

Edvard Kramar

On the numerical range of operators on locally and on H-locally convex spaces

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 34 (1993), No. 2, 229--237

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118576>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## On the numerical range of operators on locally and on H-locally convex spaces

EDVARD KRAMAR

*Abstract.* The spatial numerical range for a class of operators on locally convex space was studied by Giles, Joseph, Koehler and Sims in [3]. The purpose of this paper is to consider some additional properties of the numerical range on locally convex and especially on H-locally convex spaces.

*Keywords:* locally convex space, H-locally convex space, numerical range, spectrum

*Classification:* 47A12, 46A13, 46A19

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $X$  be a locally convex Hausdorff space over the real or complex field  $K$ . Each system of seminorms  $P = \{p_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta\}$  inducing its topology will be called a *calibration*. Such a space is said to be *H-locally convex* with respect to a calibration  $P$  if  $P$  consists of Hilbertian seminorms, i.e. for each  $p_\alpha \in P$  there is a semi-inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_\alpha$  (it is only nonnegative definite) such that  $p_\alpha^2(x) = (x, x)_\alpha$ ,  $x \in X$ . Such spaces have been studied e.g. in [6], [7] and [8].

For a given calibration  $P$  we denote by  $Q_P(X)$  the algebra of *quotient bounded operators* on  $X$ , i.e. the set of all linear operators  $T$  on  $X$  for which

$$p_\alpha(Tx) \leq C_\alpha p_\alpha(x), \quad x \in X, \quad \alpha \in \Delta$$

and by  $B_P(X)$  the algebra of *universally bounded operators* on  $X$ , i.e. the set of all  $T \in Q_P(X)$  for which  $C = C_\alpha$  is independent of  $\alpha \in \Delta$  ([3]). The family  $Q_P(X)$  is a unital l.m.c. algebra with respect to seminorms  $\hat{P} = \{q_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta\}$  where

$$q_\alpha(T) = \sup\{p_\alpha(Tx) : p_\alpha(x) \leq 1, x \in X\}, \quad \alpha \in \Delta, \quad T \in Q_P(X)$$

and  $B_P(X)$  is a unital normed algebra with respect to the norm

$$\|T\|_P = \sup\{q_\alpha(T) : \alpha \in \Delta\}.$$

For each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  let  $J_\alpha$  denote the null space of  $p_\alpha$  and  $X_\alpha$  the quotient space  $X/J_\alpha$ . This is a normed space with the norm  $\|x_\alpha\|_\alpha := p_\alpha(x)$ ,  $x_\alpha = x + J_\alpha$ , and  $\tilde{X}_\alpha$  is the completion of  $X_\alpha$ . For a given  $T \in Q_P(X)$  we define  $T_\alpha$  on  $X_\alpha$  by  $T_\alpha x_\alpha := (Tx)_\alpha$ , and denote by  $\tilde{T}_\alpha$  its continuous linear extension on  $\tilde{X}_\alpha$  ([3]).

Let  $(X, P)$  be an H-locally convex space. Then an operator  $T \in Q_P(X)$  has an adjoint operator  $T^0$  if and only if  $(Tx, y)_\alpha = (x, T^0 y)_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and  $x, y \in X$ . In this case  $(\tilde{T}^0) = (\tilde{T}_\alpha)^*$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$  ([5]) where  $(\tilde{T}_\alpha)^*$  is the adjoint operator of  $\tilde{T}_\alpha$  in the Hilbert space  $\tilde{X}_\alpha$ .

**2. The spatial numerical range.**

The spatial numerical range for a given operator  $T \in Q_P(X)$  in a locally convex space  $(X, P)$  is defined by

$$V(X, P, T) = \bigcup V\{(\tilde{X}_\alpha, \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$$

where on the right hand side there are numerical ranges on normed spaces  $\tilde{X}_\alpha$ . The above numerical range has the usual properties ([3])

$$V(X, P, \lambda T + \mu I) = \lambda V(X, P, T) + \mu, \quad T \in Q_P(X), \quad \lambda, \mu \in K$$

and

$$V(X, P, T + S) \subseteq V(X, P, T) + V(X, P, S), \quad T, S \in Q_P(X).$$

We shall consider some additional properties of the numerical range in locally convex and especially in H-locally convex spaces.

