

Alois Švec

Harmonic mappings of surfaces

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 101 (1976), No. 3, 283--292

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117908>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## HARMONIC MAPPINGS OF SURFACES

ALOIS ŠVEC, Olomouc

(Received November 7, 1975)

We are going to study the harmonic and slightly less than harmonic mappings  $f: M \rightarrow N$  in the case  $\dim M = \dim N = 2$ . For further details, see [1]–[9].

1. Let  $M, N$  be Riemannian manifolds,  $\dim M = \dim N = 2$ ,  $f: M \rightarrow N$  a mapping; everything be of class  $C^\infty$ . Let us suppose that  $M$  and  $N$  are oriented and  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is orientation preserving. Let  $M$  be covered by a system of domains such that in each of them we are able to choose a field of orthonormal frames  $\{v_1, v_2\}$ , let  $\{\omega^1, \omega^2\}$  be the dual bases. The Euclidean connection of  $M$  is then given by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla m &= \omega^1 v_1 + \omega^2 v_2, & \nabla v_1 &= \omega_1^2 v_2, & \nabla v_2 &= -\omega_1^2 v_1; \\ d\omega^1 &= -\omega^2 \wedge \omega_1^2, & d\omega^2 &= \omega^1 \wedge \omega_1^2, & d\omega_1^2 &= -K\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2, \end{aligned}$$

$K$  being the curvature of  $M$ . Analogously, the connection on  $N$  be given by

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla^* n &= \Omega^1 v_1^* + \Omega^2 v_2^*; & \nabla^* v_1^* &= \Omega_1^2 v_2^*, & \nabla^* v_2^* &= -\Omega_1^2 v_1^*; \\ d\Omega^1 &= -\Omega^2 \wedge \Omega_1^2, & d\Omega^2 &= \Omega^1 \wedge \Omega_1^2, & d\Omega_1^2 &= -K^* \Omega^1 \wedge \Omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

On  $M$ , we get the induced forms

$$(1.3) \quad \tau^1 := f^* \Omega^1, \quad \tau^2 := f^* \Omega^2, \quad \tau_1^2 := f^* \Omega_1^2$$

satisfying

$$(1.4) \quad d\tau^1 = -\tau^2 \wedge \tau_1^2, \quad d\tau^2 = \tau^1 \wedge \tau_1^2, \quad d\tau_1^2 = -K^* \tau^1 \wedge \tau^2.$$

Let us write

$$(1.5) \quad \tau^1 = a_1 \omega^1 + a_2 \omega^2, \quad \tau^2 = a_3 \omega^1 + a_4 \omega^2.$$

Then

$$(1.6) \quad \tau^1 \wedge \tau^2 = \mu \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2, \quad \text{where } \mu = a_1 a_4 - a_2 a_3 \geq 0.$$

By means of successive exterior differentiations of (1.5), we get the existence of functions  $b_1, \dots, b_6, c_1, \dots, c_8$  such that

$$(1.7) \quad (da_1 - a_2\omega_1^2 - a_3\tau_1^2) \wedge \omega^1 + (da_2 + a_1\omega_1^2 - a_4\tau_1^2) \wedge \omega^2 = 0$$

$$(da_3 - a_4\omega_1^2 + a_1\tau_1^2) \wedge \omega^1 + (da_4 + a_3\omega_1^2 + a_2\tau_1^2) \wedge \omega^2 = 0;$$

$$(1.8) \quad da_1 - a_2\omega_1^2 - a_3\tau_1^2 = b_1\omega^1 + b_2\omega^2,$$

$$da_3 - a_4\omega_1^2 + a_1\tau_1^2 = b_4\omega^1 + b_5\omega^2,$$

$$da_2 + a_1\omega_1^2 - a_4\tau_1^2 = b_2\omega^1 + b_3\omega^2,$$

$$da_4 + a_3\omega_1^2 + a_2\tau_1^2 = b_5\omega^1 + b_6\omega^2;$$

$$(1.9) \quad (db_1 - 2b_2\omega_1^2 - b_4\tau_1^2) \wedge \omega^1 + \{db_2 + (b_1 - b_3)\omega_1^2 - b_5\tau_1^2\} \wedge \omega^2 =$$

