

Václav Zizler

Uniform extension of linear functionals

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 97 (1972), No. 4, 379--385

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117773>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1972

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

UNIFORM EXTENSION OF LINEAR FUNCTIONALS

VÁCLAV ZIZLER, Praha

(Received November 26, 1970)

We work in real Banach spaces. If X is a Banach space, $x_n, x \in X$, then $x_n \rightarrow x$ or $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$ means the norm of the weak convergence of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ to x , respectively. Similarly $f_n \xrightarrow{w^*} f$ for the pointwise convergence in X^* (the dual of X). For $r > 0$, $K_r = \{x \in X; \|x\| \leq r\}$, $S_r = \{x \in X; \|x\| = r\}$. Analogously K_r^*, S_r^* in X^* . The reals will be denoted by R , the positive integers by N . For a closed linear subspace P of a Banach space X , X/P denotes the quotient space and the codimension of P ($\text{codim } P$) is the dimension of X/P ($\dim X/P$). For a linear subspace $P \subset X$, P^\perp is the annihilator (or polar) of P at X^* . For a linear subspace $P \subset X$, $f \in X^*$, $\|f\|_P = \sup_{K_1 \cap P} |f(x)|$. For $M \subset X$, \overline{M} means the norm closure of M in X . If K is a convex subset of X , $\text{ext } K$ denotes the set of all extreme points of K . For a topological space S , $C(S)$ denotes the Banach space of all real valued continuous bounded functions on S with the supremum norm. By $\langle x, y \rangle$ we mean the closed line segment with the end points $x, y \in X$.

Definition 1. Let X be a real Banach space, $P \subset X$ a linear subspace of X . We call X *uniformly rotund* (*weakly uniformly rotund*) along P if the following implication is valid:

if $x_n, y_n \in S_1$, $x_n - y_n \in P$, $\|x_n + y_n\| \rightarrow 2$, then $x_n - y_n \rightarrow 0$ ($x_n - y_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$). Similarly for the case of X^* and the weak * uniform rotundity along $P \subset X^*$.

Lemma 1. *If $P \subset X$ is a linear subspace of a real Banach space X , then the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) X is uniformly rotund (weakly uniformly rotund) along P .
- (ii) If $x_n, y_n \in S_1$, $\inf_{t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle} \|tx_n + (1-t)y_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $x_n - y_n \in P$, then $x_n - y_n \rightarrow 0$ ($x_n - y_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$).

(iii) If $x_n, y_n \in K$, $\|\frac{1}{2}(x_n + y_n)\| \rightarrow 1$, $x_n - y_n \in P$, then $x_n - y_n \rightarrow 0$ ($x_n - y_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$).
 (iv) If $x_n, y_n \in X$, $\{x_n\}$ bounded, $x_n - y_n \in P$, $2(\|x_n\|^2 + \|y_n\|^2) - \|x_n + y_n\|^2 \rightarrow 0$, then $x_n - y_n \rightarrow 0$ ($x_n - y_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$).

Proof. It involves only some computations and is quite similar to that in [6].

Proposition 1. Suppose $P \subset X$ is a finite dimensional subspace of a Banach space X . Then X is uniformly rotund along P iff X is uniformly rotund along each one dimensional subspace of P .

Proof. One part of the equivalence is evident. Suppose X is not uniformly rotund along P . Then by (iv) of Lemma 1 there exist $\{x_n\}$ bounded, $y_n \in X$, $x_n - y_n \in P$, $2(\|x_n\|^2 + \|y_n\|^2) - \|x_n + y_n\|^2 \rightarrow 0$, $\|x_n - y_n\| \geq \varepsilon > 0$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose without a loss of generality $w_n = x_n - y_n \rightarrow w \in P$, $w \neq 0$, for $\{y_n\}$ is also bounded (see for example [6]), and $2(\|y_n\|^2 + \|y_n + w\|^2) - \|2y_n + w\|^2 = 2(\|y_n\|^2 + \|y_n + w_n\|^2) - \|2y_n + w_n\|^2 + 2(\|y_n + w\|^2 - \|y_n + w_n\|^2) + \|2y_n + w_n\|^2 - \|2y_n + w\|^2$. However, $|\|y_n + w\|^2 - \|y_n + w_n\|^2| = |(\|y_n + w\| - \|y_n + w_n\|) \cdot (\|y_n + w\| + \|y_n + w_n\|)| \leq \|w_n - w\| \cdot K$ where K is some constant, since $\{y_n + w_n\}$ is a bounded sequence. Similarly for the term $\|2y_n + w_n\|^2 - \|2y_n + w\|^2$. Therefore $2(\|y_n\|^2 + \|y_n + w\|^2) - \|2y_n + w\|^2 \rightarrow 0$, $\{y_n\}$ bounded. Thus X is not uniformly rotund along the subspace generated by w .

