

Luděk Zajíček

Sets of σ -porosity and sets of σ -porosity (q)

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 101 (1976), No. 4, 350--359

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117931>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

SETS OF σ -POROSITY AND SETS OF σ -POROSITY (q)

LUDĚK ZAJÍČEK, Praha

(Received July 11, 1975)

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a set of σ -porosity was defined by E. P. DOLŽENKO [1]. There exists a number of theorems in the theory of cluster sets which use this notion. (See [1], [2], [3], [4], [5].) It is easy to see that any set of σ -porosity is of the first category and of measure zero. The existence of a set of the first category and of measure zero which is not of σ -porosity is claimed without a proof in [1]. In the present article we shall prove this result.

N. YANAGIHARA [2] defined and used the notion of a set of σ -porosity (q), $0 < q \leq 1$, which coincides with the notion of a set of σ -porosity for $q = 1$. We shall prove that the notions of a set of σ -porosity (q) and σ -porosity (p) coincide for any p, q , $0 < p, q < 1$.

The main aim of the present article is to prove the results mentioned above and some other results on the sets of σ -porosity (q) (in our notation on sets of (x^q) - σ -porosity) in Euclidean spaces.

We shall generalize the notion of a set of σ -porosity (q) and we shall formulate some results in a general metric space in order to clarify the proofs.

2. DEFINITIONS

Let (P, ρ) be a metric space. Then we define:

2.1. The open sphere with the centre $x \in P$ and the radius $r > 0$ is denoted by $K(x, r)$.

2.2. Let $M \subset P$, $x \in P$, $R > 0$. Then we denote the supremum of the set $\{r > 0; \text{for some } z \in P, K(z, r) \subset K(x, R) \text{ and } K(z, r) \cap M = \emptyset\}$ by $\gamma(x, R, M)$.

2.3. Let $K(x, r) \subset P$. Let f be an arbitrary function. Then we put $f * K(x, r) = K(x, f(r))$ if $f(r) > 0$.

2.4. Let $M \subset P$. Let f be an arbitrary function. Then we put $S(f, r, M) = \cup \{f * K; K \cap M = \emptyset, K = K(x, \sigma), \sigma < r \text{ and } f(\sigma) > 0\}$.

2.5. We denote by G (resp. G_1 , resp. G_2) the system of all real functions which are increasing and continuous (resp. for which $\infty > g'(x) \geq 1$, resp. for which $\infty > g'(x) \geq 1$ and $g(x) > x$) on $(0, \delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$.

2.6. We denote by G_3 the system of all functions $g \in G$ such that for any $A > 0$ and $e > 1$ there exists an integer r and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\underbrace{(eg) \circ \dots \circ (eg)}_{r\text{-times}}(x) \geq A g(x) \quad \text{for } 0 < x < \delta.$$

2.7. Let $f \in G, M \subset P, x \in P$. Then we say that x is a point of (f) -porosity of M if

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{R} f(\gamma(x, R, M)) > 0.$$

2.8. Let $f \in G, M \subset P, x \in P, c > 0$. Then we say that x is a point of (f, c) -porosity of M if

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{R} f(\gamma(x, R, M)) \geq c.$$

2.9. Let $g \in G, H \subset G, M \subset P, x \in P$. Then we say that x is a point of $\langle g \rangle$ -porosity of M if $x \in \cap \{S(g, r, M); r > 0\}$. We say that x is a point of $\langle H \rangle$ -porosity of M if it is a point of $\langle h \rangle$ -porosity of M for any $h \in H$.

2.10. Let V be one of the symbols $(f), (f, c), \langle h \rangle, \langle H \rangle$. Let $M \subset P, N \subset P$. Then we say that M is of V -porosity if any point $x \in M$ is a point of V -porosity of M . We say that N is a set of V - σ -porosity if it is the union of a sequence of sets of V -porosity.

2.11. We shall write "porosity" instead of " (x) -porosity" and " σ -porosity" instead of " (x) - σ -porosity".

Let us note:

2.12. The notions of a set of (x^q) -porosity and of a set of (x^q) - σ -porosity coincide with the notions of N. YANAGIHARA of a set of porosity (q) and of a set of σ -porosity (q) .

2.13. Let V be one of the symbols $(f), (f, c), \langle h \rangle, \langle H \rangle$. Then the system of all sets of V -porosity is an ideal of sets and the system of all sets of V - σ -porosity is a σ -ideal of sets.