$$= (a_2K + a_3\mu K^*) \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2,$$

$$\{db_2 + (b_1 - b_3)\omega_1^2 - b_5\tau_1^2\} \wedge \omega^1 + (db_3 + 2b_2\omega_1^2 - b_6\tau_1^2) \wedge \omega^2 =$$

$$= (-a_1K + a_4\mu K^*) \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2,$$

$$(db_4 - 2b_5\omega_1^2 + b_1\tau_1^2) \wedge \omega^1 + \{db_5 + (b_4 - b_6)\omega_1^2 + b_2\tau_1^2\} \wedge \omega^2 =$$

$$= (a_4K - a_1\mu K^*) \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2,$$

$$\{db_5 + (b_4 - b_6)\omega_1^2 + b_2\tau_1^2\} \wedge \omega^1 + (db_6 + 2b_5\omega_1^2 + b_3\tau_1^2) \wedge \omega^2 =$$

$$= (-a_3K - a_2\mu K^*) \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2;$$

$$(1.10) \quad db_1 - 2b_2\omega_1^2 - b_4\tau_1^2 = c_1\omega^1 + c_2\omega^2,$$

$$db_2 + (b_1 - b_3)\omega_1^2 - b_5\tau_1^2 = (c_2 + a_2K + a_3\mu K^*) \omega^1 +$$

$$+ (c_3 + a_1K - a_4\mu K^*) \omega^2,$$

$$db_3 + 2b_2\omega_1^2 - b_6\tau_1^2 = c_3\omega^1 + c_4\omega^2,$$

$$db_4 - 2b_5\omega_1^2 + b_1\tau_1^2 = c_5\omega^1 + c_6\omega^2,$$

$$db_5 + (b_4 - b_6)\omega_1^2 + b_2\tau_1^2 = (c_6 + a_4K - a_1\mu K^*) \omega^1 +$$

$$+ (c_7 + a_3K + a_2\mu K^*) \omega^2,$$

$$db_6 + 2b_5\omega_1^2 + b_3\tau_1^2 = c_7\omega^1 + c_8\omega^2.$$

Of course, we have

$$(1.11) \quad ds^2 = (\omega^1)^2 + (\omega^2)^2,$$

$$ds_*^2 = (\tau^1)^2 + (\tau^2)^2 =$$

$$= (a_1^2 + a_3^2)(\omega^1)^2 + 2(a_1a_2 + a_3a_4)\omega^1\omega^2 + (a_2^2 + a_4^2)(\omega^2)^2.$$

The fundamental invariants of  $f$  are

$$(1.12) \quad I_1 = a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2, \quad I_2 = (a_1 - a_4)^2 + (a_2 + a_3)^2.$$

The mapping  $f$  is called *constant* if  $I_1 = 0$ ;  $f$  is said to be *conformal* if  $I_2 = 0$ ; the geometrical signification is obvious. To each point  $m \in M$ , we get the induced quadratic mapping

$$(1.13) \quad f_{**} : T_m(M) \rightarrow T_{f(m)}(N),$$

$$f_{**}(xv_1 + yv_2) = (b_1x^2 + 2b_2xy + b_3y^2)v_1^* + (b_4x^2 + 2b_5xy + b_6y^2)v_2^*;$$

see [2]. Further, we get the mapping

$$(1.14) \quad t : M \rightarrow T(N), \quad t(m) \in T_{f(m)}(N); \quad t = (b_1 + b_3)v_1^* + (b_2 + b_4)v_2^*;$$

$t$  is the so-called *tension field*. The expressions

$$(1.15) \quad J_1 = (b_1 + b_3)^2 + (b_4 + b_6)^2, \quad J_2 = b_1^2 + 2b_2^2 + b_3^2 + b_4^2 + 2b_5^2 + b_6^2$$

are invariants of  $f$  as well;  $f$  is said to be *harmonic* if  $J_1 = 0$ , and it is *totally geodesic* if  $J_2 = 0$ .