Proposition 2. Suppose $P \subset X$ is a linear subspace of a Banach space X . Then X is (weakly) uniformly rotund along P iff X is (weakly) uniformly rotund along \bar{P} .

Proof. One part of the equivalence is evident. Suppose X is not weakly uniformly rotund along \bar{P} . Then there exists $\{x_n\} \subset X$, $\{x_n\}$ bounded, $f \in S_1^* \subset X^*$, $w_n \in \bar{P}$, $|f(w_n)| \geq \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $2(\|x_n\|^2 + \|x_n + w_n\|^2) - \|2x_n + w_n\|^2 \rightarrow 0$. We may choose for $n \in N$, $\hat{w}_n \in P$ such that $\|w_n - \hat{w}_n\| \leq 1/n$ and therefore $w_n - \hat{w}_n \rightarrow 0$. Then again $\{w_n\}$ is bounded and $2(\|x_n\|^2 + \|x_n + \hat{w}_n\|^2) - \|2x_n + \hat{w}_n\|^2 = 2(\|x_n\|^2 + \|x_n + w_n\|^2) - \|2x_n + w_n\|^2 + 2(\|x_n + \hat{w}_n\|^2 - \|x_n + w_n\|^2) + \|2x_n + w_n\|^2 - \|2x_n + \hat{w}_n\|^2$. $|\|x_n + \hat{w}_n\|^2 - \|x_n + w_n\|^2| = |(\|x_n + \hat{w}_n\| + \|x_n + w_n\|)(\|x_n + \hat{w}_n\| - \|x_n + w_n\|)|$. The first term on the right hand side of the last equality is bounded. The second one is not greater than $\|w_n - \hat{w}_n\|$, which converges to zero. Furthermore, $f(\hat{w}_n - w_n) \rightarrow 0$. Thus for $n \geq n_0$ we would have $|f(\hat{w}_n)| \geq \varepsilon/2 > 0$. The other parts of the statement are derived similarly.

In the following, $\varrho(x, y)$ will denote $\|x - y\|$ in X , and for $M \subset X$, $\varrho(x, M) = \inf_{y \in M} \varrho(x, y)$.

Definition 2. A linear subspace P of a Banach space X is said to be a uniformly Haar subspace (a weakly uniformly Haar subspace) if the following implication is

valid: if $\{x_n\}$ is bounded in X , $\{y_n\} \subset P$, $\{z_n\} \subset P$, $\varrho(x_n, P) - \varrho(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$, $\varrho(x_n, P) - \varrho(x_n, z_n) \rightarrow 0$, then $y_n - z_n \rightarrow 0$ ($y_n - z_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$). Similarly for $P \subset X^*$ and the w^* -topology.

Remarks. Evidently, these conditions are not weaker than the Haar (i.e. Chebyshev) property of the closed P in a reflexive space X , and coincide with it if P is a subspace of a finite dimensional space X . If X is a uniformly rotund (= uniformly convex) space, then it is uniformly rotund along each subspace. Moreover, the space $C\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ with the equivalent norm $\sqrt{(\|x\|_{C\langle 0, 1 \rangle}^2 + \|x\|_{L_2\langle 0, 1 \rangle}^2)}$ provides ([6]) an example of a space uniformly rotund in each direction which is nevertheless not even weakly uniformly rotund in the sense of V. ŠMULJAN ([5]), (i.e. whenever $\|x_n\| = \|y_n\| = 1$, $\|x_n + y_n\| \rightarrow 2$, then $x_n - y_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$). It is also elementary to construct in three dimensions an example of a space which is not uniformly rotund exactly in one direction.

