

Václav Havel

Partitions in Cartesian systems

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 91 (1966), No. 3, 246--253

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117565>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1966

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

PARTITIONS IN CARTESIAN SYSTEMS

VÁCLAV HAVEL, Brno

(Received December 4, 1964)

In the opening part of [2], O. BORŮVKA described his theory of set partitions which he enriched in the sequel of [2] by a study of one binary operation in a given set.

Analogously, it is possible to apply this theory of set partitions to the case of a set with one ν -ary operation (ν any ordinal) or, more generally, to the case of a map of a cardinal product of a family of sets onto a given set. This last topic forms the object of study in the present paper.

1. Chainings and bindings. Let S be a fixed non-void set and $\mathfrak{S}(S)$ the semilattice of all partitions in S with the usual ordering. If $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}^i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of partitions in S then we define a *chaining* in \mathcal{P} between two \mathcal{P} -blocks A, B as any finite sequence of \mathcal{P} -blocks $A = A_0 \checkmark A_1 \checkmark \dots \checkmark A_{n-1} \checkmark A_n = B$. If n is even and each member with even index is a \mathcal{P}^τ -block for a fixed $\tau \in I$, then the sequence $A = A_0, A_2, \dots, A_n = B$ will be called a *binding* of \mathcal{P}^τ -blocks between A, B with cementing \mathcal{P} -blocks A_1, A_3, \dots, A_{n-1} . We shall also say that A, B are *chained* or *bound*, respectively.

We begin with two elementary lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}^1, \mathcal{P}^2)$ be a pair of partitions in S . Then every chaining in \mathcal{P} between two \mathcal{P}^1 -blocks A, B becomes a binding of \mathcal{P}^1 -blocks between A, B if the \mathcal{P}^2 -blocks are omitted.*

Lemma 2. *Let $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}^i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of partitions on S . Then to each chaining between $A, B \in \mathcal{P}^\tau$ (for a fixed $\tau \in I$) there exists a binding of \mathcal{P}^τ -blocks between A, B with cementing blocks belonging to the initial chaining.*

The proof of lemma 1 is clear. For the proof of lemma 2 it suffices to insert a \mathcal{P}^τ -block $B_i \checkmark A_i \cap A_{i+1}$ between all consecutive $A_i \checkmark A_{i+1}$ of a given chaining. Such a $B_i \in \mathcal{P}^\tau$ must exist because now the partitions are on S . In such an enlarged chaining between A, B omit all \mathcal{P} -blocks not in \mathcal{P}^τ to obtain the required binding between A, B .

¹⁾ Cf. [9] for the notions used. The set of \mathcal{P} -blocks is $\{P \mid P \in \mathcal{P}^i, i \in I\}$. By \checkmark we denote the non-empty intersecting of two sets.

Let $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}^i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of partitions in S . Then the partition $\sup \mathcal{P} \in \mathfrak{S}(S)$ has the following characteristic property [3, pp. 16–17]: Each $\sup \mathcal{P}$ -block is a union of a maximal set of \mathcal{P} -blocks chained in \mathcal{P} . The partition $\inf \mathcal{P} \in \mathfrak{S}(S)$ exists iff for each $i \in I$ there exist $A_i \in \mathcal{P}^i$ such that $\bigcap_{i \in J} A_i \neq \emptyset$. If $\inf \mathcal{P}$ exists, then every $\inf \mathcal{P}$ -block has the form $\bigcap_{i \in J} B_i \neq \emptyset$ with $B_i \in \mathcal{P}^i$, $i \in I$.

2. Cartesian systems. Let Γ be a fixed index set. Put $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma \cup \{o\}$ where $o \notin \Gamma$.²⁾ Let $(S_\alpha)_{\alpha_0}$ be a family of non-void sets and $f: \prod_\alpha S_\alpha \rightarrow S_0$ a surjection.³⁾ Then $\mathbf{C} = ((S_\alpha)_{\alpha_0}, f)$ will be called a *Cartesian system* or briefly a *system* (cf. [12], pp. 38–39).

