

Svatoslav Gaidov

Z -equilibria in many-player stochastic differential games

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 29 (1993), No. 3-4, 123--133

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107474>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

**Z-EQUILIBRIA IN MANY-PLAYER
STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL GAMES**

SVATOSLAV GAIDOV

ABSTRACT. In this paper N -person nonzero-sum games are considered. The dynamics is described by Ito stochastic differential equations. The cost-functions are conditional expectations of functionals of Bolza type with respect to the initial situation. The notion of Z -equilibrium is introduced in many-player stochastic differential games. Some properties of Z -equilibria are analyzed. Sufficient conditions are established guaranteeing the Z -equilibrium for the strategies of the players. In a particular case of a linear-quadratic game the Z -equilibrium strategies are found in an explicit form.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we follow the approach of Fleming and Rishel [1] to the optimal control of stochastic dynamic system, but applied in situations of conflicts, i.e. to stochastic differential games. Let $\{1, \dots, N\}$ be the set of players. The dynamics is described by the following Ito stochastic differential equation:

$$dx(t) = f(t, x(t), u_1, \dots, u_N) dt + g(t, x(t), u_1, \dots, u_N) dw(t), \quad t \in [t_0, T].$$

The control u_i is chosen by the i -th player in the feedback form $u_i = u_i(t, x(t))$ with the objective of minimizing the personal cost-function

$$J_i(u_i, \dots, u_N) = \mathbb{E}_{t_0, x_0} \{ \Psi_i(T, x(T)) + \int_{t_0}^T L_i(t, x(t), u_1, \dots, u_N) dt \}, \quad i \in I.$$

As a solution of the game the concept of Z -equilibrium is proposed. In deterministic differential games this notion is introduced by Zhukovskii in [8] and in two-player stochastic differential games by the author in [2]. The Z -equilibrium is based on the concept of Pareto-optimality, see Gaidov [3], [4] and represents a further development in the theory in comparison with the Nash-equilibrium, see Gaidov [3], [5].

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 93E05.

Key words and phrases: nonzero-sum game, many-player game, stochastic differential equation, linear-quadratic game, Bolza functional, cost-function, strategy.

Received June 1, 1988.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we consider accurately the formalization of the game and a model of a linear-quadratic game. In Section 3 we recall some definitions and quote some results from our papers [3 – 6]. In Section 4 we introduce the notion of Z -equilibrium in many-player stochastic differential games and analyze some of its properties. Sufficient conditions for the Z -equilibrium strategies of the players are established in Section 5. Finally in Section 6 in the linear-quadratic game the Z -equilibrium strategies are found in an explicit form.

2. FORMALIZATION OF THE GAMES

Let us consider the game

$$\Gamma = \langle I, \Sigma, \{U_i\}_{i \in I}, \{J_i\}_{i \in I} \rangle.$$

Here $I = \{1, \dots, N\}$ is the set of players participating in the game Γ . The evolution of the dynamic system Σ is described by Ito stochastic differential equation of the type

$$(*) \quad dx(t) = f(t, x(t), u_1, \dots, u_N) dt + g(t, x(t), u_1, \dots, u_N) dw(t), \quad t \in [t_0, T]$$

with initial condition $x(t_0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where $T > t_0 \geq 0$. The process $W = \{w(t), t \in [t_0, T]\}$ is a standard m -dimensional Wiener process defined on some complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and is adapted to a family $F = \{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [t_0, T]\}$ of nondecreasing sub- σ -algebras of \mathcal{F} . The vector $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state process and $u_i \in U_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ is the control of the i -th player, $i \in I$. Now let us make the following assumptions about the functions $f(t, x, u_1, \dots, u_N)$ and $g(t, x, u_1, \dots, u_N)$. Suppose

$$f : [t_0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times U_1 \times \dots \times U_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

and

$$g : [t_0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times U_1 \times \dots \times U_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$$

have continuous partial derivatives in x, u_1, \dots, u_N and let $C > 0$ be a constant such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, 0, \dots, 0)| + |g(t, 0, \dots, 0)| &\leq C, \\ |f_x| + |g_x| + \sum_{i \in I} (|f_{u_i}| + |g_{u_i}|) &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Here $|\cdot|$ is a general symbol for the norms in the respective spaces.