Let  $(X, P)$  be an H-locally convex space. Then  $\tilde{X}_\alpha$  are Hilbert spaces and  $V(\tilde{X}_\alpha, \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha)$  are convex sets. Unfortunately, their union i.e.  $V(X, P, T)$  is in general not convex. In [3] there was defined the algebra numerical range of an element  $a$  for a unital l.m.c. algebra  $(A, \hat{P})$  as

$$V(A, \hat{P}, a) = \bigcup \{V(A_\alpha, \|\cdot\|_\alpha, a_\alpha), \alpha \in \Delta\}$$

where  $A_\alpha$  are quotient algebras with respect to the null spaces  $N_\alpha$  of  $q_\alpha \in \hat{P}$  and  $a_\alpha = a + N_\alpha, \|a_\alpha\|_\alpha = q_\alpha(a)$ . In particular, for the l.m.c. algebra  $Q_P(X)$  the following relation holds

$$(2.1) \quad V(Q_P(X), \hat{P}, T) = \bigcup \{V(B(\tilde{X}_\alpha), \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha), \alpha \in \Delta\}$$

where on the right hand side there are algebra numerical ranges on Banach algebras  $B(\tilde{X}_\alpha)$  ([3]).

For a locally convex space  $(X, P)$  the following inclusions were proved in [3]:  $V(X, P, T) \subset V(Q_P(X), \hat{P}, T) \subset \overline{\text{co}}V(X, P, T)$  where  $\overline{\text{co}}M$  denotes closed convex hull of a set  $M$ . For an H-locally convex space we have

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $(X, P)$  be an H-locally convex space and  $T \in Q_P(X)$ . Then*

- (i)  $V(X, P, T) \subset V(Q_P(X), \hat{P}, T) \subset \overline{V(X, P, T)}$ ,
- (ii)  $V(Q_P(X), \hat{P}, T) = \overline{V(X, P, T)}$ .

PROOF: We have to prove the second inclusion in (i). Let us take into account the connection between the spatial and the algebra numerical range in Hilbert spaces  $\tilde{X}_\alpha$

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} V(Q_P(X), \hat{P}, T) &= \bigcup \{V(B(\tilde{X}_\alpha), \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha), \alpha \in \Delta\} = \\ &= \bigcup \overline{\{V(\tilde{X}_\alpha, \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha), \alpha \in \Delta\}} \subset \overline{\bigcup \{V(\tilde{X}_\alpha, \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha), \alpha \in \Delta\}} = \overline{V(X, P, T)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus (i) holds and taking the closure implies (ii). □

**Remark.** The relation (ii) can also be found in [3] for the special case when  $X$  is a product of Hilbert spaces.

When  $\widehat{P}$  is a directed family,  $V(Q_P(X), \widehat{P}, T)$  is a convex set ([3]) and we have

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $(X, P)$  be an H-locally convex space and  $P$  a calibration such that  $\widehat{P}$  is directed. Then for  $T \in Q_P(X)$  the set  $\overline{V(X, P, T)}$  is convex.*

**3. The numerical range and the spectrum.**

Let  $T \in Q_P(X)$ . Then the number  $\lambda \in K$  is in the resolvent set ( $\lambda \in \varrho(Q, T)$ ) if and only if there exists  $(T - \lambda I)^{-1} \in Q_P(X)$ . The spectrum of  $T$  is the set  $\sigma(Q, T) := \varrho(Q, T)^c$  ([6]). Let  $\sigma_\alpha(\widetilde{T}_\alpha)$  denote the spectrum of  $\widetilde{T}_\alpha$  in  $\widetilde{X}_\alpha$ . Then ([3])

**Proposition 3.1.** *If  $(X, P)$  is a complete locally convex space and  $T \in Q_P(X)$ , then*

$$\sigma(Q, T) = \bigcup \{ \sigma_\alpha(\widetilde{T}_\alpha), \alpha \in \Delta \}.$$

As in a Banach space we can define the following four main subsets of the spectrum:  $\sigma_p(Q, T)$ ,  $\sigma_c(Q, T)$ ,  $\sigma_r(Q, T)$  and  $\sigma_a(Q, T)$  — the point, the continuous, the residual and the approximate spectrum respectively.

