

Karel Karták

An \mathcal{L}^* -convergence in differential equations

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 94 (1969), No. 3, 314--316

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108602>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1969

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

AN \mathcal{L}^* -CONVERGENCE IN DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

KAREL KARTÁK, Praha

(Received January 29, 1968)

0. In what follows, notations introduced in [1] are used. Especially, $\mathcal{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$; $I = \langle \tau, \tau + \alpha \rangle$, $\alpha > 0$, denotes a fixed compact interval, and G is a region in \mathcal{R}^n , for some fixed $n \in \mathcal{N}$. Further, all measurability notions refer to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^1$. A function having a constant value ξ on a domain considered will be denoted by $\hat{\xi}$. The symbol $\mathbf{C}(I; G)$ denotes the set of all continuous mappings from I to G , equipped with the uniform convergence on I ; the set of all Lebesgue integrable functions on I will be denoted by $\mathbf{L}(I)$.

The set of all Carathéodory operators T on $\mathbf{C}(I; G)$ (see [1] for this notion) such that the equation

$$(0.1) \quad x(t) = \xi + \int_{\tau}^t T x$$

has, for each $\xi \in G$, exactly one solution $\varphi(\cdot; \xi)$ defined over I , will be denoted by Γ .

For completeness, let us state here the Lebesgue-Vitali convergence theorem in the form used in this note: *Let*

- 1° $f_i \in \mathbf{L}(I)$, $i \in \mathcal{N}$,
- 2° $f_i \geq 0$, $i \in \mathcal{N}$,
- 3° *there exists f such that f_i converge to f asymptotically on I .*

Then $f \in \mathbf{L}(I)$ and $\lim \int_I f_i = \int_I f$ iff the system $\{\int_{\tau}^t f_{ij}\}$, $i \in \mathcal{N}$, is equi-AC on I , i.e., given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\tau \leq a_1 < b_1 \leq a_2 < b_2 \leq \dots \leq a_r < b_r \leq \tau + \alpha$, $\sum_{j=1}^r (b_j - a_j) < \delta \Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^r |\int_{a_j}^{b_j} f_i| < \varepsilon$, independently of $i \in \mathcal{N}$.

For the proof, see e.g. [4].

1. This investigation starts from the following theorem on a necessary and sufficient condition for continuous dependence on a parameter, which solves a problem posed in [2].

(1,1) **Theorem.** *Let $A_i(n \times n)$, $b_i(n \times 1)$, $i \in \mathcal{N}$, be Lebesgue integrable matrices*

on I such that

1° $A_i \rightarrow 0, b_i \rightarrow 0$ asymptotically on I ,

2° $A_i \geq 0, b_i \geq 0, i \in \mathcal{N}$.

Let $\xi = [\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n] \in \mathcal{R}^n$ be positive, i.e. $\xi^k > 0, k = 1, \dots, n$, and let $\varphi_i(\cdot; \xi)$ be the solution of

$$x(t) = \xi + \int_t^t (Ax + b)$$

defined over I .

Then

3° $\varphi_i(\cdot; \xi) \rightarrow \hat{\xi}$ uniformly on I

iff

4° $\{\int_t^t A_i\}, \{\int_t^t b_i\}$ are equi-AC on I .

Proof. Let $A_i = (a_i^{kl}), b_i = (b_i^k), k, l = 1, \dots, n$. Let 3° be fulfilled. Then, denoting $\varphi_i = [\varphi_i^1, \dots, \varphi_i^n]$, we have evidently

$$\varphi_i^k(t) = \xi^k + \int_t^t \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_i^{kj} \varphi_i^j + b_i^k \right) \geq \xi^k + \xi^l \int_t^t a_i^{kl} + \int_t^t b_i^k \geq \xi^k,$$

hence $\int_I a_i^{kl} \rightarrow 0, \int_I b_i^k \rightarrow 0$. The assertion 4° now follows from the Lebesgue-Vitali theorem.

The step 4° \Rightarrow 3° follows immediately from a more general Theorem 8,4 of [1].

Theorem (1,1) may also be stated as follows.

(2,1) Theorem. Let 2° be fulfilled. Then 3° holds iff

5° $\int_I A_i \rightarrow 0, \int_I b_i \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. From the proof of Theorem (1,1) we see that 2° & 3° \Rightarrow 5°. On the other hand, it is known that 2° & 5° \Rightarrow 1°.