Proposition 3. *Suppose P is a linear subspace of a Banach space X . If X is uniformly rotund (weakly uniformly rotund) along P , then P is a uniformly Haar (a weakly uniformly Haar) subspace of X .*

Proof. It reduces only to some easy considerations which may be found in [6, Prop. 9].

Remark. If $\dim P \geq 2$, then it is very easy to construct a Haar proper subspace along which the space is not uniformly rotund.

Definition 3. Suppose $P \subset X$ is a closed linear subspace of a Banach space X . We say P has the uniform extension property (the weakly* uniform extension property) if the following implication is valid: whenever $f_n \in X^*$, $g_n \in X^*$, $f_n - g_n \in P^\perp$, $\|f_n\|_P \rightarrow 1$, $\|f_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|g_n\| \rightarrow 1$, then $f_n - g_n \rightarrow 0$ ($f_n - g_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$).

Remark. It is evident that the condition in Definition 3 is equivalent to the following one: if $f_n \in X^*$, $g_n \in X^*$, $f_n - g_n \in P^\perp$, $\|f_n\|_P = 1$, $\|f_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|g_n\| \rightarrow 1$, then $f_n - g_n \rightarrow 0$ ($f_n - g_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$).

Proposition 4. *Suppose $P \subset X$ is a closed linear subspace of a Banach space X . Then P has the uniform extension property (the weakly* uniform extension property) iff P^\perp has the uniform Haar property (the weakly* uniform Haar property).*

Proof. Suppose P^\perp does not have weakly* uniformly Haar property.

Then there exists $\{f_n\} \subset X^*$, $\{f_n\}$ bounded, $\{h_n\} \subset P^\perp$, $\{g_n\} \subset P^\perp$ and $x \in S_1 \subset X$ such that $\varrho(f_n, P^\perp) - \varrho(f_n, h_n) \rightarrow 0$, $\varrho(f_n, P^\perp) - \varrho(f_n, g_n) \rightarrow 0$, $|(h_n - g_n)(x)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$. As it was pointed out in [4], for every $f \in X^*$ and each subspace $Y \subset X$, $\varrho(f, Y^\perp) = \|f\|_Y$. If $\|f_{n_k}\| \rightarrow 0$ for some subsequence n_k , then it would follow from

our assumptions $h_{n_k} - g_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$ which contradicts our assumptions. Therefore we may suppose without any loss of generality $\|f_n\|_P \rightarrow a \neq 0$. Denote $F_n = f_n - h_n$, $G_n = f_n - g_n$, $n \in N$. Then $\|F_n\| \rightarrow a$, $\|G_n\| \rightarrow a$, $\|F_n\|_P = \|G_n\|_P = \|f_n\|_P \rightarrow a$. $F_n - G_n = g_n - h_n \in P^\perp$, $|(F_n - G_n)(x)| = |(h_n - g_n)(x)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$. Therefore P does not have the weakly* uniform extension property.

On the other hand, suppose P does not have the weakly* uniform extension property. Then there exist $F_n, G_n \in X^*$, $F_n - G_n = h_n \in P^\perp$, $x \in S_1 \subset X$, $|(F_n - G_n)(x)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$, $\|F_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|G_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|F_n\|_P \rightarrow 1$. Then $\varrho(F_n, P^\perp) = \|F_n\|_P \rightarrow 1$, $\varrho(G_n, P^\perp) = \|G_n\|_P \rightarrow 1$, $\{F_n\}$ is bounded. Therefore we have $\varrho(F_n, P^\perp) - \varrho(F_n, 0) \rightarrow 0$, $\varrho(F_n, P^\perp) - \varrho(F_n, h_n) \rightarrow 0$, $\{F_n\}$ bounded, $|h_n(x)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$. Thus P^\perp would not have the weakly* uniform Haar property.