If $\emptyset \neq S'_{\alpha_0} \subseteq S_{\alpha_0}$ for all α_0 and if f' is a restriction of f with domain $\prod_\alpha S'_\alpha$, where $S'_0 = f'(\prod_\alpha S'_\alpha)$, then $\mathbf{C}' = ((S'_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f')$ will be called a *subsystem* of \mathbf{C} .

A map σ between two systems $\mathbf{C} = ((S_\alpha)_{\alpha_0}, f)$, $\mathbf{C}^* = ((S^*_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f^*)$ is a family $(\sigma_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ of maps $\sigma_{\alpha_0}: S_{\alpha_0} \rightarrow S^*_{\alpha_0}$ for all α_0 ; σ will be called *regular* if $\sigma_{\alpha_0} a = \sigma_{\beta_0} a$ for all $a \in S_{\alpha_0} \cap S_{\beta_0}$; σ will be called a *homomorphism* if $\sigma_0 f((a_\alpha)_\alpha) = f^*((\sigma_\alpha a_\alpha)_\alpha)$ for every choice $a_\alpha \in S_\alpha$ for all α .

A *partition* \mathcal{P} in a system \mathbf{C} is defined as a family $(\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ where \mathcal{P}_{α_0} is a partition in S_{α_0} for all α_0 . If, moreover, \mathcal{P}_{α_0} is a partition on S_{α_0} for all α_0 , then we speak about a *partition on* \mathbf{C} .

Let $\sigma = (\sigma_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ be an epimorphism between the systems $\mathbf{C} = ((S_\alpha)_{\alpha_0}, f)$, $\mathbf{C}^* = ((S^*_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f^*)$. We say that the partition $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ on \mathbf{C} is *induced* by σ if for each α_0 the \mathcal{P}_{α_0} -blocks are $\sigma_{\alpha_0}^{-1} a$ for all $a \in S^*_{\alpha_0}$.

A partition $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ in a system \mathbf{C} is said to be *generating* if, for each choice $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ for all α , there exists a \mathcal{P}_0 -block A_0 containing $f(\prod_\alpha A_\alpha)$.

If $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ is a generating partition in a system \mathbf{C} , then we define a subsystem $\mathbf{C}' = ((S'_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f')$ in \mathbf{C} *corresponding* to \mathcal{P} as a system such that, for every α_0 , S'_{α_0} is the union of all \mathcal{P}_{α_0} -blocks, and that f' is the portion of f with domain $\prod_\alpha S'_\alpha$.

The results for regular partitions in a Cartesian system may be specialized to the most customary case of any \mathbf{C} with all S_α equal to a fixed set S and $S_0 \subseteq S$.

3. Generating partitions in Cartesian systems. We shall denote by $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}^i)_{i \in I}$ an arbitrary family of partitions in a given system $\mathbf{C} = ((S_\alpha)_{\alpha_0}, f)$, and put $\mathcal{P}^i = (\mathcal{P}^i_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ for all i and $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0} = (\mathcal{P}^i_{\alpha_0})_i$ for all α_0 .⁴⁾

The set $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C})$ of all partitions in \mathbf{C} will be ordered \mathbf{C} as follows: For $\mathcal{P}^1 = (\mathcal{P}^1_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$, $\mathcal{P}^2 = (\mathcal{P}^2_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C})$ set $\mathcal{P}^1 \leq \mathcal{P}^2$ iff $\mathcal{P}^1_{\alpha_0} \leq \mathcal{P}^2_{\alpha_0}$ in $\mathfrak{S}(S_{\alpha_0})$ for all α_0 . Then $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C})$ becomes a complete semilattice: For each family \mathcal{P} of partitions in \mathbf{C} there is a parti-

²⁾ In the following text, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$ vary over Γ , while $\alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0, \dots$ vary over Γ_0 .

³⁾ \prod denotes the cardinal product in the sense of [6, p: 15].

⁴⁾ i varies over the same index set I .

tion $\sup \mathcal{P} = (\sup \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0} \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C})$; on the other hand, the partition $\inf \mathcal{P}$ need not exist. The existence of the partition $\inf \mathcal{P}$ is equivalent to the existence of $\inf \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0}$ for all α_0 ; then $\inf \mathcal{P} = (\inf \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0} \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C})$.

