

Marek Fila

On some properties of solutions of the Cauchy problem for a quasilinear parabolic equation

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 109 (1984), No. 3, 268--276

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108435>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON SOME PROPERTIES OF SOLUTIONS OF THE CAUCHY PROBLEM
FOR A QUASILINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATION

MAREK FILA, Bratislava

(Received August 29, 1983)

In this paper we show that some properties of the initial function (e.g. monotonicity, boundedness) induce similar properties of the classical solution (its every t -cut) of the Cauchy problem for parabolic equations of a certain type. Further we discuss the asymptotic behaviour of the solution as $t \rightarrow \infty$, especially the convergence to a solution of an ordinary differential equation.

All proofs are based on the maximum principle which will be used in the form given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T]$ (Ω is an unbounded domain in R^n), $S_T = \{(x, t) : x \in \partial\Omega, t \in (0, T]\} \cup \{(x, 0) : x \in \bar{\Omega}\}$. Assume that the operator

$$Lu \equiv -u_t + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) u_{x_i x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x, t) u_{x_i} + c(x, t) u$$

satisfies in Q_T the conditions

- (1) $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) y_i y_j \geq 0$ for any $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in R^n$,
 (2) $|a_{ij}(x, t)| \leq M$, $|b_i(x, t)| \leq M(\|x\| + 1)$, $|c(x, t)| \leq M(\|x\|^2 + 1)$,

M being a positive constant. If $Lu \geq 0$ in Q_T , $u|_{S_T} \leq 0$ and

$$u(x, t) \leq D \exp(d\|x\|^2) \text{ in } \bar{Q}_T$$

for some positive constants D, d , then $u \leq 0$ in \bar{Q}_T .

This theorem is a simple generalization of Theorem 9.4.II, [1], where the coefficients of L are supposed to be continuous, a_{ij} form a positive definite matrix and $\Omega = R^n$. The proof of Theorem 1 is practically the same as that of the above mentioned theorem.

For studying the asymptotic behaviour we shall need the following immediate corollary of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. Let $Q = \Omega \times (0, \infty)$, $S = \{(x, t) : x \in \partial\Omega, t \in (0, \infty)\} \cup \{(x, 0) : x \in \bar{\Omega}\}$. Let L satisfy the assumptions (1) and (2) in Q , where the positive constant M is replaced by a positive continuous nondecreasing function $M(t)$. If $Lu \geq 0$ in Q , $u|_S \leq 0$ and

$$u(x, t) \leq D \exp(d(t) \|x\|^2) \quad \text{in } \bar{Q}$$

($D > 0$, $d(t)$ is a positive continuous nondecreasing function), then $u \leq 0$ in \bar{Q} .

Let us consider the equation

$$(3) \quad u_t = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t, u, u_x) u_{x_i x_j} + b(x, t, u, u_x) + B(t, u)$$

given in $P = R^n \times (0, T]$, where the coefficients satisfy for all $(x, t) \in P$, $u \in R$, $p \in R^n$ the conditions:

$$a_{ij}(x, t, u, p) \text{ are bounded and } \sum a_{ij}(x, t, u, p) y_i y_j \geq 0, \\ |b(x, t, u, p)| \leq M(\|x\| + 1) \|p\|,$$

B is Lipschitz continuous with respect to u , i.e.

$$|B(t, u) - B(t, v)| \leq K|u - v|$$

for any $u, v \in R$, $t \in [0, T]$ and some $K > 0$.

We shall study the solutions of the Cauchy problem for the equation (3) with the initial condition

$$(4) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in R^n.$$

We shall consider such solutions that the inequality

$$(5) \quad |u(x, t)| \leq D \exp(d\|x\|^2)$$

holds in \bar{P} .

Theorem 2. Let $n = 1$ ($P = R \times (0, T]$). Let u be a solution of the Cauchy problem (3), (4) satisfying (5). If the initial function u_0 is nonincreasing (nondecreasing), then any t -cut of the solution u is nonincreasing (nondecreasing).