Proposition 5. *If $P \subset X$ is a one dimensional subspace of a Banach space X , then P has the uniform extension property (the weakly* uniform extension property) iff the norm of X is Fréchet (Gâteaux) differentiable at z where $P \cap S_1 = \{z, -z\}$.*

Proof. By ŠMULJAN's theorem ([5]) the last two properties are equivalent to the following properties respectively: whenever $f_n \in S_1^*$, $g_n \in S_1^*$, $f_n(z) \rightarrow 1$, $g_n(z) \rightarrow 1$, then $f_n - g_n \rightarrow 0$ ($f_n - g_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$). Take $f'_n = f_n/f_n(z)$, $g'_n = g_n/g_n(z)$. Then $f'_n = g'_n$ on P and $\|f'_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|g'_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|f'_n\|_P = 1$. Therefore if P has for example the uniform extension property, we have $f'_n - g'_n \rightarrow 0$ whenever $f_n(z) \rightarrow 1$, $g_n(z) \rightarrow 1$, $\|f_n\| = \|g_n\| = 1$. On the other hand, if the norm of X is Fréchet differentiable at $z \in S_1 \cap P$ where P is generated by z , and if we suppose $f_n = g_n$ on P and $\|f_n\|_P \rightarrow 1$, $\|f_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|g_n\| \rightarrow 1$, then $|f_n(z)| = \|f_n\|_P \rightarrow 1$. Therefore $(\text{sign } f_n(z)) \cdot f_n(z) \rightarrow 1$, $(\text{sign } f_n(z)) \cdot g_n(z) \rightarrow 1$. Denote $f''_n = (\text{sign } f_n(z)) \cdot f'_n$, $g''_n = (\text{sign } f_n(z)) \cdot g'_n$. Then $f''_n, g''_n \in X^*$, $f''_n(z) = g''_n(z) \rightarrow 1$, $\|f''_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|g''_n\| \rightarrow 1$. Denote $f'''_n = f''_n/\|f''_n\|$, $g'''_n = g''_n/\|g''_n\|$. Then we have $f'''_n(z) \rightarrow 1$, $g'''_n(z) \rightarrow 1$, $\|f'''_n\| = \|g'''_n\| = 1$. Therefore by Fréchet differentiability of the norm of X we have $f'''_n - g'''_n \rightarrow 0$. Thus

$$\|f_n - g_n\| = \|\|f_n\| \cdot f'''_n - \|g_n\| \cdot g'''_n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence P has the uniform extension property.

Corollary 1. *If $x \in S_1 \subset X$, then the norm of X is Gâteaux (Fréchet) differentiable at x iff P^\perp is a weakly* uniformly Haar (a uniformly Haar) subspace of X^* , where P is a one dimensional subspace of X generated by x .*

Now, we are going to investigate the dual property to that of the uniform rotundity along subspaces.

Proposition 6. *Suppose $P \subset X$ is a finite dimensional subspace of a Banach space X . Then if P^\perp has the Haar property (i.e. P^\perp is a Čebyšev subspace of X^*), then P^\perp has the weakly* uniform Haar property.*

Proof. If P^\perp does not have the weakly* uniform Haar property then P does not have the weakly* uniform extension property, by Proposition 4. It means there exist $f_n \in X^*$, $g_n \in X^*$, $f_n = g_n$ on P , $\|f_n\|_P \rightarrow 1$, $\|f_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|g_n\| \rightarrow 1$ and $|(f_n - g_n)(x)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$ for some $x \in S_1 \subset X$. Take subnets f_{n_ν} , g_{n_ν} of f_n and g_n respectively such that $f_{n_\nu} \xrightarrow{w^*} f_0 \in K_1^*$, $g_{n_\nu} \xrightarrow{w^*} g_0 \in K_1^*$. Then the restrictions f_{n_ν}/P and g_{n_ν}/P have the property that $\sup_{x \in S_1 \cap P} |(f_{n_\nu} - f_0)(x)| \xrightarrow{\nu} 0$ and analogously for g_{n_ν} and g_0 . Thus because of $\|f_n\|_P \rightarrow 1$, $\|f_0\|_P = 1$, $\liminf \|f_{n_\nu}\| \geq \|f_0\| \geq \|f_0\|_P$, $\liminf \|f_{n_\nu}\| = 1$ (for $\|f_n\| \rightarrow 1$), we have $\|f_0\| = 1$. Analogously for g_0 . Since $|(f_{n_\nu} - g_{n_\nu})(x)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$, we have $f_0 \neq g_0$. Therefore P does not have the unique extension property and thus P^\perp does not have the Haar property ([4]).

