

Martin Fuchs

Smoothness for systems of degenerate variational inequalities with natural growth

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 33 (1992), No. 1, 33--41

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118467>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Smoothness for systems of degenerate variational inequalities with natural growth

MARTIN FUCHS

Abstract. We extend a regularity theorem of Hildebrandt and Widman [3] to certain degenerate systems of variational inequalities and prove Hölder-continuity of solutions which are in some sense stationary.

Keywords: variational inequalities, regularity theory

Classification: 49

0. Introduction.

We consider systems of variational inequalities of the form

$$(0.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} A(u)|Du|^{p-2} Du \cdot D(v - u) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} f(\cdot, u, Du) \cdot (v - u) dx$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{K} := H^{1,p}(\Omega, K)$ such that $\text{spt}(u - v) \subset\subset \Omega$, where K is a convex set in \mathbb{R}^N and p denotes some real number in the interval $[2, n]$, n denoting the dimension of the domain Ω . Our main purpose is to prove (partial) regularity for solutions $u \in \mathbb{K}$ of (0.1) in the case that the right-hand side is of natural growth, i.e. we require

$$|f(x, y, Q)| \leq a \cdot (|Q|^p + 1)$$

for some positive constant a . To my knowledge there is only a theorem of Hildebrandt and Widman [3] concerning the quadratic case $p = 2$ which can be summarized as follows:

$$(0.2) \quad \text{If } A \geq \lambda > 0 \text{ and if } a < \lambda / \text{diam } K$$

is satisfied then any solution u of (0.1) is of class $C^{0,\alpha}$ on the whole domain Ω .

Since these authors make use of the Green's function technique it is rather clear that for general $p > 2$ one has to find completely new arguments. We start with the observation that (0.2) is sufficient to prove a Caccioppoli inequality for u giving $Du \in L^q_{\text{loc}}$ for some $q > p$ and hence partial regularity apart from a closed singular set of vanishing \mathcal{H}^{n-q} -measure. Of course the convexity of K is essential in two ways: it is needed to derive Caccioppoli's inequality and to show that local solutions w of $D(|Dw|^{p-2} Dw) = 0$ for boundary values u are admissible. Unfortunately we did not succeed in proving everywhere regularity by the way giving

a complete extension of the above mentioned theorem of Hildebrandt and Widman. Our contribution concerns the following case: suppose that f is of the special form $f(x, y, Q) = \frac{1}{2} DA(y) |Q|^p$ and that in addition u is a stationary point of the functional $\mathcal{F}(u) := \int_{\Omega} A(u) |Du|^p dx$ with respect to reparametrizations of Ω . This enables us to consider blow-up sequences at possible singularities which are shown to converge strongly to a homogeneous (degree zero) tangent map u_0 in the space $H_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and from (0.2) it follows that u_0 must be trivial so that the singular set is empty. Hence our main result can be summarized as follows:

Suppose that $u \in \mathbb{K}$ satisfies $\frac{d}{dt/0} \mathcal{F}(u + t(v - u)) \geq 0$ for all $v \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\text{spt}(u - v) \subset\subset \Omega$. Then if (0.2) holds and if u is also stationary we have $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$.

1. Notations and results.

We here specify our assumptions and introduce some notations which will be used throughout the paper. Let $B_r(x_0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < r\}$, we often write B_r when x_0 is fixed and use the symbol B to denote the open unit ball with center at 0. For a compact convex set K in \mathbb{R}^N and a real number $2 \leq p < n$ we introduce the class $\mathbb{K} := \{u \in H^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{R}^N) : u(x) \in K \text{ a.e.}\}$ of all vector-valued Sobolev functions with values in the prescribed set K . Moreover, we are given a smooth function $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the property

$$(1.1) \quad \lambda \leq A(y), \quad y \in K,$$

for some positive number λ . For the functions $u \in \mathbb{K}$ and balls $B_r(x_0) \subset B$ we then define the energy

$$\mathcal{F}(u, B_r(x_0)) := \int_{B_r(x_0)} A(u) |Du|^p dx.$$

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $u \in \mathbb{K}$ satisfies*

