

Silviu Craciunas

Quasiconformality and equivalent norms

*Archivum Mathematicum*, Vol. 37 (2001), No. 2, 115--123

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107793>

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## QUASICONFORMALITY AND EQUIVALENT NORMS

SILVIU CRACIUNAS

ABSTRACT. We study the behaviour of a quasiconformal mapping when we change the norms of the considered normed spaces by other equivalent norms. We propose a new metric definition with which we can study the interdependence between a quasiconformal homeomorphism and the new equivalent norms of the normed spaces.

Let  $E, F$  be two normed spaces,  $D \subset E$ ,  $D' \subset F$  open sets and  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  a homeomorphism. The scalar derivatives of  $f$  at a point  $x$  are defined by

$$D^+ f(x) = \limsup_{x' \rightarrow x} \frac{\|f(x') - f(x)\|_F}{\|x' - x\|_E}, \quad D^- f(x) = \liminf_{x' \rightarrow x} \frac{\|f(x') - f(x)\|_F}{\|x' - x\|_E}.$$

We recall also the linear dilatation of  $f$  at  $x$  as defined by

$$H(x, f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{L(x, f, r)}{l(x, f, r)}$$

where

$$L(x, f, r) = \sup \{ \|f(x') - f(x)\|_F, x' \in D, \|x' - x\|_E = r \}$$

$$l(x, f, r) = \inf \{ \|f(x') - f(x)\|_F, x' \in D, \|x' - x\|_E = r \}.$$

**Definition 1.**  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  is  $K$ -quasiconformal in the metric sense,  $K \geq 1$ , ( $K$ -MQC), if

$$H(x, f) \leq K, \quad (\forall) x \in D.$$

**Definition 2.**  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  is  $K$ -quasiconformal in the analytical sense,  $K \geq 1$ , ( $K$ -AQC), if

- (i)  $D^- f(x) > 0$ ,  $D^+ f(x) < \infty$ ,  $(\forall) x \in D$ ,
- (ii)  $D^+ f(x) \leq K \cdot D^- f(x)$ ,  $(\forall) x \in D$ .

**Definition 3.** If in the previous definitions  $K = 1$ , we say that  $f$  is conformal in the metric sense (MC) respectively in the analytical sense (AC).

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C65.

*Key words and phrases*: quasiconformality, quasiconformal homeomorphisms, normed space, equivalent norms, renorming.

Received October 26, 1999.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  be a  $K$ -AQC homeomorphism. If we replace the norms of  $E$  and  $F$  by some equivalent norms, then, for a certain  $K'$ ,  $f$  becomes  $K'$ -AQC homeomorphism.*

**Proof.** Let  $\|\cdot\|_{1E}$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{1F}$  be two norms equivalent to the initial norms of  $E$ , respectively  $F$  and  $m_1$ ,  $M_1$ ,  $m'_1$ ,  $M'_1$  strictly positive numbers such that

$$m_1 \cdot \|x\|_E \leq \|x\|_{1E} \leq M_1 \cdot \|x\|_E, (\forall) x \in E$$

and

$$m'_1 \cdot \|y\|_F \leq \|y\|_{1F} \leq M'_1 \cdot \|y\|_F, (\forall) y \in F$$

respectively.

We will have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{11}^+ f(x) &= \limsup_{x' \rightarrow x} \frac{\|f(x') - f(x)\|_{1F}}{\|x' - x\|_{1E}} \\ &\leq \frac{M'_1}{m_1} \cdot \limsup_{x' \rightarrow x} \frac{\|f(x') - f(x)\|_F}{\|x' - x\|_E} = \frac{M'_1}{m_1} \cdot D^+ f(x), \\ D_{11}^- f(x) &= \liminf_{x' \rightarrow x} \frac{\|f(x') - f(x)\|_{1F}}{\|x' - x\|_{1E}} \\ &\geq \frac{m'_1}{M_1} \cdot \liminf_{x' \rightarrow x} \frac{\|f(x') - f(x)\|_F}{\|x' - x\|_E} = \frac{m'_1}{M_1} \cdot D^- f(x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{11}^+ f(x) &\leq \frac{M'_1}{m_1} \cdot D^+ f(x) \leq \frac{M'_1}{m_1} \cdot K \cdot D^- f(x) \\ &\leq \frac{M'_1}{m_1} \cdot \frac{M_1}{m'_1} \cdot K \cdot D_{11}^- f(x). \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the required result with  $K' = \frac{M'_1}{m_1} \cdot \frac{M_1}{m'_1} \cdot K$ .  $\square$