**Definition 3.2.** *For  $T \in Q_P(X)$  and  $\lambda \in K$  in a locally convex space  $(X, P)$  we have*

- (i)  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(Q, T)$  if and only if  $\ker(T - \lambda I) \neq \{0\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\lambda \in \sigma_c(Q, T)$  if and only if there exists  $(T - \lambda I)^{-1}$  on the set  $\text{im}(T - \lambda I)$  which is dense in  $X$  and  $(T - \lambda I)^{-1} \notin Q_P(X)$ ,
- (iii)  $\lambda \in \sigma_r(Q, T)$  if and only if  $(T - \lambda I)^{-1}$  exists on the set  $\text{im}(T - \lambda I)$  which is not dense in  $X$ ,
- (iv)  $\lambda \notin \sigma_a(Q, T)$  if and only if for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  there exists  $C_\alpha > 0$  such that  $p_\alpha((T - \lambda I)x) \geq C_\alpha p_\alpha(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ .

Let us write down the following connection.

**Proposition 3.3.** *For  $T \in Q_P(X)$  in a locally convex space  $(X, P)$  the following holds*

$$\sigma_a(Q, T) \cup \sigma_r(Q, T) = \sigma(Q, T).$$

PROOF: Let  $\lambda \in \sigma_a(Q, T)^c \cap \sigma_r(Q, T)^c$  and  $y \in X$ . Since  $\text{im}(T - \lambda I)$  is dense, there exists a net  $\{x_\delta\}$  such that  $y_\delta := Tx_\delta - \lambda x_\delta \rightarrow y$ . Since  $\lambda \notin \sigma_a(Q, T)$  by the above definition there exists on  $\text{im}(T - \lambda I)$  the inverse operator which is continuous in the sense  $p_\alpha((T - \lambda I)^{-1}z) \leq D_\alpha p_\alpha(z)$ ,  $\alpha \in \Delta$ ,  $z \in \text{im}(T - \lambda I)$ . Hence the sequence  $x_\delta = (T - \lambda I)^{-1}y_\delta$  is also convergent,  $x_\delta \rightarrow x$  and by continuity of  $T - \lambda I$  it follows  $(T - \lambda I)x = y$ . Thus,  $\text{im}(T - \lambda I) = X$  and by the above inequality  $(T - \lambda I)^{-1} \in Q_P(X)$ , which means  $\lambda \in \sigma(Q, T)^c$ . The reverse inclusion  $\sigma_a(Q, T) \cup \sigma_r(Q, T) \subset \sigma(Q, T)$  is obvious. □

Some connections between parts of the spectrum on  $X$  and on the quotient spaces  $\widetilde{X}_\alpha$  are

**Proposition 3.4.** *For  $T \in Q_P(X)$  on a separated locally convex space  $(X, P)$  the following two relations hold:*

- (i)  $\sigma_p(Q, T) \subset \cup\{\sigma_p(\tilde{T}_\alpha), \alpha \in \Delta\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\sigma_a(Q, T) = \cup\{\sigma_a(\tilde{T}_\alpha), \alpha \in \Delta\}$ .