Proof. Let u_0 be a nonincreasing function. Let $\Omega = \{(x, y) : x > y, x, y \in R\}$, $P_1 = \Omega \times (0, T]$. Let $w(x, y, t) = u(x, t) - u(y, t)$ in \bar{P}_1 and define in P_1

$$A_{11}(x, y, t) = a_{11}(x, t, u(x, t), u_x(x, t)), \\ A_{22}(x, y, t) = a_{11}(y, t, u(y, t), u_y(y, t)), \\ A_1(x, y, t) = M(|x| + 1) \operatorname{sgn} u_x(x, t), \\ A_2(x, y, t) = -M(|y| + 1) \operatorname{sgn} u_y(y, t), \\ A(x, y, t) = K \operatorname{sgn} (u(x, t) - u(y, t)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 Lw &\equiv -w_t + A_{11}w_{xx} + A_{22}w_{yy} + A_1w_x + A_2w_y + Aw = \\
 &= -u_t(x, t) + u_t(y, t) + A_{11}u_{xx}(x, t) - A_{22}u_{yy}(y, t) + \\
 &+ M(|x| + 1) |u_x(x, t)| + M(|y| + 1) |u_y(y, t)| + K|u(x, t) - u(y, t)| \geq \\
 &\geq -u_t(x, t) + a_{11}(x, t, u, u_x) u_{xx}(x, t) + b(x, t, u, u_x) + B(t, u(x, t)) + \\
 &+ u_t(y, t) - a_{11}(y, t, u, u_y) u_{yy}(y, t) - b(y, t, u, u_y) - B(t, u(y, t)) = 0 \quad \text{in } P_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

L satisfies the conditions (1), (2) in P_1 . Obviously $w(x, y, t) = 0$ for any $(x, y, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T]$, $w(x, y, 0) = u_0(x) - u_0(y) \leq 0$. Further,

$$|w(x, y, t)| = |u(x, t) - u(y, t)| \leq De^{dx^2} + De^{dy^2} \leq 2D \exp [d(x^2 + y^2)].$$

All assumptions of Theorem 1 being satisfied, we obtain that $w \leq 0$ in \bar{P}_1 , i.e. $u(x, t) \leq u(y, t)$ for all $(x, t), (y, t) \in P$, $x > y$.

Theorem 3. Let u be a solution of the problem (3), (4) satisfying (5). Assume that the function B in the equation (3) is continuous on $[0, T] \times R$. If

$$m_1 \leq u_0(x) \leq m_2 \quad (m_1, m_2 \in R)$$

and v_1, v_2 are solutions of the equation

$$v' = B(t, v), \quad t \in (0, T],$$

with initial conditions

$$v_1(0) = m_1, \quad v_2(0) = m_2,$$

then $v_1(t) \leq u(x, t) \leq v_2(t)$ holds in \bar{P} .

Proof. Due to the properties of B , v_1, v_2 exist on the whole interval $[0, T]$, they are unique and $v_1(t) < v_2(t)$ ($t \in [0, T]$) if $m_1 < m_2$. Let $w(x, t) = v_1(t) - u(x, t)$ in \bar{P} and denote in P

$$A_{ij}(x, t) = a_{ij}(x, t, u(x, t), u_x(x, t)),$$

$$A_i(x, t) = -M(\|x\| + 1) \operatorname{sgn} u_{x_i}(x, t),$$

$$A(x, t) = K \operatorname{sgn} (v_1(t) - u(x, t)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 Lw &\equiv -w_t + \Sigma A_{ij}w_{x_i x_j} + \Sigma A_i w_{x_i} + Aw = \\
 &= -v_1' + u_t - \Sigma A_{ij}u_{x_i x_j} + M(\|x\| + 1) \Sigma |u_{x_i}| + K|v_1 - u| \geq \\
 &\geq -v_1' + u_t - \Sigma a_{ij}(x, t, u, u_x) u_{x_i x_j} - b(x, t, u, u_x) - B(t, u) + B(t, v_1) = 0, \\
 &w(x, 0) = m_1 - u_0(x) \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the maximum principle (Theorem 1) we get that $w(x, t) \leq 0$ in \bar{P} . For proving the other part of the assertion let us define $\bar{w}(x, t) = u(x, t) - v_2(t)$. Then $\bar{L}\bar{w} \geq 0$, $\bar{w}(x, 0) \leq 0$, hence also $\bar{w}(x, t) \leq 0$ in \bar{P} .

Corollary 2. *If the assumptions of Theorem 3 are fulfilled and the initial function u_0 is constant, then the problem (3), (4) has a solution which is unique in the class of functions satisfying (5). This solution coincides with the solution of the problem*

$$(6) \quad u' = B(t, u), \quad t \in (0, T],$$

$$(7) \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

Proof. The solution u of the problem (6), (7) obviously satisfies the problem (3), (4). That it is the unique solution satisfying (5) follows from Theorem 3.

Corollary 3. *If the assumptions of Theorem 3 are fulfilled, then u is a bounded function.*

Corollary 4. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 3 be fulfilled. If the function B is nonincreasing in the second variable, then*

$$|u(x, t) - u(y, t)| \leq m_2 - m_1 \quad \text{for any } (x, t), (y, t) \in \bar{P}.$$

(The modulus of continuity of any t -cut of the solution u is bounded by the same number as the modulus of continuity of the initial function u_0 .)

Proof. According to Theorem 3, the inequality $v_1(t) \leq u(x, t) \leq v_2(t)$ holds, where v_1, v_2 are solutions of $v' = B(t, v)$, $v_1(0) = m_1$, $v_2(0) = m_2$, hence

$$|u(x, t) - u(y, t)| \leq v_2(t) - v_1(t) \equiv r(t).$$

Since $r' = B(t, v_2) - B(t, v_1) \leq 0$, so the function r is nonincreasing on $[0, T]$, $r(t) \leq r(0) = m_2 - m_1$.

A theorem analogous to Theorem 3 holds also in the case when the equation (3) is given in $Q = R^n \times (0, \infty)$ and its coefficients satisfy for any $(x, t) \in Q$, $u, v \in R$, $p, y \in R^n$ the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{ij}(x, t, u, p)| &\leq M(t), \quad \sum a_{ij}(x, t, u, p) y_i y_j \geq 0, \\ |b(x, t, u, p)| &\leq M(t) (\|x\| + 1) \|p\|, \end{aligned}$$

where M is a positive continuous nondecreasing function on $(0, \infty)$ and B is continuous on $(0, \infty) \times R$ and Lipschitz continuous in the second variable.

Theorem 4. *Let u be a solution of the equation (3) in Q with the initial condition (4). Let*

$$(8) \quad |u(x, t)| \leq D \exp [d(t) \|x\|^2] \quad \text{in } \bar{Q}$$

for a positive constant D and a positive continuous nondecreasing function d . If

$$m_1 \leq u_0(x) \leq m_2 \quad (m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R})$$

and v_1, v_2 are solutions of the equation

$$v' = B(t, v), \quad t \in (0, \infty),$$

with initial conditions

$$v_1(0) = m_1, \quad v_2(0) = m_2,$$

then $v_1(t) \leq u(x, t) \leq v_2(t)$ in \bar{Q} .

This theorem can be proved in the same way as Theorem 3 only using Corollary 1 instead of Theorem 1.

Concerning the asymptotic behaviour of the solution, a natural problem arises: to describe such functions B that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [v_1(t) - v_2(t)] = 0,$$

or that $v_2(t) - v_1(t)$ does not increase. Sufficient conditions are given in the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let a function B defined on $[t_0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the condition

$$(9) \quad B(t, y_2) - B(t, y_1) \leq G(t)(y_2 - y_1) \quad \text{for any } y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$

$y_2 > y_1, t \geq t_0$, where G is a function satisfying

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} G(t) dt = -\infty.$$

If the equation $v' = B(t, v), t \in (t_0, \infty)$ has the global uniqueness property and v_1, v_2 are solutions of this equation (defined on $[t_0, \infty)$), then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [v_2(t) - v_1(t)] = 0.$$

Proof. Let $v_1(t_0) = m_1, v_2(t_0) = m_2, m_1 < m_2$. The global uniqueness property implies that

$$\begin{aligned} r(t) &= v_2(t) - v_1(t) > 0, \\ r' &= B(t, v_2) - B(t, v_1) \leq G(t)(v_2 - v_1) = G(t)r, \\ r(t_0) &= m_2 - m_1, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$r(t) \leq (m_2 - m_1) \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^t G(s) ds\right)$$

and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t) = 0$.

Remark 1. The condition (9) holds for example in the case that $B_u(t, u)$ exists and has a negative upper bound.

Lemma 2. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 1 except of the condition (9) hold. If B is nonincreasing in the second variable, then $r(t) = v_2(t) - v_1(t)$ does not increase on the interval $[t_0, \infty)$.*

Proof. $r' = B(t, v_2) - B(t, v_1) \leq 0$.

Combining Theorem 4 and Lemma 1 we get

Corollary 5. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 4 hold, let B satisfy the condition (9) on $[0, \infty) \times R$. If v is a solution of*

$$(10) \quad v' = B(t, v), \quad t \in (0, \infty),$$

$$(11) \quad v(0) = v_0,$$

v_0 is an arbitrary real number, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |u(x, t) - v(t)| = 0$$

uniformly with respect to x , i.e. for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that for all $(x, t) \in Q$ the following implication holds:

$$t > t_0 \Rightarrow |u(x, t) - v(t)| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. $|u(x, t) - v(t)| \leq (u(x, t) - v_1(t)) + |v_1(t) - v(t)| \leq (v_2(t) - v_1(t)) + |v_1(t) - v(t)|$, and the last expression converges to zero according to Lemma 1.

