X does not contain a clopen subset with precisely one accumulation point. Then X can be written as a topological sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ of nondiscrete spaces and hence $C_p(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} C_p(X_i)$ ([7, Proposition 6.1]). According to the proof of [7, Lemma 5.4] the pair (s, σ) is imbeddable in each $(\mathbf{R}^{X_i}, C_p(X_i))$. This means that $(s^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$ is imbeddable in $(\mathbf{R}^X, C_p(X))$ and hence $C_p(X)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in \mathbf{R}^X .

II. The space X has a clopen subset A with a unique accumulation point a . Since X is not compact we may select an infinite closed discrete subset C . Put $D = A \cup C$ and note that since A is clopen and C is closed and discrete, there is a retraction $r : X \rightarrow D$. The neighborhoods of a form a free filter \mathfrak{F} on $\bar{D} = D \setminus \{a\}$ that is not the Fréchet filter. If $f \in \mathbf{R}^{\bar{D}}$ then let $\bar{f} : D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the extension of f with $\bar{f}(a) = 0$. Then $\alpha(f) = \bar{f} \circ r$ defines a closed imbedding of $(\mathbf{R}^{\bar{D}}, c_{\mathfrak{F}})$ into $(\mathbf{R}^X, C_p(X))$. Since the first pair is $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal (Proposition 3.1), so is the second.

It follows from Proposition 3.3 that $C_p(X)$ is strongly $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal (and hence $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbing) in \mathbf{R}^X for every non-compact X . Observe that we did not need the condition $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ to show strong $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universality, just that $C_p(X)$ is Borel. □

This proposition implies that the pairs $(\mathbf{R}^X, C_p(X))$ and $(s^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$ are homeomorphic whenever X is not compact and $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$. This is one direction of Theorem 1.1.

The other direction is easily seen: if X is compact then $C_p(X)$ is contained in the σ -compactum consisting of the bounded elements of \mathbf{R}^X . Therefore $C_p(X)$ cannot contain a copy of Hilbert space that is closed in \mathbf{R}^X . On the other hand, $\sigma^{\mathbf{N}}$ contains a copy of s that is closed in $s^{\mathbf{N}}$.

If we combine Proposition 3.4 with Lemma 2.5 and the fact that $(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^X, C_p(X))$ was shown to be $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbing for metric X in [5] we find:

Proposition 3.5. *If $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ then it is an $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^X$.*

This result was found independently by Baars, Gladdines and van Mill [1]. Combining Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 2.3 we find half of Theorem 1.3.

We now turn to the case of compact X .

Proposition 3.6. *The space c_0 is an $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber rel s in Q .*

PROOF: According to [5, Theorem 6.3] c_0 is an $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in Q so it suffices to show that c_0 is reflexively universal rel s in Q . We use Lemma 2.2(b): if

$\Phi : Q \rightarrow Q^{\mathbf{N}} = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}}$ is a map that simply rearranges coordinates then it obviously satisfies the conditions of part (b) of the lemma with $*$ = 0. Also c_0 contains σ so it has a locally homotopy negligible complement.

We now define the imbedding α of (Q, c_0) into (s, c_0) . Let $\pi : \widehat{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ be a homeomorphism with $\pi(0) = 0$. If we define for every $x \in Q$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\alpha(x)_{2n-1} = \pi(x_n),$$

$$\alpha(x)_{2n} = 2^{-n} \min \left\{ 2^n, \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |x_i| \right\},$$

then α is obviously an imbedding of Q into $[-1, 1]^{\mathbf{N}}$.

First, let $x \notin c_0$. If $x \in s$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(x)_{2n-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(x_n) \neq 0$ and hence $\alpha(x) \notin c_0$. If, on the other hand, $x_i = \pm\infty$ for some i then $\alpha(x)_{2n} = 1$ for every $n \geq i$ and also $\alpha(x) \notin c_0$.

Now, let $x \in c_0$ and note $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(x)_{2n-1} = \pi(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = 0$. Define the finite number $M = \max_{i \in \mathbf{N}} x_i$ and observe that $0 \leq \alpha(x)_{2n} \leq M2^{-n}$ for every n . Consequently, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(x)_n = 0$ and $\alpha(x) \in c_0$. So we may conclude that $\alpha^{-1}(c_0) = c_0$. All the conditions of Lemma 2.2 (b) are now satisfied and the proposition is proved. □

The following result follows from Lemma 2.6 and Proposition 3.6. Its proof is identical to the proof of [5, Theorem 6.5]. (Note that a compact X is metrizable and hence $C_p(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$.)