Theorem 1. *Let σ be an epimorphism between systems $\mathbf{C} = ((S_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f)$, $\mathbf{C}^* = ((S_{\alpha_0}^*)_{\alpha_0}, f^*)$. Then the partition $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ in \mathbf{C} , induced by σ , is necessarily generating.*

Proof. Let $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ for all α . Then for each α there is an element $a_\alpha^* \in S_\alpha^*$ such that $A_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha^{-1} a_\alpha^*$. Each element $b \in f(\prod_\alpha A_\alpha)$ has the form $f((a_\alpha)_\alpha)$ for some $a_\alpha \in A_\alpha$. Thus $\sigma_0 b = \sigma_0 f((a_\alpha)_\alpha) = f^*((\sigma_\alpha a_\alpha)_\alpha) = f^*((a_\alpha^*)_\alpha)$, and $b \in S_0$ is contained in $\sigma_0^{-1} f^*((a_\alpha^*)_\alpha) = B$. This yields $f(\prod_\alpha A_\alpha) \subseteq B$.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathbf{C}^* = ((S_{\alpha_0}^*)_{\alpha_0}, f^*)$ be a subsystem in a given system $\mathbf{C} = ((S_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f)$, and $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ a generating partition in \mathbf{C} with corresponding subsystem $\mathbf{C}' = ((S'_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f')$ such that $S_{\alpha_0}^* \not\propto S'_{\alpha_0}$ for all α_0 . If one puts $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha_0} = \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0} \upharpoonright S_{\alpha_0}^*$ for all α_0 then $\overline{\mathcal{P}} = (\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ is a generating partition in \mathbf{C} .⁵⁾*

Proof. Let $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$, $A_\alpha \not\propto S_\alpha^*$ for all α . The partition is generating, so that a \mathcal{P}_0 -block $A_0 \cong f(\prod_\alpha A_\alpha)$ exists. If $a_\alpha \in S_\alpha^* \cap A_\alpha$ for all α , then $f((a_\alpha)_\alpha) \in f(\prod_\alpha S_\alpha^*) \cap f(\prod_\alpha A_\alpha) \subseteq S_0^* \cap A_0$ because \mathbf{C}^* is a subsystem of \mathbf{C} . Thus $S_0^* \not\propto A_0$, and consequently $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ must be generating.

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}^\iota)_\iota$ be a family of generating partitions in $\mathbf{C} = ((S_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f)$ and $\mathbf{C}^\iota = ((S'_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f^\iota)$ the corresponding subsystem with regard to \mathcal{P}^ι (for all ι). Then $\bigcap S'_{\alpha_0} \neq \emptyset$ for all α_0 implies the existence of the partition in $\mathcal{P} \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C})$, and this partition is generating.*

Proof. The assumption $\bigcap S'_{\alpha_0} \neq \emptyset$ for all α_0 implies the existence of $\inf \mathcal{P} \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C})$. Let $A_{\alpha_0} \in \inf \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0}$ for all α_0 . Then for all α_0, ι there exist $A'_{\alpha_0} \in \mathcal{P}'_{\alpha_0}$ such that $A_{\alpha_0} = \bigcap A'_{\alpha_0}$. As \mathcal{P}^ι is generating, there is a \mathcal{P}'_0 -block $A'_0 \cong f(\prod_\alpha A'_\alpha)$ for each ι . Therefore $f(\prod_\alpha A_\alpha) \subseteq \bigcap f(\prod_\alpha A'_\alpha) \subseteq \bigcap A'_0 \in \inf \mathcal{P}_0$, so that the partition \mathcal{P} is generating.

Theorem 4. *Let $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}^\iota)_\iota$ be a family of generating partitions in a given system $\mathbf{C} = ((S_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f)$ with $\Gamma = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\sup \mathcal{P}$ is generating.*

*Proof.*⁶⁾ Choose $x_\alpha, y_\alpha \in S_\alpha$ in the same \mathcal{P}_α -block for all α . The existence of

⁵⁾ The symbol $\not\propto [B$ is used to denote a *packing* in the sense of Borůvka, i.e. for a partition consisting of those blocks of a given partition \mathcal{A} which intersect a given set B . Cf. [2, p. 23].

