

K. H. Kulkarni

Sufficient conditions for edge-locally connected and n -connected graphs

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 106 (1981), No. 2, 112--116

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118081>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR EDGE-LOCALLY
CONNECTED AND n -CONNECTED GRAPHS

K. H. KULKARNI, Bombay

(Received November 6, 1978)

INTRODUCTION

Let G be a graph. For an edge $x = uv$ of G , let $N(x)$ denote the set of all vertices which are different from u and v , and are adjacent with u or v . The subgraph of G induced by $N(x)$ is called the *neighbourhood* of the edge x and is denoted by $G(x)$. G is said to be *edge-locally connected* if the neighbourhood of every edge is connected. G is said to be *edge-locally n -connected* if the neighbourhood of every edge is n -connected. The *edge-local connectivity* of G is the maximum n such that G is edge-locally n -connected and is denoted by $ek(G)$.

The concept of edge-local connectivity is edge-analogue of local connectivity of Chartrand and Pippert [2]. In [2] sufficient conditions for graphs to be locally connected and n -connected are given in terms of sum of degrees of pairs of vertices. VanderJagt [4] improved this sufficient condition for a graph to be locally connected. In this paper sufficient conditions for graphs to be edge-locally connected, and n -connected are given; further, it is shown that the sufficient condition for a graph to be edge-locally connected cannot be improved when the order of the graphs is congruent to $1 \pmod{3}$ or $2 \pmod{3}$.

The number of vertices a graph G contains will be denoted by $p(G)$. Other terminology is in conformity with that of [1].

SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR GRAPHS TO BE EDGE-LOCALLY CONNECTED

Theorem 1. *If G is a graph of order p such that for every pair u, v of vertices, $\deg u + \deg v > \frac{2}{3}(2p - 1)$, then G is edge locally connected.*

Proof. Assume that G satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem but is not edge-locally connected. Let $x = uv$ be an edge of G such that $G(x)$ is disconnected. Let G_1 be a component of $G(x)$, and $G_2 = G(x) - G_1$. Since for every pair u, v of vertices

of G , $\deg u + \deg v > \frac{2}{3}(2p - 1)$, it follows that $p(G(x)) \geq \frac{1}{3}(2p - 1)$. Consider a vertex w_i of G_i , $i = 1, 2$. Then $\deg(w_1, G) \leq p(G) - p(G(x)) + p(G_1) - 1$, and $\deg(w_2, G) \leq p(G) - p(G(x)) - 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(w_1, G) + \deg(w_2, G) &\leq 2p(G) - p(G(x)) - 2 \leq \\ &\leq 2p - \frac{1}{3}(2p - 1) - 2 = \frac{2}{3}(2p - 1) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the fact that $\deg w_1 + \deg w_2 > \frac{2}{3}(2p - 1)$. Hence the theorem follows.

The following corollary is immediate.

Corollary. *If for a graph G of order p , $\min \deg G > \frac{1}{3}(2p - 1)$, then G is edge-locally connected.*

We now show that Theorem 1 can be improved when the order of a graph is congruent to 1(mod 3) or 2(mod 3).

Theorem 2. *Let G be a graph of order $p = 3k + 1$ containing up to k vertices of degree $2k$ and remaining vertices of degree exceeding $2k$. Then G is edge-locally connected.*

Proof. Suppose a graph G satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem but is not edge-locally connected. Then $\min \deg G = 2k$, for otherwise by the corollary to Theorem 1, G is edge-locally connected. Let $x = uv$ be an edge of G such that $G(x)$ is disconnected. Let G_1 be a component of $G(x)$ of minimum order, and $G_2 = G(x) - G_1$. Clearly, $p(G(x)) \geq 2k - 1$. Suppose $p(G(x)) = 2k + r - 1$, where r is a non-negative integer. Then $p(G_1) \leq k + [\frac{1}{2}(r - 1)]$, where as usual $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer not greater than x . Now for a vertex w of G_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \deg(w, G) &\leq p(G) - p(G(x)) + p(G_1) - 1 \leq \\ &\leq 3k + 1 - (2k + r - 1) + k + [\frac{1}{2}(r - 1)] - 1 \leq 2k - r + [\frac{1}{2}(r - 1)] + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since r is a non-negative integer, $-r + [\frac{1}{2}(r - 1)] + 1$ is nonpositive. Also, since $\min \deg G = 2k$, it follows that $-r + [\frac{1}{2}(r - 1)] + 1 = 0$ and equality occurs in (1). Now $-r + [\frac{1}{2}(r - 1)] + 1 = 0$ if $r = 0$ or $r = 1$. Also, $p(G_1) = k + [\frac{1}{2}(r - 1)]$ when equality occurs in (1).