Proposition 3.7. *If X is compact then $C_p(X)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber rel \mathbf{R}^X in $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^X$.*

Applying Theorem 2.3 (b) we find:

Theorem 3.8. *If X is compact then $(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^X, \mathbf{R}^X, C_p(X))$ is homeomorphic to (Q, s, c_0) .*

This proves the $C_p(X)$ part of Theorem 1.2.

4. Sequence spaces

We prove the l_p part of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

Let p be an arbitrary positive real number and define the following function from Q into $[0, \infty]$:

$$|x|_p = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

The subspace l_p consists of all x in Q (or s) with $|x|_p < \infty$. Since the expression $|x|_p$ is nonincreasing as a function of p we have $l_p \subset l_q$ whenever $p < q$. So we

have an ordered system with index set $(0, \infty)$. Our objective is to show that the spaces

$$\tilde{l}_p = \bigcap_{q>p} l_q \quad p \in [0, \infty)$$

are $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbers in Q . Since these spaces are contained in the σ -compactum $\Sigma \subset s$ they cannot be $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorbers in s . For this reason we shall use the Hilbert cube as ambient space rather than s (cf. the case with compact X in Section 3).

We need some definitions. If A is a countable infinite set then we define the following subspaces of the Hilbert cube $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$: the capset

$$\Sigma'(A) = \{x \in \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A : \exists M \in \mathbf{N} \text{ such that } |x_a| < M \text{ for all but finitely many } a \in A\}$$

and the fd-capset

$$\sigma'(A) = \{x \in \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A : x_a = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } a \in A\}.$$

In the standard model Q we put $\Sigma' = \Sigma(\mathbf{N})$ and $\sigma' = \sigma(\mathbf{N})$. The sets Σ' and σ' are of course topologically equivalent in Q to Σ respectively σ . Unlike Σ and σ they have the following property: if $x, y \in Q$ differ at only finitely many coordinates then we have $x \in \Sigma'$ (or σ') if and only if $y \in \Sigma'$ (or σ'). This makes Σ' and σ' a superior choice *when the ambient space is a Hilbert cube*.

It is well known that l_p is a capset, i.e. the pair (Q, l_p) is homeomorphic to the pairs (Q, Σ') , $(Q \times Q, Q \times \Sigma')$, and $(Q \times Q, Q \times \sigma')$. The idea is to establish a connection between the system l_p and systems that find their origin in the topological product structure of the Hilbert cube. This leads to the following definitions. If A is a countable dense subset of the interval $(0, \infty)$ and p is a positive real number then

$$Z_p = Z_p(A) = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{(0,p] \cap A} \times \Sigma'((p, \infty) \cap A) \subset \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$$

and

$$\zeta_p = \zeta_p(A) = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{(0,p] \cap A} \times \sigma'((p, \infty) \cap A) \subset \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A.$$

Both $(Z_p)_p$ and $(\zeta_p)_p$ are ordered systems of capsets. Our objective is to show that the systems l_p , ζ_p , and Z_p are in essence topologically indistinguishable, a result that has the claims made in the introduction as immediate corollaries.

Throughout this section let A be a countable dense subset of $(0, \infty)$. Let a_1, a_2, \dots enumerate A and let the product topology on \mathbf{R}^A be generated by the metric

$$d(x, y) = \max_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{2n} |\xi(x_{a_n}) - \xi(y_{a_n})|,$$

where $\xi : \widehat{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ is a fixed homeomorphism with the property $\xi(0) = 0$. Note that if $x, y \in \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ have their first n coordinates in common then their distance is at most $1/(n + 1)$.

The following statement is obvious.