⁶⁾ Cf. [11, pp. 190–191].

a chaining in \mathcal{P}_α between two \mathcal{P}_α -blocks, of which the first contains x_α and the second y_α , may be expressed as the existence of a sequence

$$(*) \quad x_\alpha = z_{\alpha,0}, z_{\alpha,1}, \dots, z_{\alpha,r_\alpha} = y_\alpha$$

of elements in S_α . The elements $z_{\alpha,k-1}, z_{\alpha,k}$ must be contained in the same $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha,k}$ -block for some $\mathcal{P}^{\alpha,k} \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ (for all $k = 1, \dots, r_\alpha$ and all α). From this one deduces, in turn that there exist \mathcal{P}_0 -blocks such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z_{10}, z_{20}, \dots, z_{n0}), f(z_{11}, z_{20}, \dots, z_{n0}) &\text{ belong to the same } \mathcal{P}_0^{1,1}\text{-block, } \mathcal{P}_0^{1,1} \text{ from } \mathcal{P}_0, \\ f(z_{11}, z_{20}, \dots, z_{n0}), f(z_{12}, z_{20}, \dots, z_{n0}) &\text{ belong to the same } \mathcal{P}_0^{1,2}\text{-block, } \mathcal{P}_0^{1,2} \text{ from } \mathcal{P}_0, \\ &\vdots \\ f(z_{1,r_1-1}, z_{20}, \dots, z_{n0}), f(z_{1r_1}, z_{20}, \dots, z_{n0}) &\text{ belong to the same } \mathcal{P}_0^{1,r_1}\text{-block,} \\ &\mathcal{P}_0^{1,r_1} \text{ from } \mathcal{P}_0. \end{aligned}$$

These and analogous relations for further sequences $(*) (\alpha = 1, \dots, n)$ yield that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z_{10}, z_{20}, \dots, z_{n0}), f(z_{1r_1}, z_{20}, \dots, z_{n0}) &\text{ belong to the same } \sup_{k=1, \dots, r_1} \mathcal{P}_0^{1,k}\text{-block} \\ f(z_{1r_1}, z_{20}, \dots, z_{n0}), f(z_{1r_1}, z_{2r_2}, \dots, z_{n0}) &\text{ belong to the same } \sup_{k=1, \dots, r_2} \mathcal{P}_0^{2,k}\text{-block} \\ &\vdots \\ f(z_{1r_1}, z_{2r_2}, \dots, z_{n-1, r_{n-1}}, z_{n0}), f(z_{1r_1}, z_{2r_2}, \dots, z_{n-1, r_{n-1}}, z_{nr_n}) &\text{ belong to the same } \sup_{k=1, \dots, r_n} \mathcal{P}_0^{n,k}\text{-block} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, finally, $f(x_1, \dots, x_n), f(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ both belong to the same block of the partition $\sup_{\alpha=1, \dots, n} (\sup_{k=1, \dots, r_\alpha} \mathcal{P}_0^{n,k}) \leq \sup \mathcal{P}_0$, as it was required to prove.

Remark. I do not know under what further conditions theorem 4 holds also for infinite index set Γ .

Now we shall investigate the possibly less familiar notion of the *Goldie composition* \diamond of two partitions. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathfrak{S}(S)$. Then $\mathcal{A} \diamond \mathcal{B}$ is a partition from $\mathfrak{S}(S)$ defined as follows: The elements $a, a' \in S$ belong to the same $\mathcal{A} \diamond \mathcal{B}$ -block iff there exists a finite sequence $a = a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1} = a'$ of elements in S such that $a_0, a_1; a_2, a_3; \dots; a_r, a_{r+1}$ belong to common \mathcal{B} -blocks, and $a_1, a_2; a_3, a_4; \dots; a_{r-1}, a_r$ belong to common \mathcal{A} -blocks. Another formulation is that the $\mathcal{A} \diamond \mathcal{B}$ -blocks are the maximal unions of mutually bound \mathcal{B} -blocks with cementing \mathcal{A} -blocks (cf. § 1).