2.14. A point $x \in R^k$ is a point of $(x, 1/2)$ -porosity of a set $M \subset R^k$ iff there exists a sequence of spheres $\{K(s_n, r_n)\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = x, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(x, s_n)/r_n = 1$ and $K(s_n, r_n) \cap M = \emptyset$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

2.15. Evidently, we may always write (af, ac) instead of (f, c) if $a > 0$.

2.16. A point $x \in P$ is a point of $\langle h \rangle$ -porosity of a set $M \subset P$ iff there exists a sequence of spheres $\{K(s_n, r_n)\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = x$, $K(s_n, r_n) \cap M = \emptyset$ and $h(r_n) > \varrho(x, s_n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

2.17. Let V be one of the symbols (f) , (f, c) , $\langle h \rangle$, $\langle H \rangle$. Let $x \in P$, $M \subset P$. Then the point x is a point of V -porosity of the set M iff it is a point of V -porosity of the set \bar{M} .

3. SEVERAL LEMMAS

3.1. Lemma. Let (P, ϱ) be a metric space, $M \subset P$, $f \in G$. Then:

(i) If $x \in P$ is a point of $(f, 2)$ -porosity of M then it is a point of $\langle f \rangle$ -porosity of M .

(ii) If $x \in M$ is a point of $\langle f \rangle$ -porosity of M then it is a point of $(2f, 1)$ -porosity of M .

Proof. The assertion (i) immediately follows from the continuity of f on $(0, \delta)$ and from the definitions. The assertion (ii) follows from the fact that if $K(y, h) \subset P - M$, $x \in f * K(y, h)$ and h is sufficiently small then $f(y(x, R, M)) > R/2$ where $R = 2\varrho(x, y)$.

3.2. Lemma. Let $g \in G_1$. Then if $d > 0$ is a sufficiently small number, the relations $z \in g * I$, $I = (t - r, t + r)$ and $I \subset J = (u - d, u + d)$ imply $z \in g * J$.

Proof. Let $d < \delta$, where δ is the number from the definition of the system G_1 (see 2.5). Then

$$\varrho(z, u) \leq \varrho(z, t) + d - r < g(r) + d - r \leq g(d)$$

and therefore $z \in g * J$.

3.3. Lemma. Let $M \subset (a, b)$ be a nowhere dense set. Let $g \in G_2$. Let $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint open intervals such that $(a, b) - \bar{M} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$. Let H be the set of all endpoints of intervals I_n . Let P be the set of all points of $\langle g \rangle$ -porosity of M which lie in $\bar{M} \cap (a, b)$. Then

$$P = (H \cup \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} g * I_n) \cap (a, b).$$

Proof. Let $z \in (H \cup \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} g * I_n) \cap (a, b)$. If $z \in H$, then $z \in P$, since $g(x) > x$ for sufficiently small x . If $z \in \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} g * I_n$, then evidently $z \in P$. Let $y \in P - H$. Then 2.16 and 3.2 clearly imply $z \in \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} g * I_n$.

3.4. Lemma. Let $H \subset G$, $f \in G$, $c > 0$. Let n be an integer and $M \subset R^1$. Put $N = M \times R^n$. Then N is a set of (f, c) - σ -porosity or of (f, c) -porosity, or of (f) - σ -porosity, or of (f) -porosity, or of $\langle H \rangle$ - σ -porosity, or of $\langle H \rangle$ -porosity in the space R^{n+1} iff M is of the same type as a subset of R^1 .

Proof. We shall prove only the part concerning (f, c) - σ -porosity, the proofs of the other parts being quite similar. The implication "if" follows from the fact that $\gamma((x, y), r, A \times R^n) \geq \gamma(x, r, A)$ for any $x \in R^1$, $y \in R^n$, $A \subset R^1$ and $r > 0$. Now we shall prove the implication "only if". Let $N = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k$ where any N_k is a set of (f, c) -porosity. Let $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a basis of open sets in R^n . Denote by $A_{k,t}$ the set of all points $x \in M$ for which the set $\{z; (x, z) \in N_k\}$ is dense in B_t . Clearly $M = \bigcup_{k,t} A_{k,t}$ and therefore it is sufficient to prove that each set $A_{k,t}$ is of (f, c) -porosity. Let $x \in A_{k,t}$ and $z \in B_t$ be such that $(x, z) \in N_k$. Clearly for any $r > 0$ such that $K(z, r) \subset B_t$, the inequality $\gamma((x, z), r, N_k) \leq \gamma(x, r, A_{k,t})$ holds. Since N_k is a set of (f, c) -porosity in R^{n+1} , the set $A_{k,t}$ is a set of (f, c) -porosity in R^1 .