Each player has complete information about the state vector $x(t)$ at every moment $t \in [t_0, T]$ and constructs his strategy in the game Γ as an admissible feedback control, i.e.

$$u_i = u_i(t, x(t))$$

where

$$u_i(\cdot, \cdot) : [t_0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow U_i$$

is a Borel function satisfying the conditions:

- (i) There exists a constant $M_i > 0$ such that

$$|u_i(t, x)| \leq M_i(1 + |x|) \text{ for all } (t, x) \in [t_0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n ;$$

- (ii) For each bounded set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $T^* \in (t_0, T)$ there exists a constant $K_i > 0$ such that for arbitrary $x, y \in B$ and $t \in [t_0, T^*]$

$$|u_i(t, x) - u_i(t, y)| \leq K_i|x - y| .$$

Denote by U_i the set of strategies of the i -th player, $i \in I$ and $U = \prod_{i \in I} U_i, U = \prod_{i \in I} U_i$. Let a vector of strategies $u = (u_1, \dots, u_N) \in U$ be called for brevity simply a strategy.

The assumptions made above imply the existence and sample path uniqueness of the solution $X = \{x(t), t \in [t_0, T]\}$ of Ito equation (*) corresponding to the control $u \in U$, see Fleming and Rishel [1]. Moreover, X is an a.s. continuous Markov process and its infinitesimal operator $\mathcal{A}(u)$ has the form

$$\mathcal{A}(u) V(t, x) = f'(t, x, u) V_x(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [a(t, x, u) V_{xx}(t, x)] ,$$

where $a = gg'$ and prime denotes vector or matrix transpose. Here $V(t, x)$ is a real-valued function with continuous partial derivatives up to second order for all $t \in [t_0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let L_i, Ψ_i be continuous functions satisfying the polynomial growth conditions:

$$|L_i(t, x, u_1, \dots, u_N)| \leq C_i(1 + |x| + \sum_{i \in I} |u_i|)^k$$

$$|\Psi_i(t, x)| \leq C_i(1 + |x|)^k$$

where C_i, k are positive constants. Introduce now the cost-function $J_i(u)$ of the i -th player:

$$J_i(u) = \mathbb{E}_{t_0, x_0} \{ \Psi_i(T, x(T)) + \int_{t_0}^T L_i(t, x(t), u_1, \dots, u_N) dt \}, \quad i \in I .$$

The object of each player in the game Γ is to minimize his own cost-function.

Now let us consider one particular but important case of the game described above. Let

$$\Gamma_{lq} = \langle I, \sum^l, \{U_i^l\}_{i \in I} \{J_i^q\}_{i \in I} \rangle .$$

Here again $I = \{1, \dots, N\}$. The evolution of the dynamic system \sum^l is described by the linear stochastic differential equation of the type

$$dx(t) = [A(t) x(t) + \sum_{i \in I} B_i(t) u_i] dt + g(t, x(t), u_1, \dots, u_N) dw(t), \quad t \in [t_0, T]$$

with initial condition $x(t_0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Here $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ is the state process, $W = \{w(t), t \in [t_0, T]\}$ is an $(N + 2)$ -dimensional standard Wiener process and $u_i \in U_i \subset \mathbb{R}$ is the control of the i -th player, $i \in I$. $g(t, x(t), u_1, \dots, u_N)$ is an $1 \times (N + 2)$ -matrix of the form

$$g = (g_0(t) x(t) \quad g_1(t) u_1 \quad \dots \quad g_N(t) u_N \quad g_{N+1}(t)) .$$

Henceforth $A(t)$, $B_i(t)$, $i \in I$, $g_0(t)$, $g_{N+1}(t)$, $g_i(t)$, $i \in I$ are continuous real-valued functions. The strategies of the i -th player are identified to functions of the type $u_i(t, x) = F_i(t)x$ where $F_i(t)$ is a continuous real-valued function, $i \in I$. The cost-function $J_i^q(u)$ of the i -th player is the functional

$$J_i^q(u) = \mathbb{E}_{t_0, x_0} \{ D_i x^2(T) + \int_{t_0}^T [M_i(t) x^2(t) + \sum_{j \in I} N_j^{(i)}(t) u_j^2] dt \}, \quad i \in I .$$

Here D_i are constants and $M_i(t)$, $i \in I$, $N_j^{(i)}(t)$, $i, j \in I$ are real-valued continuous functions.

3. AUXILIARY NOTIONS AND RESULTS

For the completeness of presentation we need some facts from previous papers.

