

Ivan Chajda; Bohdan Zelinka

Permutable tolerances

*Časopis pro pěstování matematiky*, Vol. 102 (1977), No. 3, 217--220

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108460>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## PERMUTABLE TOLERANCES

IVAN CHAJDA, Přešov, and BOHDAN ZELINKA, Liberec

(Received October 29, 1975)

1. In the papers [1] and [2] the so-called compatible tolerances on algebras are introduced. In the papers [2] and [3], existence conditions for compatible tolerances which are not congruences are investigated. In the paper [4] it is proved that the set of all compatible tolerances on a given algebra forms a lattice, some of whose properties are the same as those of the lattice of all congruences on this algebra or are analogous to them.

In [6] the importance of the permutability of congruences at investigating the lattice of all congruences of a given algebra is shown. For example, if all congruences on an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  are permutable, then this lattice is modular, and if  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a one-element sublgebra, then a generalization of Schreier's theorem on refinements (see Theorem 88 in [6]) holds for the congruences. Thus it is a natural problem to study which analogs hold between permutable congruences and permutable compatible tolerances on a given algebra.

2. By the symbol  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, \mathcal{F} \rangle$  we denote an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  with the support  $A$  and with the set  $\mathcal{F}$  of fundamental operations. If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a lattice, then we shall not distinguish an algebra and its support, i.e. for a lattice  $L$ , the symbol  $L$  denotes also the support of this lattice.

**Definition 1.** Let  $A$  be a set. Each reflexive and symmetric binary relation on  $A$  is called a *tolerance* on  $A$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, \mathcal{F} \rangle$  be an algebra and let  $T$  be a tolerance on  $A$ . The tolerance  $T$  is called *compatible with  $\mathfrak{A}$* , if each  $n$ -ary operation  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and arbitrary  $2n$  elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$  of  $A$  for which  $a_i T b_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  satisfy  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) T f(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ .

In the paper [4] it is proved that the set of all compatible tolerances on an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  forms a complete lattice with respect to the set inclusion. By  $LT(\mathfrak{A})$  we denote the lattice of all compatible tolerances on the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

The lattice operations in  $LT(\mathfrak{A})$  will be denoted by the symbols  $\vee$  (join),  $\wedge$  (meet). Further,  $K(\mathfrak{A})$  denotes the lattice of all congruences on the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  and

the symbol  $\cup$  denotes the join in the lattice  $K(\mathfrak{A})$ . By the symbol  $\circ$  we shall denote the set union of two tolerances (taken as subsets of the Cartesian power of the corresponding set).

From the definition it is evident that each congruence on an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a tolerance compatible with  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $A$  be a set, let  $R_1, R_2$  be two binary relations on  $A$ . The relations  $R_1, R_2$  are called *permutable*, if  $R_1 \cdot R_2 = R_2 \cdot R_1$ , where the symbol “ $\cdot$ ” denotes the product of relations.

3. In [6], supplement of Theorem 86, it is proved that if  $C_1, C_2$  are permutable congruences on an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then  $C_1 \cdot C_2 = C_1 \cup C_2$ . We shall study the interrelation between  $T_1 \cdot T_2$  and  $T_1 \vee T_2$  for compatible tolerances  $T_1, T_2$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $A$  be a set, let  $T_1, T_2$  be two tolerances on  $A$ . Then  $T_1 \cdot T_2$  is a tolerance on  $A$  if and only if  $T_1 \cdot T_2 = T_2 \cdot T_1$ , i.e. if  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are permutable.

Proof is straightforward.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, \mathcal{F} \rangle$  be an algebra, let  $T_1, T_2$  be two permutable tolerances from  $LT(\mathfrak{A})$ . Then  $T_1 \cdot T_2 \in LT(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $T_1 \cup T_2 \subseteq T_1 \vee T_2 \subseteq T_1 \cdot T_2 \subseteq (T_1 \cup T_2)^2 \subseteq (T_1 \vee T_2)^2$ .

