

Om Prakash Ahuja

Radii of starlikeness and coefficient estimates of a class of analytic functions

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 108 (1983), No. 3, 265--271

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118174>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

RADII OF STARLIKENESS AND COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES
OF A CLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

O. P. AHUJA, Khartoum

(Received August 3, 1982)

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S^* denote the class of functions $f(z)$ analytic in the open unit disc $E\{z : |z| < 1\}$, normalized so that $f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1$ and univalently starlike in E . The properties of the elements of this class have been investigated extensively for many years. One of the more important early discoveries for the class S^* was that $f(z)$ satisfies the inequality

$$|\sqrt{(z/f(z)) - 1}| > 1, \quad (z \in E).$$

This fact may also be expressed in the form

$$\operatorname{Re} \sqrt{(f(z)/z)} \geq \frac{1}{1 + |z|} > 1/2, \quad (z \in E).$$

Then $f(z)/z \ll (1 + z)^{-2}$ in E (where \ll denotes subordination) and there exists an analytic function $\omega(z)$, $|\omega(z)| \leq |z| < 1$, such that

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{f(z)}{z} = \frac{1}{(1 + \omega(z))^2}, \quad (z \in E).$$

Proofs of this attractive result are due to Marx [4], Strohächer [8], and to Robertson [6]. Motivated by this discovery, we introduce the class $S(\alpha, \beta)$ as follows.

A function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ analytic in the unit disc E is in the class $S(\alpha, \beta)$ if it satisfies the condition

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{f(z)}{z} \ll \left[\frac{1 + (2\alpha\beta - 1)z}{1 + (2\beta - 1)z} \right]^2, \quad (z \in E)$$

where α and β are arbitrary fixed numbers, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$.

It follows from the definition of subordination that $f \in S(\alpha, \beta)$ has a representation of the form

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{f(z)}{z} = \left[\frac{1 + (2\alpha\beta - 1)\omega(z)}{1 + (2\beta - 1)\omega(z)} \right]^2, \quad (z \in E)$$

for some function ω , analytic in E , and satisfying the conditions $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$, $z \in E$.

A function $f \in S(\alpha, \beta)$ may not be univalently starlike in E as is easily seen from the example $f(z) = z(1 + z^2)^{-2} \in S(1/2, 1)$.

The class $S(1/2, 1)$ has been investigated by Dvořák [2], Duren and Schober [1], and Reade and Umezawa [5]. We, further, note that the class $S(1/(2\varrho), 1) \equiv S(1/(2\varrho))$, $\varrho > 1/2$, is larger than the class introduced and studied by Goel [3].

In this paper, we obtain the radii of starlikeness and coefficient estimates for the functions in the class $S(\alpha, \beta)$.

2. RADII OF STARLIKENESS

Let B denote the class of analytic functions ω in E which satisfy the conditions (i) $\omega(0) = 0$, and (ii) $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for z in E .

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in S(\alpha, \beta)$ and let r_0 be the smallest positive root of the equation*

$$(2.1) \quad (2\beta - 1)(2\alpha\beta - 1)r^4 - 2(2\beta - 1)(2\alpha\beta - 1)r^3 - 2(\beta + \alpha\beta + 2\alpha\beta^2 - 1)r^2 - 2r + 1 = 0.$$

Then

(i) for $0 \leq r < r_0$, f is starlike in $|z| < r_1$, where r_1 is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$(2.2) \quad (2\beta - 1)(2\alpha\beta - 1)r^2 + 2(3\alpha\beta - \beta - 1)r + 1 = 0,$$

(ii) for $r_0 \leq r < 1$, f is starlike in $|z| < r_2$, where r_2 is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$(2.3) \quad (16\alpha\beta - 9 - \alpha)r^4 - 2(8\alpha\beta + 3 - 3\alpha)r^2 + (9\alpha - 1) = 0.$$

The bounds for $|z|$ in (i) and (ii) are sharp.