PROOF: (i) We may choose  $\lambda = 0 \in \sigma_p(Q, T)$ . Then there is some  $x \neq 0$  such that  $Tx = 0$ . Since  $X$  is separated there exists some  $\beta \in \Delta$  such that  $p_\beta(x) \neq 0$ , hence  $x_\beta$  is a nonzero vector in  $\ker(\tilde{T}_\beta)$ . Thus,  $0 \in \sigma_p(\tilde{T}_\beta) \subset \cup\{\sigma_p(\tilde{T}_\alpha), \alpha \in \Delta\}$ .

(ii) Again we may choose  $\lambda = 0 \notin \sigma_a(Q, T)$ . Then for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  there exists  $C_\alpha > 0$  such that  $p_\alpha(Tx) \geq C_\alpha p_\alpha(x)$ ,  $x \in X$  and consequently  $\|T_\alpha x_\alpha\|_\alpha \geq C_\alpha \|x_\alpha\|_\alpha$ ,  $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ . The same estimate then holds on the space  $\tilde{X}_\alpha$ . This means  $0 \notin \sigma_a(\tilde{T}_\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Conversely, suppose  $0 \notin \sigma_a(\tilde{T}_\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , then for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  there is some  $C_\alpha \geq 0$  such that  $\|\tilde{T}_\alpha x_\alpha\| \geq C_\alpha \|x_\alpha\|$ ,  $x_\alpha \in \tilde{X}_\alpha$ , in particular we have the same estimate for  $T_\alpha$  and it follows

$$p_\alpha(Tx) \geq C_\alpha p_\alpha(x), \quad x \in X, \alpha \in \Delta,$$

which means  $0 \notin \sigma_a(Q, T)$ . □

**Corollary 3.5.** *For  $T \in Q_P(X)$  in a separated locally convex space  $(X, P)$ ,  $\lambda \in \sigma_a(Q, T)$  if and only if there exists an  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$ ,  $\{x_n\} \subset J_\alpha^c$  such that  $p_\alpha((T - \lambda I)x_n) \rightarrow 0$ .*

We can prove also a result concerning the boundary points of the spectrum. There it must be supposed an additional assumption since the spectrum in general is not closed.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $(X, P)$  be a complete separated locally convex space and  $T \in Q_P(X)$ . Then*

$$\sigma(Q, T) \cap \partial\sigma(Q, T) \subset \sigma_a(Q, T).$$

PROOF: Let  $\lambda \in \sigma(Q, T) \cap \partial\sigma(Q, T)$ . Then there exists an  $\alpha \in \Delta$  such that  $\lambda \in \sigma(\tilde{T}_\alpha)$ . If  $\lambda$  were an inner point of  $\sigma(\tilde{T}_\alpha)$ , there would exist an open neighborhood  $S$  with the property  $\lambda \in S \subset \sigma(\tilde{T}_\alpha)$ . Then  $S$  would be contained also in  $\sigma(Q, T)$  and  $\lambda$  would not be a boundary point of the spectrum. Thus,  $\lambda \in \partial\sigma(\tilde{T}_\alpha)$ . By such a theorem for normed spaces ([1]),  $\lambda \in \sigma_a(\tilde{T}_\alpha)$  and by Proposition 3.4 we have  $\lambda \in \sigma_a(Q, T)$ . □

In the following we shall consider the connections between the spectrum and the numerical range of an operator. The following result is basic to this subject ([3]).

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $(X, P)$  be a complete separated locally convex space and  $T \in Q_P(X)$ . Then*

$$\sigma(Q, T) \subset \overline{V(X, P, T)}.$$

Let us take  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(Q, T)$ , then there is some  $\alpha \in \Delta$  such that  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(\tilde{T}_\alpha) \subset V(\tilde{X}_\alpha, \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha)$ , consequently the following holds

**Proposition 3.8.** *Given a locally convex space  $(X, P)$  and  $T \in Q_P(X)$ , then*

$$\sigma_p(Q, T) \subset V(X, P, T).$$

Let, now,  $(X, P)$  be an H-locally convex space.