For the metric definition we prove the invariance of the quasiconformality only for the renorming of the arrival space.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  be  $K$ -MQC homeomorphism. If we replace the norm of  $F$  by an equivalent norm, then, for a certain  $K'$ ,  $f$  becomes  $K'$ -MQC homeomorphism.*

**Proof.** Let  $\|\cdot\|_{1F}$  be a norm equivalent to the initial norm of  $F$  and, as in the preceding proof,  $m'_1$ ,  $M'_1$  strictly positive numbers such that

$$m'_1 \cdot \|y\|_F \leq \|y\|_{1F} \leq M'_1 \cdot \|y\|_F, (\forall) y \in F.$$

Let  $\eta > 0$ . There exists  $r_\eta > 0$  so that for any  $0 < r < r_\eta$ ,

$$\frac{L(x, f, r)}{l(x, f, r)} < K + \eta.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exist

$$x'_\varepsilon, x''_\varepsilon \in D$$

so that

$$\|x'_\varepsilon - x\| = \|x''_\varepsilon - x\| = r$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_{11}(x, f, r) - \varepsilon &= \sup \{ \|f(x') - f(x)\|_{1F}; x' \in D, \|x' - x\| = r \} - \varepsilon \\ &< \|f(x'_\varepsilon) - f(x)\|_{1F} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} l_{11}(x, f, r) + \varepsilon &= \inf \left\{ \left\| f(x'') - f(x) \right\|_{1F}; x'' \in D, \|x'' - x\| = r \right\} + \varepsilon \\ &> \|f(x''_\varepsilon) - f(x)\|_{1F} \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

In the previous inequalities  $r$  can be fixed so that  $r < r_\eta$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{11}(x, f, r) - \varepsilon &< \|f(x'_\varepsilon) - f(x)\|_{1F} \leq M'_1 \cdot \|f(x'_\varepsilon) - f(x)\|_F \\ &\leq M'_1 \cdot \sup \{ \|f(x') - f(x)\|_F; x' \in D, \|x' - x\| = r \} = M'_1 \cdot L(x, f, r) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} l_{11}(x, f, r) + \varepsilon &> \|f(x''_\varepsilon) - f(x)\|_{1F} \geq m'_1 \cdot \|f(x''_\varepsilon) - f(x)\|_F \\ &\geq m'_1 \cdot \inf \{ \|f(x'') - f(x)\|_F; x'' \in D, \|x'' - x\| = r \} = m'_1 \cdot l(x, f, r) \end{aligned}$$

such that, finally, we can write

$$\frac{L_{11}(x, f, r) - \varepsilon}{l_{11}(x, f, r) + \varepsilon} < \frac{M'_1}{m'_1} \cdot \frac{L(x, f, r)}{l(x, f, r)}.$$

But  $r < r_\eta$ , and

$$\frac{L_{11}(x, f, r) - \varepsilon}{l_{11}(x, f, r) + \varepsilon} < \frac{M'_1}{m'_1} \cdot \frac{L(x, f, r)}{l(x, f, r)} < \frac{M'_1}{m'_1} \cdot (K + \eta).$$

If  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $r \rightarrow 0$  we obtain

$$H_{11}(x, f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{L_{11}(x, f, r)}{l_{11}(x, f, r)} < \frac{M'_1}{m'_1} \cdot (K + \eta)$$

and for  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$H_{11}(x, f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{L_{11}(x, f, r)}{l_{11}(x, f, r)} \leq \frac{M'_1}{m'_1} \cdot K$$

for any  $x \in D$ , i.e. the required result with  $K' = \frac{M'_1}{m'_1} \cdot K$ . □

**Proposition 1.** *If the spaces  $E, F$  are norm isomorphic by  $f : E \rightarrow F$ , then  $f$  is MC and AC.*

**Proof.** By hypothesis  $f$  is a one-to-one and a bicontinuous mapping and we have also

$$\|f(x)\|_F = \|x\|_E \quad (\forall) x \in E.$$

Hence,

$$\|f(x') - f(x)\|_F = \|f(x' - x)\|_F = \|x' - x\|_E \quad (\forall) x \in E$$

and

$$L(x, f, r) = l(x, f, r) = r, \quad (\forall) r > 0,$$

such that finally we obtain  $H(x, f) = 1$  for all  $x \in E$ .  $\square$

Similarly we obtain  $D^+ f(x) = D^- f(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in E$ .