Proof. By Theorem 3 from [5] we have  $T_1 \cdot T_2 \in LT(\mathfrak{A})$ . Since  $U \subseteq V$  and  $R \subseteq S$  implies  $R \cdot U \subseteq S \cdot V$  for any binary relation on  $A$ , the proof of the assertion concerning the inclusions is immediate.

**Corollary.** Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, \mathcal{F} \rangle$  be an algebra, let  $T_1 \in LT(\mathfrak{A}), T_2 \in LT(\mathfrak{A})$ . Let  $T_1, T_2$  be permutable, let  $T_1 \vee T_2$  be a congruence. Then  $T_1 \cdot T_2 = T_1 \vee T_2$ .

Proof. As  $T_1 \vee T_2$  is a congruence, we have  $(T_1 \vee T_2)^2 = T_1 \vee T_2$ . Thus  $T_1 \vee T_2 \subseteq T_1 \cdot T_2 \subseteq T_1 \vee T_2$ , which means  $T_1 \vee T_2 = T_1 \cdot T_2$ .

4. In the paper [7] it is proved that for every distributive lattice  $L$  the lattice  $K(L)$  is a sublattice of  $LT(L)$ , if and only if  $K(L) = LT(L)$ , i.e. if each compatible tolerance on  $L$  is a congruence (see Corollary 2 in [7]). Now we shall show that this condition follows from the condition of equality of product and join for congruences from  $LT(L)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $L$  be a distributive lattice. For any two congruences  $C_1, C_2$  on  $L$  let  $C_1 \cdot C_2 = C_1 \vee C_2$ . Then each compatible tolerance on  $L$  is a congruence.

Proof. Let the condition be fulfilled. Then any two congruences on  $L$  are permutable, because  $C_1 \cdot C_2 = C_1 \vee C_2 = C_2 \vee C_1 = C_2 \cdot C_1$  for any two con-

gruences  $C_1, C_2$  on  $L$ . The product  $C_1 \cdot C_2$  is a congruence on  $L$  (see for example [8]). But  $C_1 \cdot C_2 = C_1 \vee C_2$  is the least compatible tolerance on  $L$  which contains  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . As it is a congruence, we have  $C_1 \vee C_2 = C_1 \cup C_2$ . The meet in both  $K(L)$  and  $LT(L)$  is the set intersection, thus  $K(L)$  is a sublattice of  $LT(L)$ . By Corollary 2 from [7] this assertion is equivalent to the assertion of the theorem.

**Remark.** The assertion can be proved also by using the result from [9] saying that if all congruences on an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  from a given variety are permutable, then each compatible reflexive relation on  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a congruence.

5. Now we shall prove some theorems on permutable compatible tolerances and their transitive hulls. In [4] it is proved that the transitive hull of a tolerance compatible with an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a congruence on  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, \mathcal{F} \rangle$  be an algebra, let  $T_1, T_2$  be two permutable tolerances from  $LT(\mathfrak{A})$ . Let  $C_1, C_2$  be the transitive hulls of  $T_1, T_2$  respectively. Then  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are permutable and  $C_1 \cdot C_2$  is the transitive hull of  $T_1 \cdot T_2$ .*