Proof. If $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + \dots$ and

$$(2.4) \quad p(z) = \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{1/2},$$

then $p(z)$ is analytic in E and $p(0) = 1$. Thus (1.3) may be rewritten as

$$(2.5) \quad p(z) = \frac{1 + (2\alpha\beta - 1)\omega(z)}{1 + (2\beta - 1)\omega(z)},$$

where $\omega \in B$. Taking logarithmic derivatives of (2.5), we find that

$$(2.6) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)} \right\} = -2\beta(1 - \alpha) \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z \omega'(z)}{(1 + (2\beta - 1)\omega(z))(1 + (2\alpha\beta - 1)\omega(z))} \right\}.$$

From (2.4) we may write

$$(2.7) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} = 1 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)} \right\}.$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.7), we get

$$(2.8) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} = 1 - 4\beta(1 - \alpha) \frac{z \omega'(z)}{(1 + (2\beta - 1)\omega(z))(1 + (2\alpha\beta - 1)\omega(z))}.$$

It is well known [7] that if $\omega \in B$, then for all $z \in E$,

$$(2.9) \quad |z \omega'(z) - \omega(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^2 - |\omega(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

Equation (2.8) yields in conjunction with (2.9),

$$(2.10) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{\beta(1 - \alpha)} \left[\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (2\beta - 1)p(z) + \frac{2\alpha\beta - 1}{p(z)} \right\} \right] - \frac{2(\beta + \alpha\beta - 1)}{\beta(1 - \alpha)} \frac{r^2 |(2\beta - 1)p(z) - (2\alpha\beta - 1)|^2 - |1 - p(z)|^2}{\beta(1 - \alpha)(1 - r^2)|p(z)|},$$

where $r = |z|$, $z \in E$.

Noting that the transformation (2.5) maps the disc $|\omega(z)| \leq r$ onto the disc $|\omega(z) - a| < d$, where

$$a = \frac{1 - (2\beta - 1)(2\alpha\beta - 1)r^2}{1 - (2\beta - 1)^2 r^2}, \quad d = \frac{2\beta(1 - \alpha)r}{1 - (2\beta - 1)^2 r^2},$$

we set $p(z) = a + u + iv$ and $R = |p(z)|$ in (2.10). Taking $M(u, v)$ as the expression on the right hand side of (2.10), we get

$$(2.11) \quad M(u, v) = \frac{1}{\beta(1 - \alpha)} \left[(2 - \beta - 3\alpha\beta) + (2\beta - 1)(a + u) + \frac{(2\alpha\beta - 1)(a + u)}{R^2} - \frac{(1 - (2\beta - 1)^2 r^2)(d^2 - u^2 - v^2)}{1 - r^2} \right] \frac{1}{R}.$$

By differentiating (2.11) partially with respect to v , we obtain

$$\frac{\partial M(u, v)}{\partial v} = \frac{vR^{-4}N(u, v)}{\beta(1 - \alpha)},$$

where

$$N(u, v) = 2(1 - 2\alpha\beta)(a + u) + \frac{(1 - (2\beta - 1)^2 r^2)(d^2 - u^2 - v^2)R}{1 - r^2} + \\ + \frac{2(1 - (2\beta - 1)^2 r^2)R^3}{1 - r^2}.$$

It is easily seen that $N(u, v) > 0$, and so the minimum of $M(u, v)$ on every chord $u = \text{constant}$ is attained on the diameter $v = 0$. Taking $v = 0$ in (2.11), we get

$$L(R) \equiv M(R, 0) = \frac{2 - \beta - 3\alpha\beta}{\beta(1 - \alpha)} + \frac{2}{\beta(1 - \alpha)(1 - r^2)}.$$

$$\cdot \{ \beta(1 - (2\beta - 1)r^2)R + \alpha\beta(1 - (2\alpha\beta - 1)r^2)R^{-1} - a(1 - (2\beta - 1)^2 r^2) \},$$

where $a - d \leq R \leq a + d$. Now it is easy to see that the absolute minimum of $L(R)$ in $(0, \infty)$ is attained at

$$(2.12) \quad R_0 = \left(\frac{\alpha(1 - (2\alpha\beta - 1)r^2)}{1 - (2\beta - 1)r^2} \right)^{1/2},$$

and equals

$$(2.13) \quad L(R_0) = 1 + \frac{2\mu(r, \alpha, \beta)}{(1 - \alpha)(1 - r^2)},$$

where

$$\mu(r, \alpha, \beta) = 2(\alpha(1 - (2\beta - 1)r^2)(1 - (2\alpha\beta - 1)r^2))^{1/2} - \\ - (1 + \alpha) + (4\alpha\beta - \alpha - 1)r^2.$$