**Proposition 2.** *If  $f : E \rightarrow F$  is an isomorphism, then there exist  $K \geq 1$  such that  $f$  is  $K - MQC$  and  $K - AQC$ .*

**Proof.** From the hypothesis there exist  $m > 0, M > 0$  such that

$$m \cdot \|x\|_E \leq \|f(x)\|_F \leq M \cdot \|x\|_E, \quad (\forall) x \in E.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} L(x, f, r) &= \sup \{ \|f(x') - f(x)\|_F, x' \in E, \|x' - x\|_E = r \} \\ &= \sup \{ \|f(x' - x)\|_F; x' \in E, \|x' - x\|_E = r \} \\ &\leq \sup \{ M \cdot \|x' - x\|_E; x' \in E, \|x' - x\|_E = r \} = M \cdot r, \\ l(x, f, r) &= \inf \{ \|f(x') - f(x)\|_F, x' \in E, \|x' - x\|_E = r \} \\ &= \inf \{ \|f(x' - x)\|_F; x' \in E, \|x' - x\|_E = r \} \\ &\geq \inf \{ m \cdot \|x' - x\|_E; x' \in E, \|x' - x\|_E = r \} = m \cdot r \end{aligned}$$

such that we will have

$$H(x, f) \leq \frac{M}{m}, \quad (\forall) x \in E.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$D^-(x, f) \geq m, \quad D^+(x, f) \leq M, \quad (\forall) x \in E,$$

and obviously the conditions (i), (ii) in definition (2) are satisfied.  $\square$

We use the previous proposition to prove that, if we consider some adjacent conditions for a quasiconformal homeomorphism in the metric sense, we have the invariance if we change also the norm of the normed space  $E$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  be  $K - MQC$  homeomorphism so that  $f$  is Fréchet-differentiable and  $f'(x)$  is a bijection for any  $x \in D$ . If we replace the norms of  $E$  and  $F$  by some equivalent norms, then, for a certain  $K'$ ,  $f$  becomes  $K' - MQC$  homeomorphism.*

**Proof.** From [2], the product of a  $K - MQC$  homeomorphism  $f$  and a  $K' - MQC$  homeomorphism  $g$ , both with bijective Fréchet derivatives is a  $K \cdot K' - MQC$  homeomorphism.

Let  $\|\cdot\|_{1E}, \|\cdot\|_{1F}$  be two norms equivalent to the initial norms of  $E$ , respectively  $F$  and  $m_1, M_1, m'_1, M'_1$  strictly positive numbers such that

$$m_1 \cdot \|x\|_E \leq \|x\|_{1E} \leq M_1 \cdot \|x\|_E, \quad (\forall) x \in E$$

and

$$m'_1 \cdot \|y\|_F \leq \|y\|_{1F} \leq M'_1 \cdot \|y\|_F, (\forall) y \in F$$

respectively.

The identity  $i : (E, \|\cdot\|_{1E}) \rightarrow (E, \|\cdot\|_E)$  is an isomorphism and it results from the proposition 2 that  $i$  is  $\frac{M_1}{m_1} - MQC$ . Similarly the identity  $j : (F, \|\cdot\|_F) \rightarrow (F, \|\cdot\|_{1F})$  is  $\frac{M'_1}{m'_1} - MQC$ .

Then  $f_1 : D \subset (E, \|\cdot\|_{1E}) \rightarrow D' \subset (F, \|\cdot\|_{1F})$  defined by  $f_1(x) = f(x)$  for any  $x \in D$ , can be written as  $f_1 = j \circ f \circ i$  and it results that  $f_1$  is  $\frac{M_1}{m_1} \cdot \frac{M'_1}{m'_1} \cdot K - MQC$ .  $\square$

**Example.** We give now an example of a  $K$ -quasiconformal homeomorphism  $f : E \rightarrow F$  in the metric sense, so that it becomes conformal if we replace the norm of  $F$  by an equivalent norm.

Let  $E = F = \mathbb{R}^2$  be normed by the equivalent norms

$$\|(u, v)\| = \max(|u|, |v|), \|(u, v)\|_1 = |u| + |v|.$$

We have the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_1 \leq \|(u, v)\| \leq \|(u, v)\|_1$$

for any  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

We consider the identical function  $i : (\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|), i(z) = z$  and the function  $i_1 : (\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_1), i_1(z) = z$ .