Now return to a system $\mathbf{C} = ((S_{a_0})_{a_0}, f)$, and for partitions $\mathcal{P}^i = (\mathcal{P}_{a_0}^i)_{a_0}; i = 1, 2$ in \mathbf{C} define the composition \diamond by $\mathcal{P}^2 \diamond \mathcal{P}^1 = (\mathcal{P}_{a_0}^2 \diamond \mathcal{P}_{a_0}^1)_{a_0}$.

Theorem 5. Let $\mathcal{P}^1, \mathcal{P}^2$ be generating partitions in a system $\mathbf{C} = ((S_{a_0})_{a_0}, f)$ with $\Gamma = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}^2 \diamond \mathcal{P}^1$ is also generating.

Proof.⁷⁾ For each α choose two elements a_α, a'_α in the same \mathcal{P}_α -block. Then for each α there is a finite sequence $\alpha_\alpha = a_{\alpha,0}, a_{\alpha,1}, \dots, a_{\alpha,r}, a_{\alpha,r+1} = a'_\alpha$ of elements in S_α such that consecutive members belong to common \mathcal{P}^1 -blocks or \mathcal{P}^2 -blocks. As Γ is finite, it may be supposed without the loss of generality that all considered sequences have the same length not depending on α . Therefore $f(a_{10}, \dots, a_{n0}), f(a_{11}, \dots, a_{n1})$ are in the same \mathcal{P}^1_0 -block, $f(a_{11}, \dots, a_{n1}), f(a_{12}, \dots, a_{n2})$ are in the same \mathcal{P}^2_0 -block, ..., $f(a_{1r}, \dots, a_{nr}), f(a_{1,r+1}, \dots, a_{n,r+1})$ are in the same \mathcal{P}^1_0 -block. By definition of \diamond , $f(a_1, \dots, a_n), f(a'_1, \dots, a'_n)$ must lie in the same \mathcal{P}_0 -block, as required.

Remark. I do not know the modifications of Theorem 5 necessary to make it apply to the case of an infinite index set Γ .

4. Factor systems. Let $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ be a generating partition on a given system $\mathbf{C} = ((S_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f)$. A factor system \mathbf{C}/\mathcal{P} is defined as a system $((\mathcal{P}'_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f/\mathcal{P})$ where f/\mathcal{P} is a surjection of $\prod_{\alpha} \mathcal{P}'_{\alpha}$ onto \mathcal{P}_0 , determined by $f/\mathcal{P}((A_{\alpha})_{\alpha}) = A_0$ where $A_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}'_{\alpha}$ for all α and A_0 is a \mathcal{P}_0 -block which contains $f(\prod_{\alpha} A_{\alpha})$.

The concepts of a cover, refinement, cut, pairing, etc. (in the sense of Borůvka, [2], § 15.2-4) may be extended to Cartesian systems if they are simultaneously imposed on all S_{α_0} .

Theorem 6. Let $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ be a generating partition on a system $\mathbf{C} = ((S_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f)$ with $\mathbf{C}/\mathcal{P} = \mathbf{C}' = ((S'_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f')$. Let $\mathcal{P}' = (\mathcal{P}'_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ be a partition on \mathbf{C}' and $\mathcal{P}^* = (\mathcal{P}^*_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ the cover of \mathcal{P} enforced by \mathcal{P}' . Then \mathcal{P}' is generating iff \mathcal{P}^* is generating.⁸⁾

Proof. Let \mathcal{P}' be generating. Choose $A_{\alpha}^* \in \mathcal{P}^*_{\alpha}$ for each α , and show that there exists a \mathcal{P}^* -block $A_0^* \supseteq f(\prod_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^*)$. Each A_{α}^* consists of all \mathcal{P}_{α_0} -blocks contained in some \mathcal{P}'_{α_0} -block A''_{α_0} (for each α_0). As \mathcal{P}' is generating, for $A_{\alpha}^* \in \mathcal{P}'_{\alpha}$ there must exist a \mathcal{P}'_0 -block A''_0 which contains $f'(\prod_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^*)$. If A_{α}^* consists of all \mathcal{P}'_0 -blocks contained in A''_0 , then $f'(\prod_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^*) \subseteq A''_0$ implies $f(\prod_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^*) \subseteq A_0^*$. Conversely, let \mathcal{P}^* be generating. If $A_{\alpha}^* \in \mathcal{P}'_{\alpha}$ for all α , it is necessary to find a \mathcal{P}'_0 -block $A''_0 \supseteq f'(\prod_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^*)$. Because \mathcal{P}^* is generating, there exists a \mathcal{P}^*_0 -block $A_0^* \supseteq f(\prod_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^*)$ where again A_{α}^* is the union of all \mathcal{P}_{α_0} -blocks contained in A''_{α_0} (for each α). From $f(\prod_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^*) \subseteq A_0^*$ it follows again $f'(\prod_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^*) \subseteq A''_0$.