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{t \downarrow 0} t^{-1} \cdot \left[\mathcal{F}(u + t(v - u), B) - \mathcal{F}(u, B) \right] \geq 0$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{K}$ with the property $\text{spt}(u - v) \subset\subset B$. Then, if the smallness condition

$$(1.3) \quad \sup_K |DA| < 2 \cdot \lambda \cdot (\text{diam } K)^{-1}$$

holds, we have $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(B')$ for some open subset B' of B such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-p}(B - B') = 0$.

Definition. A function $u \in \mathbb{K}$ is a stationary point of $\mathcal{F}(\cdot, B)$ iff

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt/0} \mathcal{F}(u_t, B) = 0, \quad u_t(x) := u(x + t \cdot X(x)),$$

holds for all vectorfields $X \in C_0^1(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $u \in \mathbb{K}$ denote a stationary point of $\mathcal{F}(\cdot, B)$ which in addition satisfies (1.2). Then $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(B)$ provided the smallness condition (1.3) is satisfied.*

Remarks:1) Theorems 1.1, 1.2 easily extend to functionals of the form

$$u \rightarrow \int_B A(u) (a_{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha u \cdot D_\beta u)^{p/2} dx$$

with elliptic coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta} : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

2) We conjecture that (1.2), (1.3) are sufficient to prove everywhere regularity.

3) Under suitable smallness conditions relating λ , $\text{diam}(K)$ and the growth constant a in

$$|f(x, y, Q)| \leq a(|Q|^p + 1),$$

a partial regularity result in the spirit of Theorem 1.1 can be deduced for solutions $u \in K$ of the variational inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B A(u) |Du|^{p-2} Du \cdot (Dv - Du) dx &\geq \\ &\geq \int_B f(\cdot, u, Du) \cdot (v - u) dx, \quad v \in \mathbb{K}, \text{spt}(u - v) \subset\subset B, \end{aligned}$$

but again we are unable to exclude singular points.

2. Proof of the partial regularity Theorem 1.1.

Clearly inequality (1.2) is equivalent to

$$(2.1) \quad \int_B A(u) |Du|^{p-2} Du \cdot D(u - v) dx \leq \int_B \frac{1}{2} DA(u) \cdot (v - u) |Du|^p dx$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\text{spt}(u - v) \subset\subset B$. Consider a ball $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset B$ and a cut-off function

$$\eta \in C_0^1(B_{2R}(x_0), [0, 1]), \quad \eta = 1 \text{ on } B_R(x_0), \quad |D\eta| \leq 2 \cdot R^{-1}.$$

Then

$$v := u + \eta^p(u_{2R} - u), \quad u_{2R} := \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} u dx,$$

is admissible in (2.1) and a standard calculation using (1.3) implies Caccioppoli's inequality

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{B_R(x_0)} |Du|^p dx \leq c_1 \cdot R^{-p} \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |u - u_{2R}|^p dx$$

for some absolute constant c_1 independent of u and the ball $B_R(x_0)$. Quoting [G] we find an exponent $q > p$ such that

$$Du \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(B, \mathbb{R}^{nN})$$

and the following reverse Hölder inequality holds

$$(2.3) \quad \left(\int_{B_R(x_0)} |Du|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq c_3 \left(\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |Du|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Let $w \in H^{1,p}(B_R(x_0), \mathbb{R}^N)$ denote the unique minimizer of the functional

$$\mathcal{F}_0(v) := A(u_R) \cdot \int_{B_R(x_0)} |Dv|^p dx$$

for boundary values $u|_{\partial B_R(x_0)}$. Since $u(B_R(x_0)) \subset K$ and since K is convex, one easily checks (for example by projecting v onto the set K) that v respects the side condition and therefore is admissible in (2.1) provided we integrate over the ball $B_R(x_0)$. As in [1, Lemma 3.3] we then can prove the following comparison inequality