2. Let us produce several integral formulas.

First of all, consider the 1-form

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi_1 = \{(a_1 - a_4)(b_2 + b_4) - (a_2 + a_3)(b_1 - b_5)\} \omega^1 +$$

$$+ \{(a_1 - a_4)(b_3 + b_5) - (a_2 + a_3)(b_2 - b_6)\} \omega^2;$$

$\varphi_1$  is invariant. Then

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\partial M} \varphi_1 = \int_M \{2L_1 - I_2(K + \mu K^*)\} \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2,$$

$$\text{where } L_1 = \begin{vmatrix} b_1 - b_5 & b_2 - b_6 \\ b_2 + b_4 & b_3 + b_5 \end{vmatrix}.$$

For the invariant form

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi_2 = \{(a_1 + a_4)(b_2 - b_4) - (a_2 - a_3)(b_1 + b_5)\} \omega^1 +$$

$$+ \{(a_1 + a_4)(b_3 - b_5) - (a_2 - a_3)(b_2 + b_6)\} \omega^2,$$

we get

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\partial M} \varphi_2 = \int_M \{2L_2 + I_3(\mu K^* - K)\} \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2,$$

$$\text{where } L_2 = \begin{vmatrix} b_1 + b_5 & b_2 + b_6 \\ b_2 - b_4 & b_3 - b_5 \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad I_3 = (a_1 + a_4)^2 + (a_2 - a_3)^2 .$$

Further,

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} dI_1 = (a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + a_3 b_4 + a_4 b_5) \omega^1 + \\ + (a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_3 + a_3 b_5 + a_4 b_6) \omega^2 ,$$

i.e.,

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M} * dI_1 = \int_M \{a_1(c_1 + c_3) + a_2(c_2 + c_4) + a_3(c_5 + c_7) + a_4(c_6 + c_8) + \\ + J_2 + I_1 K - 2\mu^2 K^*\} \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 .$$

Analogously,

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{2} dI_2 = \{(a_1 - a_4)(b_1 - b_5) + (a_2 + a_3)(b_2 + b_4)\} \omega^1 + \\ + \{(a_1 - a_4)(b_2 - b_6) + (a_2 + a_3)(b_3 + b_5)\} \omega^2$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M} * dI_2 = \int_M \{(a_1 - a_4)(c_1 + c_3 - c_6 - c_8) + (a_2 + a_3)(c_2 + c_4 + c_5 + c_7) + \\ + (b_1 - b_5)^2 + (b_2 + b_4)^2 + (b_2 - b_6)^2 + (b_3 + b_5)^2 + I_2(K + \mu K^*)\} \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 .$$

Next,

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{1}{2} dI_3 = \{(a_1 + a_4)(b_1 + b_5) + (a_2 - a_3)(b_2 - b_4)\} \omega^1 + \\ + \{(a_1 + a_4)(b_2 + b_6) + (a_2 - a_3)(b_3 - b_5)\} \omega^2$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M} * dI_3 = \int_M \{(a_1 + a_4)(c_1 + c_3 + c_6 + c_8) + \\ + (a_2 - a_3)(c_2 + c_4 - c_5 - c_7) + (b_1 + b_5)^2 + (b_2 - b_4)^2 + \\ + (b_2 + b_6)^2 + (b_3 - b_5)^2 + I_3(K - \mu K^*)\} \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 .$$

Finally, consider the invariant 1-form

$$(2.12) \quad \varphi_3 = \{(b_1 + b_3)(c_5 + c_7) - (b_4 + b_6)(c_1 + c_3)\} \omega^1 + \\ + \{(b_1 + b_3)(c_6 + c_8) - (b_4 + b_6)(c_2 + c_4)\} \omega^2 .$$