Proposition 7. *If $P \subset X$ is a linear subspace of a Banach space X then X is uniformly rotund along P (weakly uniformly rotund along P) iff the following conditions are satisfied respectively: whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in X , $\{P_n\}$ a sequence of one dimensional subspaces of P , $y_n, z_n \in P_n$ for $n \in N$ and $\varrho(x_n, P_n) - \varrho(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$, $\varrho(x_n, P_n) - \varrho(x_n, z_n) \rightarrow 0$ then $y_n - z_n \rightarrow 0$ ($y_n - z_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$).*

Proof. Suppose P does not have the property of our assertion in the weak sense. Then there exist a bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$, one dimensional subspaces $P_n \subset P$ and $y_n, z_n \in P_n$ such that $\varrho(x_n, P_n) - \varrho(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$, $\varrho(x_n, P_n) - \varrho(x_n, z_n) \rightarrow 0$, but $|f(y_n - z_n)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$ for some $f \in S_1^* \subset X^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If for some subsequence n_k , $\varrho(x_{n_k}, P_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0$, then our assumptions imply $\varrho(y_{n_k}, z_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0$, a contradiction. Therefore since $\varrho(x_n, P_n) \leq \|x_n\|$, we may suppose $\varrho(x_n, P_n) \rightarrow k \neq 0$. Denote $s_n = x_n - y_n$, $t_n = x_n - z_n$. Then $\|s_n\| \rightarrow k$, $\|t_n\| \rightarrow k$, $\frac{1}{2}\|s_n\| + \frac{1}{2}\|t_n\| \geq \|\frac{1}{2}(s_n + t_n)\| = \|x_n - \frac{1}{2}(y_n + z_n)\| \geq \varrho(x_n, P_n)$. Both sides of this inequalities converge to k and therefore since $s_n - t_n = z_n - y_n \in P_n \subset P$, we have that X would not be weakly uniformly rotund along P for we have simultaneously $\|s_n\| \rightarrow k$, $\|t_n\| \rightarrow k$, $\|\frac{1}{2}(s_n + t_n)\| \rightarrow k$.

On the other hand, suppose X is not weakly uniformly rotund along P . Then there exist $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \subset S_1 \subset X$, $x_n - y_n \in P$ such that $\inf_{t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle} \|tx_n + (1-t)y_n\| = 1 - \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 1$ and $|f(x_n - y_n)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$ for some $f \in S_1^* \subset X^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider $y_n = \frac{1}{2}(x_n + y_n) - x_n$, $q_n = \frac{1}{2}(x_n + y_n) - y_n$, $r_n = \frac{1}{2}(x_n + y_n)$, P_n the one dimensional subspace of P generated by $x_n - y_n$, $n \in N$. Then $\|r_n - p_n\| = \|x_n\| = \|y_n\| = \|r_n - q_n\| = 1$, and $\varrho(r_n, P_n) \leq \|r_n\| \leq 1$. For a fixed $n \in N$ take an arbitrary element $z \in P_n$. Then there exists $\alpha_n \in R$ such that $z = \alpha_n p_n + (1 - \alpha_n) q_n$. Then $z - r_n = -(\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n)$. It is evident that if $\alpha_n \notin \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, then $\|\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n\| \geq 1$ and for $\alpha_n \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, $\|\alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n\| \geq 1 - \varepsilon_n$. Therefore for each $z \in P_n$, $\|z - r_n\| \geq 1 - \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 1$. Thus $1 \geq \varrho(r_n, P_n) \geq 1 - \varepsilon_n$. Hence we have obtained $\varrho(r_n, p_n) - \varrho(r_n, P_n) \rightarrow 0$, $\varrho(r_n, q_n) - \varrho(r_n, P_n) \rightarrow 0$, $p_n, q_n \in P_n$, $|f(p_n - q_n)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$, $\|r_n\| \leq 1$. Therefore then X would not satisfy the conditions of our Proposition in the weak sense.

