

Wolfgang Rother

Existence and bifurcation results for a class of nonlinear boundary value problems in  $(0, \infty)$

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 32 (1991), No. 2, 297--305

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/116971>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## Existence and bifurcation results for a class of nonlinear boundary value problems in $(0, \infty)$

WOLFGANG ROTHER

*Abstract.* We consider the nonlinear Dirichlet problem

$$-u'' - r(x)|u|^\sigma u = \lambda u \text{ in } (0, \infty), u(0) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0,$$

and develop conditions for the function  $r$  such that the considered problem has a positive classical solution. Moreover, we present some results showing that  $\lambda = 0$  is a bifurcation point in  $W^{1,2}(0, \infty)$  and in  $L^p(0, \infty)$  ( $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ ).

*Keywords:* nonlinear Dirichlet problem, classical solution, bifurcation point, ordinary differential equation

*Classification:* 34B15, 34C11

The aim of this paper is to prove some existence and bifurcation results for the nonlinear Dirichlet problem

$$(1) \quad -u'' - r(x)|u|^\sigma u = \lambda u \text{ in } (0, \infty)$$

with the boundary conditions  $u(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0$ , where  $\sigma > 0$  and  $\lambda < 0$  are given constants. In particular, we will generalize and complement some results of M.S. Berger (see [2, Theorem 4]) and C.A. Stuart (see [6, Theorem 7.4]).

In the following, the function  $r$  is always assumed to satisfy

(A) The function  $r : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable and satisfies  $r > 0$  a.e. on a subinterval  $(\delta_1, \delta_2)$  ( $0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2$ ) of  $(0, \infty)$ . The negative part  $r_- = \min(r, 0)$  of  $r$  satisfies  $\int_{x_1}^{x_2} |r_-(x)| dx < \infty$  for all constants  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \infty$ ; and from the positive part  $r_+ = \max(r, 0)$  we require that it can be written as

$$r_+ = r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4, \text{ where}$$

- (i)  $0 \leq r_1(x) \leq f(x) \cdot x^{-2-\sigma/2}$  holds for almost all  $x > 0$  and a function  $f \in L^\infty(0, \infty)$  satisfying  $f(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ ,
- (ii) the function  $r_2$  fulfils  $0 \leq r_2 \in L^\infty(0, \infty)$  and  $r_2(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (iii)  $0 \leq r_3 \in L^{p_0}(0, \infty)$  holds for some  $p_0 \in (1, \infty)$ ,
- (iv) and  $r_4$  satisfies  $0 \leq r_4 \in L^1(0, \infty)$ .

Then we will prove the following existence results:

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that the function  $r$  satisfies (A). Then, for each  $\lambda < 0$ , there exists a nonnegative, bounded function  $u_\lambda \in W_0^{1,2}(0, \infty) \cap C^{0,1/2}([0, \infty))$  such that  $u_\lambda \not\equiv 0$ ,  $u_\lambda(0) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u_\lambda(x) = 0$  and the equation (1) holds in the sense of distributions.*

**Corollary 1.** *Assume in addition to (A) that  $r_3 \equiv r_4 \equiv 0$ . Then, for each  $\alpha \in (0, |\lambda|^{1/2})$ , there exists a constant  $C_\alpha$  such that  $u_\lambda(x) \leq C_\alpha \cdot e^{-\alpha x}$  holds for all  $x \geq 0$ .*

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose in addition to (A) that the function  $r$  is continuous in  $(0, \infty)$ . Then  $u_\lambda$  is positive in  $(0, \infty)$ , satisfies  $u_\lambda \in C^2(0, \infty)$  and solves the equation (1) in the classical sense.*

In order to formulate our bifurcation results, we have to introduce some further notations and assumptions.