3.5. Lemma. Let P be a metric space and $f \in G$. Let $A \subset P$ be a set of (f) - σ -porosity. Then $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ where A_n is a set of (f, c_n) -porosity for some $c_n > 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. Let $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ where each set B_i is a set of (f) -porosity. For any i let $B_{i,k}$ be the set of all points $x \in B_i$ which are points of $(f, 1/k)$ -porosity of the set B_i . Clearly $B_i = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{i,k}$ and each set $B_{i,k}$ is a set of $(f, 1/k)$ -porosity. Now it is sufficient to order the sets $B_{i,k}$ in a sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

4. SOME AFFIRMATIVE RESULTS

In the present part we shall prove that some properties like σ -porosity are equivalent with other, seemingly weaker properties of this type. We use only one method which is contained in the following basic proposition.

4.1. Proposition. Let $h \in G$, $f \in G$. Let there exist an integer n and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad h^{(n)}(x) = \underbrace{h \circ \dots \circ h}_{n\text{-times}}(x) \geq f(x) \quad \text{for } 0 < x < \delta.$$

Let P be a metric space and let $M \subset P$ be a set of $\langle f \rangle$ - σ -porosity. Then M is a set of $\langle h \rangle$ - σ -porosity.

Proof. It is clearly sufficient to prove that if A is a set of $\langle f \rangle$ -porosity then it is a set of $\langle h \rangle$ - σ -porosity. Put $C_k = A \cap \bigcap_{r>0} S(h^{(k)}, r, A)$, (see 2.4). Then $A \subset C_n$ and

therefore $A \subset \bigcup_{k=2}^n (C_k - C_{k-1}) \cup C_1$. Since obviously C_1 is a set of $\langle h \rangle$ -porosity it is sufficient to prove that $C_k - C_{k-1}$ is a set of $\langle h \rangle$ - σ -porosity for $k = 2, \dots, n$. Put $T_{k,m} = C_k - S(h^{(k-1)}, 1/m, A)$ for $k = 2, \dots, n$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Since clearly $C_k - C_{k-1} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} T_{k,m}$, it is sufficient to prove that any set $T_{k,m}$ is a set of $\langle h \rangle$ -porosity.

Let $z \in T_{k,m}$, $r > 0$. Then there exists an open sphere $K(y, t)$ such that $t < \min(1/m, r)$, $K(y, t) \cap A = \emptyset$ and $z \in h^{(k)} * K(y, t)$. Put $K = h^{(k-1)} * K(y, t)$. Then $z \in h * K$ and $K \cap T_{k,m} = \emptyset$ since $K \subset S(h^{(k-1)}, 1/m, A)$. Since the radius of the sphere K is arbitrarily small provided r is sufficiently small, z is a point of $\langle h \rangle$ -porosity of the set $T_{k,m}$. Therefore $T_{k,m}$ is a set of $\langle h \rangle$ -porosity. Thus the proof is complete.

4.2. Proposition. Let $h \in G$, $f \in G$. For any $B > 0$, let there exist $A > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and an integer r such that

$$(2) \quad \underbrace{(Ah) \circ \dots \circ (Ah)}_{r\text{-times}}(x) \geq Bf(x) \quad \text{for } 0 < x < \delta.$$

Let P be a metric space and let $M \subset P$ be a set of (f) - σ -porosity. Then M is a set of (h) - σ -porosity.

Proof. By 3.5, $M = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} M_m$ where M_m is a set of (f, c_m) -porosity, $c_m > 0$. By 2.15 and 3.1 M_m is a set of $\langle 2f/c_m \rangle$ -porosity. By 4.1 and (2) it is a set of $\langle Ah \rangle$ - σ -porosity for some $A > 0$. Therefore by 2.15 and 4.1 it is a set of (h) - σ -porosity. Consequently M is of (h) - σ -porosity.

4.3. Theorem. Let $0 < q < p < 1$ and let M be a subset of a metric space. Then M is a set of (x^q) - σ -porosity iff it is a set of (x^p) - σ -porosity.

Proof. Let $B > 0$. Then the inequality (2) from Proposition 4.2 holds for $A = 1$, $h = x^p$, $f = x^q$, an integer r such that $p^r < q$ and for a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. Therefore the statement of the theorem follows from 4.2.