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{B_R(x_0)} |Du - Dv|^p dx \leq \\ \leq c_4 \cdot \left[R^{p-n} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |Du|^p dx \right]^{1-p/q} \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |Du|^p dx.$$

Note that the proof of (2.4) combines (2.3) with standard ellipticity estimates. On the other hand we know from [5] that

$$\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |Dv|^p dx \leq c_r \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^n \int_{B_R(x_0)} |Dv|^p dx, \quad 0 < \rho \leq R,$$

which gives on account of (2.4):

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that $u \in \mathbb{K}$ satisfies (1.2) and that the smallness condition (1.3) holds. Then there exist constants $\varepsilon, \alpha \in (0, 1)$ (independent of u) with the following property: If*

$$(2.5) \quad R^{p-n} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |Du|^p dx < \varepsilon$$

holds for some ball $B_R(x_0) \subset B$ then $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(B_{R/2}(x_0))$ and

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leq c \cdot |x - y|^\alpha, \quad x, y \in B_{R/2}(x_0),$$

with $0 < c < \infty$ independent of u . □

This proves Theorem 1.1 and in view of Caccioppoli's inequality (2.2) we see that a point $x_0 \in B$ is a regular point if and only if

$$(2.5)' \quad \int_{B_R(x_0)} |u - u_R|^p dx < \varepsilon'$$

holds for some ball $B_R(x_0) \subset B$ and a suitable small constant $\varepsilon' \in (0, 1)$.

3. Monotonicity and everywhere regularity.

The following lemma is essentially due to Price [4] (for $p = 2$).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u \in \mathbb{K}$ satisfy (1.4). Then we have*

$$(3.1) \quad 0 = \int_B A(u) |Du|^{p-2} [|Du|^2 \operatorname{div} X - p D_\alpha u \cdot D_\beta u D_\alpha X^\beta] dx$$

for all vectorfields $X \in C_0^1(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$. □

By applying (3.1) to fields of the form

$$X(x) = \gamma(|x|) x$$

for a function $\gamma \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $(0 < \rho < 1)$

$$\gamma' \leq 0, \quad \gamma = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad (-\infty, \rho/2], \quad \gamma = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad (\rho, \infty),$$

we get

Lemma 3.2 (Monotonicity formula). *Suppose that $u \in \mathbb{K}$ satisfies (1.4). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & R^{p-n} \int_{B_R} A(u) |Du|^p dx - r^{p-n} \int_{B_r} A(u) |Du|^p dx \\ &= p \cdot \int_{B_R - B_r} A(u) |Du|^{p-2} \cdot |D_r u|^2 \cdot |x|^{p-n} dx \end{aligned}$$

holds for balls $B_r(0) \subset B_R(0) \subset B$.

Remarks: 1) $D_r u$ denotes the radial derivative: $D_r u^i(x) := \nabla u^i(x) \cdot \frac{x}{|x|}$.

2) A similar formula is valid for balls with center $x_0 \in B$.

We now come to the proof of Theorem 1.2: Let all the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 hold; it clearly suffices to show

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{R \downarrow 0} R^{p-n} \int_{B_R(0)} |Du|^p dx = 0,$$

i.e. $0 \in \operatorname{Reg}(u)$ (= the regular set of u). To this purpose define a sequence $r_k \downarrow 0$ and consider the scaled maps $u_k(z) := u(r_k z)$, $z \in B$, which belong to the class \mathbb{K} and satisfy (2.1) for all $v \in \mathbb{K}$, $\operatorname{spt}(u_k - v) \subset\subset B$. Since

$$\sup_k \|u_k\|_{H^{1,p}(B)} < \infty,$$

we may extract a subsequence (again denoted by u_k) such that

$$u_k \rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{in} \quad L_{\text{loc}}^p, \quad u_k \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{weakly in} \quad H_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}$$

and pointwise a.e. The limit u_0 is in the class \mathbb{K} and let us suppose for the moment that we already know

$$(3.3) \quad u_k \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{strongly in} \quad H_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}.$$