Lemma 4.1. *The collections $(Z_p)_p$, $(\zeta_p)_p$ and $(l_p)_p$ are Z-systems in $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ respectively Q .*

Lemma 4.2. *The systems Z_p and ζ_p are reflexively universal.*

PROOF: This proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2. Let $f : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ be a map that restricts to a Z-embedding on a closed set K . We may assume that f itself is a Z-embedding. Let $\varepsilon : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow I$ be a map such that $\varepsilon(x) \leq d(f(x), f(K))/2$ for $x \in \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ and $\varepsilon^{-1}(0) = K$. Let A_2 be a sequence in A that converges to 0 and put $A_1 = A \setminus A_2$. Let $\pi_i : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A_i}$ stand for the projection and put $f_i = \pi_i \circ f$. Select a map $g_2 : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A_2}$ that is ε -close to f_2 and with the property that $g_2|_{\varepsilon^{-1}([\delta, 1])}$ is a Z-embedding for each $\delta > 0$. Select also a map $\tilde{f}_1 : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A_1}$ that is $(\varepsilon/2)$ -close to f_1 and that maps the complement of K into the fd-capset $\sigma'(A_1)$. Define for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ the continuous map $\chi_n : I \rightarrow I$ by

$$\chi_n(r) = \min\{1, \max\{0, rn - 1\}\}.$$

Observe that $\chi_n(0) = 0$ and that

$$\chi_n(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } rn \leq 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } rn \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

We now define the map $g_1 : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A_1}$ by

$$g_1(x)_{a_n} = \tilde{f}_1(x)_{a_n} + \xi^{-1}(\chi_n(\varepsilon(x)/2)\xi(x_{a_n}))$$

for $x \in \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ and $a_n \in A_1$, where we used the fact that addition is well defined and continuous from $\widehat{\mathbf{R}} \times \mathbf{R}$ to $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$. Put $g = (g_1, g_2) : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$.

Let $x \in \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$. If $x \in K$ then we have $\varepsilon(x) = 0$ and hence $\chi_n(\varepsilon(x)/2) = 0$. This means that $g_1(x) = \tilde{f}_1(x) = f_1(x)$ and $g(x) = f(x)$. If $x \notin K$ then $\varepsilon(x) > 0$ and we can select an $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $n\varepsilon(x)/2 \leq 1 < (n + 1)\varepsilon(x)/2$. The properties of χ guarantee that $g_{a_i}(x) = f_{a_i}(x)$ for each $i \leq n$ with $a_i \in A_1$. This means that the distance between $g_1(x)$ and $f_1(x)$ is at most $1/(n + 1) < \varepsilon(x)/2$. Consequently, g and f are ε -close. Observe that $g|_{\varepsilon^{-1}([\delta, 1])}$ is a Z-embedding for each $\delta > 0$ since g_2 has that property and hence Lemma 2.4 guarantees that g is a Z-embedding.

Consider now an $x \notin K$. Choose an n with the properties $n\varepsilon(x) \geq 4$ and $\tilde{f}_1(x)_{a_i} = 0$ for all $i \geq n$ with $a_i \in A_1$ (recall that $\tilde{f}_1(x) \in \sigma(A_1)$). Then $\chi_i(\varepsilon(x)/2) = 1$ and $g_1(x)_{a_i} = 0 + \xi^{-1}(\xi(x_{a_i})) = x_{a_i}$ for all $i \geq n$ with $a_i \in A_1$. So $g(x)_a = x_a$ for all coordinates in A except possibly those in $C = \{a_i : i < n\} \cup A_2$. Since C is a sequence that converges to 0 we have for every $p \in (0, \infty)$ that $(g(x)_a)_{a > p}$ differs at only finitely many coordinates from $(x_a)_{a > p}$ and hence that $g(x) \in Z_p$ (or ζ_p) if and only if $x \in Z_p$ (or ζ_p). \square

Lemma 4.3. *The system l_p is reflexively universal rel s in Q .*

PROOF: This is virtually identical to the proof of Proposition 3.6. The only addition is that the homeomorphism $\pi : \widehat{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ should satisfy the condition $\pi(x) = x$ for $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. This guarantees that for every $x \in s$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p < \infty$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\pi(x_i)|^p < \infty$. \square