Definition 3.1. ([3], [4]). The strategy $u^P \in \mathcal{U}$ is said to be Pareto-optimal in the game Γ if the relations

$$J_i(u) \leq J_i(u^P), \quad i \in I$$

for some strategy $u \in \mathcal{U}$ imply the equalities

$$J_i(u) = J_i(u^P), \quad i \in I .$$

Theorem 3.2. ([3], [4]). *The strategy $u^P \in \mathcal{U}$ is Pareto-optimal in the game Γ if there exist a vector $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\lambda_i > 0$, $i \in I$, $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_N = 1$ and real-valued function $V(t, x)$ such that for all $t \in [t_0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the following conditions jointly hold:*

- (a) V , V_t , V_x , V_{xx} are continuous;
- (b) $H_\lambda(t, x, u^P) = 0$;
- (c) $H_\lambda(t, x, u) \geq 0$ for each strategy $u \in \mathcal{U}$;
- (d) $V(T, x) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \Psi_i(T, x)$.

Here for all $t \in [t_0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u \in \mathcal{U}$:

$$H_\lambda(t, x, u) = V_t(t, x) + \mathcal{A}(u) V(t, x) + \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i L_i(t, x, u) .$$

Denote

$$D_\lambda = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i D_i, \quad M_\lambda(t) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i M_i(t) \quad \text{and} \quad N_\lambda^{(i)}(t) = \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j N_j^{(j)}(t), \quad i \in I .$$

Proposition 3.3. ([3], [4]). *Let there exist a vector $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\lambda_i > 0, i \in I, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_N = 1, D_\lambda$ is a non-negative constant, $M_\lambda(t)$ is a non-negative function and $N_\lambda^{(i)}(t)$ is a positive function for each $t \in [t_0, T]$. Then*

$$u_i^P = -[g_i^2(t)K_\lambda(t) + N_\lambda^{(i)}(t)]^{-1}B_i(t)K_\lambda(t)x, \quad i \in I$$

are Pareto-optimal strategies in the game Γ_{lq} where $K_\lambda(t)$ is the solution of the nonlinear differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{K}_\lambda(t) + 2A(t)K_\lambda(t) + M_\lambda(t) + g_0^2(t)K_\lambda(t) \\ - K_\lambda^2(t)\sum_{i \in I}[g_i^2(t)K_\lambda(t) + N_\lambda^{(i)}(t)]^{-1}B_i^2(t) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

with the boundary condition $K_\lambda(T) = D_\lambda$.

Remark 3.4. It is important to mention that the existence of the function $K_\lambda(t) \geq 0, t \in [t_0, T]$ follows e.g. from the well-known Bellman quasilinearization method, see Roitenberg [7].

Let us recall two other definitions.

Definition 3.5. ([3], [5]). The strategy $u^n \in \mathcal{U}$ is a Nash-equilibrium strategy in the game Γ if for each $u_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$

$$J_i(u_1^n, \dots, u_{i-1}^n, u_i, u_{i+1}^n, \dots, u_N^n) = J_i(u^n || u_i) \geq J_i(u^n), \quad i \in I.$$

Definition 3.6. ([6]). The strategy $u_i^g \in \mathcal{U}_i$ is a guaranteeing strategy of the i -th player in the game Γ if

$$\min_{u_i} \max_{u_{I \setminus i}} J_i(u_i, u_{I \setminus i}) = \max_{u_{I \setminus i}} J_i(u_i^g, u_{I \setminus i}).$$

Here $I \setminus i = \{1, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, N\}$ and $u_{I \setminus i} = (u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_N) \in \prod_{j \in I \setminus i} \mathcal{U}_j = \mathcal{U}_{I \setminus i}$. Let also $(u_i, u_{I \setminus i}) = u$.

4. Z-EQUILIBRIUM. BASIC PROPERTIES

Now we generalize for many-player games the concept of Z -equilibrium, considered for two-player games in Gaidov [2].

Definition 4.1. The strategy $u^* \in \mathcal{U}$ is an active equilibrium strategy in the game Γ if for each player $i \in I$ we have: for any strategy $u_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$ there exists a collection of strategies $\hat{u}_{I \setminus i} \in \mathcal{U}_{I \setminus i}$ such that

$$J_i(u_i, \hat{u}_{I \setminus i}) \geq J_i(u^*).$$

Definition 4.2. The strategy $u^Z \in \mathcal{U}$ is a Z -equilibrium strategy in the game Γ if u^Z is both Pareto-optimal and an active equilibrium strategy.