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $(X, P)$  be an H-locally convex space, let  $T \in B_P(X)$  and  $\lambda \in V(X, P, T)$  with the property  $|\lambda| = \|T\|_P$ . Then  $\lambda \in \sigma_a(Q, T)$ .*

PROOF: Let  $\lambda \in V(X, P, T)$ . Then  $\lambda$  is in some  $V(\tilde{X}_\alpha, \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha)$  and by assumption  $|\lambda| \leq \|\tilde{T}_\alpha\| \leq \|T\|_P = |\lambda|$ , hence  $|\lambda| = \|\tilde{T}_\alpha\|$ . By a similar theorem for Hilbert spaces ([4]), and by Proposition 3.4 it follows  $\lambda \in \sigma_a(\tilde{T}_\alpha) \subset \sigma_a(Q, T)$ .  $\square$

In the Hilbert space the convex hull of the spectrum of a normal operator is equal to closedness of the numerical range. A generalization of this result is

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $(X, P)$  be a complete H-locally convex space, let  $T \in Q_P(X)$  be an operator for which  $T^0$  exists and let  $T$  be normal operator. Then*

$$\overline{co} \sigma(Q, T) = \overline{co} V(X, P, T).$$

PROOF: First, by Theorem 3.7,  $\overline{co} \sigma(Q, T) \subset \overline{co} V(X, P, T)$ . Conversely, since  $T$  is normal,  $T^0 T = T T^0$ , all operators  $\tilde{T}_\alpha$  are normal, too. Thus, in Hilbert spaces  $\tilde{X}_\alpha$  we have

$$co \sigma(\tilde{T}_\alpha) = \overline{V(\tilde{X}_\alpha, \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha)} = V(B(\tilde{X}_\alpha), \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \Delta.$$

Let us take the union for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , then (2.1) implies

$$\begin{aligned} V(Q_P(X), \hat{P}, T) &= \bigcup \{V(B(\tilde{X}_\alpha), \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha), \alpha \in \Delta\} = \bigcup \{co \sigma(\tilde{T}_\alpha), \alpha \in \Delta\} \subset \\ &\subset co \bigcup \{\sigma(\tilde{T}_\alpha), \alpha \in \Delta\} = co \sigma(Q, T). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1

$$\overline{V(X, P, T)} = \overline{V(Q_P(X), \hat{P}, T)} \subset \overline{co} \sigma(Q, T).$$

$\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $(X, P)$  be a complete H-locally convex space and  $T \in Q_P(X)$  an operator such that  $T^0$  exists and let  $T$  be normal. When  $P$  is a calibration such that  $\hat{P}$  is directed then*

$$\overline{co} \sigma(Q, T) = \overline{V(X, P, T)}.$$

Let us denote by  $d(\lambda, M)$  the distance between  $\lambda$  and the set  $M$  in the complex plane. Then

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $(X, P)$  be a complete H-locally convex space, let  $T \in Q_P(X)$  and  $\lambda \notin \overline{V(X, P, T)}$ . Then  $(T - \lambda I)^{-1} \in B_P(X)$  and*

$$(3.1) \quad \|(T - \lambda I)^{-1}\|_P \leq (d(\lambda, \overline{V(X, P, T)}))^{-1}.$$

PROOF: One may suppose  $\lambda = 0$ . Let  $0 \notin \overline{V(X, P, T)}$ , then by Theorem 3.7,  $0 \in \rho(Q, T)$  and by Proposition 3.1,  $0 \in \rho(\tilde{T}_\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Thus

$$\|\tilde{T}_\alpha^{-1}x_\alpha\|_\alpha \leq \|\tilde{T}_\alpha^{-1}\|_\alpha \|x_\alpha\|_\alpha, \quad x_\alpha \in \tilde{X}_\alpha$$

for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and then it is easy to see that  $p_\alpha(T^{-1}x) \leq \|\tilde{T}_\alpha^{-1}\|_\alpha p_\alpha(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$  and  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Hence

$$(3.2) \quad q_\alpha(T^{-1}) \leq \|\tilde{T}_\alpha^{-1}\|_\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \Delta.$$