From (1.10), we get

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} d(b_1 + b_3) - (b_4 + b_6) \tau_1^2 &= (c_1 + c_3) \omega^1 + (c_2 + c_4) \omega^2, \\ d(b_4 + b_6) + (b_1 + b_3) \tau_1^2 &= (c_5 + c_7) \omega^1 + (c_6 + c_8) \omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

The exterior differentiation yields

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} &\{d(c_1 + c_3) - (c_2 + c_4) \omega_1^2 - (c_5 + c_7) \tau_1^2\} \wedge \omega^1 + \\ &+ \{d(c_2 + c_4) + (c_1 + c_3) \omega_1^2 - (c_6 + c_8) \tau_1^2\} \wedge \omega^2 = (b_4 + b_6) \mu K^* \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2, \\ &\{d(c_5 + c_7) - (c_6 + c_8) \omega_1^2 + (c_1 + c_3) \tau_1^2\} \wedge \omega^1 + \\ &+ \{d(c_6 + c_8) + (c_5 + c_7) \omega_1^2 + (c_2 + c_4) \tau_1^2\} \wedge \omega^2 = -(b_1 + b_3) \mu K^* \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 \end{aligned}$$

and the existence of functions  $e_1, \dots, e_6$  such that

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} d(c_1 + c_3) - (c_2 + c_4) \omega_1^2 - (c_5 + c_7) \tau_1^2 &= e_1 \omega^1 + (e_2 - b_4 \mu K^*) \omega^2, \\ d(c_2 + c_4) + (c_1 + c_3) \omega_1^2 - (c_6 + c_8) \tau_1^2 &= (e_2 + b_6 \mu K^*) \omega^1 + e_3 \omega^2, \\ d(c_5 + c_7) - (c_6 + c_8) \omega_1^2 + (c_1 + c_3) \tau_1^2 &= e_4 \omega^1 + (e_5 + b_1 \mu K^*) \omega^2, \\ d(c_6 + c_8) + (c_5 + c_7) \omega_1^2 + (c_2 + c_4) \tau_1^2 &= (e_5 - b_3 \mu K^*) \omega^1 + e_6 \omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

By means of (2.13) and (2.15), we get the integral formula

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{\partial M} \varphi_3 = \int_M (2L_3 - J_1 \mu K^*) \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2, \quad \text{where } L_3 = \begin{vmatrix} c_1 + c_3 & c_2 + c_4 \\ c_5 + c_7 & c_6 + c_8 \end{vmatrix}.$$

3. Let us explain the geometrical interpretation of the invariants  $L_i$ . Introduce the invariant operator

$$(3.1) \quad * : T_m(M) \rightarrow T_m(M), \quad m \in M; \quad *(xv_1 + yv_2) = -yv_1 + xv_2;$$

satisfying  $\omega(*v) + *\omega(v) = 0$  for  $v \in T_m(M)$ ,  $\omega \in T_m^*(M)$ . To  $f_{**}$  (1.13), consider the associated bilinear mapping

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} : T_m(M) \times T_m(M) &\rightarrow T_{f(m)}(N), \\ \mathcal{L}(x^1 v_1 + x^2 v_2, y^1 v_1 + y^2 v_2) &= (b_1 x^1 y^1 + b_2 x^1 y^2 + b_2 x^2 y^1 + b_3 x^2 y^2) v_1^* + \\ &+ (b_4 x^1 y^1 + b_5 x^1 y^2 + b_5 x^2 y^1 + b_6 x^2 y^2) v_2^*. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, consider the operator

$$(3.3) \quad * : T_n(N) \rightarrow T_n(N), \quad n \in N; \quad *(\xi v_1^* + \eta v_2^*) = -\eta v_1^* + \xi v_2^*.$$