Now we analyze some properties of the Z -equilibrium strategies and compare them with other optimal strategies.

Property 4.3. (Pareto-optimality). By Definition 4.2 we have that Z -equilibria are Pareto-optimal, i.e. they look after (guarantee) the collective interests of the players.

Property 4.4. (Active stability of Z -equilibria against unilateral deviation of a player.) Let $u^Z \in \mathcal{U}$ be a Z -equilibrium point in the game Γ . Then Definition 4.1 implies that for every strategy $u_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$ of the i -th player ($i \in I$) there is a collection of strategies $\hat{u}_{I \setminus i} \in \mathcal{U}_{I \setminus i}$ such that

$$J_i(u_i, \hat{u}_{I \setminus i}) \geq J_i(u^Z).$$

Thus, if the i -th player uses a strategy u_i different from u_i^Z , then the other players $I \setminus i$ can punish the deflecting one. Moreover, $I \setminus i$ generates an active response $\hat{u}_{I \setminus i}$ to each u_i . Let us note that Nash-equilibria (see Definition 3.5) are also stable versus the deflection of one player: for each $u_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$

$$J_i(u_i, u_{I \setminus i}^n) \geq J_i(u^n), \quad i \in I$$

where $u^n \in \mathcal{U}$ is a Nash-equilibrium point. However, here the penalty $u_{I \setminus i}^n$ is passive. In fact the players $I \setminus i$ simply stick to their strategies from u^n .

Property 4.5. (Individual rationality). Let $u_i^g \in \mathcal{U}_i$ be a guaranteeing (minimax) strategy of the i -th player (see Definition 3.6) and let $u^Z \in \mathcal{U}$ be a Z -equilibrium. Then for u_i^g there exists $\hat{u}_{I \setminus i}$ by Definition 4.2 such that

$$J_i(u^Z) \leq J_i(u_i^g, \hat{u}_{I \setminus i}) \leq \max_{u_{I \setminus i}} J_i(u_i^g, u_{I \setminus i}) = \min_{u_i} \max_{u_{I \setminus i}} J_i(u), \quad i \in I.$$

Thus, the values of the cost-functions in a Z -equilibrium point are at most equal to the minimax values.

Property 4.6. (Pareto-optimal Nash-equilibria are Z -equilibria). The Pareto-optimality is required for the Z -equilibrium. Thus we have to prove that the Nash-equilibrium implies the active equilibrium. Let $u^n \in \mathcal{U}$ be a Nash-equilibrium point in the game Γ . Then for each $u_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$

$$J_i(u_i, u_{I \setminus i}^n) \geq J_i(u^n), \quad i \in I.$$

Thus, for each $u_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$ we can choose $\hat{u}_{I \setminus i} = u_{I \setminus i}^n$ and by Definition 4.1 we conclude that u^n is an active equilibrium.

Property 4.7. (Saddle-points in two-person zero-sum games are Z -equilibrium points). Let us consider the two-person zero-sum game

$$\Gamma_0 = \langle \{1, 2\}, \sum, \{\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2\}, J(u_1, u_2) \rangle$$

with the objection of minimizing $J(u_1, u_2)$ for the first player and maximizing $J(u_1, u_2)$ for the second one. Let (u_1^0, u_2^0) be a saddle-point of Γ_0 :

$$J(u_1^0, u_2) \leq J(u_1^0, u_2^0) \leq J(u_1, u_2^0)$$

for each $u_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2$.

We consider also the game

$$\Gamma_2 = \langle \{1, 2\}, \sum, \{\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2\}, \{J_1, J_2\} \rangle$$

where $J_1(u_1, u_2) = J(u_1, u_2)$ and $J_2(u_1, u_2) = -J(u_1, u_2)$. Here both players choose their strategies with the aim of minimizing their own cost-functions.

First we prove that the saddle-point (u_1^0, u_2^0) of Γ_0 is Pareto-optimal in Γ_2 . Suppose (u_1^0, u_2^0) is not Pareto-optimal in Γ_2 . Then there exists a pair of strategies $(u_1, u_2) \in \mathcal{U}_1 \times \mathcal{U}_2$ such that

$$J_i(u_1, u_2) \leq J_i(u_1^0, u_2^0), \quad i = 1, 2$$

where at least one of these two inequalities is strict. Hence

$$J_1(u_1, u_2) + J_2(u_1, u_2) < J_1(u_1^0, u_2^0) + J_2(u_1^0, u_2^0)$$

i.e.

$$0 = J(u_1, u_2) - J(u_1, u_2) < J(u_1^0, u_2^0) - J(u_1^0, u_2^0) = 0$$

which is wrong. Therefore the Pareto-optimality of (u_1^0, u_2^0) is established.