The constants  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  may be defined as in (A), and  $I$  may denote the interval  $I = (\delta_1, \delta_2)$ . Moreover,  $(t_n)_n$  may be a sequence of real numbers satisfying  $1 = t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n < t_{n+1} < \dots$  and  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

By  $I_n$ , we denote the interval  $I_n = t_n \cdot I$ . Then, for  $k > 0$ , we introduce the following condition:

(A<sub>k</sub>) There exists a nonnegative, measurable function  $h$  on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $r(x) \geq h(x) \cdot |x|^{-k}$  holds a.e. in  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty I_n$  and  $\beta_n = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{y \in I_n} h(y) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that the assumption (A) is fulfilled and that  $\lambda_n$  is defined by  $\lambda_n = -t_n^{-2}$  for all  $n$ . Then we have the following results:*

- (a) *If in addition (A<sub>k</sub>) is satisfied for  $k = 2 + \frac{\sigma}{2}$ , then  $\|u'_{\lambda_n}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  and  $u_{\lambda_n} \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^\infty_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*
- (b) *If in addition (A<sub>k</sub>) is satisfied for  $k = 2$ , then  $\|u_{\lambda_n}\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*
- (c) *Let  $p \in (2, \infty)$ ,  $0 < \sigma < 2 \cdot p$  and assume additionally that (A<sub>k</sub>) holds for  $k = 2 - \frac{\sigma}{p}$ . Then  $\|u_{\lambda_n}\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*
- (d) *Suppose additionally that  $0 < \sigma < 4$  and (A<sub>k</sub>) holds for  $k = 2 - \frac{\sigma}{2}$ . Then we have  $\|u_{\lambda_n}\|_{W^{1,2}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Remark 1.** Part (d) of Theorem 2 shows that  $\lambda = 0$  is a bifurcation point for the equation (1) in  $W^{1,2}$ . A similar result was obtained by C.A. Stuart [6, Theorem 7.4]. But in the contrast to the part (d) of Theorem 2, in [6], it is assumed that  $r$  is nonnegative in  $(0, \infty)$ .

For the special case that  $0 < \sigma < 4$  and  $r(x) = c_0 \cdot x^{-\sigma}$  ( $c_0$  is a positive constant), the existence of a nontrivial, nonnegative solution of the equation (1) already has been proved in [2] (see Lemma 1 and Theorem 4).

**1. Some preliminaries.**

By  $W^{1,2}(0, \infty)$ , we denote the Hilbert space of functions  $u$  defined on the interval  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $u$  and its derivative  $u'$  are in  $L^2(0, \infty)$ . The inner product of two

functions  $u, v \in W^{1,2}(0, \infty)$  is given by  $\langle u, v \rangle = \int_0^\infty (u \cdot v + u' \cdot v') dx$ . Moreover, by  $W_0^{1,2}(0, \infty)$  we denote the closure of  $C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$  in  $W^{1,2}(0, \infty)$ .

The following lemma plays a crucial role in our proofs. The essential parts of it can be found in [6, p. 188].

**Lemma 1.** *Each function  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(0, \infty)$  can be identified with a continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$ , still denoted by  $u$ , such that*

- (a)  $u(0) = 0, \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0,$
- (b)  $|u(x)| \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot \|u\|_2^{1/2} \cdot \|u'\|_2^{1/2}$  holds for  $x \geq 0,$
- (c)  $|u(x_1) - u(x_2)| \leq \|u'\|_2 \cdot |x_1 - x_2|^{1/2}$  holds for all  $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$  and
- (d)  $\int_0^\infty x^{-2-\sigma/2} \cdot |u(x)|^{2+\sigma} dx \leq 4 \cdot \|u'\|_2^{2+\sigma}.$

PROOF: Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ . Then we see that

$$\varphi^2(x) = 2 \cdot \int_0^x \varphi(s) \cdot \varphi'(s) ds, \quad \varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_2) = \int_{x_2}^{x_1} \varphi'(s) ds$$

and, by Hardy's inequality, that  $\int_0^\infty x^{-2} \cdot \varphi^2(x) dx \leq 4 \cdot \|\varphi'\|_2^2$ . Hence, by Hölder's inequality, it follows that (b) and (c) hold for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ . Moreover, the part (c) implies

$$|\varphi(x)| \leq \|\varphi'\|_2 \cdot x^{1/2} \quad \text{for } x \geq 0$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty x^{-2-\sigma/2} \cdot |\varphi(x)|^{2+\sigma} dx \leq 4 \cdot \|\varphi'\|_2^{2+\sigma}.$$