Theorem 7. Between the systems $\mathbf{C} = ((S_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f)$, $\mathbf{C}^* = ((S^*_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f^*)$ there exists an epimorphism $\sigma = (\sigma_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ iff there is an isomorphism $\varrho = (\varrho_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ between a certain

⁷⁾ Cf. [7, § 1].

⁸⁾ Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{C}(S)$, $\mathcal{B} \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{A})$. If $\mathcal{C} \in \mathfrak{C}(S)$ has the blocks which are unions of all \mathcal{A} -blocks contained in the same \mathcal{B} -block, then \mathcal{C} will be termed a cover of \mathcal{A} enforced by \mathcal{B} . — If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathfrak{C}(C)$, $\mathcal{P}' \in \mathfrak{C}(C/\mathcal{P})$ (cf. § 4) then \mathcal{P}'' will be termed a cover of \mathcal{P} enforced by \mathcal{P}' if each \mathcal{P}''_{α_0} is the cover of \mathcal{P}_{α_0} enforced by \mathcal{P}'_{α_0} .

factor system $\mathbf{C}' = \mathbf{C}/\mathcal{P}$ and \mathbf{C}^* . This ϱ is such that ϱ_{α_0} maps each \mathcal{P}_{α_0} -block A'_{α_0} onto $\sigma_{\alpha_0}A'_{\alpha_0} \in S_{\alpha_0}^*$ (for all α_0).

Proof. Let σ be an epimorphism between \mathbf{C} , \mathbf{C}^* . The partition \mathcal{P} on \mathbf{C} induced by σ is necessarily generating (theorem 1). Now determine a surjection $\varrho: \mathbf{C}/\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$. For each α_0 , ϱ_{α_0} sends $A_{\alpha_0} \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0}$ onto $a_{\alpha_0}^* \in S_{\alpha_0}^*$ with $\sigma_{\alpha_0}^{-1}A_{\alpha_0}^* = A_{\alpha_0}$. Thus $\varrho_{\alpha_0}A_{\alpha_0} = \sigma_{\alpha_0}a_{\alpha_0}^*$ for all $A_{\alpha_0} \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0}$. Choose $a_{\alpha} \in A_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$ for all α . Then $f((a_{\alpha})_{\alpha}) \in f(\prod_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}) \subseteq f/\mathcal{P}((A_{\alpha})_{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{P}$, so that $\varrho_0 f/\mathcal{P}((A_{\alpha})_{\alpha}) = \sigma_0 f((a_{\alpha})_{\alpha}) = f/\mathcal{P}(\varrho_{\alpha}A_{\alpha})$ and ϱ is even an isomorphism between \mathbf{C}/\mathcal{P} , \mathbf{C}^* . Conversely, let \mathbf{C}/\mathcal{P} be an arbitrary factor system of \mathbf{C} modulo the generating partition \mathcal{P} on \mathbf{C} . Let σ be the surjection between \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}/\mathcal{P} such that $a_{\alpha_0} \in \sigma_{\alpha_0}a_{\alpha_0} = A_{\alpha_0} \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0}$ for all α_0 . According to $f((a_{\alpha})_{\alpha}) \in f(\prod_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}) \subseteq f/\mathcal{P}((A_{\alpha})_{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{P}_0$, there is also $\sigma_0 f((a_{\alpha})_{\alpha}) = f/\mathcal{P}((A_{\alpha})_{\alpha}) = f/\mathcal{P}((\sigma_{\alpha}a_{\alpha})_{\alpha})$, so that σ is an epimorphism between \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}/\mathcal{P} . If there is an isomorphism between \mathbf{C}/\mathcal{P} and \mathbf{C}^* , then there is also an epimorphism between \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}^* .