Second we show the active equilibrium property of (u_1^0, u_2^0) in Γ_2 . Indeed, for each $u_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1$ we put $\hat{u}_2 = u_2^0$ and for each $u_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2$ we put $\hat{u}_1 = u_1^0$. Thus we get

$$J_1(u_1, \hat{u}_2) = J_1(u_1, u_2^0) = J(u_1, u_2^0) \geq J(u_1^0, u_2^0) = J_1(u_1^0, u_2^0)$$

and

$$J_2(\hat{u}_1, u_2) = J_2(u_1^0, u_2) = -J(u_1^0, u_2) \geq -J(u_1^0, u_2^0) = J_2(u_1^0, u_2^0) .$$

Therefore we arrive at the conclusion that the notion of a Z -equilibrium includes the notion of a saddle-point for zero-sum two-players games.

5. SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS

In this section we shall find conditions which are sufficient for the Z -equilibrium strategies. Denote

$$G_i(t, x, u) = V_t^{(i)}(t, x) + \mathcal{A}(u)V^{(i)}(t, x) + L_i(t, x, u), \quad i \in I$$

where $t \in [t_0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u \in U$.

Theorem. Suppose for the strategy $u^Z \in U$ the next three groups of conditions jointly hold:

- 1) There exist a vector $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\lambda_i > 0$, $i \in I$, $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_N = 1$ and a real-valued function $V(t, x)$ such that for all $t \in [t_0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the following conditions jointly hold:
 - (a₁) V, V_t, V_x, V_{xx} are continuous;
 - (b₁) $H_\lambda(t, x, u^Z) = 0$;
 - (c₁) $H_\lambda(t, x, u) \geq 0$ for each strategy $u \in \mathcal{U}$;
 - (d₁) $V(T, x) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \Psi_i(T, x)$.
- 2) There exist real-valued functions $V^{(i)}(t, x)$, $i \in I$ such that for all $t \in [t_0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $i \in I$ the following conditions jointly hold:
 - (a₂) $V^{(i)}, V_t^{(i)}, V_x^{(i)}, V_{xx}^{(i)}$ are continuous;
 - (b₂) $G_i(t, x, u^Z) = 0$;
 - (c₂) $V^{(i)}(T, x) = \Psi_i(T, x)$.
- 3) For each $i \in I$ and arbitrary strategy $u_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$ there exists a collection of strategies $\hat{u}_{I \setminus i} \in \mathcal{U}_{I \setminus i}$ such that

$$G_i(t, x, u_i, \hat{u}_{I \setminus i}) \geq 0.$$

Then the strategy $u^Z \in \mathcal{U}$ is a Z -equilibrium strategy in the game Γ .

Proof. Conditions 1) are equivalent to the conditions of Theorem 3.2, i.e. the strategy $u^Z \in \mathcal{U}$ is Pareto-optimal. Let the set of functions $V^{(i)}(t, x)$, $i \in I$ with continuous derivatives be the solution of the system of equations (b₂) with boundary conditions (c₂). Suppose $X^Z = \{x^Z(t), t \in [t_0, T]\}$ and $X^{(i)} = \{x^{(i)}(t), t \in [t_0, T]\}$ are the solutions of Ito equation (*) corresponding to the strategies u^Z and $(u_i, \hat{u}_{I \setminus i})$, respectively.

Next write the formula of Ito-Dynkin for $V^{(i)}(t, x)$, u^Z and X^Z :

$$V_{(t,x)}^{(i)} = \mathbb{E}_{t,x} \{V^{(i)}(T, x(T)) - \int_t^T [V_t^{(i)}(\tau, x^Z(\tau)) + \mathcal{A}(u^Z)V^{(i)}(\tau, x^Z(\tau))] d\tau\}, \quad i \in I.$$

This representation in conjunction with (b₂) and (c₂) implies that

$$V^{(i)}(t, x) = \mathbb{E}_{t,x} \{\Psi_i(T, x^Z(T)) + \int_t^T L_i(\tau, x^Z(\tau), u^Z) d\tau\}, \quad i \in I,$$

and hence

$$V^{(i)}(t_0, x_0) = \mathbb{E}_{t_0, x_0} \{ \Psi_i(T, x^Z(T)) + \int_{t_0}^T L_i(t, x^Z(t), u^Z) dt \}, \quad i \in I.$$