For  $i_1$  we take  $z_0 = (u_0, v_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $r > 0$ . For  $z = (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  with

$$\|z - z_0\| = \max(|u - u_0|, |v - v_0|) = r$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|i_1(z) - i_1(z_0)\|_1 = |u - u_0| + |v - v_0| = \\ & = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r, \text{ if } 0 = |u - u_0| < |v - v_0| = r \text{ or } 0 = |v - v_0| < |u - u_0| = r \\ 2r, \text{ if } 0 < |u - u_0| = |v - v_0| = r \\ \alpha + r \text{ if } 0 < |u - u_0| < |v - v_0| = r \text{ or } 0 < |v - v_0| < |u - u_0| = r \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < \alpha < r$ . It results that

$$\begin{aligned} L(z_0, i_1, r) &= \sup\{\|i_1(z) - i_1(z_0)\|_1 ; z \in \mathbb{R}^2, \|z - z_0\| = r\} = 2r \\ l(z_0, i_1, r) &= \inf\{\|i_1(z) - i_1(z_0)\|_1 ; z \in \mathbb{R}^2, \|z - z_0\| = r\} = r \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$H(z_0, i_1) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{L(z_0, i_1, r)}{l(z_0, i_1, r)} = 2$$

for any  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . So, the homeomorphism  $i_1 : (\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_1)$  is 2-quasiconformal and, if we replace the norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  by the equivalent norm  $\|\cdot\|$  we obtain the conformal homeomorphism  $i$ .

More generally, we can prove that an isomorphism  $f : E \rightarrow F$  becomes conformal in the metric sense if we replace the norm of  $F$  by the equivalent norm  $y \rightarrow \|y\|_1 = \|f^{-1}(y)\|_E$ ,  $(\forall) y \in F$ .

**Theorem 4.** *An isomorphism  $f : E \rightarrow F$  becomes conformal in the metric sense if we replace the norm of  $F$  by the equivalent norm*

$$y \rightarrow \|y\|_1 = \|f^{-1}(y)\|_E, (\forall) y \in F.$$

**Proof.** Let first remark that, if we take  $y = f(x)$ , the double inequality

$$m \cdot \|x\|_E \leq \|f(x)\|_F \leq M \cdot \|x\|_E, (\forall) x \in E.$$

becomes

$$m \cdot \|y\|_1 \leq \|y\|_F \leq M \cdot \|y\|_1, (\forall) y \in F$$

whence the fact that the two norms are equivalent in  $F$ .

For  $f : E \rightarrow (F, \|\cdot\|_1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(x, f, r) &= \sup \{ \|f(x') - f(x)\|_1, x' \in E, \|x' - x\|_E = r \} \\ &= \sup \{ \|f(x' - x)\|_1, x' \in E, \|x' - x\|_E = r \} \\ &= \sup \{ \|x' - x\|_E, x' \in E, \|x' - x\|_E = r \} = r. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain  $l_1(x, f, r) = r$ , and, finally,  $H(x, f) = 1$ .  $\square$

The same result is true for the definition with scalar derivatives.

**Theorem 5.** *In the hypothesis of the preceding theorem,  $f$  becomes also conformal in the analytical sense.*

**Proof.** We will have

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^+ f(x) &= \limsup_{x' \rightarrow x} \frac{\|f(x') - f(x)\|_1}{\|x' - x\|_E} \\ &= \limsup_{x' \rightarrow x} \frac{\|f(x' - x)\|_1}{\|x' - x\|_E} = \limsup_{x' \rightarrow x} \frac{\|x' - x\|_E}{\|x' - x\|_E} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,  $D_1^- f(x) = 1$  whence the fact that the conditions (i), (ii) in the Definition 2 are satisfied with  $K = 1$ , i.e.  $f$  is conformal in analytical sense.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** In the case of the analytical definition, from the first theorem, results the invariance of the quasiconformality when we change the norms of both spaces  $E$  and  $F$  by some equivalent norms. For the metric definition that is true if we suppose the Fréchet-differentiability of the mapping  $f$  and if  $f'(x)$  is a bijection for any  $x$ . The last two theorems give us an example of a  $K$ -quasiconformal homeomorphism that becomes conformal if we replace the norm of  $F$  by a suitable equivalent norm.

Some open questions are:

- can we prove the invariance for the metric definition in the same conditions as for the analytic definition or find a counterexample?

