

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum
Naturalium. Mathematica

Alena Vanžurová

Double vector spaces

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 26 (1987), No. 1, 9--25

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/116966>

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Katedra algebry a geometrie
přirodovědecké fakulty University Palackého v Olomouci
Vedoucí katedry: Ladislav Sedláček, Prof., RNDr., CSc.

DOUBLE VECTOR SPACES

ALENA VANŽUROVÁ

(Received April 15th, 1986)

In differential geometry of higher order, one deals with some interesting algebraical structures possessing partial operations. Here we shall investigate the simplest of them - double vector spaces and their morphisms. The category \mathcal{DL} of double linear morphisms has been introduced by J. Pradines in [1]. Analogous investigations in double affine and affine-linear case have been studied by I. Kolář in [2]. In this paper we shall show a slightly more general point of view. The category \mathcal{DL} will be described geometrically.

1. P r e l i m i n a r y n o t i o n s

Definition 1.1. An S-fibration is a triple $\phi = (Y, p, X)$ where X and Y are (non-empty) sets and $p: Y \rightarrow X$ is a projection. The set X is called a basis of the S -fibration, Y is a total space of the S -fibration, and the set $Y_x = p^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in X$ will be called a fibre of the S -fibration ϕ over a point x .

Remark. Let \mathcal{E} be a category. A morphism in a category \mathcal{E} will be called a \mathcal{E} -morphism. A \mathcal{E} -isomorphism is an isomorphism in \mathcal{E} in the sense of the theory of categories. The identical morphism on an object X will be denoted by 1_X .

Definition 1.2. Let $\phi = (Y, p, X)$, $\bar{\phi} = (\bar{Y}, \bar{p}, \bar{X})$ be two S-fibrations. A morphism of ϕ to $\bar{\phi}$ is a mapping $f: Y \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ such that there exists a mapping $g: X \rightarrow \bar{X}$ so that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{f} & \bar{Y} \\ p \downarrow & g & \downarrow \bar{p} \\ X & \xrightarrow{g} & \bar{X} \end{array} .$$

Obviously, if g exists, then it is unique. We shall say that f induces g , or that f is over g . It can be easily seen that S-fibrations and their morphisms form a category. We shall denote it by \mathcal{YF} .

Definition 1.3. An S-fibration (Y, p, X) will be called a trivial fibration if there exists a set Z and an \mathcal{YF} -isomorphism $f: (X \times Z, pr_1, X) \rightarrow (Y, p, X)$ such that the induced mapping $g = 1_X$.

Trivial fibrations constitute a complete subcategory \mathcal{TF} in the category \mathcal{YF} .

Let K be a given field. Under a vector space we shall always understand a finite-dimensional vector space over K . Vector spaces and their homomorphisms form a category which will be denoted by \mathcal{L} .

Definition 1.4. An S-fibration $\phi = (Y, p, X)$ will be called a vector S-fibration if for every $x \in X$, the fibre Y_x is endowed with a structure of the vector space. Given two vector S-fibrations $\phi, \bar{\phi}$, a morphism of the vector S-fibration ϕ to $\bar{\phi}$ is a \mathcal{YF} -morphism $f: \phi \rightarrow \bar{\phi}$ over g such that for every $x \in X$, the induced mapping $f_x: Y_x \rightarrow Y_{g(x)}$ of vector spaces is an \mathcal{L} -morphism.

Vector S-fibrations together with their morphisms form a category denoted by \mathcal{LYF} .

Example 1.1. Let X be a set and let V be a vector space. Let us define a structure of the vector space on each set $\{x\} \times V$, $x \in X$ so that the natural bijection $\{x\} \times V \rightarrow V$ is an \mathcal{L} -morphism. Then the triple $(X \times V, pr_1, X)$ is a vector S-fibration.

Definition 1.5. A vector S-fibration (Y, p, X) is a trivial vector fibration if there exists a vector space V and an $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F}$ -isomorphism over identity $f: (X \times V, pr_1, X) \longrightarrow (Y, p, X)$.

Again, trivial vector fibrations form a complete subcategory $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{F}$ in the category $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F}$.

Let us recall that an affine space Z with associated vector space V is a set Z together with a free and transitive right action of the additive group of the vector space V on Z . We shall denote the operation $Z \times V \longrightarrow Z$ by $+$. That is, for $v \in V$ and $z \in Z$, the result of the action of the element v on z will be denoted by $z+v$.

Definition 1.6. Let $\Psi = (W, q, X)$ be a vector S-fibration. An S-fibration (Y, p, X) will be called an affine S-fibration with associated vector S-fibration Ψ if for each $x \in X$, the group W_x acts freely and transitively (or equivalently, 1-transitively) on Y_x . In other words, the fibre Y_x is an affine space with associated vector space W_x .

Definition 1.7. Let ϕ and $\bar{\phi}$ be affine S-fibrations associated with vector S-fibrations $\Psi = (W, q, X)$ and $\bar{\Psi} = (\bar{W}, \bar{q}, \bar{X})$ respectively. An $\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F}$ -morphism $f: \phi \longrightarrow \bar{\phi}$ over $g: X \longrightarrow \bar{X}$ is an affine morphism if there is an $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F}$ -morphism $h: \Psi \longrightarrow \bar{\Psi}$ over g such that

$$f(y+w) = f(y) + h(w) \text{ for every } y \in Y_x, w \in W_x, \text{ and } x \in X.$$

It can be easily seen that if the mapping h exists, then it is specified uniquely. We shall say that h is associated with f . The family of affine S-fibrations together with affine morphisms form a category denoted $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F}$.

Example 1.2. Let Z be an affine space with associated vector space V . Further, let X be a set. Then $(X \times Z, pr_1, X)$ is clearly an S-fibration, and $(X \times V, pr_1, X)$ is a vector S-fibration. For every $x \in X$, we define an action $+$ of the group $\{x\} \times V$ on the fibre $\{x\} \times Z$ by the formula $(x+z) + (x+v) =: (x, z+v)$. Now $(X \times Z, pr_1, X)$ is an affine S-fibration with associated vector S-fibration $(X \times V, pr_1, X)$.

Definition 1.8. An affine S-fibration ϕ is a trivial affine fibration if there exists an affine space Z and an AF-isomorphism $f: (X \times Z, pr_1, X) \rightarrow \phi$ over 1_X .

Remark 1.1. Let ϕ be an affine S-fibration with associated vector S-fibration ψ . It can be easily verified that ϕ is a trivial affine fibration if and only if ψ is a trivial vector fibration.

Remark 1.2. A vector (affine) S-fibration is a trivial vector (affine) fibration if and only if all fibres have the same dimension.

Trivial affine fibrations form a complete subcategory \mathcal{TAF} in AF.

2. Double vector spaces

Now let A, B be two vector spaces, and let C be a set. Let $\pi: C \rightarrow A \times B$ be a given mapping. Denote by $pr_1: A \times B \rightarrow A$ and $pr_2: A \times B \rightarrow B$ projections to the first and second component, respectively. Further, let us denote

$$\tilde{\pi}_1 = pr_1 \circ \pi: C \rightarrow A, \quad \tilde{\pi}_2 = pr_2 \circ \pi: C \rightarrow B$$

and for $a \in A, b \in B$ let

$$C_a = \tilde{\pi}_1^{-1}(a), \quad C_b = \tilde{\pi}_2^{-1}(b), \quad C_{a,b} = \pi^{-1}(a,b).$$

Finally, denote

$$\tilde{\pi}_{1,b} = \tilde{\pi}_1|_{C_b}: C_b \rightarrow A, \quad \tilde{\pi}_{2,a} = \tilde{\pi}_2|_{C_a}: C_a \rightarrow B.$$

Definition 2.1. Let A, B, C, π be as above. Let $c_{ik}, i=1, \dots, r, k=1, \dots, s$ be elements of C. We shall say that $\{c_{ik}\}_{\substack{i=1, \dots, r \\ k=1, \dots, s}}$ is an (r,s)-net in C if the following is satisfied:

$$\tilde{\pi}_1(c_{ik}) = \tilde{\pi}_1(c_{il}), \quad \tilde{\pi}_2(c_{ik}) = \tilde{\pi}_2(c_{jk})$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, r, k, l = 1, \dots, s$.

For an (r,s)-net $\{c_{ik}\}$ we set $a_i = \tilde{\pi}_1(c_{ik}), b_k = \tilde{\pi}_2(c_{ik})$.

Definition 2.2. A double vector space is a set C provided with a mapping $\mathcal{T} : C \rightarrow A \times B$, where A, B are vector spaces with zero elements 0_A and 0_B respectively, having the following properties:

(i) For every $a \in A$ ($b \in B$), a structure of the vector space is given on C_a (C_b).

(ii) (C, \mathcal{T}_1, A) and (C, \mathcal{T}_2, B) are trivial vector fibrations with addition and scalar multiplication in fibres denoted by $+_1, \cdot_1$ and $+_2, \cdot_2$ respectively.

(iii) $\mathcal{T}_{2,a} : C_a \rightarrow B$ ($\mathcal{T}_{1,b} : C_b \rightarrow A$) is an epimorphism of vector spaces for every $a \in A$ ($b \in B$).

(iv) On the set $V = C_{0_A, 0_B}$ which is a subspace in C_{0_A} as well as in C_{0_B} , both vector structures coincide. So on V , we may write merely $+$, \cdot , and 0 .

(v) If $\{c_{ik}\}_{\substack{i=1,2 \\ k=1,2}}$ is a $(2,2)$ -net in C then the following

condition is satisfied:

$$(c_{11} +_1 c_{12}) +_2 (c_{21} +_1 c_{22}) = (c_{11} +_2 c_{21}) +_1 (c_{12} +_2 c_{22}) .$$

(vi) For every $\lambda, \mu \in K$, $\lambda \cdot_1 (\mu \cdot_2 c) = \mu \cdot_2 (\lambda \cdot_1 c)$.

(vii) Let $\mathcal{T}_2(c) = \mathcal{T}_2(c')$, $\mathcal{T}_1(c) = \mathcal{T}_1(c'')$, $\lambda \in K$. Then

$$\lambda \cdot_1 (c +_2 c') = (\lambda \cdot_1 c) +_2 (\lambda \cdot_1 c')$$

and

$$\lambda \cdot_2 (c +_1 c'') = (\lambda \cdot_2 c) +_1 (\lambda \cdot_2 c'') .$$

The vector space V will be called the centre of C . By (ii), \mathcal{T} is a projection.

Example 2.1. Let A, B, V be three vector spaces. Let us set $C = A \times B \times C$ and define $\mathcal{T} : C \rightarrow A \times B$ as a natural projection. For every $a \in A$ ($b \in B$), assume a structure of the vector space on C_a (C_b) given as follows:

$$(a, b, v) +_1 (a, \bar{b}, \bar{v}) = (a, b + \bar{b}, v + \bar{v}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda \cdot_1 (a, b, v) &= (a, \lambda b, \lambda v), \\ (a, b, v) +_2 (\bar{a}, b, \bar{v}) &= (a + \bar{a}, b, v + \bar{v}), \\ \lambda \cdot_2 (a, b, v) &= (\lambda a, b, \lambda v).\end{aligned}$$

The conditions (i) - (vii) required in Def.2.2. are satisfied. The proof is straightforward. The double vector space $A \times B \times C$ (with the above projection and partial linear operations) is called a trivial double vector space.

Definition 2.3. Let C, \bar{C} be double vector spaces with corresponding projections $\mathcal{T}: C \rightarrow A \times B$ and $\bar{\mathcal{T}}: \bar{C} \rightarrow \bar{A} \times \bar{B}$ respectively. A mapping $f: C \rightarrow \bar{C}$ is a morphism of double vector spaces if $f_1 = \bar{\mathcal{T}}_1 \circ f$ and $f_2 = \bar{\mathcal{T}}_2 \circ f$, $f_1: A \rightarrow \bar{A}$, $f_2: B \rightarrow \bar{B}$ are \mathcal{L} -morphisms, $f: (C, \mathcal{T}_1, A) \rightarrow (\bar{C}, \bar{\mathcal{T}}_1, \bar{A})$ is an $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F}$ -morphism and at the same time, $f: (C, \mathcal{T}_2, B) \rightarrow (\bar{C}, \bar{\mathcal{T}}_2, \bar{B})$ is an $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F}$ -morphism.

We shall say that f_1, f_2 are underlying \mathcal{L} -morphisms of the \mathcal{DL} -morphism f .

Double vector spaces together with morphisms just defined form a category \mathcal{DL} .

Let $C \in \mathcal{DL}$ with the centre V .

Lemma 2.1. Let $a \in A$ ($b \in B$) and let us assume the operations of the first (second) linear structure on C_a, O_B (on C_O, A , b).

Then the mapping $L_a: V \rightarrow C_a, O_B$ ($L_b: V \rightarrow C_O, A, b$) given by the formula

$$L_a(v) = v +_2 O_a \quad (L_b(v) = v +_1 O_b)$$

is an \mathcal{L} -isomorphism.

P r o o f. Let $v, v' \in V$. Then the elements v, v', O_a, O_a form a (2,2)-net. By (v) of Def.2.2.,

$$(v +_1 v') +_2 (O_a +_1 O_a) = (v +_2 O_a) +_1 (v' +_2 O_a).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_a(v+v') &= \mathcal{L}_a(v+{}_1v') = (v+{}_1v')+_2O_a = (v+{}_1v')+_2(O_a+{}_1O_a) = \\ &= \mathcal{L}_a(v)+{}_1\mathcal{L}_a(v'). \end{aligned}$$

Further, let $\lambda \in K, v \in V$. The property (vii) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_a(\lambda v) &= \mathcal{L}_a(\lambda \cdot {}_1v) = (\lambda \cdot {}_1v)+_2O_a = (\lambda \cdot {}_1v)+_2(\lambda \cdot {}_1O_a) = \\ &= \lambda \cdot {}_1(v+_2O_a) = \lambda \cdot {}_1\mathcal{L}_a(v). \end{aligned}$$

Thus \mathcal{L}_a is an \mathcal{L} -morphism.

Now let $v \in V$ be such that $\mathcal{L}_a(v) = O_a$. That is, $v+_2O_a = O_a$. It follows $v = O_a$ which proves that \mathcal{L}_a is a monomorphism. Finally, choose an arbitrary $c \in C_{a, O_b}$. Since c and O_a

are in C_{O_B} there exists a unique $v \in C_{O_B}$ such that $c = v+_2O_a$.

We have

$$a = \mathcal{T}_1(c) = \mathcal{T}_1(v+_2O_a) = \mathcal{T}_1(v) + \mathcal{T}_1(O_a) = \mathcal{T}_1(v) + a$$

which gives $\mathcal{T}_1(v) = 0$. Hence $v \in V$, and \mathcal{L}_a is an epimorphism. For the mapping \mathcal{L}_b , the proof is similar.

Lemma 2.2. Let $v \in V$ and $c \in C_{a, b}$. Then the following is satisfied:

$$(v+{}_1O_b) +_2c = (v+_2O_a) +_1c.$$

P r o o f. Clearly, the elements v, O_b, O_a, c form a (2,2)-net. By (v),

$$(v+{}_1O_b)+_2(O_a+{}_1c) = (v+_2O_a)+_1(O_b+_2c)$$

and consequently

$$(v+{}_1O_b)+_2c = (v+_2O_a)+_1c.$$

Now we may give the following definition:

Definition 2.4. Let $c \in C$, $v \in V$. We define

$$c+v = (v+{}_1O_b)+{}_2c = (v+{}_2O_a)+{}_1c,$$

where $a = \mathcal{T}_1(c)$, $b = \mathcal{T}_2(c)$.

Theorem 2.1. A mapping $C \times V \rightarrow C$ given by $(c,v) \mapsto c+v$ is a right action of the group V on the set C . The group V acts on C freely and its orbits are just the sets $C_{a,b}$ with $a \in A$, $b \in B$.

P r o o f. Let $v, v' \in V$ and suppose $c \in C$ with $\mathcal{T}_1(c) = a$, $\mathcal{T}_2(c) = b$.

Then

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{T}_1(c+v) = \mathcal{T}_1((v+{}_1O_b)+{}_2c) = \mathcal{T}_1(v+{}_1O_b) + \mathcal{T}_1(c) = O_A + a = a$$

and

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{T}_2(c+v) = \mathcal{T}_2((v+{}_2O_a)+{}_1c) = \mathcal{T}_2(v+{}_2O_a) + \mathcal{T}_2(c) = O_B + b = b.$$

Hence

$$(c+v)+v' = (v'+{}_1O_b)+{}_2((v+{}_1O_b)+{}_2c).$$

According to L.2.2. and (v) of Def.2.2. for a (2,2)-net $\{v, O_b, v+{}_2O_a, c\}$ we obtain

$$(c+v)+v' = (v'+{}_1O_b)+{}_2((v+{}_2O_a)+{}_1c) = (v'+{}_2(v+{}_2O_a))+{}_1$$

$$(O_b+{}_2c) = ((v+{}_2v')+{}_2O_a)+{}_1c = ((v+v')+{}_2O_a)+{}_1c = c+(v+v').$$

Further,

$$c+0 = (O+{}_1O_b)+{}_2c = O_b+{}_2c = c.$$

At the beginning of the proof we have seen that if $c \in C_{a,b}$ and $v \in V$ then $c+v \in C_{a,b}$ (see (1), (2)). We show that the group V acts transitively on $C_{a,b}$. Let $c, c' \in C_{a,b}$. Since $c, c' \in C_b$, there exists a unique element $d \in C_b$ such that $c' = d+{}_2c$. Moreover, $\mathcal{T}_1(d) = O_A$, that is, $d \in C_{O_A, b}$. Therefore by L.2.1., there exists $v \in V$ such that $v+{}_1O_b = d$. We get

$$c' = d+{}_2c = (v+{}_10_b)+{}_2c = c + v.$$

It remains to prove that V acts freely. So let $c \in C$, $v \in V$ be elements satisfying $c+v = c$. We have

$$(v+{}_10_b)+{}_2c = c,$$

$$v+{}_10_b = 0_b,$$

$$v = 0.$$

Theorem 2.2. Let C (with projection $\mathcal{T}: C \rightarrow A \times B$) be a double vector space. Then $\phi = (C, \mathcal{T}, A \times B)$ is a trivial affine fibration with associated trivial vector fibration $\psi = (A \times B \times C, \text{pr}, A \times B)$.

P r o o f. It follows immediately that ϕ is an affine S -fibration with associated trivial vector fibration ψ (Th.2.1.). By Remark 1.1., ϕ is also trivial.

3. Morphisms of double vector spaces

Theorem 3.1. Let C, \bar{C} be two double vector spaces with projections $\mathcal{T}: C \rightarrow A \times B$ and $\bar{\mathcal{T}}: \bar{C} \rightarrow \bar{A} \times \bar{B}$ respectively. Let $f: C \rightarrow \bar{C}$ be a $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}$ -morphism. Then f is an $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}$ -morphism over $f_1 \times f_2: A \times B \rightarrow \bar{A} \times \bar{B}$ with associated $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{V}\mathcal{F}$ -morphism $f_1 \times f_2 \times (f|V): (A \times B \times V, \text{pr}, A \times B) \rightarrow (\bar{A} \times \bar{B} \times \bar{V}, \text{pr}, \bar{A} \times \bar{B})$ over $f_1 \times f_2$.

P r o o f. Since $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_1 \circ f = f_1 \circ \mathcal{T}_1$ and $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_2 \circ f = f_2 \circ \mathcal{T}_2$, it follows $\bar{\mathcal{T}} \circ f = (f_1 \times f_2) \circ \mathcal{T}$. Therefore f is a morphism of S -fibrations over $f_1 \times f_2$. Let $c \in C$, $v \in V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(c+v) &= f((v+{}_20_a)+{}_1c) = f(v+{}_20_a)+{}_1f(c) = \\ &= (f(v)+{}_20_{f_1(a)})+{}_1f(c) = f(c) + f(v) \end{aligned}$$

where $a = \mathcal{T}_1(c)$. This finishes the proof.

Definition 3.1. A basis of a double vector space C is an ordered couple $(\{c_{ik}\}, \{v_m\})$ where $\{c_{ik}\}_{\substack{i=1, \dots, r \\ k=1, \dots, s}}$ is an (r, s) -net

such that $\{a_i\}_{i=1, \dots, r}$ is a basis for the vector space A ,

$\{b_k\}_{k=1, \dots, s}$ is a basis of B and $\{v_m\}_{m=1, \dots, t}$ is a basis of

the centre V .

Theorem 3.2. Let $(\{c_{ik}\}, \{v_m\})$ be a basis of the given double vector space C with $\mathcal{T}: C \rightarrow A \times B$. Then for every element $c \in C$, there are uniquely determined elements $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_s, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_t \in K$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \sum_{k=1}^s 1 \sum_{i=1}^r 2 \mu_k \cdot 1 (\lambda_i \cdot 2 c_{ik}) + \sum_{m=1}^t \nu_m v_m = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r 2 \sum_{k=1}^s 1 \lambda_i \cdot 2 (\mu_k \cdot 1 c_{ik}) + \sum_{m=1}^t \nu_m v_m . \end{aligned}$$

Here $+$ means either $+_1$ or $+_2$, and $\sum_m \nu_m v_m$ is a linear combination of vectors in V , where both vector structures coincide.

P r o o f. Clearly, there exist elements $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in K$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}_1(c) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i a_i .$$

Denote $c_k = \sum_{i=1}^r 2 \lambda_i \cdot 2 c_{ik}$, $k = 1, \dots, s$. It is clear that

$\mathcal{T}_1(c_k) = \mathcal{T}_1(c)$, $\mathcal{T}_2(c) = b_k$. There exist elements $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s \in K$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}_2(c) = \sum_{k=1}^s \mu_k b_k .$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} c' &= \sum_{k=1}^s \mu_k \cdot c_k = \sum_{k=1}^s \mu_k \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_{i \cdot 2} c_{ik} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_k \cdot (\lambda_{i \cdot 2} c_{ik}). \end{aligned}$$

We have $\mathcal{T}_1(c') = \mathcal{T}_1(c)$, $\mathcal{T}_2(c') = \mathcal{T}_2(c)$. By Th.2.1., there is $v \in V$ such that $c = c' + v$. Writing v in the form

$$v = \sum_{m=1}^t \gamma_m v_m \quad \text{we get}$$

$$(3) \quad c = \sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_k \cdot (\lambda_{i \cdot 2} c_{ik}) + \sum_{m=1}^t \gamma_m v_m.$$

We show that the above expression is uniquely determined. So

$$\text{suppose } c = \sum_k \sum_i \mu'_k \cdot (\lambda'_{i \cdot 2} c_{ik}) + \sum_{m=1}^t \gamma'_m v_m.$$

Applying \mathcal{T}_1 on both sides of both sides of the previous equality we obtain

$$\sum_i \lambda_i \mathcal{T}_1(c_{ik}) = \sum_i \lambda'_i \mathcal{T}_1(c_{ik}) \quad \text{for } k=1, \dots, s$$

and further

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i a_i = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda'_i a_i.$$

Thus $\lambda'_i = \lambda_i$ for $i=1, \dots, r$. In a similar way, using \mathcal{T}_2

yields $\mu'_k = \mu_k$ for $k=1, \dots, s$. Both these results give

$$\sum_m \gamma_m v_m = \sum_m \gamma'_m v_m. \quad \text{Therefore } \gamma'_m = \gamma_m \quad \text{for } m=1, \dots, t \text{ which}$$

proves uniqueness of the expression (3). By symmetry, we deduce that there are uniquely determined elements $\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_r, \bar{\mu}_1, \dots, \bar{\mu}_s, \bar{\nu}_1, \dots, \bar{\nu}_t \in K$ such that

$$(4) \quad c = \sum_i \sum_k \bar{\lambda}_i \cdot \bar{\mu}_k \cdot c_{ik} + \sum_m \bar{\nu}_m v_m.$$

Application of \mathcal{T}_1 on (3) and (4) gives $\sum_i \lambda_i a_i = \sum_i \bar{\lambda}_i a_i$, $\lambda_i = \bar{\lambda}_i, i=1, \dots, r$. Similar using of \mathcal{T}_2 yields $\mu_k = \bar{\mu}_k$ for $k=1, \dots, s$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $C, \mathcal{T}: C \longrightarrow A \times B$ and $\bar{C}, \bar{\mathcal{T}}: \bar{C} \longrightarrow \bar{A} \times \bar{B}$ be two double vector spaces. Let $(\{c_{ik}\}_{\substack{i=1, \dots, r \\ k=1, \dots, s}}, \{v_m\}_{m=1, \dots, t})$

be a basis in C . Further, let $\{\bar{c}_{ik}\}_{\substack{i=1, \dots, r \\ k=1, \dots, s}}$ be a (r, s) -net

in \bar{C} and let $\{\bar{v}_m\}_{m=1, \dots, t}$ be elements in \bar{V} . Then there exists a unique \mathcal{L} -morphism $f: C \longrightarrow \bar{C}$ such that $f(c_{ik}) = \bar{c}_{ik}, i=1, \dots, r, k=1, \dots, s$, and $f(v_m) = \bar{v}_m$ for $m=1, \dots, t$.

P r o o f. Suppose $c \in C$. According to the previous theorem, there are uniquely determined numbers λ_i, μ_k, ν_m such that

$$c = \sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_k \cdot \lambda_i \cdot c_{ik} + \sum_{m=1}^t \nu_m v_m.$$

Define

$$f(c) = \sum_k \sum_i \mu_k \cdot \lambda_i \cdot \bar{c}_{ik} + \sum_m \nu_m \bar{v}_m.$$

Clearly, f maps C on \bar{C} and it satisfies the above conditions. Now let $a_i = \mathcal{T}_1(c_{ik}), b_k = \mathcal{T}_2(c_{ik}), \bar{a}_i = \bar{\mathcal{T}}_1(\bar{c}_{ik}), \bar{b}_k = \bar{\mathcal{T}}_2(\bar{c}_{ik})$.

There exists a unique \mathcal{L} -morphism $f_1: A \longrightarrow \bar{A}$ ($f_2: B \longrightarrow \bar{B}$) satisfying $f_1(a_i) = \bar{a}_i, i=1, \dots, r$ ($f_2(b_k) = \bar{b}_k, k=1, \dots, s$).

We shall show that f is a \mathcal{L} -morphism of C onto \bar{C} with the

underlying \mathcal{L} -morphisms f_1 and f_2 .

Since $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_1(f(c)) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \overline{a}_i = f_1(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_1(c))$ we have $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_1 \circ f = f_1 \circ \overline{\mathcal{T}}_1$.

Consider $c, c' \in C$ with the property $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_1(c) = \overline{\mathcal{T}}_1(c')$. Let $\varrho, \varrho' \in K$. Let us write

$$c = \sum_k \sum_i \mu_k \cdot 1(\lambda_i \cdot 2^{c_{ik}}) + \sum_m \nu_m \nu_m,$$

$$c' = \sum_k \sum_i \mu'_k \cdot 1(\lambda'_i \cdot 2^{c'_{ik}}) + \sum_m \nu'_m \nu_m.$$

For $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_1(c) = \overline{\mathcal{T}}_1(c')$, we have $\lambda_i = \lambda'_i, i=1, \dots, r$. Moreover, $(\varrho \cdot 1c) +_1 (\varrho' \cdot 1c') = \sum_k \sum_i (\varrho \mu_k + \varrho' \mu'_k) \cdot 1(\lambda_i \cdot 2^{c_{ik}}) + \sum_m (\varrho \nu_m + \varrho' \nu'_m) \nu_m$.

It follows that $f((\varrho \cdot 1c) +_1 (\varrho' \cdot 1c')) = (\varrho \cdot 1f(c)) +_1 (\varrho' \cdot 1f(c'))$. Hence $f: (C, \overline{\mathcal{T}}_1, A) \longrightarrow (\overline{C}, \overline{\mathcal{T}}_1, \overline{A})$ is a $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F}$ -morphism over f_1 . Similarly, $f: (C, \overline{\mathcal{T}}_2, B) \longrightarrow (\overline{C}, \overline{\mathcal{T}}_2, \overline{B})$ is a $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F}$ -morphism. Thus f is a \mathcal{DL} -morphism. The prove of uniqueness of f involves no difficulties.

Corollary. Two double vector spaces $C, \overline{\mathcal{T}}: C \rightarrow A \times B$, and $\overline{C}, \overline{\mathcal{T}}: \overline{C} \rightarrow \overline{A} \times \overline{B}$, are \mathcal{DL} -isomorphic if and only if $\dim A = \dim \overline{A}$, $\dim B = \dim \overline{B}$ and $\dim V = \dim \overline{V}$.

So we may define dimension of C $\dim C = (r, s, t)$, where $r = \dim A$, $s = \dim B$, $t = \dim V$. In this case, C is \mathcal{DL} -isomorphic to the trivial \mathcal{DL} -space $K(r, s, t) =: K^r \times K^s \times K^t$ with projection $\overline{\mathcal{T}} = \text{pr}: K^r \times K^s \times K^t \longrightarrow K^r \times K^s$.