For each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  the inclusion in (2.2) implies  $0 \notin \overline{V(\tilde{X}_\alpha, \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha)}$ . By an analogous inequality as is (3.1) for Hilbert space ([4]) and again by the inclusion in (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{T}_\alpha^{-1}\|_\alpha &\leq (d(0, \overline{V(\tilde{X}_\alpha, \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha)}))^{-1} \leq (d(0, \bigcup\{\overline{V(\tilde{X}_\alpha, \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha)}, \alpha \in \Delta\}))^{-1} \\ &\leq (d(0, \overline{\bigcup\{V(\tilde{X}_\alpha, \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha), \alpha \in \Delta\}}))^{-1} = (d(0, \overline{V(X, P, T)}))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.2) we obtain  $q_\alpha(T^{-1}) \leq (d(0, \overline{V(X, P, T)}))^{-1}$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Thus,  $T^{-1} \in B_P(X)$  and  $\|T^{-1}\|_P \leq (d(0, \overline{V(X, P, T)}))^{-1}$ . □

In a separated complex locally convex space  $(X, P)$ , an operator  $T \in Q_P(X)$  is *hermitian* if  $V(X, P, T) \subset \mathcal{R}$  ([3]). This definition is consistent with the notion of a hermitian operator in an H-locally convex space ([6]), namely

**Proposition 3.13.** *In a complex H-locally convex space for an operator  $T \in Q_P(X)$  the following two relations are equivalent:*

- (i)  $V(X, P, T) \subset \mathcal{R}$ ,
- (ii)  $(Tx, y)_\alpha = (x, Ty)_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta, x, y \in X$ .

PROOF: If  $V(X, P, T) \subset \mathcal{R}$ , then  $V(\tilde{X}_\alpha, \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha) \subset \mathcal{R}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , consequently  $\tilde{T}_\alpha^* = \tilde{T}_\alpha$ . Thus,  $(Tx, y)_\alpha = (x, Ty)_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta, x, y \in X$ . Conversely, when the last equalities are valid, they hold for all  $\tilde{T}_\alpha$ , too, hence  $V(\tilde{X}_\alpha, \|\cdot\|_\alpha, \tilde{T}_\alpha) \subset \mathcal{R}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , thus,  $V(X, P, T) \subset \mathcal{R}$ . □

**Definition 3.14.** Let  $(X, P)$  be a locally convex space and  $T \in Q_P(X)$ .

(i) When  $\sigma(Q, T)$  is a bounded set, we define the **spectral radius** of  $T$  by the relation

$$r(Q, T) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(Q, T)\}.$$

(ii) When  $V(X, P, T)$  is bounded, we define the **numerical radius** of  $T$  by the relation

$$v(Q, T) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in V(X, P, T)\}.$$

By  $r(\tilde{T}_\alpha)$  and  $v(\tilde{T}_\alpha)$  we denote the spectral radius and the numerical radius of  $\tilde{T}_\alpha$  in  $\tilde{X}_\alpha$ , respectively. By the above definition the following equality follows

$$(3.3) \quad v(Q, T) = \sup\{v(\tilde{T}_\alpha), \alpha \in \Delta\}.$$

It was proved in [3] that for  $T \in Q_P(X)$  the numerical range is bounded if and only if  $T \in B_P(X)$ .

**Proposition 3.15.** For  $T \in B_P(X)$  in a locally convex space  $(X, P)$  the following holds:

$$r(Q, T) \leq v(Q, T) \leq \|T\|_P.$$

PROOF: The first inequality follows by Theorem 3.7. Let us prove the second one. Clearly,  $v(\tilde{T}_\alpha) \leq \|\tilde{T}_\alpha\|_\alpha = q_\alpha(T) \leq \|T\|_P$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , hence taking the supremum we obtain  $v(Q, T) \leq \|T\|_P$ .  $\square$

In [3] it was also proved that when a hermitian operator  $T \in Q_P(X)$  has a bounded spectrum, then  $T \in B_P(X)$ . For an H-locally convex space one can somewhat generalize this result.