**Lemma 1.** Let  $v \in T_m(M)$  be an arbitrary unit vector. Then

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} L_1 &= \langle \mathcal{L}(v, v) + *\mathcal{L}(v, *v), \mathcal{L}(*v, *v) - *\mathcal{L}(v, *v) \rangle, \\ L_2 &= \langle \mathcal{L}(v, v) - *\mathcal{L}(v, *v), \mathcal{L}(*v, *v) + *\mathcal{L}(v, *v) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Because of the invariance of  $L_1, L_2$  and  $\mathcal{L}$ , we may choose the frames such that  $v = v_1$ , i.e.,  $*v = v_2$  at  $m \in M$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(v_1, v_1) &= b_1 v_1^* + b_4 v_2^*, \\ \mathcal{L}(v_1, v_2) &= b_2 v_1^* + b_5 v_2^*, \quad \mathcal{L}(v_2, v_2) = b_3 v_1^* + b_6 v_2^*, \end{aligned}$$

and our Lemma follows. QED.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $t$  (1.14) be the tension field,  $v \in T_m(M)$  an arbitrary unit vector and

$$(3.5) \quad V := f_* v, \quad W := f_*( *v).$$

Then

$$(3.6) \quad L_3 = \langle *\nabla_V^* t, \nabla_W^* t \rangle.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_V^* t &= (b_4 + b_6)(\tau_1^2 - \Omega_1^2) v_1^* + (b_1 + b_3)(\Omega_1^2 - \tau_1^2) v_2^* + \\ &+ \{(c_1 + c_3)\omega^1 + (c_2 + c_4)\omega^2\} v_1^* + \{(c_5 + c_7)\omega^1 + (c_6 + c_8)\omega^2\} v_2^*. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, for each form  $\Omega \in T^*(N)$  and each vector  $v \in T(M)$ , we have  $f^* \Omega(v) = \Omega(f_* v)$ . Set  $v = v_1$  at  $m \in M$ . Then

$$\nabla_V^* t = (c_1 + c_3) v_1^* + (c_5 + c_7) v_2^*, \quad \nabla_W^* t = (c_2 + c_4) v_1^* + (c_6 + c_8) v_2^*,$$

and the Lemma follows. QED.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $t$  (1.14) be the tension field and  $V, W$  be defined by (3.5). Let  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ . Then

$$(3.7) \quad \nabla_V^* t + \varepsilon * \nabla_W^* t = 0$$

for each  $v \in T(M)$  if and only if

$$(3.8) \quad c_1 + c_3 - \varepsilon(c_6 + c_8) = c_2 + c_4 + \varepsilon(c_5 + c_7) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $v = xv_1 + yv_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_V^* t &= \{(c_1 + c_3)x + (c_2 + c_4)y\} v_1^* + \{(c_5 + c_7)x + (c_6 + c_8)y\} v_2^*, \\ \nabla_W^* t &= \{(c_2 + c_4)x - (c_1 + c_3)y\} v_1^* + \{(c_6 + c_8)x - (c_5 + c_7)y\} v_2^* \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_v^* t + \varepsilon * \nabla_w^* t &= \{c_1 + c_3 - \varepsilon(c_6 + c_8)\} (xv_1^* - \varepsilon yv_2^*) + \\ &+ \{c_2 + c_4 + \varepsilon(c_5 + c_7)\} (yv_1^* + \varepsilon xv_2^*). \end{aligned}$$

The Lemma follows easily. QED.

**4.** Our main task is to obtain several typical geometric consequences of our integral formulas (2.2, 4, 7, 9, 11, 16). In all theorems,  $M$  and  $N$  are Riemannian manifolds,  $\dim M = \dim N = 2$ ,  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is an orientation preserving mapping,  $\partial M$  the boundary of  $M$ . All other notions have been explained above.