Now let  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(0, \infty)$  and  $(\varphi_n)_n$  be a sequence of functions  $\varphi_n \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$  such that  $\varphi_n \rightarrow u$  in  $W_0^{1,2}(0, \infty)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, according to part (b),  $(\varphi_n)_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^\infty([0, \infty))$ . Hence, there exists a function  $\Phi$ , continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ , such that

$$\varphi_n \rightarrow \Phi \quad \text{in } L^\infty([0, \infty)) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Clearly, we have  $\Phi(0) = 0, \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x) = 0$  and  $\Phi(x) = u(x)$  a.e. in  $(0, \infty)$ . Furthermore, it is not difficult to show that (b)–(d) even hold for the function  $\Phi$ . □

## 2. Proof of the existence results.

For  $\lambda < 0$ , we define

$$D_\lambda = \{u \in W_0^{1,2}(0, \infty) \mid \int_0^\infty |r_-| \cdot |u|^{2+\sigma} dx < \infty$$

$$\text{and } |u|_\lambda := (\|u'\|_2^2 + |\lambda| \|u\|_2^2)^{1/2} \leq 1\}.$$

Then, from (A) and Lemma 1, one easily concludes

**Lemma 2.** *There exist constants  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_5$ , independent of  $u \in D_\lambda, R > 0$  and  $S > 0$ , such that*

- (a)  $\int_0^\infty r_+ \cdot |u|^{2+\sigma} dx \leq c_0,$
- (b)  $\int_R^\infty r_1 \cdot |u|^{2+\sigma} dx \leq c_1 \cdot R^{-2-\sigma/2},$
- (c)  $\int_R^\infty r_2 \cdot |u|^{2+\sigma} dx \leq c_2 \cdot \sup_{y \geq R} r_2(y),$
- (d)  $\int_R^\infty r_3 \cdot |u|^{2+\sigma} dx \leq c_3 \cdot \left(\int_R^\infty r_3^{p_0} dx\right)^{1/p_0},$
- (e)  $\int_R^\infty r_4 \cdot |u|^{2+\sigma} dx \leq c_4 \cdot \int_R^\infty r_4 dx$

and

$$(f) \int_0^S r_1 \cdot |u|^{2+\sigma} dx \leq c_5 \cdot \sup_{0 < y \leq S} f(y).$$

The nonlinear functional  $\zeta$  will be defined by

$$\zeta(u) = -\frac{1}{2 + \sigma} \cdot \int_0^\infty r(x)|u(x)|^{2+\sigma} dx.$$

Then, the part (a) of Lemma 2 shows that  $\zeta$  is well defined on  $D_\lambda$  and that

$$M_\lambda = \inf_{u \in D_\lambda} \zeta(u)$$

is a well defined real number.

The interval  $(\delta_1, \delta_2)$  may be defined as in (A) and the function  $\varphi_0 \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$  may be chosen such that  $\text{supp } \varphi_0 \subset (\delta_1, \delta_2)$  and  $|\varphi_0|_\lambda = 1$ . Then

$$(2) \quad \zeta(\varphi_0) < 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad M_\lambda < 0.$$

**Lemma 3.** *There exists a function  $u_\infty \in D_\lambda$  such that  $|u_\infty|_\lambda = 1, u_\infty \geq 0$  and  $\zeta(u_\infty) = M_\lambda$ .*

PROOF: Let  $(u_n)_n \subset D_\lambda$  be a sequence such that  $\zeta(u_n) \rightarrow M_\lambda$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, according to (2), we can assume without restrictions that  $\zeta(u_n) \leq 0$  holds for all  $n$ . Furthermore, since  $\| |u_n|' \|_2 = \|u_n'\|_2$  (see [4, Lemma 7.6]), we may assume that  $u_n \geq 0$ .

The sequence  $(u_n)_n$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,2}(0, \infty)$ . Hence, using Lemma 1, the Arzela–Ascoli theorem, the reflexivity of  $W_0^{1,2}(0, \infty)$ , and a standard diagonal process, we see that there exists a subsequence of  $(u_n)_n$ , still denoted by  $(u_n)_n$ , such that

$$u_n \xrightarrow{w} u_\infty \text{ in } W_0^{1,2}(0, \infty) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$(3) \quad \sup_{0 \leq x \leq d} |u_\infty(x) - u_n(x)| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

holds for all constants  $0 \leq d < \infty$ .

