

Ivan Chajda

A construction of tolerances on modular lattices

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 101 (1976), No. 2, 195--198

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117906>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A CONSTRUCTION OF TOLERANCES ON MODULAR LATTICES

IVAN CHAJDA, Přeřov

(Received July 16, 1975)

It is well-known that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between congruences and ideals in rings and Ω -groups (see [4]) and between congruences and normal subgroups in groups. This correspondence exists also between congruences and ideals in Boolean algebras (see [1] or [5]), however, an analogous correspondence does not exist for distributive lattices in the general case, as is shown in [5]. It is only proved in [3] (Theorem 2.2) that each ideal of a lattice L is a kernel of at least one congruence relation if and only if L is distributive. The aim of this paper is to give a relationship between ideals and compatible tolerances for modular lattices.

1.

By a *tolerance relation*, or briefly a *tolerance*, on a set A we mean a reflexive and symmetric binary relation on A . Thus each equivalence relation on A is a tolerance relation on A .

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ be an algebra with the support A and a set F of fundamental operations. Further, let T be a tolerance relation on the support A . The relation T is called a *compatible tolerance relation* on \mathfrak{A} (or briefly a *compatible tolerance* on \mathfrak{A}) if for each n -ary $f \in F$, $n \geq 1$, and for arbitrary $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in A$ such that $a_i T b_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) we have also $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) T f(b_1, \dots, b_n)$.

Especially, each congruence on \mathfrak{A} is a compatible tolerance on \mathfrak{A} . The concept of compatible tolerance has been introduced for algebraic structures by B. ZELINKA in [6] and studied for lattices in [7] and [8].

Definition 1. Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ be an algebra, $S = \{A_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ a system of subsets $A_\gamma \subseteq A$. S is called a *covering* of \mathfrak{A} if $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A_\gamma = A$. The covering S is called *compatible* on \mathfrak{A} , if for each n -ary $f \in F$ and arbitrary $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ there exists $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ such that $a_i \in A_{\gamma_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) imply $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_{\gamma_0}$.

Clearly, if Θ is a congruence on an algebra \mathfrak{A} , then the system of all classes of the partition of A induced by Θ forms a compatible covering of \mathfrak{A} .

Definition 2. Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ be an algebra, $S = \{A_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ a covering of \mathfrak{A} . The binary relation $T(S)$ defined on A by the rule

$$a T(S) b \text{ if and only if there exists } \gamma_0 \in \Gamma \text{ such that } a, b \in A_{\gamma_0}$$

is called *induced by S*.

It is clear that $T(S)$ is a tolerance relation on A for an arbitrary covering S of \mathfrak{A} . If S is a partition of A , then $T(S)$ is an equivalence on A .

Lemma 1. Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ be an algebra and S a compatible covering of \mathfrak{A} . Then the relation $T(S)$ induced by S is a compatible tolerance relation on \mathfrak{A} .

The proof is clear and follows directly from Definition 1.

Let L be a lattice. By \vee or \wedge the operation join or meet on L , respectively, is denoted. Denote by \leq the lattice ordering on L . If $a, b \in L$ are incomparable, i.e. neither $a \leq b$ nor $b \leq a$, then we symbolize it by $a \parallel b$. By the symbol $J(a)$ we denote the *principal ideal of L generated by a*.

Notation. Let L be a lattice, $a \in L$, and J be an ideal of L . Denote $a \vee J = \{a \vee j; j \in J\}$.

Theorem 1. Let L be a lattice. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (a) L is modular;
- (b) for each ideal J of L and each element $a \in L$ the set $a \vee J$ is a convex sublattice of L .

Proof. Let (a) be valid, $a \in L$, and let J be an ideal of L . Let $j \in J$ and $x \in [a, a \vee j]$. From a result of Croisot [2] it follows that $x \in a \vee J$. Hence $a \vee J$ is a convex subset of L . Let $x, y \in a \vee J$. Then there exist $i_1, i_2 \in J$ such that $x = a \vee i_1, y = a \vee i_2$. Thus

$$x \vee y = (a \vee i_1) \vee (a \vee i_2) = a \vee (i_1 \vee i_2) \in a \vee J,$$

$$x \wedge y = (a \vee i_1) \wedge (a \vee i_2) = a \vee (i_1 \wedge (a \vee i_2)) = a \vee i \in a \vee J,$$

where $i = i_1 \wedge (a \vee i_2)$. Hence (b) holds.