Theorem 8. Let $\mathcal{P}^i = (\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0}^i)_{\alpha_0}$; $i = 1, 2$ be generating partitions in a given system $\mathbf{C} = ((S_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f)$. If $\mathcal{P}^1, \mathcal{P}^2$ are paired,¹⁰⁾ then there exists an isomorphism $\varrho = (\varrho_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ between \mathbf{C}/\mathcal{P}^1 and \mathbf{C}/\mathcal{P}^2 such that, for all α_0 , to each $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0}^1$ -block $A_{\alpha_0}^1$ there corresponds by ϱ_{α_0} the $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0}^2$ -block $A_{\alpha_0}^2 \not\propto A_{\alpha_0}^1$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{C}/\mathcal{P}^1, \mathbf{C}/\mathcal{P}^2$ be paired factor systems. This means that, for all α_0 , each $A_{\alpha_0}^1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0}^1$ intersects exactly one $A_{\alpha_0}^2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0}^2$. Thus for each α_0 one has a surjection ϱ_{α_0} under which $A_{\alpha_0}^1 \rightarrow A_{\alpha_0}^2$ as before. Set $B_{\alpha} = A_{\alpha}^1 \cap A_{\alpha}^2$ for each α . Thus $f(\prod_{\alpha} B_{\alpha}) \subseteq f(\prod_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^1) \subseteq f/\mathcal{P}^1((A_{\alpha}^1)_{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{P}_0^1$; $i = 1, 2$. It follows that $f(\prod_{\alpha} B_{\alpha}) \subseteq f/\mathcal{P}^1((A_{\alpha}^1)_{\alpha}) \cap f/\mathcal{P}^2((A_{\alpha}^2)_{\alpha})$, so that $f/\mathcal{P}^1((A_{\alpha}^1)_{\alpha}) \not\propto f/\mathcal{P}^2((A_{\alpha}^2)_{\alpha}) = \varrho_0 f/\mathcal{P}^1((A_{\alpha}^1)_{\alpha}) = f/\mathcal{P}^2((\varrho_{\alpha}A_{\alpha}^1)_{\alpha})$ as required.

Theorem 9. Let $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ be a generating partition on a given system $\mathbf{C} = ((S_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f)$, and $\mathcal{P}' = (\mathcal{P}'_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ a generating partition on $\mathbf{C}' = \mathbf{C}/\mathcal{P} = ((S'_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f')$. Then there is an isomorphism $\varrho = (\varrho_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ between \mathbf{C}'/\mathcal{P}' and the cover $\mathbf{C}^* = ((S^*_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}, f^*)$ of \mathbf{C}' enforced by \mathcal{P}' :¹¹⁾ For all α_0 , each $A_{\alpha_0}' \in \mathcal{P}'_{\alpha_0}$ is mapped onto the union of all \mathcal{P}_{α_0} -blocks contained in A_{α_0}'' .

Proof. Let $\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'$ be given and \mathbf{C}^* be the cover of \mathbf{C}' enforced by \mathcal{P}' . Each $A_{\alpha_0}' \in \mathcal{P}'_{\alpha_0}$ consists of all \mathcal{P}_{α_0} -blocks contained in the same $A_{\alpha_0}'' \in \mathcal{P}'_{\alpha_0}$. Map each $A_{\alpha_0}' \in \mathcal{P}'_{\alpha_0}$ into the preceding $A_{\alpha_0}'' \in S_{\alpha_0}^*$ by a surjection $\varrho_{\alpha_0}: \mathcal{P}'_{\alpha_0} \rightarrow S_{\alpha_0}^*$ (for each α_0). The map $\varrho = (\varrho_{\alpha_0})_{\alpha_0}$ is necessarily an isomorphism between \mathbf{C}'/\mathcal{P}' and \mathbf{C}^* . Indeed,

⁹⁾ We speak about a factor system induced by σ .