As an immediate consequence of these results, we obtain

$$|u_\infty|_\lambda \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad u_\infty \geq 0.$$

Since  $\zeta(u_n) \leq 0$  holds for all  $n$ , we conclude from the part (a) of Lemma 2:

$$(4) \quad \int_0^\infty |r_-| |u_n|^{2+\sigma} dx \leq c_0 \quad \text{for all } n.$$

But (4) and Fatou’s lemma imply  $\int_0^\infty |r_-| |u_\infty|^{2+\sigma} dx < \infty$ .

Furthermore, it follows by Lemma 2 that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist constants  $R_\varepsilon > 0$  and  $S_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(5) \quad \int_{R_\varepsilon}^\infty r_+ \cdot |u_n|^{2+\sigma} dx \leq \varepsilon$$

and

$$(6) \quad \int_0^{S_\varepsilon} r_1 \cdot |u_n|^{2+\sigma} dx \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{hold for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

From (3)–(6), we conclude that

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty r_+(x) \cdot |u_n(x)|^{2+\sigma} dx = \int_0^\infty r_+(x) \cdot |u_\infty(x)|^{2+\sigma} dx.$$

Moreover, Fatou’s lemma and (7) imply

$$M_\lambda \leq \zeta(u_\infty) \leq \liminf \zeta(u_n) = M_\lambda.$$

Since  $\zeta(u_\infty) = M_\lambda$ , the inequality (2) shows that  $|u_\infty|_\lambda > 0$ .

Finally,  $M_\lambda < 0$  and  $M_\lambda \leq \zeta(|u_\infty|_\lambda^{-1} \cdot u_\infty) = |u_\infty|_\lambda^{-2-\sigma} \cdot M_\lambda$  prove that  $|u_\infty|_\lambda = 1$ . □

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1:** The function  $u_\infty$  may be chosen as in Lemma 3. Then, for each  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\varphi) \in (0, 1]$  such that  $|u_\infty + \varepsilon \cdot \varphi|_\lambda > 0$  holds for all  $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_0(\varphi)$ .

For  $|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_0(\varphi)$ , we define

$$\eta(\varepsilon) = \zeta((u_\infty + \varepsilon \cdot \varphi) \cdot |u_\infty + \varepsilon \cdot \varphi|_\lambda^{-1}) = \zeta(u_\infty + \varepsilon \cdot \varphi) \cdot |u_\infty + \varepsilon \cdot \varphi|_\lambda^{-2-\sigma},$$

and  $\psi(\varepsilon) = \zeta(u_\infty + \varepsilon \cdot \varphi)$ . Then, using the inequality

$$||b|^{2+\sigma} - |a|^{2+\sigma}| \leq (2 + \sigma) \cdot 2^{1+\sigma} \cdot |b - a| \cdot (|a|^{1+\sigma} + |b|^{1+\sigma}) \quad (a, b \in \mathbb{R}),$$

it is not difficult to show that there exists a constant  $C = C(\sigma)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &|r(x)| \cdot ||u_\infty(x) + \varepsilon \cdot \varphi(x)|^{2+\sigma} - |u_\infty(x)|^{2+\sigma}| \cdot |\varepsilon|^{-1} \\ &\leq C \cdot |r(x)| \cdot |\varphi(x)| \cdot (|u_\infty(x)|^{1+\sigma} + |\varphi(x)|^{1+\sigma}) \\ &\leq C \cdot (\|u_\infty\|_\infty^{1+\sigma} + \|\varphi\|_\infty^{1+\sigma}) \cdot r(x) \cdot \varphi(x) \end{aligned}$$

holds for almost all  $x \geq 0$ .