Proposition 8. *Let $P \subset X$ be a closed linear subspace of a Banach space X . Then X^* is uniformly rotund along P^\perp (weakly* uniformly rotund along P^\perp) iff the following conditions are satisfied respectively: if $f_n, g_n \in X^*$, $X \supset P_n \supset P$, P_n closed, $\text{codim } P_n = 1$, $h_n = f_n - g_n \in P_n^\perp$ for $n \in N$ are such that $\|f_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|g_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|f_n\|_{P_n} \rightarrow 1$, then $f_n - g_n \rightarrow 0$ ($f_n - g_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$).*

Proof. Suppose P does not have the property of our assertion for the weak* case. Then there exist $f_n, g_n \in X^*$, P_n^\perp one dimensional subspaces of P^\perp such that $\|f_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|g_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $h_n = f_n - g_n \in P_n^\perp$, $\|f_n\|_{P_n} \rightarrow 1$, and such that $|(f_n - g_n)(x)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$ for some $x \in S_1 \subset X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then again $\varrho(f_n, P_n^\perp) = \|f_n\|_{P_n} \rightarrow 1$, $\varrho(g_n, P_n^\perp) = \|g_n\|_{P_n} \rightarrow 1$. Thus $\varrho(f_n, P_n^\perp) - \varrho(f_n, 0) \rightarrow 0$, $\varrho(f_n, P_n^\perp) - \varrho(f_n, h_n) \rightarrow 0$, $\{f_n\}$ bounded and $|h_n(x)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$. Therefore by Proposition 7, X^* is not weakly* uniformly rotund along P^\perp .

On the other hand, if X^* is not weakly* uniformly rotund along P^\perp , by Proposition 7 there exist $\{f_n\} \subset X^*$, $\{f_n\}$ bounded, one dimensional subspaces P_n^\perp of P^\perp and $g_n, h_n \in P_n^\perp$ such that $\varrho(f_n, P_n^\perp) - \varrho(f_n, g_n) \rightarrow 0$, $\varrho(f_n, P_n^\perp) - \varrho(f_n, h_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $|(g_n - h_n)(x)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$ for some $x \in S_1 \subset X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose again without any loss of generality $\varrho(f_n, P_n^\perp) \rightarrow k \neq 0$ ($\varrho(f_n, P_n^\perp) \leq \|f_n\|$). Then denoting $F_n = f_n - g_n$, $G_n = f_n - h_n$, we have $\|F_n\| \rightarrow k$, $\|G_n\| \rightarrow k$, $\|F_n\|_{P_n} = \|G_n\|_{P_n} = \|f_n\|_{P_n} = \varrho(f_n, P_n^\perp) \rightarrow k$, $|(F_n - G_n)(x)| = |(g_n - h_n)(x)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$. Therefore the conditions of our statement are not satisfied, since we have simultaneously $P_n \supset P$ (we take P_n closed).

Proposition 9. *A Banach space X has a uniformly Fréchet (uniformly Gâteaux) differentiable norm iff the following conditions are satisfied respectively: whenever P_n are one dimensional subspaces of X and $f_n, g_n \in X^*$ such that $\|f_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|g_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $f_n - g_n \in P_n^\perp$, $\|f_n\|_{P_n} \rightarrow 1$, then $f_n - g_n \rightarrow 0$ ($f_n - g_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$).*