We then fix an arbitrary point $\xi \in K$ and a function $\eta \in C_0^1(0, 1)$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, and apply (2.1) with u replaced by u_k and $v(x) := u_k(x) + \eta(|x|)(\xi - u_k(x))$. (v is admissible since $\text{Im } v \subset K$ and $\text{spt}(u_k - v) \subset\subset B$.) On account of (3.3) we may pass to the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ in order to deduce

$$\int_B A(u_0) Du_0 \cdot D(\eta[u_0 - \xi]) |Du|^{p-2} dx \leq \int_B \frac{1}{2} DA u_0 \cdot \eta(\xi - u_0) |Du_0|^p dx,$$

which gives (recall (1.3))

$$(3.4) \quad \delta \cdot \int_B \eta \cdot |Du_0|^p dx + \int_B A(u_0) |Du_0|^{p-2} D_\alpha u_0 \cdot (u_0 - \xi) \eta'(|x|) x_\alpha \cdot |x|^{-1} dx \leq 0$$

for some $\delta > 0$. By scaling (3.1) is valid also for u_k and strong convergence $u_k \rightarrow u_0$ in $H_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}$ shows that (3.1) holds for the limit u_0 . Thus Lemma 3.2 extends to u_0 . Applying Lemma 3.2 to u we see that

$$\Phi(t) := t^{p-n} \int_{B_t} A(u) |Du|^p dx$$

is an increasing function so that $L := \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \Phi(t)$ exists. On the other hand we have for any $0 < R < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} R^{p-n} \int_{B_R} A(u_0) |Du_0|^p dx &\stackrel{(3.3)}{=} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} R^{p-n} \int_{B_R} A(u_k) |Du_k|^p dx \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (r_k \cdot R)^{p-n} \int_{B_{r_k \cdot R}} A(u) |Du|^p dx = L, \end{aligned}$$

which shows $D_r u_0 \equiv 0$. Inserting this result into (3.4) we finally arrive at

$$\int_B \eta \cdot |Du_0|^p dx = 0$$

so that $Du_0 = 0$ a.e. on B , and in conclusion

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= R^{p-n} \int_{B_{R(0)}} |Du_0|^p dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} R^{p-n} \int_{B_{R(0)}} |Du_k|^p dx \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (r_k \cdot R)^{p-n} \int_{B_{r_k \cdot R(0)}} |Du|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.2).

It remains to verify (3.3): Choose a point $x \in B$ such that

$$\int_{B_r(x)} |u_0 - (u_0)_r|^p dz < \varepsilon'$$

holds for some ball $B_r(x) \subset B$ with ε' being defined in (2.5). For k sufficiently large we then have

$$\int_{B_r(x)} |u_k - (u_k)_r|^p dz < \varepsilon'$$

and since Lemma 2.1 applies to u_k we get the apriori estimate

$$[u_k]_{C^{0,\alpha}(B_{r/2}(x))} \leq c \leq \infty$$

for the Hölder-seminorms with c independent of k . Arzela's theorem implies $u_k \rightarrow u_0$ uniformly on $B_{r/2}(x)$, especially $u_0 \in C^{0,\alpha}(B_{r/2}(x))$.

