

Vlasta Peřinová

On the nonhomogeneous algebraic integral equation

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 94 (1969), No. 3, 266--269

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108613>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1969

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE NONHOMOGENEOUS ALGEBRAIC INTEGRAL EQUATION

VLASTA PEŘINOVÁ, Olomouc

(Received August 25, 1967)

In this paper we shall deal with the nonhomogeneous algebraic integral equation

$$(1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=0}^j \mu^\alpha y^\alpha(s) L_j[y^{\alpha_1} \dots y^{\alpha_v}] = f(s), \quad s \in \langle a, b \rangle$$

where

$$L_j[] = \sum_{(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_v = j - \alpha)} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b L_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_v}(st_1 \dots t_v) [y^{\alpha_1}(t_1) \dots y^{\alpha_v}(t_v)] dt_1 \dots dt_v;$$

μ is a real or complex parameter, $L_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_v}(st_1 \dots t_v)$ and $f(s)$ are given real or complex functions. For equation (1) we shall study the problem of existence of "small" solutions in $C[a, b]$, i.e., of such solutions absolute values of which assume sufficiently small values, analogically as in [1].

Let us assume that the functions $L_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_v}(st_1 \dots t_v)$ are continuous in $\langle a, b \rangle \times \dots \times \langle a, b \rangle$ ($(v + 1)$ factors) and that $f(s)$ is continuous in $\langle a, b \rangle$. After excluding the term linearly depending on $y(s)$ we can write equation (1), under the assumption

$$(2) \quad g(s) \equiv \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b L_{10 \dots 0}(st_1 \dots t_v) dt_1 \dots dt_v \neq 0,$$

in the form

$$(3) \quad \mu y(s) - \int_a^b L(s, t) y(t) dt = V_0(s) - V(y; \mu)$$

where

$$L(s, t) = \frac{-1}{g(s)} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b [L_{010 \dots 0}(stt_2 \dots t_v) + L_{001 \dots 0}(st_2t \dots t_v) + \dots + L_{0 \dots 01}(st_v t_2 \dots t_{v-1}t)] dt_2 \dots dt_v,$$

$$V_0(s) = \frac{f(s)}{g(s)}, \quad V(y; \mu) = \frac{1}{g(s)} \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{\alpha=0}^j \mu^\alpha y^\alpha(s) L_j[y^{\alpha_1} \dots y^{\alpha_v}].$$

First we shall prove two inequalities. Assume that

$$(4) \quad \|y(s)\| = \max_s |y(s)| \leq w < d, \quad \|V_0(s)\| \leq V_0,$$

$$\sum_{(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_v = j - \alpha)} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b \left\| \frac{1}{g(s)} L_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_v}(st_1 \dots t_v) \right\| dt_1 \dots dt_v \leq B_{j\alpha}.$$

Then

$$\|V(y; \mu)\| \leq \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{\alpha=0}^j |\mu|^\alpha \|y\|^j B_{j\alpha} \leq B(w, \mu)$$

where

$$B(w, \mu) = \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{\alpha=0}^j |\mu|^\alpha w^j B_{j\alpha}.$$

From the expression for $B(w, \mu)$ there follows

$$B(0, \mu) = 0, \quad B'(0, \mu) = 0, \quad B''(0, \mu) = 2 \sum_{\alpha=0}^2 |\mu|^\alpha B_{2\alpha}.$$

If $\sum_{\alpha=0}^2 |\mu|^\alpha B_{2\alpha} \neq 0$ then we can write

$$B(w, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} w^2 B''(\theta w, \mu), \quad 0 < \theta < 1$$

in a neighbourhood of the point $w = 0$ and for $\frac{1}{2} B''(\theta w, \mu) \leq P(\mu)$ there is

$$(5) \quad \|V(y; \mu)\| \leq w^2 P(\mu);$$

this is the first inequality mentioned above.

Now consider such a function $u(s) \in C[a, b]$ for which $\|u\| \leq w < d$. After some rearranging we obtain

$$\|y^\alpha(s) y^{\alpha_1}(t_1) \dots y^{\alpha_v}(t_v) - u^\alpha(s) u^{\alpha_1}(t_1) \dots u^{\alpha_v}(t_v)\| \leq j w^{j-1} \|y - u\|;$$

from this expression there follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(y; \mu) - V(u; \mu)\| &\leq \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{\alpha=0}^j j w^{j-1} |\mu|^\alpha B_{j\alpha} \|y - u\| = \\ &= w B''(\theta_1 w, \mu) \|y - u\| \quad (0 < \theta_1 < 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there is

$$(6) \quad \|V(y; \mu) - V(u; \mu)\| \leq 2w P(\mu) \|y - u\|;$$

this is the second inequality mentioned above.