Case 1. Suppose $r = 0$. Then $p(G(x)) = 2k - 1$ and $p(G_1) = k - 1$. Now $p(G(x)) = 2k - 1$ implies $\deg(u, G) = 2k$ and $\deg(v, G) = 2k$. Also, the degree of every vertex of G_1 in G is $2k$. Thus G contains $k + 1$ vertices of degree $2k$ which contradicts the hypothesis.

Case 2. Suppose $r = 1$. Then $p(G(x)) = 2k$ and $p(G_1) = k$. Hence G_2 is also a component of $G(x)$ of minimum order k . Hence the degree of every vertex of G_2 in G is $2k$. Since the degree of every vertex of G_1 in G is $2k$, G contains $2k$ vertices of degree $2k$ which is again a contradiction. Hence the theorem follows.

On the same lines the following theorem can be proved.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a graph of order $p = 3k + 2$ containing up to $2k + 1$ vertices of degree $2k + 1$ and all others of degree exceeding $2k + 1$. Then G is edge-locally connected.*

By exhibiting examples, it will now be shown that for graphs of orders more than 9 Theorems 2 and 3 cannot be improved. Let G_1 and G_2 be disjoint graphs. The union of G_1 and G_2 , denoted by $G_1 \cup G_2$, is the graph whose vertex set is the union of vertex sets of G_1 and G_2 and edge set is the union of edge sets of G_1 and G_2 . The sum of G_1 and G_2 , denoted by $G_1 + G_2$, is the graph whose vertex set is the union of the vertex sets of G_1 and G_2 , and two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are adjacent vertices of G_1 , or adjacent vertices of G_2 , or one is a vertex of G_1 and the other is a vertex of G_2 .

Example 1. Let $p = 3k + 1$, $k > 2$. Let G_1, G_2, G_3 and G_4 be pair-wise disjoint graphs, where $G_1 = K_2, G_2 = K_k, G_3 = K_{k-1}$, and $G_4 = K_k$. Let $G = (G_1 \cup G_2) + (G_3 \cup G_4)$. Then in the graph G , the degree of every vertex belonging to $V(G_1)$ or $V(G_3)$ is $2k$; the degree of every vertex belonging to $V(G_2)$ or $V(G_4)$ exceeds $2k$. Thus G contains $k + 1$ vertices of degree $2k$ and remaining vertices of degree more than $2k$. But G is not edge-locally connected as the neighbourhood of the edge in G_1 being $G_3 \cup G_4$, is disconnected. Hence Theorem 2 is best possible.

Example 2. Let $p = 3k + 2$, $k > 2$. Let G_1, G_2, G_3 , and G_4 be pair-wise disjoint graphs, where $G_1 = K_2, G_2 = K_k, G_3 = K_k$, and $G_4 = K_k$. Let $G = (G_1 \cup G_2) + (G_3 \cup G_4)$. Then G contains $2k + 2$ vertices of degree $2k + 1$ and remaining vertices of degree more than $2k + 1$. But G is not edge-locally connected as the neighbourhood of the edge in G_1 being $G_3 \cup G_4$, is disconnected. Hence theorem 3 is best possible.

SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR GRAPHS TO BE EDGE-LOCALLY n -CONNECTED

Theorem 4. *Let G be a graph of order p such that for every pair u, v of vertices, $\deg u + \deg v > \frac{3}{4}(2p + n) - 1$, where $1 \leq n \leq p - 3$. Then G is edge-locally n -connected.*

Proof. Suppose that G satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem but is not edge-locally n -connected. Let $x = uv$ be an edge for which $G(x)$ is not n -connected. Following two cases arise.