Conversely, assume that (a) does not hold. It is well-known that then L must contain a five-element non-modular sublattice $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ such that $x_0 < x_2 < x_1, x_0 < x_3 < x_4 < x_1$. Put $J = J(x_2), a = x_3$. Then clearly $x_1, x_3 \in a \vee J$. Suppose that $x_4 = a \vee j$ for some $j \in J$. Then $j \leq x_4$ and from $j \in J(x_2)$ we have $j \leq x_2$. Hence $j \leq x_2 \wedge x_4 = x_0$. Thus

$$x_4 = a \vee j \leq a \vee x_0 = x_3 \vee x_0 = x_3,$$

which is a contradiction with $x_3 < x_4$. Hence $a \vee J$ fails to be a convex subset in L .

Lemma 2. Let L be a lattice and J an ideal of L . Then $S_J = \{a \vee J, a \in L\}$ is a covering of L .

Proof. Let $a \in L, x \in J$. Then $a \wedge x \in J$, thus $a = a \vee (x \wedge a) \in a \vee J$.

Definition 3. Let L be a lattice and J an ideal of L . The covering $S_J = \{a \vee J, a \in L\}$ is called *induced by J* and the tolerance relation $T(S_J)$ induced by S_J is called *tolerance on L induced by the ideal J* . For the sake of brevity, denote by $T_J = T(S_J)$ the tolerance induced by J .

2.

Now, we have two natural problems: the first, for which ideal J of L the relation T_J is a compatible tolerance on L , and the second, for which J is a compatible tolerance which is not a congruence on L . This first problem is considered in what follows for the case of modular lattices.

Definition 4. Let L be a lattice and $c \in L$. If for each $a, b \in L$ c fulfils the identity

$$(a \vee c) \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee c,$$

c is called a *semi-distributive element*.

Theorem 2. Let L be a modular lattice and $j \in L$ a semi-distributive element of L . If J is the principal ideal of L generated by j , then T_J is a compatible tolerance relation on L .

Proof. By Lemma 2, T_J is a tolerance relation on L . It remains to prove that T_J is compatible on L . If the covering $S_J = \{x \vee J, x \in L\}$ induced by J is a compatible covering of L , then, by Lemma 1, T_J is a compatible tolerance on L . Accordingly, it suffices to prove only the compatibility of S_J .

Let $a, b \in L, x \in a \vee J, y \in b \vee J$. Then there exist $i_1, i_2 \in J$ such that $x = a \vee i_1, y = b \vee i_2$. Evidently, $x \vee y = (a \vee b) \vee i$ where $i = i_1 \vee i_2 \in J$, thus $x \vee y \in (a \vee b) \vee J$.

Further, we have

$$(1^\circ) \quad x \wedge y = (a \vee i_1) \wedge (b \vee i_2) \geq (a \wedge b) \vee (i_1 \wedge i_2) \in (a \wedge b) \vee J.$$

As $J = J(j)$, it is $i \leq j$ for each $i \in J$. Then

$$x \wedge y = (a \vee i_1) \wedge (b \vee i_2) \leq (a \vee j) \wedge (b \vee j).$$

However, j is a semi-distributive element, thus

$$(2^\circ) \quad x \wedge y \leq (a \wedge b) \vee j \in (a \wedge b) \vee J.$$

By Theorem 1, $(a \wedge b) \vee J$ is a convex sublattice of L , thus (1°) and (2°) imply $x \wedge y \in (a \wedge b) \vee J$.

Remark. Let L be a lattice and T a compatible tolerance relation on L . If there exists an ideal J of L such that $T = T_J$, we call T a constructible tolerance on L . Thus, each constructible tolerance on L is a compatible tolerance relation on L , however, the converse assertion need not be true. The problem of the determination of lattices on which each compatible tolerance relation is constructible is open.

References

- [1] *Birkhoff G.*: Lattice Theory, Amer. Math. Soc. 1940, N.Y.
- [2] *Croisot M. R.*: Axiomatique des treillis modulaires, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris 231, (1950), p. 95–97.
- [3] *Hashimoto J.*: Ideal Theory for Lattices, Math. Japonicae 2, (1952), 149–186.
- [4] *Куров А. Г.* Лекции по общей алгебре, Физматгиз, Москва 1962.
- [5] *Szász G.*: Introduction to lattice theory, Academ. Kiadó, Budapest 1963.
- [6] *Zelinka B.*: Tolerances in algebraic structures, Czech. Math. J. 20, (1970), 179–183.
- [7] *Chajda I. and Zelinka B.*: Tolerance relations on lattices, Časop. pěst. matem. 99, (1974), 394–399.
- [8] *Chajda I. and Zelinka B.*: Tolerance relations and weakly associative lattices, Czech. Math. J., (to appear).

Author's address: 750 00 Píerov, třída Lidových milicí 290.