We note that $R_0 < a + d$. However, R_0 may not always be greater than $a - d$. Hence, when $R_0 \in (0, a - d]$, the minimum of $L(R)$ is attained at

$$(2.14) \quad R_1 = a - d = \frac{1 + (2\alpha\beta - 1)r}{1 + (2\beta - 1)r},$$

and is equal to

$$(2.15) \quad L(R_1) = 1 - \frac{4\beta(1 - \alpha)r}{(1 + (2\beta - 1)r)(1 + (2\alpha\beta - 1)r)}.$$

The two minima given by (2.13) and (2.15) coincide for such values of α, β ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$) for which $R_0 = R_1$, which implies (2.1). We thus conclude that

$$(2.16) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \geq \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{2\mu(r, \alpha, \beta)}{(1 - \alpha)(1 - r^2)}, & R_0 \geq R_1, \\ 1 - \frac{4\beta(1 - \alpha)r}{(1 + (2\beta - 1)r)(1 + (2\alpha\beta - 1)r)}, & R_0 \leq R_1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the function f is starlike if

$$(2.17) \quad 2\mu(r, \alpha, \beta) + (1 - \alpha)(1 - r^2) > 0, \quad R_0 \geq R_1,$$

$$(2.18) \quad (1 + (2\beta - 1)r)(1 + (2\alpha\beta - 1)r) - 4\beta(1 - \alpha)r > 0, \quad R_0 \leq R_1.$$

Now it is easy to see that (2.18) and (2.17) are satisfied, respectively, for $|z| < r_1$ and $|z| < r_2$, where r_1 and r_2 are the smallest positive roots of the equations (2.2) and (2.3). This completes the proof of the theorem.

The functions given by

$$f_1(z) = z \left\{ \frac{1 + (2\alpha\beta - 1)z}{1 + (2\beta - 1)z} \right\}^2,$$

$$f_2(z) = z \left\{ \frac{1 - 2\alpha\beta bz + (2\alpha\beta - 1)z^2}{1 - 2\beta bz + (2\beta - 1)z^2} \right\},$$

where b is determined by the relation

$$\frac{1 - 2\alpha\beta br + (2\alpha\beta - 1)r^2}{1 - 2\beta br + (2\beta - 1)r^2} = R_0 = \left\{ \frac{\alpha(1 - (2\alpha\beta - 1)r^2)}{1 - (2\beta - 1)r^2} \right\}^{1/2},$$

show, respectively, that the bounds in $|z|$ for (i) and (ii) are sharp for all admissible values of α, β ($0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1$).

The following Corollary arises from Theorem 1 by an easy computation.

Corollary. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, analytic in E , satisfy the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \sqrt{(f(z)/z)} > \frac{1}{2q}, \quad (q > 1/2)$$

for all z in E . Let $q_0 > 1/2$ denote the smallest positive root of the equation

$$32q^3 - 104q^2 + 98q - 27 = 0.$$

Then

(i) for $1/2 < q \leq q_0$, f is starlike in

$$|z| < \left\{ \frac{8\sqrt{(4q - 2) - (6q + 5)}}{18q - 17} \right\}^{1/2},$$

(ii) for $q \geq q_0$, f is starlike in

$$|z| < \frac{\sqrt{(20q^2 - 28q + 9) - (4q - 3)}}{2(q - 1)}.$$

These bounds for $|z|$ are sharp for the functions given by

$$f_1(z) = z \left\{ \frac{1 + (1/\varrho - 1)z}{1 + z} \right\}^2,$$

$$f_2(z) = z \left\{ \frac{1 - (1/\varrho)bz + (1/\varrho - 1)z^2}{1 - 2bz + z^2} \right\}^2,$$

where b is determined by the equation

$$\frac{1 - (1/\varrho)br + (1/\varrho - 1)r^2}{1 - 2br + r^2} = \left\{ \frac{1 - (1/\varrho - 1)r^2}{2\varrho(1 - r^2)} \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Goel [3] has proved the above result for the case of $\varrho \geq 1$.

3. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES

Theorem 2. Let $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + \dots$ be in $S(\alpha, \beta)$. Then

$$(3.1) \quad |a_n| \leq 4\beta(1 - \alpha) \{1 - 2\beta(1 - \alpha) + \beta(1 - \alpha)n\}, \quad (n \geq 2)$$

for all values of α, β ($0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1$). The result is sharp.

Proof. Letting

$$(3.2) \quad p(z) = \frac{1 + (2\alpha\beta - 1)\omega(z)}{1 + (2\beta - 1)\omega(z)} = 1 + p_1z + \dots,$$

we may rewrite (1.3) as

$$z + z_2z^2 + \dots = z[1 + p_1z + \dots]^2.$$

Equating the coefficients of z^{2m} and z^{2m+1} , we get

$$(3.3) \quad a_{2m+1} = p_m^2 + 2p_{2m} + 2 \sum_{r+s=2m} p_r p_s,$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad a_{2m+2} = 2p_{2m+1} + 2 \sum_{r+s=2m+1} p_r p_s, \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Further, (3.2) gives

$$(3.5) \quad (2\beta(1 - \alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2\beta - 1) p_k z^k) \omega(z) = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k.$$

We observe that the coefficient p_n on the right of (3.5) depends only on p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1} on the left of (3.5). Hence for $n \geq 1$, it follows that

$$\{2\beta(1 - \alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (2\beta - 1) p_k z^k\} \omega(z) = - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k z^k - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} d_k z^k,$$

where $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} d_k z^k$ converges in E . Then

$$(3.6) \quad \left| 2\beta(1 - \alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (2\beta - 1) p_k z^k \right| \geq \left| \sum_{k=1}^n p_k z^k + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} d_k z^k \right|.$$

Squaring both sides of (3.6), integrating round $|z| = r$, $0 < r < 1$, and finally taking the limit as $r \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$4\beta^2(1 - \alpha)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (2\beta - 1)^2 |p_k|^2 \geq |p_n|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |p_k|^2.$$

Simplifying and using the relation $0 < \beta \leq 1$, we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad |p_n| \leq 2\beta(1 - \alpha), \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Using (3.7) in (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad |a_{2m+1}| \leq 4\beta(1 - \alpha) + 8\beta^2(1 - \alpha)^2 \left(\frac{2m + 1 - 2}{2} \right),$$

$$(3.9) \quad |a_{2m+2}| \leq 4\beta(1 - \alpha) + 8\beta^2(1 - \alpha)^2 \left(\frac{2m + 2 - 2}{2} \right).$$

Combining (3.8) and (3.9) we have

$$|a_n| \leq 4\beta(1 - \alpha) + 8\beta^2(1 - \alpha)^2 \left(\frac{n - 2}{2} \right),$$

which yields (3.1).

The equality in (3.1) holds for the function given by

$$f(z) = z \left[\frac{1 - (2\alpha\beta - 1)z}{1 - (2\beta - 1)z} \right]^2.$$

Remark. Setting $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 2, we get $|a_n| \leq n$, ($n \geq 2$). This result was obtained by Dvořák [2]. Further, replacing α by $1/(2\alpha)$ and setting $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 2 we have a result obtained in [3].

References

- [1] P. L. Duren, G. E. Schober: On a class of schlicht functions. *Michigan Math. J.* 18 (1971), 353–356.
- [2] O. Dvořák: Über Schlichte Funktionen, I. *Čas. pěst. mat.* 92 (1967), 162–189.
- [3] R. M. Goel: On a class of analytic functions. *J. Australian Math. Soc.* 20 (1975), 46–53.
- [4] A. Marx: Untersuchungen über schlichte Abbildungen. *Math. Ann.* 107 (1932), 40–67.
- [5] M. O. Reade, T. Umezawa: An inequality for univalent functions due to Dvořák. *Čas. pěst. mat.* 96 (1971), 265–267.
- [6] M. S. Robertson: On the theory of univalent functions. *Annal of Math.* 37 (1936), 374–408.
- [7] V. Singh, R. M. Goel: On radii of convexity and starlikeness of some classes of functions. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 29 (1971), 323–339.
- [8] E. Strohäcker: Beiträge zur Theorie der schlichten Funktionen. *Math. Z.* 37 (1933), 356–380.

Author's address: School of Mathematical Sciences University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan.