

Charles S. Kahane

On the density of the dilations and translates of function in  $L_1$

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 101 (1976), No. 3, 234--236

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117916>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE DENSITY OF THE DILATIONS AND TRANSLATES  
OF FUNCTION IN  $L_1$

CHARLES KAHANE, Nashville

(Received February 28, 1975)

A well known theorem of WIENER [2] asserts that linear combinations of the translates  $k(\xi + t)$  of a fixed function  $k(\xi)$  in  $L_1$  are dense in  $L_1$ , provided that the Fourier transform of  $k$  never vanishes on the real axis. Suppose that in addition to the translates of  $k$  we also allow dilations:

$$(1) \quad k(\delta^{-1}[\xi + t]) \quad (\delta > 0)$$

and then ask, for which  $k$ 's is the span of this set of functions dense in  $L_1$ ? Clearly, the span will be dense if  $k$  is the characteristic function of an interval — this just amounts to the fact that the class of step functions vanishing outside of a finite interval is dense in  $L_1$ . More generally we have the following result.

**Theorem.** *The necessary and sufficient condition for the set of functions (1) to have a dense span in  $L_1$  is that  $\int k(\xi) d\xi \neq 0$ .*

**Proof.** The necessity follows from the observation that if  $\int k(\xi) d\xi = 0$ , then the integral of any linear combination of the functions (1) will also be zero:

$$\int \sum_{j=1}^n a_j k(\delta_j^{-1}[\xi + t_j]) dx = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \delta_j \right) \int k(\xi) d\xi = 0.$$

Consequently, it would be impossible to approximate any function  $f$  in  $L_1$  with a non-vanishing integral by such combinations of the functions (1).

To prove the sufficiency we employ a well-known criterion, based on the Hahn-Banach theorem, for denseness of the span of a set of elements  $\mathcal{S}$  in a normed linear space  $X$  (cf. [1], p. 65); namely that the only bounded linear functional on  $X$  vanishing for each of the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  be the identically zero functional. By the Riesz representation theorem, all linear functionals  $I(f)$  on  $L_1$  are known to have the form  $I(f) = \int f(\xi) g(\xi) d\xi$  where  $g$  is a bounded measurable function. It will, therefore, be sufficient to show that if the relations

$$\int k\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\delta}\right)g(\xi) d\xi = 0$$

hold for all  $x$  and all  $\delta > 0$ , then  $g(\xi)$  must be zero almost everywhere. In turn, this will be an immediate consequence of the following result regarding approximations of the identity which is of some interest in itself.

**Lemma.** *Let  $k \in L_1$  and  $g \in L_\infty$ , then*

$$(2) \quad \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \int k\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\delta}\right)g(\xi) d\xi = \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \int k\left(\frac{\xi}{\delta}\right)g(x-\xi) d\xi = g(x) \int k(\xi) d\xi$$

holds on the Lebesgue set of  $g$ .

**Proof.** We recall the definition of the Lebesgue set of  $g$  as the set of all points  $x$  at which the relation

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{|\xi| < \delta} |g(x-\xi) - g(x)| d\xi = 0$$

holds.

We will first prove (2) under the additional assumption that  $k$  is a bounded measurable function vanishing outside of a finite interval, say  $k(\xi) = 0$  for  $|\xi| \geq a$ . Letting  $\|k\|_\infty$  denote the essential supremum of  $k$ , a straightforward computation then yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{\delta} \int k(\xi/\delta)g(x-\xi) d\xi - g(x) \int k(\xi) d\xi \right| &= \frac{1}{\delta} \left| \int_{|\xi| \leq a\delta} k(\xi/\delta) [g(x-\xi) - g(x)] d\xi \right| \leq \\ &\leq (a\|k\|_\infty) \left( \frac{1}{a\delta} \int_{|\xi| \leq a\delta} |g(x-\xi) - g(x)| d\xi \right); \end{aligned}$$

from which (2) follows immediately in this case.

Suppose now that  $k \in L_1$ . To reduce this situation to the one just considered, we construct a sequence of bounded measurable functions  $k^{(n)}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , vanishing outside of  $[-n, +n]$ , and which converge to  $k$  in the  $L_1$  norm:

$$(3) \quad \|k^{(n)} - k\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Setting

$$\gamma_n = \int k^{(n)}(\xi) d\xi \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \int k(\xi) d\xi,$$

this implies that

$$(4) \quad \gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If we now introduce the abbreviations

$$k_{\delta}^{(n)}(x) = \frac{1}{\delta} k^{(n)}\left(\frac{x}{\delta}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad k_{\delta}(x) = \frac{1}{\delta} k\left(\frac{x}{\delta}\right),$$

and use the notation  $(u * v)(x)$  to denote  $\int u(x - \xi) v(\xi) d\xi = \int u(\xi) v(x - \xi) d\xi$ , the convolution of  $u$  and  $v$  evaluated at  $x$ , we may write

$$\begin{aligned} |(k_{\delta} * g)(x) - \gamma g(x)| &\leq |(k_{\delta}^{(n)} * g)(x) - \gamma_n g(x)| + \\ &+ |([k_{\delta} - k_{\delta}^{(n)}] * g)(x)| + |(\gamma_n - \gamma) g(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

For the middle term we have the estimate

$$|([k_{\delta} - k_{\delta}^{(n)}] * g)(x)| \leq \|k_{\delta} - k_{\delta}^{(n)}\|_1 \|g\|_{\infty} = \|k - k^{(n)}\|_1 \|g\|_{\infty};$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} |(k_{\delta} * g)(x) - \gamma g(x)| &\leq \\ &\leq |(k_{\delta}^{(n)} * g)(x) - \gamma_n g(x)| + [\|k - k^{(n)}\|_1 + |\gamma_n - \gamma|] \|g\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Since each of the  $k^{(n)}$ 's is a bounded measurable function vanishing outside of a finite interval, by what has already been proven, the first term on the right of the preceding estimate tends to zero as  $\delta \downarrow 0$ , for  $x$  in the Lebesgue set of  $g$ . Thus, on the Lebesgue set of  $g$

$$\overline{\lim}_{\delta \downarrow 0} |(k_{\delta} * g)(x) - \gamma g(x)| \leq [\|k - k^{(n)}\|_1 + |\gamma_n - \gamma|] \|g\|_{\infty};$$

so that in view of (3) and (4), the desired result (2) then follows by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

#### References

- [1] *N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz*, Linear operators, Part I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [2] *N. Wiener*, Tauberian theorems, *Annals of Math.* 33 (1932), 1-100.

*Author's address:* Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37235, U.S.A.