¹⁰⁾ Two partitions $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathfrak{S}(S)$ are said to be paired if to each \mathcal{A} -block A (\mathcal{B} -block B) there exists exactly one \mathcal{B} -block A' (\mathcal{A} -block B') ($A' \not\propto A$ ($B' \not\propto B$)). Two partitions $\mathcal{P}^1, \mathcal{P}^2$ in \mathbf{C} are said to be paired if $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0}^1, \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0}^2$ are paired for all α_0 .

¹¹⁾ This is to mean that $\mathbf{C}^* = \mathbf{C}/\mathcal{P}^*$, where \mathcal{P}^* is the cover of \mathcal{P} enforced by \mathcal{P}' .

choose $A''_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}'_\alpha$ for all α so that $f' / \mathcal{P}'((A''_\alpha)_\alpha) = A''_0 \in \mathcal{P}'_0$. For each $A'_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ with $A'_\alpha \subseteq A''_\alpha$ there is $f'((A'_\alpha)_\alpha) = A'_0 \subseteq A''_0$, and consequently $f^*((A'_\alpha)_\alpha) = A'_0$, $f^*((Q_\alpha A''_\alpha)_\alpha) = Q_0 A''_0$, as required.

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff: Lattice Theory, New York 1948.
- [2] O. Borůvka: Grundlagen der Gruppoid- und Gruppentheorie, Berlin 1960.
- [3] O. Borůvka: Theory of partitions in sets, I (in Czech); Publ. Sci. Univ. Brno 1946, No. 278, pp. 1–37.
- [4] O. Borůvka: Über Ketten von Faktoroiden, Math. Ann. 118, (1949), 41–46.
- [5] P. Dubreil: Algèbre, Paris 1954.
- [6] M. L. Dubreil-Jacotin, L. Lesieur, R. Croisot: Leçons sur la théorie des treillis, des structures algébriques ordonnées et des treillis géométriques, Paris 1953.
- [7] A. W. Goldie: The Jordan-Hölder theorem for general abstract algebras, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., 2nd series, 52 (1951), 107–131.
- [8] A. W. Goldie: The scope of the Jordan-Hölder theorem in abstract algebra, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., 3rd series, 2 (1952), 349–368.
- [9] V. Havel: On associated partitions, Čas. pěst. mat.
- [10] H. Hermes: Einführung in die Verbandstheorie, Berlin–Göttingen–Heidelberg 1955.
- [11] G. Szász: Einführung in die Verbandstheorie, Leipzig 1962.
- [12] V. A. Uspenskij: Lectures on recursive functions (in Russian), Moscow 1960.

Author's address: Brno, Barvičova 85 (VUT Brno).

Výtah

ROZKLADY V KARTÉZSKÝCH STRUKTURÁCH

VÁCLAV HAVEL, Brno

Zobecněním algebraické operace na dané množině je surjekce tvaru $\prod_{\alpha \in I} S_\alpha \rightarrow S_0$, kde S_α, S_0 jsou neprázdné množiny. Je provedena aplikace Borůvkovy teorie rozkladů množin na takoveto zobecněné operace (do nové situace jsou přeneseny pojmy vytvářejícího rozkladu a homomorfismu a jsou nalezeny příslušné teoremy). Speciálně pro $S_\alpha = S (\alpha \in I), S_0 \subseteq S$, dávají nalezené výsledky obecnější teorii než je obvyklá teorie rozkladů množin s algebraickou operací.

Резюме

РАЗЛОЖЕНИЯ В ДЕКАРТОВЫХ СТРУКТУРАХ

ВАЦЛАВ ГАВЕЛ (Václav Havel), Брно

Обобщением алгебраической операции на данном множестве является сырьекция вида $\prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} S_{\alpha} \rightarrow S_0$, где S_{α}, S_0 — непустые множества. К таким обобщенным операциям приложены основания теории разложения множеств О. Боровки (на новых началах определены понятия образующего разложения и гомоморфизма и выведены соответствующие теоремы). В частности, для $S_{\alpha} = S (\alpha \in \Gamma), S_0 \leq S$, дают найденные результаты более общую теорию, чем обычная теория разложений множеств с алгебраической операцией.