Now write again the formula of Ito-Dynkin for $V^{(i)}(t, x)$ but with $(u_i, \hat{u}_{I \setminus i})$ and $X^{(i)}$:

$$V^{(i)}(t, x) = \mathbb{E}_{t, x} \{ V^{(i)}(T, x^i(T)) - \int_t^T [V_t^{(i)}(\tau, x^{(i)}(\tau)) + \mathcal{A}(u_i, \hat{u}_{I \setminus i}) V^{(i)}(\tau, x^{(i)}(\tau))] d\tau \}, \quad i \in I.$$

Taking into account conditions 3) and (c₂), we get

$$V^{(i)}(t, x) = \mathbb{E}_{t, x} \{ \Psi_i(T, x^{(i)}(T)) + \int_t^T L_i(\tau, x^{(i)}(\tau), u_i, \hat{u}_{I \setminus i}) d\tau \}, \quad i \in I$$

which leads to

$$V^{(i)}(t_0, x_0) = \mathbb{E}_{t_0, x_0} \{ \Psi_i(T, x^{(i)}(T)) + \int_{t_0}^T L_i(t, x^{(i)}(t), u_i, \hat{u}_{I \setminus i}) dt \}, \quad i \in I.$$

Finally we have

$$V^{(i)}(t_0, x_0) = J_i(u^Z) \leq J_i(u_i, \hat{u}_{I \setminus i}), \quad i \in I.$$

This means that u^Z is an active equilibrium strategy in Γ and hence u^Z is a Z -equilibrium strategy. So the proof of the Theorem is completed. \square

6. LINEAR-QUADRATIC GAME

Now consider the linear-quadratic stochastic differential game Γ_{lq} , described in Section 2. Let the conditions of Proposition 3.3 hold. Then the strategies

$$u_i^Z = u_i^P = -[g_i^2(t)K_\lambda(t) + N_\lambda^{(i)}(t)]^{-1} B_i(t) K_\lambda(t) x, \quad i \in I$$

are Pareto-optimal strategies in the game Γ_{lq} .

Further we follow the procedure of searching the Z -equilibrium from the Theorem of Section 5 and construct the functions

$$\begin{aligned} G_i(t, x, u) &= V_t^{(i)}(t, x) + [A(t)x + \sum_{j \in I} B_j(t)u_j] V_x^{(i)}(t, x) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} [g_0^2(t)x^2 + \sum_{j \in I} g_j^2(t)u_j^2 + g_{N+1}(t)] V_{xx}^{(i)}(t, x) \\ &\quad + M_i(t)x^2 + \sum_{j \in I} N_j^{(i)}(t)u_j^2, \quad i \in I. \end{aligned}$$

We search $V^{(i)}(t, x)$ as a solution of the equation

$$G_i(t, x, u^Z) = 0$$

with the boundary condition $V^{(i)}(T, x) = D_i x^2$ in the following special form

$$V^{(i)}(t, x) = \theta_i(t) x^2 + r_i(t)$$

where $\theta_i(t)$ and $r_i(t)$ are real-valued functions, $i \in I$. thus we get for each $i \in I$ the nonhomogeneous linear differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \dot{\theta}_i(t) + 2A(t)\theta_i(t) - 2\theta_i(t) K_\lambda(t) \sum_{j \in I} B_j^2(t) [g_j^2(t) K_\lambda(t) + N_\lambda^{(j)}(t)]^{-1} \\ & + g_0^2(t)\theta_i(t) + \sum_{j \in I} g_j^2(t) [g_j^2(t) K_\lambda(t) + N_\lambda^{(j)}(t)]^{-2} B_j^2(t) K_\lambda^2(t) \theta_i(t) \\ & + M_i(t) + \sum_{j \in I} N_j^{(i)}(t) [g_j^2(t) K_\lambda(t) + N_\lambda^{(j)}(t)]^{-2} B_j^2(t) K_\lambda^2(t) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

with boundary condition $\theta_i(T) = D_i$ and

$$\dot{r}_i(t) + g_{N+1}^2(t)\theta_i(t) = 0 .$$

Suppose D_i is a non-negative constant and $M_i(t)$, $N_j^{(i)}(t)$, $j \in I$ are non-negative functions, $i \in I$. Taking into account this assumption and the continuity of the coefficients of the last equation we get the existence and uniqueness of its continuous solution $\theta_i(t)$ which is non-negative for each $t \in [t_0, T]$.