**Theorem 3.16.** Let  $(X, P)$  be a complete H-locally convex space and  $T \in Q_P(X)$  an operator for which  $T^0$  exists, let  $T$  be normal and let  $r(Q, T) < \infty$ . Then the following two assertions hold:

- (i)  $T \in B_P(X)$ ,
- (ii)  $r(Q, T) = v(Q, T) = \|T\|_P$ .

PROOF: Using the equality  $(\tilde{T}_\alpha)^* = (\tilde{T}^0)_\alpha$  ([5]), normality of  $T$  implies the normality of all  $\tilde{T}_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta$ . Consequently

$$q_\alpha(T) = \|T_\alpha\|_\alpha = \|\tilde{T}_\alpha\|_\alpha = r(\tilde{T}_\alpha) \leq r(Q, T), \quad \alpha \in \Delta.$$

Thus,  $\sup q_\alpha(T) < \infty$ , which implies  $T \in B_P(X)$  and the inequality  $\|T\|_P \leq r(Q, T)$ . The reverse inequality follows by Proposition 3.15.  $\square$



**Corollary 3.17.** *Let  $(X, P)$  be as above and let  $S, T \in B_P(X)$  be such that their adjoint exist and they are normal, then the following inequality holds*

$$v(Q, ST) \leq v(Q, S)v(Q, T).$$

The numerical radius in locally convex spaces has the same properties as the one in normed spaces.

**Proposition 3.18.** *Let  $(X, P)$  be a locally convex space. Then the numerical radius is a norm on  $B_P(X)$ , equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_P$ . Precisely, the following inequalities hold:*

$$e^{-1} \cdot \|T\|_P \leq v(Q, T) \leq \|T\|_P, \quad T \in B_P(X).$$

PROOF: Clearly, by the definition  $v(Q, T) \geq 0$  and  $v(Q, \lambda T) = |\lambda|v(Q, T)$ . If  $v(Q, T) = 0$ , by (3.3),  $v(\widetilde{T}_\alpha) = 0$  and hence  $\widetilde{T}_\alpha = 0$ , for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , so  $T = 0$ . For  $S, T \in Q_P(X)$  and all  $\alpha \in \Delta$  the following inequality holds:

$$v(\widetilde{S}_\alpha + \widetilde{T}_\alpha) \leq v(\widetilde{S}_\alpha) + v(\widetilde{T}_\alpha).$$

Then by (3.3) also  $v(Q, S + T) \leq v(Q, S) + v(Q, T)$ . For any  $\alpha \in \Delta$  we have the inequality  $e^{-1} \cdot \|\widetilde{T}_\alpha\| \leq v(\widetilde{T}_\alpha)$  ([1]). Then such an inequality holds also for the supremum, thus, the left inequality in the above proposition is proved.  $\square$

For the case of an H-locally convex space we can generalize more inequalities from the Hilbert space.

**Proposition 3.19.** *Let  $(X, P)$  be an H-locally convex space and  $S, T \in B_P(X)$ . Then the following inequalities hold:*

- (i)  $\frac{1}{2}\|T\|_P \leq v(Q, T) \leq \|T\|_P,$
- (ii)  $v(Q, ST) \leq 4v(Q, S)v(Q, T),$
- (iii)  $v(Q, T^n) \leq v(Q, T)^n, n \in N.$

PROOF: (i) Since  $\widetilde{X}_\alpha$  are Hilbert spaces, we have  $\|\widetilde{T}_\alpha\|_\alpha \leq 2v(\widetilde{T}_\alpha)$ , for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Taking the supremum we obtain  $\|T\|_P \leq 2v(Q, T)$ . The second inequality is known by the previous proposition. The estimate (ii) follows by (i). For each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  the Berger inequality  $v(\widetilde{T}_\alpha^n) \leq v(\widetilde{T}_\alpha)^n, n \in N$ , holds and taking the supremum we obtain (iii).  $\square$

