

Pavel Kostyrko

A note on the functions with closed graphs

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 94 (1969), No. 2, 202--205

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117666>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1969

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A NOTE ON THE FUNCTIONS WITH CLOSED GRAPHS

PAVEL KOSTYRKO, Bratislava

(Received December 15, 1967)

In the paper we shall consider the functions, whose domain X and range Y are topological spaces and have closed graphs in $X \times Y$ (the product $X \times Y$ with Tychonoff's topology). G_f denotes the graph of a function f . We shall generalize some results of papers [2] and [3]. The relation of the family $B_0(X, Y)$ of all continuous functions from the topological space X to the space Y and of the family $B_1(X, Y)$ of all functions of the first Baire's class to the family $U(X, Y)$ of all functions with closed graphs will be considered. If Z is a topological space then: $G(Z)$ stands for the family of all open sets of the space Z , $F(Z)$ stands for the family of all closed sets of the space Z and $F_\sigma(Z)$ stands for the family of all F_σ sets of the space Z .

Theorem 1. *Let X and Y be topological spaces and $f \in U(X, Y)$. Then the subspace $f(X)$ of the space Y is T_1 -space and $f^{-1}(y) \in F(X)$ for each $y \in Y$.*

Proof. The first part of the statement will be proved by contradiction. If $f(X)$ is not T_1 -space, there are distinct points $y, y_0 \in f(X)$ such that every neighborhood of the point y_0 contains y . Let $y = f(x)$. The fact that sets of the form $G_1 \times G_2$ ($G_1 \in G(X)$, $G_2 \in G(Y)$) are the base of the topology for $X \times Y$ and that the convergence in the product space is pointwise implies that each constant net $\{(x, y), \alpha \in A\}$ ($(x, y) \in G_f$) converges to the point $(x, y_0) \notin G_f$, hence $f \notin U(X, Y)$.

Let $y \in f(X)$. The set $f^{-1}(y)$ is closed in X if and only if no net in $f^{-1}(y)$ converges to a point $x \notin f^{-1}(y)$ (see [1], p. 66). Let a net $\{x_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$, $x_\alpha \in f^{-1}(y)$ converge to the point x . Using this net we can construct the convergent net $\{(x_\alpha, y), \alpha \in A\}$, $(x_\alpha, y) \rightarrow (x, y)$, which is in the closed set G_f . Hence $(x, y) \in G_f$, $y = f(x)$, $x \in f^{-1}(y)$, therefore $f^{-1}(y) \in F(X)$. If $y \in Y - f(X)$ then $f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset \in F(X)$.

A stronger statement than that of the first part of Theorem 1 on Hausdorff spaces is not possible, because there are functions with closed graphs whose range is not Hausdorff space.

Example 1. $X = Y = N = 1, 2, \dots$ The topology for X is the discrete topology and the topology for Y is the family of all sets whose complement is finite or the void

set. Obviously Y is T_1 -space, but it is not Hausdorff one. We shall show that the identical map has closed graph in $X \times Y$. Let the net $S = \{(x_\alpha, x_\alpha), \alpha \in A\}$ converge to the point (x, y) . If $y \neq x$ then the neighborhood $\{x\} \times (Y - \{x\})$ of the point (x, y) contains no point of the net S . Therefore from the convergence $(x_\alpha, x_\alpha) \rightarrow (x, y)$ there follows $x = y, (x, y) \in G_f$.

Theorem 2. *Let X and Y be topological spaces, $f \in U(X, Y)$ and let X be a compact. Then $f(X) \in F(Y)$.*

Proof. Let the net $\{y_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}, y_\alpha \in f(X)$ converge to y . Using this net we can construct a net $\{x_\alpha, \alpha \in A\} (x_\alpha \in X)$ such that $y_\alpha = f(x_\alpha)$. Since X is a compact, there is a convergent subnet $\{x_{n_\beta}, \beta \in B\} (x_{n_\beta} \rightarrow x)$ of the net $\{x_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$. Then $(x_{n_\beta}, y_{n_\beta}) \rightarrow (x, y) \in G_f, y = f(x)$, hence $y \in f(X)$.

It is easy to see that the assumption " X is a compact" is essential. This is shown by the following example.

Example 2. $X = (0, 1)$ with the usual topology, $Y = (0, \infty)$ with the usual topology and $f(x) = x$. Obviously $f(X) = (0, 1) \notin F((0, \infty))$, but the function f has closed graph. This follows from the next theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let X and Y be topological spaces and let Y be a Hausdorff space. Then $B_0(X, Y) \subset U(X, Y)$.*

Proof. The statement of Theorem 3 is a consequence of the following characterization of continuous functions: for each net $\{x_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$ in X which converges to a point x , the net $\{f(x_\alpha), \alpha \in A\}$ converges to $f(x)$ (see [1], p. 86).

If a net $\{(x_\alpha, f(x_\alpha)), \alpha \in A\}$ converges to (x, y) then the net $\{x_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$ converges to x and the net $\{f(x_\alpha), \alpha \in A\}$ converges to y . Since Y is Hausdorff space, each net converges to at most one point (see [1], p. 67) and from the assumption $f \in B_0(X, Y)$ follows $y = f(x)$.

The following example shows that Theorem 3 is false if Y is only T_1 -space and not Hausdorff space.