First of all, let us state the following

**Lemma 4.** *The condition*

$$(4.1) \quad f \text{ is harmonic}$$

and/or the condition

$$(4.2) \quad \text{for each } m \in M \text{ there is } \dim f_{**}(T_m(M)) \leq 1 \text{ and there exists a vector } 0 \neq v \in T_m(M) \text{ such that } f_{**}(v) = 0$$

implies

$$(4.3) \quad L_1 \leq 0, \quad L_2 \leq 0.$$

*Proof.* The condition (4.1) is equivalent to  $b_1 + b_3 = b_4 + b_6 = 0$ . Hence

$$L_1 = -(b_1 - b_5)^2 - (b_2 + b_4)^2 \leq 0, \quad L_2 = -(b_1 + b_2)^2 - (b_2 - b_4)^2 \leq 0.$$

From (4.2), we get – see (1.13) – the existence of functions  $\varrho, \sigma, B_1, B_2, B_3$  such that

$$b_1 = \varrho B_1, \quad b_2 = \varrho B_2, \quad b_3 = \varrho B_3, \quad b_4 = \sigma B_1, \quad b_5 = \sigma B_2, \quad b_6 = \sigma B_3$$

and

$$f_{**}(xv_1 + yv_2) = (B_1x^2 + 2B_2xy + B_3y^2)(\varrho v_1^* + \sigma v_2^*).$$

Further,

$$L_1 = L_2 = (\varrho^2 + \sigma^2)(B_1B_3 - B_2^2),$$

and our Lemma follows. QED.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose: (i)  $L_1 \leq 0$  on  $M$ , (ii)  $K > 0$  on  $M$ , (iii)  $K^* \geq 0$  on  $f(M) \subset N$ , (iv)  $I_2 = 0$  on  $\partial M$ . Then  $f$  is conformal.*

*Proof* is a direct consequence of (2.2). QED.

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose: (i)  $M$  is compact, (ii)  $L_2 \leq 0$  on  $M$ , (iii)  $K > 0$  on  $M$ ,*



(iv)  $K^* \leq 0$  on  $f(M) \subset N$ . Then  $f$  is a constant mapping. We may suppose (i')  $J_2 = 0$  on  $\partial M$  instead of (i).

Proof. From (2.4),  $a_1 + a_4 = a_2 - a_3 = 0$ . Hence  $\mu = -a_1^2 - a_2^2$ ; from  $\mu \geq 0$ , we get  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ . QED.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose: (i)  $f$  is harmonic, (ii)  $K \geq 0$  on  $M$ , (iii)  $K^* \leq 0$  on  $f(M) \subset N$ , (iv)  $J_2 = 0$  on  $\partial M$ . Then  $f$  is totally geodesic. Replacing (ii) by (ii')  $K > 0$  on  $M$ ,  $f$  has to be a constant mapping.

Proof is a consequence of (2.7). QED.

**Theorem 4.** Suppose: (i) for each  $v \in T(M)$ , we have  $\nabla_v^* t + * \nabla_w^* t = 0$ ,  $t$  being the tension field and  $V := f_* v$ ,  $W := f_*(v)$ , (ii)  $K > 0$  on  $M$ , (iii)  $K^* \geq 0$  on  $f(M) \subset N$ , (iv)  $I_2 = 0$  on  $\partial M$ . Then  $f$  is conformal.

Proof follows from Lemma 3 and (2.9). QED.

**Theorem 5.** Suppose: (i) for each  $v \in T(M)$ , we have  $\nabla_v^* t + * \nabla_w^* t = 0$ ,  $t$  being the tension field and  $V := f_* v$ ,  $W := f_*(v)$ , (ii)  $K \geq 0$  on  $M$ , (iii)  $K^* \geq 0$  on  $f(M) \subset N$ , (iv)  $I_2 = 0$  on  $\partial M$ . Then  $f$  is harmonic and we have

$$(4.4) \quad I_2(K + \mu K^*) = 0$$

at each point  $m \in M$ .