Hence, we can apply Lebesgue's convergence theorem and obtain

$$\frac{d\psi}{d\varepsilon}(0) = - \int_0^\infty r \cdot |u_\infty|^\sigma \cdot u_\infty \cdot \varphi \, dx.$$

Furthermore,  $\frac{d\eta}{d\varepsilon}(0) = 0$  implies

$$\mu(\lambda) \cdot \left( \int_0^\infty u'_\infty \cdot \varphi' \, dx + |\lambda| \cdot \int_0^\infty u_\infty \cdot \varphi \, dx \right) = \int_0^\infty r \cdot |u_\infty|^\sigma \cdot u_\infty \cdot \varphi \, dx,$$

where  $\mu(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty r(x) \cdot |u_\infty(x)|^{2+\sigma} \, dx = -(2 + \sigma) \cdot M_\lambda > 0$ .

Now we define  $u_\lambda = \mu(\lambda)^{-1/\sigma} \cdot u_\infty$  and conclude that

$$(8) \quad \int_0^\infty u'_\lambda \cdot \varphi' \, dx - \int_0^\infty r(x) |u_\lambda|^\sigma u_\lambda \cdot \varphi \, dx = \lambda \cdot \int_0^\infty u_\lambda \cdot \varphi \, dx$$

holds for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ . The remaining assertions follow from Lemma 1. □

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1: From (8), we conclude for all nonnegative functions

$$\varphi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty) : \int_0^\infty u'_\lambda \cdot \varphi' \, dx \leq \lambda \cdot \int_0^\infty u_\lambda \cdot \varphi \, dx + \int_0^\infty r_+(x) u_\lambda^{1+\sigma} \cdot \varphi \, dx.$$

For functions  $v \in W_0^{1,2}(0, \infty)$  satisfying  $v \geq 0$  there exist sequences  $(\varphi_n)_n$  of non-negative functions  $\varphi_n \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$  such that  $\varphi_n \rightarrow v$  in  $W_0^{1,2}(0, \infty)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (see [3, p. 147]). Hence, we obtain

$$(9) \quad \int_0^\infty u'_\lambda \cdot v' \, dx \leq \lambda \cdot \int_0^\infty u_\lambda \cdot v \, dx + \int_0^\infty r_+(x) \cdot u_\lambda^{1+\sigma} \cdot v \, dx$$

for all functions  $v \in W_0^{1,2}(0, \infty)$  satisfying  $v \geq 0$ .

The constant  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  may be chosen such that  $\varepsilon_1 \leq |\lambda| - \alpha^2$ . Then it follows from the assumptions and Lemma 1 that there exists a constant  $R_1 > 0$  such that

$$(10) \quad r_+(x) \cdot u_\lambda^\sigma(x) \leq \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{holds for all } x \geq R_1.$$

Since  $u_\lambda$  is bounded, we can find a constant  $C_\alpha > 0$  such that

$$u_\lambda(x) \leq C_\alpha \cdot e^{-\alpha x} \quad \text{holds for all } x \in [0, R_1 + 1].$$

The function  $\psi_\alpha$  may be defined by  $\psi_\alpha(x) = C_\alpha \cdot e^{-\alpha x}$  for  $x \geq 0$ . Then one easily verifies that  $\psi_\alpha \in W^{1,2}(0, \infty)$  and

$$(11) \quad \int_0^\infty \psi'_\alpha \cdot v' \, dx = -\alpha^2 \cdot \int_0^\infty \psi_\alpha \cdot v \, dx \quad \text{holds for all } v \in W_0^{1,2}(0, \infty).$$

The function  $(u_\lambda - \psi_\alpha)_+$  satisfies  $(u_\lambda - \psi_\alpha)_+ \in W_0^{1,2}(0, \infty)$ ,  $(u_\lambda - \psi_\alpha)_+(x) = 0$  for  $x \in [0, R_1 + 1]$ ,  $(u_\lambda - \psi_\alpha)'_+ = (u_\lambda - \psi_\alpha)'$  on  $\{u_\lambda > \psi_\alpha\}$  and  $(u_\lambda - \psi_\alpha)'_+ = 0$  on  $\{u_\lambda \leq \psi_\alpha\}$ .