Remark. The positivity of ξ is substantial in the first part of the proof; for, on taking $n = 1$, we have for $\xi \leq 0$ that $0 = \hat{\xi} = a(t)\xi + (-\xi)a(t)$ for each $a \in L(I)$.

2. The above theorem may be given another form, using some more abstract notions.

Recall that a set \mathcal{E} is called an \mathcal{L}^* -space (see [3]) iff there are distinguished sequences $\{p_i\} \in \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{N}}$ called convergent such that the following is fulfilled:

1° if $\{p_i\}$ converges to $p \in \mathcal{E}$, $\lim p_i = p$ in symbol, and if $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$, then $\lim p_{k_i} = p$,

2° if $p_i = p$, then $\lim p_i = p$,

3° if $\lim p_i \neq p$, then there exist $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ such that no subsequence of $\{p_{k_i}\}$ converges to p .

Clearly, each subset of \mathcal{E} is an \mathcal{L}^* -space, too.

Let us show a procedure for introducing an \mathcal{L}^* -space structure onto a set $\mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$. Let \mathcal{C} be an \mathcal{L}^* -space; for each $p \in \mathcal{E}$, let $\sum_p \neq \emptyset$ be a set of mappings $S : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. When $\{p_i\} \in \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{N}}$, we write $\lim p_i = p$ iff $\lim S(p_i) = S(p)$, for each $S \in \sum_p$. It is easy to show that 1°, 2°, 3° of the definition are fulfilled.

3. Let \mathcal{E} be an \mathcal{L}^* -space, $p \in \mathcal{E}$. We say that $\varrho : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is an almostmetric at p iff $\lim p_i = p \Leftrightarrow \lim \varrho(p_i) = 0$. We say that \mathcal{E} is almostmetrical iff for each $p \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists an almostmetric at p .

Evidently, when \mathcal{E} is a metrizable topological space with a corresponding metric d , then $x \rightarrow d(x, p)$, $x \in \mathcal{E}$, is an almostmetric at p for the induced \mathcal{L}^* -structure.

In general, it seems difficult to give necessary and sufficient conditions for an \mathcal{L}^* -space to be almostmetrical. In section 5, we give an example pertinent to linear differential equations.

4. Let $\Gamma \in \Gamma$. For each $\xi \in G$, let $S_\xi(\Gamma) = \varphi(\cdot; \xi)$ be the solution of (0.1) over I . To introduce a natural \mathcal{L}^* -structure onto Γ , we apply the construction of section 2. Let $\Gamma, \Gamma_i \in \Gamma$, $i \in \mathcal{N}$. We put $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{C}(I; G)$ and $\sum_\Gamma = \{S_\xi; \xi \in G\}$; i.e., we write $\lim \Gamma_i = \Gamma$ iff $\lim S_\xi(\Gamma_i) = S_\xi(\Gamma)$ uniformly on I , for each $\xi \in G$. It would be of interest to decide whether this structure is almostmetrical. In the next section we define an almostmetric at a point of a subset of Γ .

5. Let $G = \mathcal{R}^n$. Let $A^+ = \{\Gamma \in \Gamma; \Gamma\varphi = [A\varphi + b]$, with $A, b \in \mathbf{L}(I)$ and non-negative a.e. on $I\}$. Using Corollary 8,2 of [1], we see easily that $\Gamma \in A^+$ iff

$$1^\circ \cup\varphi = \Gamma\varphi - \widehat{T}\hat{0} \text{ is linear,}$$

$$2^\circ \varphi \geq 0 \Rightarrow \Gamma\varphi \geq 0.$$

$$\text{For each } \Gamma \in A^+, \text{ put } \varrho(\Gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \int_I a^{kl} + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_I b^k.$$

(5,1) Theorem. ϱ is an almostmetric at 0 of A^+ .

Proof. This follows from Theorem (2,1) and Theorem 8,4 of [1].

References

- [1] K. Karták: A generalization of the Carathéodory theory of differential equations, Czech. Math. J., 17 (92) 1967, 482–514.
- [2] K. Karták: Problém 2, Časopis pěst. matem. 92 (1967), 476.
- [3] K. Kuratowski: Topologie I, Warszawa—Wrocław 1948.
- [4] I. I. Priwalow: Randeigenschaften analytischer Funktionen, Berlin 1956.

Author's address: Praha 6, Technická 1905 (Vysoká škola chemicko-technologická).