4.4. Proposition. Let P be a metric space and $g \in G_3$ (see 2.6). Let $M \subset P$ be a set of (g) - σ -porosity and $0 < c < \frac{1}{2}$. Then M is a set of (g, c) - σ -porosity.

Proof. By 3.5 it is sufficient to prove that any set N of (g, a) -porosity is a set of (g, c) - σ -porosity. By 3.1, N is a set of $\langle 2g/a \rangle$ -porosity. Put $A = 2/a$ and $e = 1/2c$. Let r be the integer from 2.6. Then the inequality (1) from 4.1 holds for $f = 2g/a$, $h = g/2c$ and for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. Therefore by 4.1, N is a set of $\langle g/2c \rangle$ - σ -porosity and consequently it is a set of (g, c) - σ -porosity.

Since obviously $x^q \in G_3$ for $0 < q \leq 1$, we have

4.5. Theorem. *Let P be a metric space, $0 < q \leq 1$, $0 < c < \frac{1}{2}$. Then a subset of P is a set of (x^q) - σ -porosity iff it is a set of (x^q, c) - σ -porosity.*

5. SOME NEGATIVE RESULTS

In the present part we shall prove that some properties like σ -porosity are not equivalent with the others. We use only one method which is contained in the following basic proposition.

5.1. Proposition. *Let $f \in G$ and $H \subset G_2$ (see 2.5). Let there exist a sequence $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of functions from H and a sequence of positive numbers $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that*

$$(3) \quad h_n \circ \dots \circ h_1(x) < f(x) \quad \text{for } 0 < x < \varepsilon_n.$$

Then in any Euclidean space there exists a perfect set F of $\langle f \rangle$ -porosity which is not a set of $\langle H \rangle$ - σ -porosity.

Along with 5.1, we shall prove the following proposition.

5.2. Proposition. *Let $g \in G$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} x/g(x) = 0$. Then in any Euclidean space*

there exists a perfect set F of $(g, 1)$ -porosity and of measure zero which is not of σ -porosity.

Proof. 3.4 implies that it is sufficient to construct a set F on the line. Let $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of integers such that $k_1 = 1$. Our construction depends on this sequence. For a proof of 5.1, the sequence $\{k_i\}$ may be chosen in an arbitrary way but for a proof of 5.2 we must choose it in a special way. Given $\{k_i\}$ define a sequence $\{s_p\}_{p=1}^\infty$ by the relations $k_{s_p} \leq p \leq k_{s_{p+1}}$. We may and will assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$.

From the segment $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ we shall delete in the k -th step a finite number of pairwise disjoint intervals, D -intervals of the order k . The points from $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ not contained in any D -interval will form the set F . For any integer k we shall define a system of remaining intervals (R -intervals) of the order k . Any R -interval will be closed. The system of all R -intervals of the order k and of all D -intervals of orders $j \leq k$ will form a covering of $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ and any two members of this system will have disjoint interiors.

Define the D -intervals and the R -intervals by induction:

1. A D -interval of the order 1 does not exist. As the system of all R -intervals of the order 1, let us choose any covering of $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ by closed intervals of a length smaller than ε_2 such that any two its members have disjoint interiors.

2. Let k be an integer. Let D -intervals and R -intervals of all orders smaller than $k + 1$ be defined. Let R_1, \dots, R_{i_k} be all R -intervals of the order k . For $j = 1, \dots, i_k$

define an open interval $D_j \subset R_j$ by the relation $(h_{s_k+1} \circ \dots \circ h_1) * \bar{D}_j = R_j$. Define the system of all D -intervals of the order $k + 1$ as the system D_1, \dots, D_{i_k} . The endpoints of the intervals D_j and $(h_t \circ \dots \circ h_1) * D_j$, $j = 1, \dots, i_k$, $t = 1, \dots, s_k + 1$ divide $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ to a finite number of closed intervals. Let A_1, \dots, A_{b_k} be all of these intervals which are disjoint with each D -interval of the order $k + 1$.

For $1 \leq r \leq b_k$ let C_r be a system of closed intervals of a length smaller than ε_{s_k+1} such that $\bigcup\{X; X \in C_r\} = A_r$ and any two members of C_r have disjoint interiors. Define the system of all R -intervals of the order $k + 1$ as the system $\bigcup_{r=1}^{b_k} C_r$.

The following assertions are easily verified:

(i) F is a perfect set of $\langle f \rangle$ -porosity.