Proof. From Lemma 3 and (2.9),

$$b_1 - b_5 = b_2 + b_4 = b_2 - b_6 = b_3 + b_5 = 0$$

and  $f$  is to be harmonic. From (1.10),

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 - c_6 - a_4 K + a_1 \mu K^* &= 0, & c_2 - c_7 + a_2 K + a_3 \mu K^* &= 0, \\ c_2 - c_7 - a_3 K - a_2 \mu K^* &= 0, & c_3 - c_8 + a_1 K - a_4 \mu K^* &= 0, \\ c_2 + c_5 + a_2 K + a_3 \mu K^* &= 0, & c_3 + c_6 + a_4 K - a_1 \mu K^* &= 0, \\ c_3 + c_6 + a_1 K - a_4 \mu K^* &= 0, & c_4 + c_7 + a_3 K + a_2 \mu K^* &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the elimination of  $c_1, \dots, c_8$  from these equations and from (3.8) for  $\varepsilon = 1$ , we get

$$(a_1 - a_4)(K + \mu K^*) = (a_2 + a_3)(K + \mu K^*) = 0,$$

i.e., (4.4). QED.

**Theorem 6.** Suppose: (i)  $M$  is compact, (ii) for each  $v \in T(M)$ , we have  $\nabla_v^* t = * \nabla_w^* t$ ,  $t$  being the tension field and  $V := f_*(v)$ ,  $W := f_*(v)$ , (iii)  $K > 0$  on  $M$ ,

(iv)  $K^* \leq 0$  on  $f(M) \subset N$ . Then  $f$  is a constant mapping. Instead of (i), it is sufficient to suppose (i')  $J_2 = 0$  on  $\partial M$ .

**Proof.** From Lemma 3 and (2.11), we get  $I_3 = 0$ , i.e.,  $\mu = -a_1^2 - a_2^2$ . From  $\mu \geq 0$ , we get  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ . QED.

**Theorem 7.** Suppose: (i)  $M$  is compact, (ii) for each  $v \in T(M)$ ,  $\nabla_v^* t = * \nabla_w^* t$ ,  $t$  being the tension field and  $V := f_*(v)$ ,  $W := f_*(w)$ , (iii)  $K \geq 0$  on  $M$ , (iv)  $K^* \leq 0$  on  $f(M) \subset N$ . Then  $f$  is harmonic and we have

$$(4.5) \quad I_3(K - \mu K^*) = 0$$

at each point  $m \in M$ . Instead of (i), it is sufficient to suppose (i')  $J_2 = 0$  on  $\partial M$ .

**Proof.** From Lemma 3 and (2.11),

$$b_1 + b_5 = b_2 - b_4 = b_2 + b_6 = b_3 - b_5 = 0,$$

and  $f$  is harmonic. From (1.10),

$$c_1 + c_6 + a_4 K - a_1 \mu K^* = 0, \quad c_2 + c_7 + a_2 K + a_3 \mu K^* = 0,$$

$$c_2 + c_7 + a_3 K + a_2 \mu K^* = 0, \quad c_3 + c_8 + a_1 K - a_4 \mu K^* = 0,$$

$$c_2 - c_5 + a_2 K + a_3 \mu K^* = 0, \quad c_3 - c_5 - a_4 K + a_1 \mu K^* = 0,$$

$$c_3 - c_6 + a_1 K - a_4 \mu K^* = 0, \quad c_4 - c_7 - a_3 K - a_2 \mu K^* = 0.$$

The elimination of  $c_1, \dots, c_8$  from these equations and from (3.8) for  $\varepsilon = -1$  implies

$$(a_1 + a_4)(K - \mu K^*) = 0, \quad (a_2 - a_3)(K - \mu K^*) = 0,$$

i.e., (4.5). QED.

**Theorem 8.** Suppose: (i)  $L_3 \geq 0$  on  $M$ , (ii)  $K^* < 0$  on  $f(M) \subset N$ , (iii)  $f_*(T_m(M)) = T_{f(m)}(N)$  for each  $m \in M$ , (iv)  $J_1 = 0$  on  $\partial M$ . Then  $f$  is a harmonic mapping.

