

Mohammad Ismail; Andrzej Szymański  
Short proofs of two theorems in topology

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 34 (1993), No. 3, 539--541

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118610>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## Short proofs of two theorems in topology

M. ISMAIL, A. SZYMANSKI

*Abstract.* We present short and elementary proofs of the following two known theorems in General Topology:

- (i) [H. Wicke and J. Worrell] A  $T_1$  weakly  $\delta\theta$ -refinable countably compact space is compact.
- (ii) [A. Ostaszewski] A compact Hausdorff space which is a countable union of metrizable spaces is sequential.

*Keywords:* countably compact, initially  $\kappa$ -compact, weakly  $\delta\theta$ -refinable,  $\kappa$ -refinable, sequential

*Classification:* 54D30, 54D20, 54D55

Throughout this note,  $\kappa$  denotes an infinite cardinal number and all topological spaces are assumed to be  $T_1$ .

A space  $X$  is called  $\kappa$ -refinable if every open cover  $\gamma$  of  $X$  has an open refinement  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \lambda_\alpha$  and for each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $\alpha < \kappa$  such that  $1 \leq |\{V \in \lambda_\alpha : x \in V\}| \leq \kappa$ . An example of a (hereditary)  $\kappa$ -refinable space is any space that can be represented as a union of  $\leq \kappa$  metrizable subspaces.

The  $\omega_0$ -refinable spaces are the same as weakly  $\delta\theta$ -refinable spaces, the spaces introduced by H. Wicke and J. Worrell. In 1976 they proved that countably compact weakly  $\delta\theta$ -refinable spaces are compact [WW]. A slightly different proof of this theorem appears in [B]. See also [A] for a generalization of weak  $\delta\theta$ -refinability and yet another proof of this theorem. Below, we present a proof which is shorter and much more elementary than these proofs. Moreover, the theorem is more general than that of Wicke and Worrell's.

Recall that a topological space is called *initially  $\kappa$ -compact* if every open cover of it of cardinality  $\leq \kappa$  has a finite subcover. Note that 'initially  $\omega_0$ -compact' is the same as 'countably compact'. The reader is referred to [S] for a survey of initially  $\kappa$ -compact spaces.

**Theorem 1.** *An initially  $\kappa$ -compact  $\kappa$ -refinable space is compact.*

PROOF: Assume the contrary, and let  $X$  be an initially  $\kappa$ -compact  $\kappa$ -refinable space which is not compact. Let  $\gamma$  be a maximal open cover of  $X$  without a finite subcover. Let  $\lambda = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \lambda_\alpha$  be an open refinement of  $\gamma$  which witnesses the  $\kappa$ -refinability of  $X$ . For each  $\alpha < \kappa$ , and for each  $x \in X$ , let  $\lambda_\alpha(x) = \{V \in \lambda_\alpha : x \in V\}$  and  $X_\alpha = \{x \in X : 1 \leq |\lambda_\alpha(x)| \leq \kappa\}$ . Then  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} X_\alpha$ . Since  $X$  is initially  $\kappa$ -compact, there exists  $\beta$  such that  $X_\beta$  cannot be covered by  $\kappa$  or less members

of  $\gamma$ . Let  $W = \bigcup \lambda_\beta$ . Since  $X_\beta \subseteq W$ ,  $W \notin \gamma$ . By the maximality of  $\gamma$ , there exists  $U \in \gamma$  such that  $X = W \cup U$ . Then  $X_\beta \setminus U$  cannot be covered by  $\kappa$  or less members of  $\gamma$ .

By induction, we choose a sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  of points in  $X_\beta \setminus U$  as follows: let  $x_1 \in X_\beta \setminus U$  be arbitrary. If  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  have already been chosen, then, since  $|\bigcup_{i=1}^n \lambda_\beta(x_i)| \leq \kappa$ ,  $X_\beta \setminus U$  is not contained in  $\bigcup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \lambda_\beta(x_i))$ . Choose  $x_{n+1} \in (X_\beta \setminus U) \setminus \bigcup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \lambda_\beta(x_i))$ .

Let  $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ . Then  $S \subseteq X \setminus U$  and, since  $X \setminus U \subseteq W$ , no point of  $X \setminus U$  is a limit point of  $S$ . This is a contradiction, since  $X \setminus U$  is countably compact.  $\square$

A topological space  $X$  is called *sequential* if every nonclosed subset  $A$  of  $X$  contains a sequence converging to a point in  $X \setminus A$ .