Remark 2. In Corollary 5 choose $v_0 \in [m_1, m_2]$ ($m_1 \leq \inf_{R^n} u_0(x)$, $m_2 \geq \sup_{R^n} u_0(x)$).

Let the function G from (9) be nonpositive. If we replace the solution of the problem (3), (4) by the solution of (10), (11) on an interval $[t_0, \infty)$, then the error is estimated by the number

$$(m_2 - m_1) \exp \left(\int_0^{t_0} G(s) ds \right).$$

(Recalling the proof of Lemma 1 we have

$$|u(x, t) - v(t)| \leq v_2(t) - v_1(t) \leq (m_2 - m_1) \exp \left(\int_0^t G(s) ds \right);$$

the last function is nonincreasing and converges to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.)

If the function B is only nonincreasing in the second variable, then applying Lemma 2 we obtain

$$|u(x, t) - v(t)| \leq v_2(t) - v_1(t) \leq m_2 - m_1.$$

In some cases it is possible to make some conclusions about the character of the solution even if the condition (9) is not satisfied.

Example. Consider a solution u of the problem

$$Lu \equiv -u_t + \sum a_{ij}(x, t) u_{x_i x_j} + \sum b_i(x, t) u_{x_i} + c(t) u = f(t) \quad \text{in } Q,$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad |u_0(x)| \leq N \quad (N > 0), \quad x \in R^n.$$

Assume that u satisfies (8). Let the coefficients of L satisfy in Q the conditions: a_{ij} form a positive semidefinite matrix, $|a_{ij}(x, t)| \leq M(t)$,

$$|b_i(x, t)| \leq M(t) (\|x\| + 1), \quad c_1 \leq c(t) \leq c_2 \quad (c_1, c_2 \in R, \quad c_2 > 0),$$

c, f are continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

Theorem 4 yields only that $v_1(t) \leq u(x, t) \leq v_2(t)$, where v_1, v_2 are solutions of $v' = c(t)v - f(t)$, $t \in (0, \infty)$, $v_1(0) = -N$, $v_2(0) = N$. Neither Lemma 1 nor Lemma 2 can be applied.

Introduce the substitution $w = ue^{-\lambda t}$, $\lambda = c_2 + \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$. w is a solution of the problem

$$w_t = \sum a_{ij} w_{x_i x_j} + \sum b_i w_{x_i} + (c - \lambda) w - fe^{-\lambda t} \quad \text{in } Q,$$

$$w(x, 0) = u_0(x).$$

$B(t, u) \equiv (c(t) - \lambda)u - e^{-\lambda t} f(t)$ satisfies the assumption (9) according to Remark 1 ($B_u(t, u) = c(t) - \lambda \leq -\varepsilon$). Using Corollary 5 we obtain that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |w(x, t) - z(t)| = 0$, where z is the solution of $z' = B(t, z)$, $z(0) = 0$. If the relation $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = 0$ does not hold, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(x_0, t) = 0$ does not hold for any $x_0 \in R^n$. (This means, for example, that there exists no polynomial P such that $|u(x_0, t)| \leq P(t)$, $t \in [0, \infty)$.)

In what follows we shall consider the equation

$$(12) \quad u_t = a(x, t, u, u_x) u_{xx} + b(x, t, u, u_x) + B(t)$$

in $P = R \times (0, T]$, where coefficients $a(x, t, u, p)$, $b(x, t, u, p)$ for any $(x, t) \in P$, $u, p \in R$ satisfy the conditions:

$$(13) \quad a(x, t, u, p) \geq m \quad \text{for some } m > 0,$$

$a(x, t, u, p)$ is bounded, $|b(x, t, u, p)| \leq M|p|$ for some $M > 0$. B is an arbitrary function defined on $(0, T]$.

Theorem 5. Let u be a solution of the Cauchy problem for the equation (12) satisfying (5). If the initial function u_0 is bounded ($|u_0(x)| \leq J$, $J > 0$) and Lipschitz continuous, then u is bounded and $|u_x(x, t)| \leq C$, where C is a constant depending only on J, m, M and the Lipschitz constant K of u_0 .