Now we shall investigate morphisms of the trivial double vector space $K(r, s, t)$ to another trivial \mathcal{DL} -space $K(\overline{r}, \overline{s}, \overline{t})$. For simplicity, let us denote $A = K^r, B = K^s, V = K^t, C = A \times B \times V$ and similarly $\overline{A} = K^{\overline{r}}$ etc. Let $f: C \longrightarrow \overline{C}$ be a \mathcal{DL} -mor-

phism with underlying \mathcal{L} -morphisms $f_1: A \rightarrow \bar{A}$, $f_2: B \rightarrow \bar{B}$. Let $c = (a, b, v) \in C$ and let us write $f(c) = (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{v})$. Since $f: (C, \mathcal{T}_1, A) \rightarrow (\bar{C}, \bar{\mathcal{T}}_1, \bar{A})$ is a $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F}$ -morphism over f_1 and $f: (C, \mathcal{T}_2, B) \rightarrow (\bar{C}, \bar{\mathcal{T}}_2, \bar{B})$ is a $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F}$ -morphism over f_2 , we have $a = f_1(a)$, $b = f_2(b)$. Define a mapping $\mathcal{G}: A \times B \rightarrow \bar{V}$ by $f((a, b, 0)) = (f_1(a), f_2(b), \mathcal{G}(a, b))$.

Lemma 3.1. The mapping $\mathcal{G}: A \times B \rightarrow \bar{V}$ is bilinear.

P r o o f. Let $a, a' \in A, b \in B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f((a+a', b, 0)) &= (f_1(a+a'), f_2(b), \mathcal{G}(a+a', b)) = \\ &= (f_1(a) + f_1(a'), f_2(b), \mathcal{G}(a+a', b)) \end{aligned}$$

and further

$$\begin{aligned} f((a+a', b, 0)) &= f((a, b, 0) +_2 (a', b, 0)) = f((a, b, 0)) +_2 f((a', b, 0)) = \\ &= (f_1(a), f_2(b), \mathcal{G}(a, b)) +_2 (f_1(a'), f_2(b), \mathcal{G}(a', b)) = \\ &= (f_1(a) + f_1(a'), f_2(b), \mathcal{G}(a, b) + \mathcal{G}(a', b)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{G}(a+a', b) = \mathcal{G}(a, b) + \mathcal{G}(a', b)$. A proof of the equality $\mathcal{G}(a, b+b') = \mathcal{G}(a, b) + \mathcal{G}(a, b')$ is quite similar.

Let f_3 denote the restriction of f to the vector space V . Obviously, $f_3(V) \in \bar{V}$. We have $f((a, b, v)) = f((a, b, 0) + v) = f((a, b, 0)) + f_3(v) = (f_1(a), f_2(b), \mathcal{G}(a, b)) + f_3(v) = (f_1(a), f_2(b), \mathcal{G}(a, b) + f_3(v))$.

Further, denote by the symbol $\text{Hom}(C, \bar{C})$ the set of all \mathcal{L} -morphisms of the trivial \mathcal{L} -space C to the trivial \mathcal{L} -space \bar{C} . Let $\text{Hom}(A, \bar{A})$ be the vector space of all \mathcal{L} -morphisms of A to \bar{A} (similarly for B, V) and let $\text{Hom}(A \times B, \bar{V})$ denote the vector space of all bilinear mappings of $A \times B$ to \bar{V} .

Theorem 3.4. There exists a bijection

$\mathcal{K}: \text{Hom}(C, \bar{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, \bar{A}) \times \text{Hom}(B, \bar{B}) \times \text{Hom}(V, \bar{V}) \times \text{Hom}(A \times B, \bar{V})$. The mapping \mathcal{K} sends each \mathcal{L} -morphism $f \in \text{Hom}(C, \bar{C})$ onto an ordered quadruple $(f_1, f_2, f_3, \mathcal{G})$. The inverse mapping \mathcal{K}^{-1} maps

a quadruple (f_1, f_2, f_3, σ) on $f \in \text{Hom}(C, \bar{C})$ given by

$$f((a, b, v)) = (f_1(a), f_2(b), \sigma(a, b) + f_3(v)).$$

If $f: C \rightarrow \bar{C}$, $f': \bar{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ be \mathcal{K} -morphisms with corresponding quadruples (f_1, f_2, f_3, σ) and $(f'_1, f'_2, f'_3, \sigma')$ then the quadruple $(f'_1 \circ f_1, f'_2 \circ f_2, f'_3 \circ f_3, \sigma'(f_1, f_2) + f'_3 \circ \sigma)$ corresponds to the product $f' \circ f: C \rightarrow \tilde{C}$. The proof is straightforward.

The mapping \mathcal{K} enables us to identify the sets $\text{Hom}(C, \bar{C})$ and $\text{Hom}(A, \bar{A}) \times \text{Hom}(B, \bar{B}) \times \text{Hom}(V, \bar{V}) \times \text{Hom}(A \times B, \bar{V})$. Note that $(f_1, f_2, f_3, \sigma) \in \text{Hom}(C, \bar{C})$ is an isomorphism if and only if $f_1 \in \text{Hom}(A, \bar{A})$, $f_2 \in \text{Hom}(B, \bar{B})$, $f_3 \in \text{Hom}(V, \bar{V})$ are isomorphisms.