In this paper we shall study the case when the homogeneous linear integral equation

$$(7) \quad \mu y(s) - \int_a^b L(s, t) y(t) dt = 0$$

has only the trivial solution. Then there exists the continuous resolving kernel $R(s, t; \mu)$ of the kernel $L(s, t)$ and equation (3) can be written in the form

$$(8) \quad y(s) = W_0(s; \mu) - W(y; \mu)$$

where

$$W_0(s; \mu) = V_0(s) + \int_a^b R(s, t; \mu) V_0(t) dt,$$

$$W(y; \mu) = V(y; \mu) + \int_a^b R(s, t; \mu) V(y; \mu) dt.$$

Under the assumption

$$\left\| 1 + \int_a^b R(s, t; \mu) dt \right\| \leq R(\mu)$$

and on the basis of relations (4), (5) and (6) we get the following inequalities

$$(9) \quad \|W_0(s; \mu)\| \leq V_0 R(\mu) = R^*(\mu), \quad \|W(y; \mu)\| \leq w^2 R(\mu) P(\mu) = w^2 P^*(\mu),$$

$$(10) \quad \|W(y; \mu) - W(u; \mu)\| \leq 2w P(\mu) R(\mu) \|y - u\| = 2w P^*(\mu) \|y - u\|.$$

Let us denote $\max(R^*(\mu), P^*(\mu)) = T^*(\mu)$ and study the quadratic equation

$$(11) \quad \tau^2 T^*(\mu) - \tau + T^*(\mu) = 0.$$

For $T^*(\mu) < \frac{1}{2}$ both roots are positive and the smaller one

$$\tau = \frac{1 - \sqrt{(1 - 4T^*(\mu))}}{2T^*(\mu)} = T^*(\mu) + (T^*(\mu))^3 + \dots$$

tends to zero simultaneously with $T^*(\mu)$. Then $\tau < d$ holds for $T^*(\mu)$ small enough.

Theorem. *Let the following assumptions hold*

- a) *the homogeneous linear integral equation (7) has only the trivial solution,*
- b) *for the smaller root τ of equation (11) there is $\tau < d$,*
- c) *$\tau P^*(\mu) < \frac{1}{2}$.*

Then equation (1) has only one solution $y(s) \in C[a, b]$ for which $\|y\| < d$ holds.

Proof. Let us find a solution of equation (8) by means of the method of successive approximations

$$(12) \quad y_0(s; \mu) = W_0(s; \mu),$$

$$y_k(s; \mu) = W_0(s; \mu) - W(y_{k-1}; \mu), \quad k = \overline{1, \infty}.$$

Using the inequalities (9) and taking the condition b) of the theorem into account we

obtain

$$\|y_0\| \leq R^*(\mu) < \tau,$$

$$\|y_1\| \leq \|W_0(s; \mu)\| + \|W(y_0; \mu)\| < T^*(\mu) + \tau^2 T^*(\mu) = \tau,$$

$$\|y_k\| \leq \|W_0(s; \mu)\| + \|W(y_{k-1}; \mu)\| < T^*(\mu) + \tau^2 T^*(\mu) = \tau, \quad k = \overline{2, \infty},$$

that is

$$\|y_k(s; \mu)\| < \tau, \quad k = \overline{0, \infty}.$$

Using inequality (10) we get

$$\|y_k - y_{k-1}\| \leq (2\tau P^*(\mu))^{k-1} \tau^2 P^*(\mu), \quad k = \overline{1, \infty}.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{k+l} - y_k\| &\leq \|y_{k+l} - y_{k+l-1}\| + \dots + \|y_{k+1} - y_k\| \leq \\ &\leq (2\tau P^*(\mu))^k \frac{1 - (2\tau P^*(\mu))^l}{1 - 2\tau P^*(\mu)} \tau^2 P^*(\mu) \end{aligned}$$

and that means, according to the condition c) of the theorem, that the sequence $\{y_k(s; \mu)\}$ is fundamental. Because of the completeness of the space $C[a, b]$ the sequence $\{y_k(s; \mu)\}$ converges to a function $y(s; \mu)$. If we pass on to the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ in relation (12) the limit function satisfies equation (8) and so, under condition (2), it is a solution of equation (1).

Let us suppose that there exists another solution $y^*(s)$ of equation (8) with $\|y^*\| < d$. Then the difference of these solutions satisfies the relation

$$y(s) - y^*(s) = W(y; \mu) - W(y^*; \mu).$$

Using inequality (10) we get

$$\|y - y^*\| \leq 2\tau P^*(\mu) \|y - y^*\|.$$

From this relation $2\tau P^*(\mu) \geq 1$ follows for $y \neq y^*$, which is in contradiction with the assumption c) of the theorem. Hence, the theorem is proved.

If equation (7) has a non-trivial solution branching of the solution appears. Branching of solutions of equation (1) has been studied from a certain point of view in [2].

References

- [1] *L. Lichtenstein*: Vorlesungen über einige Klassen nichtlinearer Integralgleichungen und Integro-Differentialgleichungen nebst Anwendungen, Berlin 1931.
- [2] *V. Peřinová*: Branching of Solution of Algebraic Integral Equation, Čas. pěst. mat. 94 (1969), 253–265.

Author's address: Leninova 26, Olomouc (Palackého Universita).