Now we can have the following representation

$$\begin{aligned} G_i(t, x, u) &= x^2 \{ \dot{\theta}_i(t) + 2A(t) \theta_i(t) + g_0^2(t) \theta_i(t) + M_i(t) \} \\ &+ 2 \sum_{j \in I} B_j(t) u_j \theta_i(t) x + \sum_{j \in I} g_j^2(t) u_j^2 \theta_i(t) + \sum_{j \in I} N_j^{(i)}(t) u_j^2 . \end{aligned}$$

Next, take arbitrary $i \in I$ and let $u_i = F_i(t) x$. Fix $j \in I \setminus i$ and let $u_j = ax$ where a is a positive constant. Also let $u_k = u_k^Z$, $k \in I \setminus \{i, j\}$. Then for a suitable $S(t)$ we can write $G_i(t, x, u)$ in the form

$$G_i(t, x, u) = x^2 \{ S(t) + 2aB_j(t)\theta_i(t) + a^2[g_j^2(t)\theta_i(t) + N_j^{(i)}(t)] \} .$$

Suppose $N_j^{(i)}(t)$ is a positive function for each $t \in [t_0, T]$. Then $g_j^2(t)\theta_i(t) + N_j^{(i)}(t)$ is a positive as well. This implies the existence of a positive number a^* such that the quantity

$$S(t) + 2a^*B_j(t)\theta_i(t) + (a^*)^2[g_j^2(t)\theta_i(t) + N_j^{(i)}(t)]$$

is positive for all $t \in [t_0, T]$. Hence, if we put $u_j^* = a^*x$ we get

$$G_i(t, x, u_i, \hat{u}_{I \setminus i}) \geq 0$$

where $\hat{u}_{I \setminus i} = \{u_j^*, u_k^Z, k \in I \setminus \{i, j\}\}$. Thus $u^Z = u^P$ is an active equilibrium in the game Γ_{Iq} and we come to the following result.

Proposition. Let $D_i, i \in I$ be non-negative constants, $M_i(t), i \in I$ and $N_j^{(i)}(t), i, j \in I$ be non-negative functions for each $t \in [t_0, T]$. Let there exist a vector $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\lambda_i > 0, i \in I, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_N = 1$ and $N_\lambda^{(i)}(t), i \in I$ are positive functions for each $t \in [t_0, T]$. Let for every $i \in I$ there exist $j \in I \setminus i$ such that the function $N_j^{(i)}(t)$ is positive for all $t \in [t_0, T]$. Then u^Z is a Z-equilibrium strategy in the game Γ_{Iq} .

Acknowledgement. The problems considered in the present paper, as well as several other topics were a subject of my discussions with Dr. Jordan Stoyanov. I use the opportunity to express my gratitude for his support and helpful talks.

REFERENCES

- [1] Fleming, W. H., Rishel, R. W., *Deterministic and Stochastic Optimal Control*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1975.
- [2] Gaidov, S. D., *Z-Equilibrium in Stochastic Differential Games*, (in Russian), In: Many Player Differential Games, Centre of Mathematics, Technical University, Rouse, Bulgaria (1984), 53-63.
- [3] Gaidov, S. D., *Basic Optimal Strategies in Stochastic Differential Games*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **37** (1984), 457-460.
- [4] Gaidov, S. D., *Pareto-Optimality in Stochastic Differential Games*, Problems of Control and Information Theory **15** (1986), 439-450.
- [5] Gaidov, S. D., *Nash Equilibrium in Stochastic Differential Games*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications **12A** (1986), 761-768.
- [6] Gaidov, S. D., *Guaranteeing Strategies in Many Player Stochastic Differential Games*, In: Mathematics and Education in Mathematics, Proceedings of 15th Spring Conference of UBM (1986), 379-383.
- [7] Roitenberg, Y. N., *Automatic Control*, (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1978.
- [8] Vaisbord, I. M., Zhukovskii, V. I., *Introduction into Many Player Differential Games*, (in Russian), Sovetskoe Radio (1980), Moscow.

SVATOSLAV GAIDOV
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 PLOVDIV UNIVERSITY
 BG-4000 PLOVDIV, BULGARIA