Hence, we obtain from (9)–(11):

$$\int_0^\infty ((u_\lambda - \psi_\alpha)'_+)^2 dx \leq \lambda \cdot \int_0^\infty u_\lambda \cdot (u_\lambda - \psi_\alpha)_+ dx + \varepsilon_1 \cdot \int_0^\infty u_\lambda \cdot (u_\lambda - \psi_\alpha)_+ dx + \alpha^2 \cdot \int_0^\infty \psi_\alpha \cdot (u_\lambda - \psi_\alpha)_+ dx \leq -\alpha^2 \cdot \int_0^\infty (u_\lambda - \psi_\alpha)_+^2 dx \leq 0.$$

Thus, Lemma 1 implies  $(u_\lambda - \psi_\alpha)_+ \equiv 0$  and  $u_\lambda(x) \leq \psi_\alpha(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . □

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2: For  $x \in (0, \infty)$ , we define

$$l(x) = -r(x) \cdot u_\lambda^{1+\sigma}(x) - \lambda \cdot u_\lambda(x).$$

Then, from the assumptions and Theorem 1, it follows that  $l$  is continuous in  $(0, \infty)$ . The function  $U$  may be defined by

$$U(x) = \int_1^x \int_1^y l(s) ds dy \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

Then we see that  $U \in C^2(0, \infty)$  and  $U''(x) = l(x)$  holds for  $x > 0$ . Moreover, for all functions  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ , we obtain

$$(12) \quad \int_0^\infty (u'_\lambda - U') \cdot \varphi' dx = 0.$$

Corollary 3.27 in [1] and (12) imply the existence of a constant  $K$  such that

$$(13) \quad u'_\lambda = U' + K \quad \text{holds in } \mathcal{D}'(0, \infty).$$

Then, according to Theorem 1.4.2 in [5], we see that (13) holds even in the classical sense and that  $u_\lambda \in C^2(0, \infty)$ .

To prove that the function  $u_\lambda$  is positive in  $(0, \infty)$ , we assume that there exists an  $x_0 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $u_\lambda(x_0) = 0$ . Since  $u_\lambda(x) \geq 0$  holds for all  $x \geq 0$ , we see that  $u'_\lambda(x_0) = 0$ . Hence the vectorvalued function  $(y_1, y_2) = (u_\lambda, u'_\lambda)$  solves the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} (y'_1, y'_2) &= F(x, y_1, y_2) = (y_2, -\lambda \cdot y_1 - r(x) \cdot |y_1|^\sigma \cdot y_1), \\ (y_1(x_0), y_2(x_0)) &= (0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

The function  $F$  is continuous in  $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$  and the partial derivatives  $\partial_{y_1} F$  and  $\partial_{y_2} F$  of  $F$  are also continuous in  $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then, it follows by a standard result from the theory of ordinary differential equations that  $u_\lambda \equiv 0$  in  $(0, \infty)$ . □



**3. Proof of the bifurcation results.**

The function  $u_\infty$  may be chosen as in Lemma 3. Then we have  $u_\lambda = \mu(\lambda)^{-1/\sigma} \cdot u_\infty$ , where  $\mu(\lambda) = -(2 + \sigma) \cdot M_\lambda$ . Since  $|u_\infty|_\lambda = 1$ , it follows that

$$(14) \quad \|u'_\lambda\|_2 \leq \mu(\lambda)^{-1/\sigma} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_\lambda\|_2 \leq \mu(\lambda)^{-1/\sigma} \cdot |\lambda|^{-1/2}.$$

The function  $\varphi_1 \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$  may be chosen such that  $\text{supp } \varphi_1 \subset I = (\delta_1, \delta_2)$  and  $\|\varphi'_1\|_2^2 + \|\varphi_1\|_2^2 = 1$ . The functions  $\varphi_n$  may be defined by  $\varphi_n(x) = t_n^{1/2} \cdot \varphi_1(t_n^{-1} \cdot x)$ . Then, it follows that  $\text{supp } \varphi_n \subset I_n$  and

$$(15) \quad \|\varphi'_n\|_2^2 + t_n^{-2} \cdot \|\varphi_n\|_2^2 = \|\varphi'_1\|_2^2 + \|\varphi_1\|_2^2 = 1.$$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\lambda_n = -t_n^{-2}$  for all  $n$  and suppose that  $(A_k)$  holds for some  $k > 0$ . Then it follows that*

$$(a) \quad \|u'_{\lambda_n}\|_2 \leq (\beta_n \cdot t_n^{2+\sigma/2-k} \cdot \gamma_0)^{-1/\sigma}$$

and

$$(b) \quad \|u_{\lambda_n}\|_2 \leq t_n \cdot (\beta_n \cdot t_n^{2+\sigma/2-k} \cdot \gamma_0)^{-1/\sigma}$$

holds for all  $n$ , where  $\gamma_0 = \int_I |x|^{-k} \cdot |\varphi_1(x)|^{2+\sigma} dx > 0$ .