Proof. The property of X to have a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm is, by Šmuljan's theorem ([5]), equivalent to the following: whenever $f_n, g_n \in S_1^*$, $z_n \in S_1$ such that $f_n(z_n) \rightarrow 1$, $g_n(z_n) \rightarrow 1$, then $f_n - g_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$ in X^* . Suppose now the property of our assertion is satisfied. Take $f_n, g_n \in S_1^*$, $z_n \in S_1$ such that $f_n(z_n) \rightarrow 1$, $g_n(z_n) \rightarrow 1$. For $n \in N$ denote P_n the one dimensional subspace of X generated by z_n . Then $1 \geq \|f_n\|_{P_n} \geq f_n(z_n) \rightarrow 1$, $1 \geq \|g_n\|_{P_n} \geq g_n(z_n) \rightarrow 1$; denoting $f'_n = f_n/f_n(z_n)$, $g'_n = g_n/g_n(z_n)$, we have $f'_n - g'_n \in P_n^\perp$ and $\|f'_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|g'_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|f'_n\|_{P_n} \rightarrow 1$. Therefore by our property $f'_n - g'_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$ and thus $f_n - g_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$.

On the other hand, let X has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm. Suppose $f_n, g_n \in X^*$, P_n one dimensional subspaces of X , $\|f_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|g_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $f_n - g_n \in P_n^\perp$, $\|f_n\|_{P_n} \rightarrow 1$. For $n \in N$ take $P_n \ni z_n \in S_1$ such that $f_n(z_n) = \|f_n\|_{P_n}$. Then evidently $g_n(z_n) = \|g_n\|_{P_n}$. Take $f'_n = f_n/\|f_n\|$, $g'_n = g_n/\|g_n\|$. Then $\|f'_n\| = \|g'_n\| = 1$, $f'_n(z_n) = \|f_n\|_{P_n}/\|f_n\|$, $g'_n(z_n) = \|g_n\|_{P_n}/\|g_n\|$. Furthermore, $\|f_n\|_{P_n}/\|f_n\| \rightarrow 1$ since $\|f_n\|_{P_n} \rightarrow 1$

and $\|f_n\| \rightarrow 1$. Analogously for g_n . Thus $f'_n(z_n) \rightarrow 1$, $g'_n(z_n) \rightarrow 1$. Therefore, by the uniform Gâteaux differentiability of the norm of X , $f'_n - g'_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$ and therefore

$$f_n - g_n = \|f_n\| \cdot f'_n - \|g_n\| \cdot g'_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0.$$

Analogously for the uniform Fréchet differentiability.

Thus we have

Proposition 10. *A Banach space X has a uniformly Fréchet (uniformly Gâteaux) differentiable norm iff the following conditions are satisfied respectively: whenever $f_n, g_n \in X^*$, P_n are closed linear subspaces of X such that $\text{codim } P_n = 1$ and $f_n = g_n$ on P_n , $\|f_n\|_{P_n} \rightarrow 1$, $\|f_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|g_n\| \rightarrow 1$, then $f_n - g_n \rightarrow 0$ ($f_n - g_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$).*

Proof. The last property for the weak case is by Proposition 8 ($P = 0$) equivalent to the fact that X^* is weakly* uniformly rotund, which means that if $f_n, g_n \in S_1^* \subset X^*$, $\|\frac{1}{2}(f_n + g_n)\| \rightarrow 1$, then $f_n - g_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$. This property is, by Šmuljan's result, dual to the uniform Gâteaux differentiability of the norm of X . Similarly for the strong case.

References

- [1] *J. A. Clarkson*: Uniformly convex spaces, *Trans. Amer. Soc.* 40 (1936), 396—414.
- [2] *D. F. Cudia*: Rotundity, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* 7, 73—97.
- [3] *G. Köthe*: Topological vector spaces I, New York 1969.
- [4] *R. R. Phelps*: Uniqueness of Hahn-Banach extensions and unique best approximation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 95 (1960), 238—255.
- [5] *V. L. Šmuljan*: Sur la derivabilité de la norme dans l'espace de Banach, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, N.S. 27, 643—648.
- [6] *V. Zizler*: On some rotundity and smoothness properties of Banach spaces, *Dissertationes Mathematicae LXXXVII*, 1971, 1—37.
- [7] *A. Л. Гаркави*: О наилучшей сети и наилучшем сечении множества в нормированном пространстве, *Известия Акад. Наук СССР* 26, 1, 87—106.

Author's address: Praha 8 - Karlín, Sokolovská 83 (Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta UK).