Proof. Introduce the function $f(s) = \min \{Ks, 2J\}$, $s \in [0, \infty)$. Then $|u_0(x) - u_0(y)| \leq f(|x - y|)$ for any $x, y \in R$. If M_1 is a positive number, then there exists

$k > 0$ such that $f(s) \leq k - k e^{-M_1 s} \equiv g(s)$ holds for $s \in [0, \infty)$. The function g is concave ($g''(s) = -M_1^2 k e^{-M_1 s} < 0$), increasing ($g'(s) = M_1 k e^{-M_1 s} > 0$) for any $k > 0$, so it suffices to choose such a k that $g(2J/K) = 2J$, i.e.

$$k = 2J \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{2M_1 J}{K}\right) \right]^{-1}.$$

g satisfies the equation $g'' + M_1 g' = 0$ in $(0, \infty)$, $g(0) = 0$. Define P_1, w, A_{11}, A_{22} in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2, set $z(x, y, t) = g(x - y)$ in \bar{P}_1 for $M_1 = M/m$. In P_1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 w &\equiv -w_t + A_{11} w_{xx} + A_{22} w_{yy} + M(|w_x| + |w_y|) \geq \\ &\geq -u_t(x, t) + u_t(y, t) + A_{11} u_{xx}(x, t) - A_{22} u_{yy}(y, t) + \\ &\quad + b(x, t, u, u_x) - b(y, t, u, u_y) + B(t) - B(t) = 0, \\ L_1 z &= -z_t + A_{11} z_{xx} + A_{22} z_{yy} + M(|z_x| + |z_y|) \leq \\ &\leq A_{11} \left(z_{xx} + \frac{M}{m} |z_x| \right) + A_{22} \left(z_{yy} + \frac{M}{m} |z_y| \right) = \\ &= (A_{11} + A_{22}) (g''(x - y) + M_1 g'(x - y)) = 0, \\ 0 \leq L_1 w - L_1 z &= -(w - z)_t + A_{11} (w - z)_{xx} + A_{22} (w - z)_{yy} + \\ &\quad + A_1 (w - z)_x + A_2 (w - z)_y = L'_1 (w - z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(x, y, t) &= M \frac{|u_x(x, t)| - |z_x(x, y, t)|}{u_x(x, t) - z_x(x, y, t)}, \quad u_x(x, t) \neq z_x(x, y, t). \\ &= 0, \quad u_x(x, t) = z_x(x, y, t). \end{aligned}$$

A_2 is defined analogously. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, y, 0) = u_0(x) - u_0(y) &\leq f(x - y) \leq g(x - y) = z(x, y, 0), \\ w(x, x, t) = 0 &= g(0) = z(x, x, t). \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 1, $w \leq z$ in \bar{P}_1 , i.e.

$$u(x, t) - u(y, t) \leq g(x - y) - g(0),$$

hence $u_x(x, t) \leq g'(0) = M_1 k = C$. (Analogously $-w \leq z$ in \bar{P}_1 and $-u_x(x, t) \leq g'(0)$.) Furthermore, we get $|u(x, t) - u(y, t)| \leq g(x - y)$, where g is a bounded function ($g(s) \leq k$, $s \in [0, \infty)$). Take an arbitrary $x_0 \in R$, then $u(x, t) = u(x_0, t) + [u(x, t) - u(x_0, t)]$. The second member on the right-hand side is bounded as well as the first one, because we consider a classical solution u , so its x_0 -cut is a function continuous on $[0, T]$.

Remark 3. The assumption that the equation (12) is not degenerate is not essential. The condition (13) can be replaced by the following one:

$$a(x, t, u, p) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } (x, t) \in P, \quad u, p \in R,$$

if we assume that

$$|b(x, t, u, p) - b(y, t, v, q)| \leq M[a(x, t, u, p)|p| + a(y, t, v, q)|q|]$$

for some $M > 0$ and any $t \in (0, T]$, $x, y, u, v, p, q \in R$. Then the constant C depends only on M, K, J . In the proof we set $z(x, y, t) = g(x - y)$ for $M_1 = M$. Instead of L_1 we introduce

$$\bar{L}_1 w \equiv -w_t + A_{11}(w_{xx} + M|w_x|) + A_{22}(w_{yy} + M|w_y|).$$

We obtain

$$|u_x(x, t)| \leq g'(0) = 2MJ \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{2MJ}{K}\right) \right]^{-1}.$$

References

- [1] *A. Friedman: Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type.* Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice - Hall, Inc., 1964.

Author's address: 842 15 Bratislava, Mlynská dolina (Matematicko-fyzikálna fakulta UK).