**Proof.** We have  $C_1 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_1^n, C_2 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_2^n$ . Let  $a C_1 \cdot C_2 b$  for some  $a \in A, b \in A$ . This means that there exists  $c \in A$  such that  $a C_1 c, c C_2 b$ . Now there exist positive integers  $m, n$  such that  $a T_1^m c, c T_2^n b$ . Thus  $a T_1^m \cdot T_2^n b$ . As  $T_1, T_2$  are permutable, so are  $T_1^m, T_2^n$  and we have  $a T_2^n \cdot T_1^m b$ . There exists  $d \in A$  such that  $a T_2^n d, d T_1^m b$ . But  $T_2^n \subseteq C_2, T_1^m \subseteq C_1$  and we have  $a C_2 d, d C_1 b$ , which means  $a C_2 \cdot C_1 b$ . As  $a, b$  were chosen arbitrarily, we have  $C_1 \cdot C_2 \subseteq C_2 \cdot C_1$ . Analogously we can prove the inverse inclusion and thus  $C_1 \cdot C_2 = C_2 \cdot C_1$ . Now as  $T_1 \subseteq C_1, T_2 \subseteq C_2$ , we have  $T_1 \cdot T_2 \subseteq C_1 \cdot C_2$ , thus also the transitive hull of  $T_1 \cdot T_2$  is contained in  $C_1 \cdot C_2$ . Let  $x C_1 \cdot C_2 y$  for  $x \in A, y \in A$ . Then there exists  $z \in A$  such that  $x C_1 z, z C_2 y$ . This means that there exist positive integers  $r, s$  such that  $x T_1^r z, z T_2^s y$ . Let  $t = \max(r, s)$ . As  $T_1, T_2$  are reflexive, the inequalities  $r \leq t, s \leq t$  imply the inclusions  $T_1^r \subseteq T_1^t, T_2^s \subseteq T_2^t$ . We have  $x T_1^t z, z T_2^t y$ , which means  $x T_1^t \cdot T_2^t y$ . As  $T_1, T_2$  are permutable, we have  $T_1^t \cdot T_2^t = (T_1 \cdot T_2)^t$ . Thus  $C_1 \cdot C_2 \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (T_1 \cdot T_2)^n$ , but the right-hand side of this inclusion is the transitive hull of  $T_1 \cdot T_2$ . We have proved that this transitive hull is equal to  $C_1 \cdot C_2$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, \mathcal{F} \rangle$  be an algebra, let  $T \in LT(\mathfrak{A})$ . Let  $C$  be the transitive hull of  $T$ . Then  $C \cdot T = T \cdot C = C$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $I$  denote the identity relation on  $A$ . Then  $I \subseteq T \subseteq C$  and, by the remark above, we have

$$T = I \cdot T \subseteq C \cdot T \subseteq C \cdot C = C = C \cdot I \subseteq C \cdot T.$$

Hence  $C = C \cdot T$  and, similarly,  $C = T \cdot C$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, \mathcal{F} \rangle$  be an algebra. Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be the maximal (with respect to set inclusion) set of compatible tolerances on  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that any two tolerances from this set are permutable. Then  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a commutative semigroup with the property that each monogenous subsemigroup of  $\mathfrak{S}$  either is infinite, or has the period one. The unit element of  $\mathfrak{S}$  is the identity relation on  $A$ , the zero element of  $\mathfrak{S}$  is the universal relation on  $A$ .*

#### References

- [1] *B. Zelinka*: Tolerance in algebraic structures. Czech. Math. J. 20 (1970), 179—183.
- [2] *B. Zelinka*: Tolerance in algebraic structures II. Czech. Math. J. 25 (1975), 175—178.
- [3] *I. Chajda* and *B. Zelinka*: Tolerance relations on lattices. Čas. pěstov. mat. 99 (1974), 394 to 399.
- [4] *I. Chajda* and *B. Zelinka*: Lattices of tolerances. Čas. pěstov. mat. 102 (1977), 10—24.
- [5] *I. Chajda*: Systems of equations and tolerance relations. Czech. Math. J. 25 (100) 1975, 302—308.
- [6] *G. Szász*: Introduction to lattice theory. Akad. Kiadó Budapest 1963.
- [7] *I. Chajda* and *B. Zelinka*: Minimal compatible tolerances on lattices. Czech. Math. J. (to appear).
- [8] *A. Г. Куров*: Лекции по общей алгебре. Москва 1962.
- [9] *H. Werner*: A Mal'cev condition or admissible relations. Algebra Univ. 3 (1973), p. 263.

*Authors' addresses:* I. Chajda, 750 00 Přerov, tř. Lidových milicí 290. B. Zelinka, 460 01 Liberec 1, Komenského 2 (katedra matematiky VŠST).