In [O], A. Ostaszewski proved that a countably compact regular space which can be represented as a union of countably many metrizable spaces is sequential. The proof consists of about four printed pages. Below, we present a short proof based on the Wicke-Worrell Theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *A countably compact regular space which can be represented as a countable union of metrizable spaces is sequential (and compact).*

PROOF: Let  $X$  be a countably compact regular space, and let  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty X_i$ , where each  $X_i$  is metrizable. Let  $A$  be a non-closed subset of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is hereditary  $\omega_0$ -refinable (i.e. hereditarily weakly  $\delta\theta$ -refinable),  $A$  cannot be countably compact. Therefore, there exists a sequence  $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  in  $A$  which has no cluster point in  $A$ . Let  $Y = \overline{S} \setminus S$ . Since  $Y$  is non-empty and compact,  $Y \cap X_i$  is not nowhere dense in  $Y$ , for some  $i$ . Hence,  $Y \cap X_i$  contains a point which has countable character in  $Y$  and thus in  $\overline{S}$  as well. Therefore,  $S$  contains a subsequence converging to a point in  $Y$ .  $\square$

The last part of the above proof shows that any countably compact regular space which can be represented as a union of countably many first countable spaces contains a point of countable character. This is essentially the same as Theorem 3 of [O] attributed to M.E. Rudin and K. Kunen there. We have a much stronger theorem of this type which we prove by a different method.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X$  be a regular initially  $\omega_1$ -compact space which can be represented as a union of  $\leq \omega_1$  subspaces of countable pseudocharacter. Then every non-empty  $G_\delta$  subset of  $X$  contains a point of countable character in  $X$ .*

PROOF: Let  $X = \bigcup \{X_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ , where each  $X_\alpha$  has countable pseudocharacter. Let  $U$  be a non-empty  $G_\delta$  subset of  $X$  and suppose that no point of  $U$  has countable character in  $X$ . By induction, we choose a decreasing sequence  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  of non-empty closed  $G_\delta$  subsets of  $X$  as follows:

If  $U \cap X_0 = \emptyset$ , let  $F_0$  be an arbitrary non-empty closed  $G_\delta$  subset of  $X$  such that  $F_0 \subseteq U$ . If  $U \cap X_0 \neq \emptyset$ , let  $x \in U \cap X_0$ . Then there exists a  $G_\delta$  subset  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $V \cap X_0 = \{x\}$ . Since  $X$  is countably compact and  $x$  does not have countable character in  $X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is not  $G_\delta$  in  $X$ . Therefore,  $\emptyset \neq U \cap V \neq \{x\}$ . Let

$F_0$  be a non-empty closed  $G_\delta$  subset of  $X$  such that  $F_0 \subseteq (U \cap V) \setminus \{x\}$ . Then  $F_0 \cap X_0 = \emptyset$ .

If  $\beta < \omega_1$ , and for each  $\alpha < \beta$ , we have chosen  $F_\alpha$ , then, since  $\bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} F_\alpha$  is a  $G_\delta$  subset of  $X$ , by repeating the above argument with  $\bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} F_\alpha$  in place of  $U$  and  $X_\beta$  in place of  $X_0$  we can find a non-empty closed  $G_\delta$  subset  $F_\beta$  of  $X$  such that  $F_\beta \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} F_\alpha$  and  $F_\beta \cap X_\beta = \emptyset$ .

Let  $F = \bigcap \{F_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ . Since  $X$  is initially  $\omega_1$ -compact,  $F \neq \emptyset$ . On the other hand, since  $F \cap X_\alpha = \emptyset$ , for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $F = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [A] Arhangel'skiĭ A.V., *The star method, new classes of spaces and countable compactness*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **21** (1980), 550–554.
- [B] Burke D.K., *Covering properties*, Handbook of Set Theoretic Topology, North Holland, 1984, pp. 347–422.
- [O] Ostaszewski A.J., *Compact  $\sigma$ -metric Hausdorff spaces are sequential*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1978), 339–343.
- [S] Stephenson R.M., Jr., *Initially  $\kappa$ -compact and related spaces*, Handbook of Set Theoretic Topology, North Holland (1984), pp. 603–632.
- [WW] Wicke H.H., Worrell J.M., Jr., *Point countability and compactness*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1976), 427–431.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SLIPPERY ROCK UNIVERSITY, SLIPPERY ROCK, PA 16057, USA

(Received January 11, 1993)