PROOF: The identity (15) shows that  $|\varphi_n|_{\lambda_n} = 1$ . Hence, we obtain

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} M_{\lambda_n} \leq \zeta(\varphi_n) &= -(2 + \sigma)^{-1} \cdot t_n^{1+\sigma/2} \cdot \int_0^\infty r(x) \cdot |\varphi_1(t_n^{-1} \cdot x)|^{2+\sigma} dx \\ &= -(2 + \sigma)^{-1} \cdot t_n^{1+\sigma/2} \cdot \int_I r(t_n \cdot x) \cdot |\varphi_1(x)|^{2+\sigma} dx \\ &\leq -(2 + \sigma)^{-1} \cdot t_n^{1+\sigma/2-k} \cdot \beta_n \cdot \int_I |x|^{-k} \cdot |\varphi_1(x)|^{2+\sigma} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mu(\lambda_n) = -(2 + \sigma) \cdot M_{\lambda_n}$ , the assertions follow from (14), (15) and (16).  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2: Assume first that  $(A_k)$  is satisfied for  $k = 2 + \sigma/2$ . Since  $\beta_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain from the part (a) of Lemma 4 that  $\|u'_{\lambda_n}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The part (c) of Lemma 1 implies

$$|u_{\lambda_n}(x)| \leq \|u'_{\lambda_n}\|_2 \cdot x^{1/2} \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0.$$

Hence, we see that  $u_{\lambda_n} \rightarrow 0$  in  $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty([0, \infty))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

From the part (b) of Lemma 1 it follows that

$$(17) \quad \|u_{\lambda_n}\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot \|u_{\lambda_n}\|_2^{1/2} \cdot \|u'_{\lambda_n}\|_2^{1/2} \quad \text{holds for all } n.$$

Then, combining Lemma 4 and (17), we show that

$$\|u_{\lambda_n}\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \quad \text{if } (A_k) \text{ holds for } k = 2.$$

Now let  $p \in [2, \infty)$  be a real number and suppose that  $0 < \sigma < 2 \cdot p$ . Since

$$\|u_{\lambda_n}\|_p \leq \|u_{\lambda_n}\|_\infty^{1-2/p} \cdot \|u_{\lambda_n}\|_2^{2/p} \leq 2^{1/2-1/p} \cdot \|u'_{\lambda_n}\|_2^{1/2-1/p} \cdot \|u_{\lambda_n}\|_2^{1/2-1/p}$$

holds for all  $n$ , we obtain from Lemma 4 that

$$\|u_{\lambda_n}\|_p \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{if } (A_k) \text{ holds for } k = 2 - \sigma/p.$$

If  $(A_{k_1})$  is satisfied for some  $k_1 > 0$ , then  $(A_k)$  holds for all  $k \in [k_1, \infty)$ . In particular, we see that  $(A_{2-\sigma/2})$  implies  $(A_{2+\sigma/2})$ . Hence the part (d) of Theorem 2 follows from the above considerations.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Adams R.A., *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] Berger M.S., *On the existence and structure of stationary states for a nonlinear Klein–Gordon equation*, J. Funct. Analysis **9** (1972), 249–261.
- [3] Brezis H., Kato T., *Remarks on the Schrödinger operator with singular complex potentials*, J. Math. pures et appl. **58** (1979), 137–151.
- [4] Gilbarg D., Trudinger N.S., *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1983.
- [5] Hörmander L., *Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1976.
- [6] Stuart C.A., *Bifurcation for Dirichlet problems without eigenvalues*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **45** (1982), 169–192.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BAYREUTH, P.O.B. 10 12 51, W-8580 BAYREUTH, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

(Received October 10, 1990)