**Proof.** From (2.16),  $J_1 = 0$  on  $M$ . QED.

**Theorem 9.** Suppose: (i)  $M$  is compact, (ii)  $J_1 = \text{const.} \neq 0$  on  $M$ , (iii)  $K^* > 0$  or  $K^* < 0$  on  $f(M) \subset N$ . Then  $\dim f_*(T_m(M)) \leq 1$  for each  $m \in M$ .

**Proof.** From  $J_1 = \text{const.}$  and (1.10), we get

$$(b_1 + b_3)(c_1 + c_3) + (b_4 + b_6)(c_5 + c_7) = 0,$$

$$(b_1 + b_3)(c_2 + c_4) + (b_4 + b_6)(c_6 + c_8) = 0$$

and the existence of  $\varrho, \sigma$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 + c_3 &= \varrho(b_4 + b_6), & c_5 + c_7 &= -\varrho(b_1 + b_3), \\ c_2 + c_4 &= \sigma(b_4 + b_6), & c_6 + c_8 &= -\sigma(b_1 + b_3). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $L_3 = 0$  and our Theorem follows from (2.16). QED.

**Theorem 10.** Suppose: (i)  $M$  is compact, (ii)  $I_2 = \text{const.} \neq 0$  on  $M$ , (iii)  $K \geq 0$  on  $M$ , (iv)  $K^* > 0$  on  $f(M) \subset N$ . Then  $K = 0$  on  $M$  and  $\dim f_*(T_m(M)) \leq 1$  for each  $m \in M$ .

*Proof.* From  $I_2 = \text{const.}$  and (1.8),

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1 - a_4)(b_1 - b_5) + (a_2 + a_3)(b_2 + b_4) &= 0, \\ (a_1 - a_4)(b_2 - b_6) + (a_2 + a_3)(b_3 + b_5) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and we may write

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 - b_5 &= \varrho(a_2 + a_3), & b_2 + b_4 &= -\varrho(a_1 - a_4), \\ b_2 - b_6 &= \sigma(a_2 + a_3), & b_3 + b_5 &= -\sigma(a_1 - a_4) \end{aligned}$$

for suitable functions  $\varrho, \sigma$ . Thus  $L_1 = 0$ , and our Theorem follows from (2.2). QED.

The last theorem presents an interesting characterisation of the flat tori: In the class of compact surfaces with  $K \geq 0$  just the flat torus might be mapped into a positively curved  $N$  in such a way that  $I_2 = \text{const.} \neq 0$ . It is obvious that the conditions (iii) + (iv) of Theorem 10 may be replaced by (iii')  $K \leq 0$  on  $M$ , (iv')  $K^* < 0$  on  $f(M) \subset N$ .

#### Bibliography

- [1] Bishop R. L., O'Neill B.: Manifolds of negative curvature. *Trans. AMS*, 145 (1969), 1–49.
- [2] Chern S. S., Goldberg S. I.: On the volume-decreasing property of a class of real harmonic mappings. *Amer. J. Math.*, 97 (1975), 133–147.
- [3] Eells J. Jr., Sampson J. H.: Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.*, 86 (1964), 109–160.
- [4] Goldberg S. I.: Mappings of nonpositively curved manifolds. To appear.
- [5] Goldberg S. I., Ishihara T.: Harmonic quasiconformal mappings of Riemannian manifolds. *Bull. AMS*, 80 (1974), 562–566.
- [6] Goldberg S. I., Ishihara T., Petridis N. C.: Mappings of bounded dilatation. *J. of Math.*, Tokushima Univ., 8 (1974), 1–7.
- [7] Kiernan P. J.: Quasiconformal mappings and Schwarz's lemma. *Trans. AMS*, 148 (1970), 185–197.
- [8] Ruh E. A., Vilms J.: The tension field of the Gauss map. *Trans. AMS*, 149 (1970), 569–573.
- [9] Smith R. T.: Harmonic mappings of spheres. *Amer. J. Math.*, 97 (1975), 364–385.

*Author's address:* 77146 Olomouc, Leninova 26 (Přirodovědecká fakulta UP).