- can we find for any  $K$ -quasiconformal homeomorphism  $f$ , some equivalent norms so that  $f$  becomes conformal? Or, how much can we decrease the value of  $K$  by changing the norms of  $E$  and  $F$  by some equivalent norms ?

In [4], the author considers, for  $E$  and  $F$  general metric spaces,

$$H_a(x, f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{L_a(x, f, r)}{l_a(x, f, r)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_a(x, f, r) &= \sup \{ \|f(x') - f(x)\|_F; x' \in D, \|x' - x\|_E \leq r \} \\ l_a(x, f, r) &= \inf \{ \|f(x') - f(x)\|_F; x' \in D, \|x' - x\|_E \geq r \}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this notations, we can consider the a-metric definition.

**Definition 4.**  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  is  $K$ -quasiconformal in the a-metric sense,  $K \geq 1$ , if

$$H_a(x, f) \leq K, (\forall) x \in D.$$

We propose to consider another version. For a constant  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  we note

$$\begin{aligned} L(x, f, r, \alpha) &= \sup \{ \|f(x') - f(x)\|_F; x' \in D, \alpha r \leq \|x' - x\|_E \leq r \} \\ l(x, f, r, \alpha) &= \inf \{ \|f(x') - f(x)\|_F; x' \in D, \alpha r \leq \|x' - x\|_E \leq r \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$H(x, f, \alpha) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{L(x, f, r, \alpha)}{l(x, f, r, \alpha)}.$$

**Definition 5.**  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  is  $(K, \alpha)$ -quasiconformal in the metric sense,  $K \geq 1$ , and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  if

$$H(x, f, \alpha) \leq K, (\forall) x \in D.$$

In the last definition, if  $\alpha = 1$  we obtain the metric definition.

**Proposition 3.** 1) If  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  is  $K$ -quasiconformal in the a-metric sense then  $f$  is  $K$ -quasiconformal in the metric sense.

2) If  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  is  $(K, \alpha)$ -quasiconformal in the metric sense then  $f$  is  $K$ -quasiconformal in the a-metric sense.

**Proof.** These affirmations are consequences of the relations

$$\{x' / x' \in D, \|x' - x\|_E \geq r\} \supseteq \{x' / x' \in D, \|x' - x\|_E = r\}$$

$$\{x' / x' \in D, \|x' - x\|_E \leq r\} \supseteq \{x' / x' \in D, \|x' - x\|_E = r\}$$

for the first affirmation, and

$$\{x' / x' \in D, \alpha r \leq \|x' - x\|_E \leq r\} \supseteq \{x' / x' \in D, \|x' - x\|_E = r\}$$

for the last affirmation. □



**Theorem 6.** *Let  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  be a  $(K, \alpha)$ -quasiconformal homeomorphism in the metric sense. If we replace the norms of  $E$  and  $F$  by some equivalent norms,  $\|\cdot\|_{1E}$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{1F}$  so that*

$$m_1 \cdot \|x\|_E \leq \|x\|_{1E} \leq M_1 \cdot \|x\|_E, \quad (\forall) x \in E$$

and

$$m'_1 \cdot \|y\|_F \leq \|y\|_{1F} \leq M'_1 \cdot \|y\|_F, \quad (\forall) y \in F$$

and if

$$\alpha \frac{M_1}{m_1} < 1$$

then, for a certain  $K'$  and  $\alpha'$ ,  $f$  becomes a  $(K', \alpha')$ -quasiconformal homeomorphism in the metric sense.

**Proof.** Let  $\eta > 0$ . From

$$H(x, f, \alpha) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{L(x, f, r, \alpha)}{l(x, f, r, \alpha)} < K,$$

there exists  $r_\eta > 0$  so that for any  $r_1$ ,  $0 < r_1 < r_\eta$ ,

$$\frac{L(x, f, r_1, \alpha)}{l(x, f, r_1, \alpha)} < K + \eta.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $r$  be so that  $0 < r < r_\eta \cdot m_1$ . For  $\alpha_1 = \alpha \frac{M_1}{m_1}$ , we note

$$L^{11}(x, f, r, \alpha_1) = \sup \{ \|f(x') - f(x)\|_{1F}, x' \in D, \alpha_1 r \leq \|x' - x\|_{1E} \leq r \}$$

and

$$l^{11}(x, f, r, \alpha_1) = \inf \{ \|f(x') - f(x)\|_{1F}, x' \in D, \alpha_1 r \leq \|x' - x\|_{1E} \leq r \}.$$