(ii) Let R be an R -interval of an order k and let $m \leq s_k$ be an integer. Then the set $R - \bigcup\{(h_m \circ \dots \circ h_1) * D; D \subset R \text{ is a } D\text{-interval}\}$ is a nonempty perfect set. If a contiguous interval of this set lies in R then it is of the form $(h_m \circ \dots \circ h_1) * D$, where $D \subset R$ is a D -interval.

(iii) Let D be a D -interval of an order k and let $m \leq s_{k-1} + 1$ be an integer. Let R be a R -interval such that $\text{Int } R \cap D = \emptyset$. Then either $\text{Int } R \subset (h_m \circ \dots \circ h_1) * D$ or $R \cap (h_m \circ \dots \circ h_1) * D = \emptyset$.

Now suppose that F is a set of $\langle H \rangle$ - σ -porosity. Then $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i$ where each P_i is a set of $\langle H \rangle$ -porosity. We shall define a sequence $\{F_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ of nonempty perfect sets such that $F \supset F_{i-1} \supset F_i$ and $F_i \cap P_i = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. The existence of such a sequence yields a contradiction since it implies that there exists a point $x \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} F_i \subset F$ which does not lie in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i = F$. Each set F_i will have the form

$$(4) \quad F_i = R_i - \bigcup\{(h_i \circ \dots \circ h_1) * D; D \subset R_i \text{ is a } D\text{-interval}\},$$

where R_i is an R -interval of an order $j \geq k_{i+1}$ and $(h_0 \circ \dots \circ h_1) * D = D$. By (ii) any set of the form (4) is a nonempty perfect set.

Define the sets F_i by induction:

A. Put $F_0 = R_0 \cap F$ where R_0 is an R -interval of the order 1.

B. Suppose that we have defined the set F_i . We shall distinguish two cases:

B 1. $F_i \not\subset \bar{P}_{i+1}$. Then define R_{i+1} as an R -interval of an order $j \geq k_{i+2}$ such that $R_{i+1} \cap \bar{P}_{i+1} = \emptyset$ and $R_{i+1} \cap F_i$ is an infinite set. Define the set F_{i+1} by (4).

B 2. $F_i \subset \bar{P}_{i+1}$. Then any point of P_{i+1} is a point of $\langle h_{i+1} \rangle$ -porosity of F_i . Therefore by 3.3 and (ii) any point $x \in \text{Int } R_i \cap P_{i+1}$ lies in an interval of the form

$$h_{i+1} * ((h_i \circ \dots \circ h_1) * D) = (h_{i+1} \circ \dots \circ h_1) * D,$$

where $D \subset R_i$ is a D -interval. Therefore the nonempty perfect set $A = R_i - \bigcup\{(h_{i+1} \circ \dots \circ h_1) * D; D \subset R_i\}$ and the set $\text{Int } R_i \cap P_{i+1}$ are disjoint. Define R_{i+1}

as an R -interval of an order $j \geq k_{i+2}$ such that $R_{i+1} \subset \text{Int } R_i$ and $R_{i+1} \cap A$ is an infinite set. Then define the set F_{i+1} by (4). Since (iii) implies $F_{i+1} = R_{i+1} \cap A$ we have $F_{i+1} \cap P_{i+1} = \emptyset$. Thus the proof of 5.1 is complete.

To prove 5.2 put $f = g/2$ and $H = \{6x\}$. Then the assumptions of 5.1 are obviously fulfilled. If we denote by m_i the measure of the union of all R -intervals of the order k_i , then evidently

$$m_{i+1} = m_i(1 - 1/6^{i+1})^{k_{i+1} - k_i}$$

Therefore there exists a sequence $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} m_i = 0$ and consequently $\mu F = 0$. The set F is a set of $\langle g/2 \rangle$ -porosity and therefore it is of $(g, 1)$ -porosity. On the other hand, F is not a set of $\langle 6x \rangle$ - σ -porosity and therefore it is not a set of $(3x, 1)$ - σ -porosity. Now 4.5 implies that F is not a set of σ -porosity.

5.3. Proposition. *Let $h \in G_3, f \in G_1$. Let there exist $B > 0$ such that for any $A > 0$ and any integer r there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\underbrace{(Ah) \circ \dots \circ (Ah)}_{r\text{-times}}(x) < Bf(x) \quad \text{for } 0 < x < \delta.$$

Then in any Euclidean space there exists a perfect set of (f) -porosity which is not of (h) - σ -porosity.

Proof. By 5.1, in any Euclidean space there exists a perfect set F of $\langle Bf \rangle$ -porosity which is not of $\langle 6h \rangle$ - σ -porosity. Thus F is a set of (f) -porosity but not of $(3h, 1)$ - σ -porosity and by 4.4 it is not of (h) - σ -porosity.