Let $\text{Aut}(C)$ be the group of all automorphisms of the \mathcal{K} -space C , let $\text{Aut}(A)$ denote the group of all automorphisms of the vector space A etc. The mapping \mathcal{K} gives an identification

$$\text{Aut}(C) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(A, B, V) \times \text{Hom}(A \times B, \bar{V})$$

where $\widetilde{\text{Aut}}(A, B, V) = \text{Aut}(A) \times \text{Aut}(B) \times \text{Aut}(V)$ is a direct product of groups. Define

$$j: \text{Aut}(C) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(A, B, V)$$

by $j(f_1, f_2, f_3, \sigma) = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$. It is easily seen that this mapping is a group epimorphism. Its kernel is a commutative group $\text{Hom}(A \times B, \bar{V})$ with its usual additive group structure. Hence we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(A \times B, \bar{V}) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(C) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(A, B, V) \longrightarrow 0$$

where i is an embedding. If we define $q: \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(A, B, V) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(C)$ by $q(f_1, f_2, f_3) = (f_1, f_2, f_3, 0)$ then q is a group homomorphism and it is a splitting of the above sequence. It follows

Theorem 3.5. The group $\text{Aut}(C)$ is a semi-direct product of the groups $\widetilde{\text{Aut}}(A, B, V)$ and $\text{Hom}(A \times B, \bar{V})$. Moreover, the group operation of $\widetilde{\text{Aut}}(A, B, V)$ on $\text{Hom}(A \times B, \bar{V})$ establishing this semi-direct product is of the form $(f_1, f_2, f_3) \sigma = f_3^{-1} \sigma (f_1, f_2)$.

Example 3.1. If $p_E: E \rightarrow M$ is a vector bundle then its tangent bundle TE admits two vector bundle projections

$p_{TE} : TE \rightarrow E$ and $T_{p_E} : TE \rightarrow TM$. Each fibre $(TE)_x =$
 $= (p_{TE} \ p_E)^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in M$ is a $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}$ -space with projection
 $\mathcal{F} : (TE)_x \rightarrow E_x \times T_x M$, $\mathcal{F}(\xi) = (p_{TE}(\xi), T_{p_E}(\xi))$ for $\xi \in (TE)_x$.

Example 3.2. Let T^*E be a cotangent bundle of the vector bundle
 $p_E : E \rightarrow M$. Besides a natural projection $p^* : T^*E \rightarrow E$, there
 exists a projection $q : T^*E \rightarrow E^*$ of the vector bundle given
 as follows. For $y \in E$ and $\omega : T_y E \rightarrow R$, assume the restriction
 $\bar{\omega}$ of ω to the vertical subspace $V_y E : \bar{\omega} = \omega|_{V_y E} \rightarrow R$.

The vector space $V_y E$ may be identified with E_x (where
 $x = p_E(y)$) via translation. Let $\mathcal{T} : V_y E \rightarrow E_x$ denotes the
 corresponding isomorphism. Then we define $q \ \omega = \mathcal{T}^* \bar{\omega} \in E_x \rightarrow R$.
 A fibre $(T^*E)_x$ is a $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}$ -space with projection

$$\mathcal{F}^* : (T^*E)_x \rightarrow E_x \times E_x^*, \mathcal{F}^*(\omega) = (p^*(\omega), q(\omega)).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Pradines, J.: Représentation des jets non holonomes par des morphismes vectoriels doubles soudés, C.R.Acad. Sci.Paris Sér. A, 278 (1974), 1523-1526.
- [2] Kolar, I.: On the Jet Prolongations of Smooth Categories, Bull. de l'Académie Polonaise des sciences, Sér. des sci.math., astr. et phys. - Vol.XXIV, No.10, 1976.

SOUHRN

Dvojně vektorové prostory

A l e n a V a n ž u r o v á

V článku je podána geometrická axiomatizace kategorie
 dvojně lineárních prostorů a jejich morfismů, kterou zavedl
 J.Pradines v [1]. Ukazuje se, že každý $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}$ -prostor je iso-

morfní s některým triviálním \mathcal{L} -prostorem. V závěru je vyšetřována grupa všech \mathcal{L} -automorfismů triviálního \mathcal{L} -prostoru.

Р Е З Ю М Е

Двойно векторные пространства

А л е н а В а н ж у р о в а

В статье дается аксиоматическое описание двойно векторных пространств и двойно линейных морфизмов, которое более геометрично чем оригинальное определение введенное Прадином. С двойно векторными пространствами встречаемся в дифференциальной геометрии второго порядка, где служат слоями некоторых расслоений.

Author's address:

RNDr. Alena Vanžurová
přírodovědecká fakulta UP
Leninova 26
Olomouc
771 46