There exists  $x'_\varepsilon, x''_\varepsilon \in D$  so that

$$\alpha_1 r \leq \|x'_\varepsilon - x\|_{1E} \leq r, \quad \alpha_1 r \leq \|x''_\varepsilon - x\|_{1E} \leq r$$

and

$$L^{11}(x, f, r, \alpha_1) - \varepsilon < \|f(x'_\varepsilon) - f(x)\|_{1F}, \quad l^{11}(x, f, r, \alpha_1) + \varepsilon > \|f(x''_\varepsilon) - f(x)\|_{1F}.$$

But  $x'_\varepsilon$  verifies the inequalities

$$m_1 \|x'_\varepsilon - x\|_E \leq \|x'_\varepsilon - x\|_{1E} \leq r; \quad M_1 \|x'_\varepsilon - x\|_E \geq \|x'_\varepsilon - x\|_{1E} \geq \alpha_1 r$$

so,

$$\frac{\alpha_1 r}{M_1} \leq \|x'_\varepsilon - x\|_E \leq \frac{r}{m_1}.$$

For  $x''_\varepsilon$  we obtain also

$$\frac{\alpha_1 r}{M_1} \leq \|x''_\varepsilon - x\|_E \leq \frac{r}{m_1}.$$

The last two inequalities can be written

$$\alpha_1 \frac{m_1}{M_1} \frac{r}{m_1} \leq \|x'_\varepsilon - x\|_E \leq \frac{r}{m_1},$$

$$\alpha_1 \frac{m_1}{M_1} \frac{r}{m_1} \leq \|x''_\varepsilon - x\|_E \leq \frac{r}{m_1}.$$

If we note  $r_1 = \frac{r}{m_1}$ , we have  $0 < r_1 < r_\eta$  and if we replace  $\alpha_1$  we obtain

$$\alpha r_1 \leq \|x'_\varepsilon - x\|_E \leq r_1, \quad \alpha r_1 \leq \|x''_\varepsilon - x\|_E \leq r_1.$$

It results that,

$$\begin{aligned} L^{11}(x, f, r, \alpha_1) - \varepsilon &< \|f(x'_\varepsilon) - f(x)\|_{1F} \leq M'_1 \|f(x'_\varepsilon) - f(x)\|_F \\ &\leq M'_1 \sup\{\|f(x') - f(x)\|_F, x' \in D, \alpha r_1 \leq \|x' - x\|_E \leq r_1\} \\ &= M'_1 L(x, f, r_1, \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} l^{11}(x, f, r, \alpha_1) + \varepsilon &> \|f(x''_\varepsilon) - f(x)\|_{1F} \geq m'_1 \|f(x''_\varepsilon) - f(x)\|_F \\ &\geq m'_1 \inf\{\|f(x') - f(x)\|_F, x' \in D, \alpha r_1 \leq \|x' - x\|_E \leq r_1\} \\ &= m'_1 l(x, f, r_1, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\frac{L^{11}(x, f, r, \alpha_1) - \varepsilon}{l^{11}(x, f, r, \alpha_1) + \varepsilon} < \frac{M'_1 L(x, f, r_1, \alpha)}{m'_1 l(x, f, r_1, \alpha)} < \frac{M'_1}{m'_1} (K + \eta).$$

If  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $r \rightarrow 0$  and  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  it results that

$$H^{11}(x, f, \alpha_1) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{L^{11}(x, f, r, \alpha_1)}{l^{11}(x, f, r, \alpha_1)} \leq \frac{M'_1}{m'_1} K. \quad \square$$

### REFERENCES

- [1] Caraman, P., *Quasiconformal mappings in real normed spaces*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. XXIV, **1** (1979), 33–78.
- [2] Crăciunaș, S., *Quasiconformité dans les espaces localements convexes*, Bull. Math. de la Soc. Math. de Roumanie, Tome 34 (82), **1** (1990), 8–16.
- [3] Frunză, M., *On an analytic characterization of quasiconformality in normed spaces*, An. Științ. Univ. Al.I. Cuza Iași **25**, (1979), 273–278.
- [4] Väisälä, J., *Quasimöbius maps*, J. Anal. Math. **44** (1984/85), 218–234.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY “LUCIAN BLAGA”  
 SIBIU 2400, ROMANIA  
 E-mail: craciuns@jupiter.sibiu.ro