Case 1. Suppose $G(x) = K_j$, for some $j \leq n$. Assume that G contains a vertex w which is not adjacent with u or v . Then $\deg u \leq j + 1 \leq n + 1$ and $\deg w \leq p - 3$, so that $\deg u + \deg v \leq p + n - 2$. By hypothesis, $p + n - 2 > \frac{2}{3}(2p + n) - 1$. This implies that $n > p$ which is not possible. Hence every vertex of G is adjacent with u or v . Suppose now that there exists a vertex w which is adjacent with only one of u and v , say w is adjacent with u . Then $\deg w = j$ and $\deg u \leq j + 1$. Hence $\deg u + \deg w \leq j + j + 1 \leq 2n + 1$. Again, by hypothesis $2n + 1 > \frac{2}{3}(2p + n) - 1$. This implies that $n > p - 1$ which again, is not possible. This proves that $G = K_p$; and hence G is edge-locally n -connected for every n , $1 \leq n \leq p - 3$, which is contrary to the initial hypothesis.

Case 2. Suppose $G(x)$ contains a set T of t ($\leq n - 1$) vertices whose removal from $G(x)$ results into a disconnected graph. Let G_1 be a component of $G(x) - T$ of minimum order, $G_2 = (G(x) - T) - G_1$, and $G_3 = G - (N(x) \cup \{u, v\})$. Let $p(G_i) = m_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and w be a vertex of G_1 . Then $\deg u \leq m_1 + m_2 + t + 1$ and $\deg(w, G) \leq p - m_2 - 1$. By hypothesis, $\deg u + \deg w > a$, where $a = \frac{2}{3}(2p + n) - 1$. Hence $\deg w > a - \deg u$, so that $p - m_2 - 1 > a - m_1 - m_2 - t - 1$. Hence

$$(2) \quad m_1 > a - p - t.$$

$$(3) \quad m_2 > a - p - t, \quad \text{since } m_2 \geq m_1.$$

Now, $m_3 = p - m_1 - m_2 - t - 2$. Hence from (2) and (3)

$$(4) \quad m_3 < p - 2a + 2p + 2t - t - 2 = 3p - 2a + t - 2.$$

Let w' be a vertex of G_2 . Then $\deg(w', G) \leq m_2 + m_3 + t + 1$. Hence

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \deg(w, G) + \deg(w', G) &\leq (m_1 + m_3 + t + 1) + (m_2 + m_3 + t + 1) = \\ &= (m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + t + 2) + t + m_3 = p + t + m_3 < \\ &< p + t + 3p - 2a + t - 2, \text{ from (4)} = \\ &= 4p - 2a - 2 + 2t \leq (4p + 2n - 3) - 2a - 1, \text{ since } t \leq n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now $a = \frac{2}{3}(2p + n) - 1$. Hence $3a = 4p + 2n - 3$. Substituting this in (5), $\deg(w, G) + \deg(w', G) < 3a - 2a - 1 = a - 1 = \frac{2}{3}(2p + n) - 2$. This is again a contradiction to the initial hypothesis. Hence the theorem follows.

Corollary. *If G is a graph of order p for which $\min \deg G > \frac{2}{3}(2p + n) - 1$, where $1 \leq n \leq p - 3$, then G is edge-locally n -connected.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The results of this paper are contained in the author's doctoral dissertation [3]. The author is most grateful to Dr. E. Sampathkumar for his guidance.

References

- [1] *M. Behzad and G. Chartrand*: Introduction to the theory of Graphs. Allyn and Bacon, Boston (1971).
- [2] *G. Chartrand and R. E. Pippert*: Locally connected graphs. Časopis Pěst. Mat. 99 (1974), 158—163.
- [3] *K. H. Kulkarni*: Some topics in graph theory, Ph. D. Thesis, Karnatak Univ. Dharwar (1976).
- [4] *D. W. VanderJagt*: Sufficient conditions for locally connected graphs. Časopis Pěst. Mat. 99 (1974), 400—404.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, Victoria Jubilee Technical Institute, Bombay 400 019, India.