Proposition 4.4. *The system l_p is imbeddable in Z_p .*

PROOF: Write A as a disjoint union of A_0 and A_1 , where A_0 is a sequence that converges to 0. Let a_1, a_2, \dots enumerate A_1 . Select an imbedding $\alpha_0 : Q \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{A_0}$. We define $\alpha_1 : Q \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A_1}$ by

$$\alpha_1(x)_{a_n} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^{a_n} \right)^{1/a_n} \quad \text{for } x \in Q \text{ and } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Note that $0 \leq \alpha_1(x)_{a_n} \leq |x|_{a_n}$. Put $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1) : Q \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A_0} \times \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A_1} = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ and observe that α is an imbedding. If $x \in l_q$ and $a \in (q, \infty) \cap A_1$ then we have $\alpha_1(x)_a \leq |x|_a \leq |x|_q$ so $\alpha_1(x)_{a>q}$ is bounded by $|x|_q$. Since $(q, p) \cap A_0$ is finite we may conclude that $\alpha(x)_{a>q}$ is bounded and that $\alpha(x) \in Z_q$. On the other hand if $x \notin l_q$ then we have $|x|_q = \infty$. Let $M \in \mathbf{N}$ be arbitrary. There exists an $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^q)^{1/q} > M$. By continuity in q we can find an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^r)^{1/r} > M$ for each $r \in (q, q + \varepsilon)$. Since A_1 is dense there is an $m > n$ with $a_m \in (q, q + \varepsilon)$. So we have $\alpha_1(x)_{a_m} > M$ and we may conclude that $\alpha_1(x)_{a>q}$ is unbounded and that $\alpha(x) \notin Z_q$. \square

Proposition 4.5. *If Δ is a countable dense subset of $(0, \infty)$ then the system Z_p is Δ -imbeddable in ζ_p .*

PROOF: We shall use the known fact that there exists a map $v : Q \rightarrow Q$ such that $v^{-1}(\sigma') = \Sigma'$. This can easily be seen as follows. The product of Q and the fd-capset σ' is a capset in $Q \times Q$. Since capsets are topologically unique there is a homeomorphism $h : Q \rightarrow Q \times Q$ with $h(\Sigma') = Q \times \sigma'$. If we combine h with the projection onto the second coordinate then we have v .

Let $b_0 = 0$ and enumerate $\Delta = \{b_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$. Select by induction for every $n \geq 0$ a sequence $A_n \subset A \cap (b_n, c_n)$ that converges to b_n , where $c_n \in (b_n, \infty]$ is the minimum of the compact set

$$(b_n, \infty) \cap \left(\{b_i : i < n\} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i \right).$$

Note that the A_n 's are pairwise disjoint. Put $A' = A \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$. Let $\alpha_0 : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{A_0}$ be an imbedding and for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ let $\alpha_n : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A \cap (b_n, \infty)} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{A_n}$ be a map like v above, i.e.

$$\alpha_n^{-1}(\sigma'(A_n)) = \Sigma'(A \cap (b_n, \infty)).$$

We obviously may assume that $\alpha_n(0) = 0$. Define the closed imbedding $\alpha : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x)_{a \in A_0} &= \alpha_0(x), \\ \alpha(x)_{a \in A_n} &= \alpha_n((\max\{0, |x_{a'}| - n\})_{a' > b_n}) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}, \\ \alpha(x)_{a \in A'} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \notin Z_{b_n}$ then we have that $(\max\{0, |x_a| - n\})_{a > b_n}$ is still outside of $\Sigma'(A \cap (b_n, p))$. Consequently, $\alpha(x)_{a \in A_n} \notin \sigma'(A_n)$ and $\alpha(x) \notin \zeta_{b_n}^p$. Let $x \in Z_{b_n}^p$ and let m be such that $|x_a| \leq m$ for all $a > b_n$ that are outside of some finite set C . Let i be such that $b_i < b_n$. If $i > n$ then A_i and (b_n, ∞) are disjoint and if $i < n$ then $A_i \cap (b_n, \infty)$ is finite. Consequently, we have that $(b_n, \infty) \cap \bigcup\{A_i : b_i < b_n\}$ is finite and hence these coordinates are irrelevant to the question whether $\alpha(x)$ is an element of ζ_{b_n} or not. Let i be such that $b_i \geq b_n$. If $i \geq m$ then $\max\{0, |x_a - i|\} = 0$ for $a \in (b_i, \infty) \setminus C$ and hence we have $\alpha(x)_a = 0$ for every $a \in A_i \setminus C$. If $i < m$ then

$$(\max\{0, |x_a| - i\})_{a > b_i} \in \Sigma'(A \cap (b_i, \infty))$$

and hence $\alpha(x)_{a \in A_i}$ is an element of $\sigma'(A_i)$. So we may conclude that $\alpha(x) \in \zeta_{b_n}^p$. □

Proposition 4.6. *If Δ is a countable subset of $(0, \infty)$ then the system ζ_p is Δ -imbeddable in l_p .*

PROOF: For technical reasons we shall imbed $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ into $Q^{\mathbf{N}}$ rather than Q . Enumerate $A = \{a_n : n \geq 2\}$ and $\Delta = \{b_n : n \geq 2\}$. Select for every $n \geq 2$ a δ_n between 0 and a_n such that $[a_n - \delta_n, a_n]$ and $\{b_i : i \leq n\}$ are disjoint. Define the continuous map $\chi : [1, \infty) \rightarrow I^{\mathbf{N}}$ by

$$\chi(t)_k = t^{-1} \min\{1, \max\{0, t + 1 - k\}\} \quad \text{for } t \in [1, \infty) \text{ and } k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

This map has the following properties: $|\chi(t)|_1 = 1$ and

$$\chi(t)_k = \begin{cases} t^{-1} & \text{for } k \leq t \\ 0 & \text{for } k \geq t + 1. \end{cases}$$

Put $\chi_q(t)_k = (\chi(t)_k)^{1/q}$ and note that $|\chi_q(t)|_q = 1$. We now define a sequence $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ of maps from $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ into Q . Let α_1 be an imbedding of $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ into $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} [0, 2^{-i}] \subset Q$ and note that the image of α_1 is contained in \tilde{l}_0 . If $n \geq 2$ and $x \in \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ then put $\varepsilon_n = \min\{2^{-n+1}, |x_{a_n}|\}$. The function $\alpha_n : \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \rightarrow Q$ is defined by

$$\alpha_n(x) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_n \chi_{a_n}(\varepsilon_n^{-na_n/\delta_n}) & \text{for } \varepsilon_n > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } \varepsilon_n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $|\alpha_n(x)|_p \leq |\alpha_n(x)|_{a_n} = \varepsilon_n$ we have that α_n is continuous. Noting that $|\alpha_n(x)|_p \leq 2^{-n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ we may conclude that the sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ forms a continuous map of $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ into $Q^{\mathbf{N}}$. This function is an imbedding because its first component α_1 is an imbedding.

Assume that x is an element of ζ_q . This means that only finitely many components x_{a_n} with $a_n > q$ are nonzero. We have the following estimate for the q -norm of $\alpha(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha(x)\|_q^q &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n(x)|_q^q \\ &= |\alpha_1(x)|_q^q + \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ a_n \leq q}}^{\infty} |\alpha_n(x)|_q^q + \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ a_n > q}}^{\infty} |\alpha_n(x)|_q^q \\ &\leq |\alpha_1(x)|_q^q + \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ a_n \leq q}}^{\infty} |\alpha_n(x)|_{a_n}^q + \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ a_n > q \\ x_{a_n} \neq 0}}^{\infty} |\alpha_n(x)|_q^q. \end{aligned}$$

This expression is finite because $|\alpha_1(x)|_q$ is finite, because $|\alpha_n(x)|_{a_n} = \varepsilon_n \leq 2^{-n+1}$ and because the last sum consists of only finitely many terms.

If x is not an element of ζ_q then there exist infinitely many $a_n > q$ such that $x_{a_n} \neq 0$. If moreover $q \in \Delta$ then all but finitely many of those a_n 's have the property $a_n - \delta_n > q$. Let a_n be such a coordinate of $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ and put $t = \varepsilon_n^{-na_n/\delta_n}$. Since at least $t - 1$ terms of $\chi_{a_n}(t)$ are equal to t^{-1/a_n} we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_n(x)|_q^q &\geq \varepsilon_n^q (t - 1)t^{-q/a_n} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_n^q t^{(a_n - q)/a_n} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_n^q t^{\delta_n/a_n}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $t \geq 2$ and $q < a_n - \delta_n$. Substituting the value for t we find $|\alpha_n(x)|_q^q \geq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_n^{q - n} \geq 1$ for all but finitely many a_n 's. This means that infinitely many of the terms of the series $\|\alpha(x)\|_q^q = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n(x)|_q^q$ are at least 1 and hence that $\|\alpha(x)\|$ is infinite. □

If we apply Theorem 2.1 to Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.3, Proposition 4.4, Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.6 then we obtain:

Theorem 4.7. *If Δ is a countable dense subset of $(0, \infty)$ then the systems l_p , ζ_p and Z_p are Δ -homeomorphic, i.e. there exist homeomorphisms $\alpha, \beta : Q \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A$ such that $\alpha(l_p) = Z_p$ and $\beta(l_p) = \zeta_p$ for every $p \in \Delta$.*

If $p \in [0, \infty)$ then we define the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_p &= \bigcap_{p < q} Z_q \subset \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A \\ \tilde{\zeta}_p &= \bigcap_{p < q} \zeta_q \subset \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^A. \end{aligned}$$

If Δ is dense then we have $\tilde{l}_p = \bigcap \{l_q : q \in \Delta \text{ with } p < q\}$, which observation produces:

Corollary 4.8. *The systems \tilde{l}_p , $\tilde{\zeta}_p$ and \tilde{Z}_p are homeomorphic.*

Observe that if $\infty = a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$ is a decreasing sequence in $[0, \infty]$ that converges to p then we have:

$$\tilde{Z}_p = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{(0,p] \cap A} \times \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \Sigma'([a_{i+1}, a_i] \cap A)$$

and

$$\tilde{\zeta}_p = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{(0,p] \cap A} \times \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \sigma'([a_{i+1}, a_i] \cap A).$$

This leads to:

Corollary 4.9. *The pair (Q, \tilde{l}_p) is homeomorphic to $(Q^{\mathbf{N}}, \Sigma'^{\mathbf{N}})$ and to $(Q^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma'^{\mathbf{N}})$ and hence also to $(Q^{\mathbf{N}}, \Sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$ and $(Q^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{N}})$.*

This corollary proves the second part of Theorem 1.3 and it means that \tilde{l}_p is just like $\sigma^{\mathbf{N}}$ an $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber in the Hilbert cube, which combines with Lemma 4.3 to:

Theorem 4.10. *The space \tilde{l}_p is an $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -absorber rel s in Q and hence the triple (Q, s, \tilde{l}_p) is homeomorphic to (Q, s, c_0) .*

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is now complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] Baars J., Gladdines H., van Mill J., *Absorbing systems in infinite-dimensional manifolds*, Topology Appl. **50** (1993), 147–182.
- [2] Bessaga C., Pełczyński A., *Selected Topics in Infinite-Dimensional Topology*, PWN, Warsaw, 1975.
- [3] Curtis D.W., *Boundary sets in the Hilbert cube*, Topology Appl. **20** (1985), 201–221.
- [4] Dijkstra J.J., Dobrowolski T., Marciszewski W., van Mill J., Mogilski J., *Recent classification and characterization results in geometric topology*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1990), 277–283.
- [5] Dijkstra J.J., van Mill J., Mogilski J., *The space of infinite-dimensional compacta and other topological copies of $(I_{\mathbb{F}}^2)^{\omega}$* , Pacific. J. Math. **152** (1992), 255–273.

- [6] Dijkstra J.J., Mogilski J., *The topological product structure of systems of Lebesgue spaces*, Math. Ann. **290** (1991), 527–543.
- [7] Dobrowolski T., Marciszewski W., Mogilski J., *On topological classification of function spaces $C_p(X)$ of low Borel complexity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **328** (1991), 307–324.
- [8] Dobrowolski T., Mogilski J., *Problems on topological classification of incomplete metric spaces*, in Open Problems in Topology, J. van Mill and G.M. Reed, eds., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990, 409–429.
- [9] Dobrowolski T., Mogilski J., *Certain sequence and function spaces homeomorphic to the countable product of l_f^2* , J. London Math. Soc. **45.2** (1992), 566–576.
- [10] Lutzer D., McCoy R., *Category in function spaces I*, Pacific J. Math. **90** (1980), 145–168.
- [11] van Mill J., *Topological equivalence of certain function spaces*, Compositio Math. **63** (1987), 159–188.
- [12] van Mill J., *Infinite-Dimensional Topology, Prerequisites and Introduction*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, BOX 870350, TUSCALOOSA, ALABAMA 35487-0350, USA

E-mail: jdijkstr@ua1vm.ua.edu

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMATICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, APARTADO POSTAL 55-534, MEXICO D. F. 09340, MEXICO

E-mail: jkm@xanum.uam.mx

(Received May 9, 1995)