Finally, we give a result concerning Q-equivalent calibrations. Two calibrations  $P$  and  $P'$  on a locally convex space  $X$  are Q-equivalent (denoted by  $P \simeq P'$ ) if each seminorm  $p \in P$  is equivalent to some  $p' \in P'$  and vice versa (see [5]). It is easy to see that  $P \simeq P'$  implies  $Q_P(X) = Q_{P'}(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.20.** *Let  $(X, P)$  be a complex complete locally convex space and  $T \in Q_P(X)$  such that  $\sigma(Q, T)$  is bounded. Then*

$$\overline{\sigma}(Q, T) = \bigcap \{ \overline{\sigma}V(X, P', T) : P' \simeq P \}.$$

PROOF: Since  $\sigma(Q, T)$  is independent of calibrations, by Theorem 3.7,  $\overline{\text{co}}\sigma(Q, T) \subset \overline{\text{co}}V(X, P', T)$ , for all  $P' \simeq P$ , hence  $\overline{\text{co}}\sigma(Q, T) \subset \cap\{\overline{\text{co}}V(X, P', T) : P' \simeq P\}$ . Let us prove the opposite inclusion. Since  $\overline{\text{co}}\sigma(Q, T)$  is compact and convex it is an intersection of the open circular discs containing  $\sigma(Q, T)$ . Take any such an open disc  $S = \{\lambda : |\lambda - \lambda_0| < r'\}$ . Clearly  $r(Q, T - \lambda_0 I) < r'$ . Let us choose a number  $\varepsilon$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon < r' - r(Q, T - \lambda_0 I)$ . Then by [3] there exists a calibration  $P' = \{p'_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta\}$  on  $X$  which has the same indexing as  $P$  such that for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  the corresponding norm  $\|\cdot\|'_\alpha$  on  $\tilde{X}_\alpha$  is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ , such that  $T - \lambda_0 I \in B_{P'}(X)$  and such that

$$r(Q, T - \lambda_0 I) \leq \|T - \lambda_0 I\|_{P'} \leq r(Q, T - \lambda_0 I) + \varepsilon.$$

It is obvious that  $P'$  and  $P$  are Q-equivalent. Suppose that  $\lambda \in \overline{V(X, P', T)}$  then  $\lambda - \lambda_0 \in \overline{V(X, P', T - \lambda_0 I)}$  and by Proposition 3.15 we have

$$|\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq \|T - \lambda_0 I\|_{P'} < r',$$

which means that  $S$  contains  $\overline{V(X, P', T)}$  and then also  $\overline{\text{co}}V(X, P', T)$ . Thus, the set  $\cap\{\overline{\text{co}}V(X, P', T) : P' \simeq P\}$  is contained in every circular disc that contains  $\sigma(Q, T)$  and the opposite inclusion is proved.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bonsal F.F., Duncan J., *Numerical range of operators on normed spaces and of elements of normed algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 2, Cambridge, 1971.
- [2] ———, *Numerical ranges II*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 10, Cambridge, 1973.
- [3] Giles J.R., Joseph G., Koehler D.O., Sims B., *On numerical ranges of operators on locally convex spaces*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **20** (1975), 468–482.
- [4] Hildebrandt S., *Über den numerischen Wertebereich eines Operators*, Math. Annalen **163** (1966), 230–247.
- [5] Joseph G.A., *Boundedness and completeness in locally convex spaces and algebras*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **24** (1977), 50–63.
- [6] Kramar E., *Locally convex topological vector spaces with Hilbertian seminorms*, Rev. Roum. Math. pures et Appl. **26** (1981), 55–62.
- [7] ———, *Linear operators in H-locally convex spaces*, *ibid.* **26** (1981), 63–77.
- [8] Precupanu T., *Sur les produits scalaires dans des espaces vectoriels topologiques*, *ibid.* **13** (1968), 83–93.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, JADRANSKA 19, 61000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

(Received December 18, 1991)