Let S_0 denote the interior singular set of u_0 . The preceding arguments show

$$S_0 \subset \Sigma_0 := \{x \in B : \liminf_{r \downarrow 0} \int_{B_r(x)} |u_0 - (u_0)_r|^p dz > 0\},$$

so that $\mathcal{H}^{n-p}(S_0) \leq \mathcal{H}^{n-p}(\Sigma_0) = 0$. Fix a number $t \in (0, 1)$ and some small $\delta > 0$ and choose a covering

$$\Sigma_0 \cap B_t \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i, \quad B_i := B_{r_i}(x_i) \subset\subset B,$$

with the property $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i^{n-p} < \delta$. Then we have the following estimate for the energies on the set $0 =: \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_O |Du_k|^p dx &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_i} |Du_k|^p dx \\ &\leq (\text{monotonicity formula for } u_k) \leq c \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i^{n-p} \int_B |Du_k|^p dx \\ &= c \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i^{n-p} (r_k^{p-n} \int_{B_{r_k}} |Du|^p dx) \\ &\leq (\text{monotonicity formula}) \leq c' \cdot \delta \cdot \int_B |Du|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

In order to control the energies on the remaining part we choose $\eta \in C_0^1(B, [0, 1])$ such that $\eta \equiv 1$ on $\bar{B}_t - O$ and $\text{spt } \eta \cap S_O = \emptyset$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(3.5)_k \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_B A(u_k) |Du_k|^{p-2} Du_k \cdot D(u_k - v) dx \\ & \leq \int_B \frac{1}{2} DA(u_k) \cdot (v - u_k) |Du_k|^p dx, \\ & v \in \mathbb{K}, \text{ spt}(u_k - v) \subset\subset B; \end{aligned}$$

choosing $v := u_k + \eta^p \cdot (u_\ell - u_k)$ in $(3.5)_k$ and $v := u_\ell + \eta^p(u_k - u_\ell)$ in $(3.5)_\ell$ we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_B \left(A(u_k) Du_k \cdot D(u_k - u_\ell) |Du_k|^{p-2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - A(u_\ell) Du_\ell \cdot D(u_k - u_\ell) |Du_\ell|^{p-2} \right) \cdot \eta^p dx \\ & \leq c_1 \cdot \int_B |D\eta^p| \cdot |u_k - u_\ell| \cdot \{|Du_\ell|^{p-1} + |Du_k|^{p-1}\} dx \\ & \quad + c_2 \cdot \int_B \eta^p \cdot |u_k - u_\ell| \cdot \{|Du_\ell|^p + |Du_k|^p\} dx, \end{aligned}$$

which turns into an estimate of the form ($\tau > 0$ a positive constant)

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau \cdot \int_B \eta^p \cdot |Du_k - Du_\ell|^p dx \\ & \leq c_3 \cdot \int_B |u_k - u_\ell| \cdot \left(|D\eta^p| \cdot \{|Du_\ell|^{p-1} + |Du_k|^{p-1}\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \eta^p \cdot \{|Du_k|^p + |Du_\ell|^p\} \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling $\sup \{|u_\ell(x) - u_k(x)| : x \in \text{spt } \eta\} \xrightarrow{\ell, k \rightarrow \infty} 0$ we see

$\int_B \eta^p |Du_\ell - Du_k|^p dx \xrightarrow{\ell, k \rightarrow \infty} 0$ so that $\{Du_k\}$ is a Cauchy-sequence in $L_{\text{loc}}^p(B)$

which completes the proof of (3.3). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Fuchs M., Fusco N., *Partial regularity results for vector valued functions which minimize certain functionals having nonquadratic growth under smooth side conditions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **399** (1988), 67–78.
- [2] Giaquinta M., *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations and nonlinear elliptic systems*, Ann. of Math. Studies 105, Princeton U.P. 1983.
- [3] Hildebrandt S., Widman K.-O., *Variational inequalities for vectorvalued functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **309** (1979), 181–220.

- [4] Price P., *A monotonicity formula for Yang–Mills fields*, Manus. Math. **43** (1983), 131–166.
- [5] Uhlenbeck K., *Regularity for a class of nonlinear elliptic systems*, Acta Math. **138** (1977), 219–240.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, ARBEITSGRUPPE 6, TECHNISCHE HOCHSCHULE, SCHLOSSGARTEN-
STRASSE 7, D-6100 DARMSTADT, FRG

(Received August 29, 1991)