Example 3. Let X and Y be the same space — the space Y from example 1. The identical map has the required properties. Obviously the identical map is a continuous function, but its graph is not closed, because the sequence $\{(n, n), n \in N\}$ converges to every point $(x, y) \in N \times N$. In fact, in every neighborhood of a point (x, y) there is an element of the base of the topology for $N \times N$ of the form $G_1 \times G_2, G_1, G_2 \in G(N)$ and $(x, y) \in G_1 \times G_2$. If $m = \max\{n : n \notin G_1, \text{ or } n \notin G_2\}$, then $(n, n) \in G_1 \times G_2$ for each $n > m$.

The following theorem generalizes Theorem 1 of paper [2].

Theorem 4. *Let X and Y be topological spaces, $f \in U(X, Y)$ and let Y be a compact. Then $f \in B_0(X, Y)$.*

Proof. Let f satisfy the assumptions of the theorem. We shall show that $F \in F(Y)$ implies $f^{-1}(F) \in F(X)$. It is sufficient to consider the case $f^{-1}(F) \neq \emptyset$. Let a net $\{x_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$, $x_\alpha \in f^{-1}(F)$ converge to a point x . Then the net $\{y_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$, $y_\alpha = f(x_\alpha)$ is in the compact F and there is a convergent subnet $\{y_{n_\beta}, \beta \in B\}$, $y_{n_\beta} \rightarrow y \in F$, of the net $\{y_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$. The net $\{(x_{n_\beta}, y_{n_\beta}), \beta \in B\}$, $y_{n_\beta} = f(x_{n_\beta})$ converges to a point $(x, y) \in G_f$, hence $y = f(x)$. Therefore $x \in f^{-1}(F)$ and $f^{-1}(F) \in F(X)$.

The following theorem generalizes Theorem 1' of paper [3].

Theorem 5. Let X and Y be topological spaces, $Y = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_k$, let Y_k be a compact, $Y_k \in F(Y)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) and $G(Y) \subset F_\sigma(Y)$. Then $U(X, Y) \subset B_1(X, Y)$.

Proof. Let $f \in U(X, Y)$. We shall show that $f^{-1}(G) \in F_\sigma(X)$ for each set $G \in G(Y)$. If $G \in G(Y)$ then from the assumption of the theorem follows $G = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k$ ($F_k \in F(Y)$) and $M = G_f \cap (X \times G) = G_f \cap (X \times \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k) = G_f \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (X \times F_k) \in F_\sigma(X \times Y)$. Therefore $M = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$, $M_n \in F(X \times Y)$. Let $R_k = X \times Y_k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). If we put $M_{nk} = M_n \cap R_k$ then $M_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{nk}$ and $M_{nk} \in F(X \times Y)$ ($n, k = 1, 2, \dots$). Let

$$E_{nk} = \{x : \sum_{y \in Y} (x, y) \in M_{nk}\}, \quad E = \{x : \sum_{y \in Y} (x, y) \in M\}$$

Then $E = \bigcup_{n,k=1}^{\infty} E_{nk}$ and $E = f^{-1}(G)$. It is sufficient to show that the sets E_{nk} ($n, k = 1, 2, \dots$) are closed.

Let a net $\{x_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$, $x_\alpha \in E_{nk}$ converge to x . From the definition of E_{nk} there follows that there is a net $\{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha), \alpha \in A\}$ such that $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in M_{nk}$. We can construct a net $\{y_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$ which is in the compact Y_k . There exists its convergent subnet $\{y_{n_\beta}, \beta \in B\}$, $y_{n_\beta} \rightarrow y$. The net $\{(x_{n_\beta}, y_{n_\beta}), \beta \in B\}$ is in $M_{nk} \in F(X \times Y)$ and converges to $(x, y) \in M_{nk}$. Hence $x \in E_{nk}$.

M. SEKANINA in his review of paper [2] has put the question whether it is possible to generalize Theorem 9 of paper [2] to normal spaces.

Theorem 6. Let X be a normal topological space and let $f \in U(X, E_1)$ (E_1 - real numbers). Then there is a sequence of functions $f_n \in B_0(X, E_1)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) such that $|f_n(x)| \leq n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $F_n = G_f \cap (X \times \langle -n, n \rangle)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). The set F_n is closed and its projection X_n to the set X ($X_n = \{x : \sum_{y \in E_1} (x, y) \in F_n\}$) is closed, too. If $X_n \neq \emptyset$, then a function $g_n = f|X_n$ is a continuous function on X_n according to Theorem 4 and $|g_n(x)| \leq n$ ($x \in X_n$). X is a normal space and (according to Tietze's theorem,

see [4], p. 134) there is a continuous extension f_n of the function g_n on the space X such that $|f_n(x)| \leq n$ for each $x \in X$. If $X_n = \emptyset$ we put $f_n(x) \equiv 0$.

The equality $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ ($x \in X$) follows from the fact that the sequence of sets $\{X_n\}_1^\infty$ is increasing, $X_n \subset X_{n+1}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), and $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty X_n$. If $x \in X$ then there is n_0 such that $x \in X_n$ ($n \geq n_0$) and $f_n(x) = f(x)$ ($n \geq n_0$), therefore $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$.

References

- [1] Kelley J. L.: General topology, New York 1955.
- [2] Костырко П., Шалат Т.: О функциях, графы которых являются замкнутыми множествами, *Čas. pěst. mat.*, 89 (1964), 426—432.
- [3] Костырко П., Шалат Т., Нойдрун Т.: О функциях, графы которых являются замкнутыми множествами II, *Acta fac. rer. nat. Univ. Com.*, (1965), 51—61.
- [4] Куратовский К.: Топология 1, Москва 1966.

Author's address: Bratislava, Šmeralova 2b (Prírodovedecká fakulta UK).