The following theorem is a consequence of 5.2.

5.4. Theorem. *Let $0 < q < 1$. Then in any Euclidean space there exists a perfect set F of $(x^q, 1)$ -porosity and of measure zero which is not of σ -porosity.*

The existence of a perfect set of (x^q) -porosity which is not of σ -porosity follows also from the following easy theorem.

5.5. Theorem. *Let $0 < q < 1$. Then in any Euclidean space there exists a perfect set D of (x^q) -porosity and of positive Lebesgue measure.*

Proof. 3.4 implies that it is sufficient to construct the set D on the line. We shall define a sequence of sets such that S_k contains 2^k disjoint closed intervals:

1. $S_0 = \{\langle 0, 1/2 \rangle\}$.

2. Suppose that we have defined $S_k = \{I_1, \dots, I_{2^k}\}$. For $j = 1, \dots, 2^k$ define closed disjoint intervals I'_j, I''_j such that

$$x^q * (I_j - (I'_j \cup I''_j)) = \text{Int } I_j.$$

Put $S_{k+1} = \{I'_1, I''_1, \dots, I'_{2^k}, I''_{2^k}\}$. Put $D_k = \bigcup \{I; I \in S_k\}$ and $D = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} D_k$. The set D is

clearly a perfect set of (x^q) -porosity. We have

$$\mu(I'_j \cup I''_j) = \mu I_j (1 - 2^{1-1/q} (\mu I_k)^{1/q-1}).$$

Since $\mu I_j < 1/2^{n+1}$, we have

$$\mu(I'_j \cup I''_j) > \mu I_j (1 - 2^{(1-1/q)(n+2)}).$$

If we denote $\mu D_k = m_k$, we have

$$m_{n+1} > m_n (1 - 2^{(1-1/q)(n+2)})$$

and therefore

$$m_{n+1} > \frac{1}{2} \prod_{k=0}^n (1 - 2^{(1-1/q)(k+2)})$$

and

$$\mu D \geq \frac{1}{2} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - 2^{(1-1/q)(k+2)}) > 0.$$

Thus the proof is complete.

The following theorem justifies the complicated form of 5.1.

5.6. Theorem. *In any Euclidean space there exists a perfect set F of porosity which is not a set of $(x, 1/2)$ - σ -porosity.*

Proof. Let $H = \{ax; a > 1\}$. For an integer n put $h_n = (1 + 1/n^2)x$. Put $c = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + 1/k^2)$ and $f(x) = 2cx$. Then the assumption (3) from 5.1 is obviously fulfilled and therefore in any Euclidean space there exists a perfect set F of $\langle 2cx \rangle$ -porosity which is not a set of $\langle H \rangle$ - σ -porosity. The set F is clearly a set of porosity but not of $(x, 1/2)$ - σ -porosity since a set is of $(x, 1/2)$ -porosity iff it is of $\langle H \rangle$ -porosity.

5.7. Theorem. *Let $0 < q < 1$. Then in any Euclidean space there exists a perfect set D which is not a set of (x^q) - σ -porosity.*

Proof. The theorem immediately follows from 5.3 if we put $h = x^q$, $f = (\log(1/x))^{-1}$, $B = 1$.

6. SOME OPEN PROBLEMS

6.1. Problem. *Does there exist a (perfect) set on the line of the first category and of measure zero which is not a set of (x^q) - σ -porosity for $0 < q < 1$?*

6.2. Problem. *Does there exist $f \in G$ such that any (perfect) set on the line of measure zero and of the first category is a set of (f) - σ -porosity?*

References

- [1] *E. P. Dolženko*: Graničnye svojstva proizvolnykh funkcij, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 31 (1967), 3—14.
- [2] *N. Yanagihara*: Angular cluster sets and horicyclic cluster sets, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 45 (1969), 423—428.
- [3] *H. Yoshida*: Tangential boundary properties of arbitrary functions in the unit disc, *Nagoya Math. J.* 46 (1972), 111—120.
- [4] *H. Yoshida*: On the boundary properties and the spherical derivatives of meromorphic functions in the unit disc, *Math. Z.* 132 (1973), 51—68.
- [5] *L. Zajíček*: On cluster sets of arbitrary functions, *Fund. Math.* 83 (1974), 197—217.

Author's address: 186 00 Praha 8 - Karlín, Sokolovská 86 (Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta UK).