

Hana Vymazalová

On some properties of proximity in metric spaces

*Archivum Mathematicum*, Vol. 12 (1976), No. 2, 63--66

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106929>

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## ON SOME PROPERTIES OF PROXIMITY IN METRIC SPACES

HANA VYMAZALOVÁ, Brno

(Received October 10, 1974)

The task of this article is to prove a lemma concerning proximity in metric spaces and then prove that a metric space is completely bounded if and only if the  $\delta$ -space constructed by its metric is a completely bounded  $\delta$ -space.

### 1. DEFINITION AND SOME PROPERTIES OF PROXIMITY SPACES

Concepts and lemmas given in this chapter are taken from articles (2) and (3).

Proximity space (or  $\delta$ -space) is a non-empty set  $P$  together with a mapping  $\delta$  (called proximity) of the set  $2^P \times 2^P$  into the set  $\{0, 1\}$  which fulfils following axioms:

$$B1: \delta(A, B) = \delta(B, A)$$

$$B2: \delta(A \cup B, C) = \delta(A, C) \cdot \delta(B, C)$$

$$B3: \delta(\{x\}, \{y\}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$$

$$B4: \delta(A, \emptyset) = 1$$

$$B5: \text{if } \delta(A, B) = 1, \text{ then there exist sets } C, D \subseteq P \text{ such that } C \cup D = P \text{ and } \delta(A, C) = \delta(B, D) = 1.$$

Instead of  $\delta(A, \{x\})$  we write  $\delta(A, x)$ .

We construct a topology  $\mathcal{T}_\delta$  in the  $\delta$ -space  $(P, \delta)$  in this way: a set  $A \subseteq P$  is closed iff  $\delta(A, x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in A$ .

A set  $A \subseteq P$  is a  $\delta$ -neighbourhood of a set  $B \subseteq P$  iff  $\delta(B, P \setminus A) = 1$ . In this case we write  $B \subset A$ .

A covering  $\gamma$  of the set  $P$  is called a  $\delta$ -covering of the  $\delta$ -space  $(P, \delta)$  iff for any  $A, B \subseteq P$  such that  $\delta(A, B) = 0$  there is a set  $\Gamma \in \gamma$  such that  $A \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset \neq B \cap \Gamma$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be a covering of the set  $P$ , we put  $U_\gamma C = U\{\Gamma \mid \Gamma \in \gamma \text{ and } \Gamma \cap C \neq \emptyset\}$  for any  $C \subseteq P$ .

If  $C = \{x\}$  then we write only  $U_\gamma x$ . Evidently if  $\gamma$  is a  $\delta$ -covering of  $(P, \delta)$  and  $B \subseteq P$  then  $B \in U_\gamma B$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be  $\delta$ -coverings of  $(P, \delta)$ . We write  $\alpha <^* \beta$  iff for any  $x \in P$  there is a set  $B \in \beta$  such that  $U_\alpha x \subseteq B$ .

A  $\delta$ -covering  $\gamma$  is uniform iff there exists a sequence  $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $\delta$ -coverings such that  $\gamma = \gamma_1 >^* \gamma_2 >^* \dots$ . We denote  $\mathcal{S}(P, \delta)$  the set of all uniform  $\delta$ -coverings of  $(P, \delta)$ .

A  $\delta$ -space is completely bounded iff for any its uniform  $\delta$ -covering  $\gamma$  there exists a finite subcovering  $\gamma_0$  of  $\gamma$ .

If  $(P, \varrho)$  is a metric space then we can define on  $P$  a proximity  $\delta_\varrho$  in a natural way:  $\delta(A, B) = \text{sgn } \varrho(A, B)$ .

The two following lemmas, which are taken from article (4), page 276, are used in the sequel.

**Lemma 1:** Let  $(P, \delta)$  be a  $\delta$ -space,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  be its  $\delta$ -coverings such that  $\alpha >^* \beta >^* \gamma$ ,  $\Gamma_0 \in \gamma$ . Then there exists a set  $A \in \alpha$  such that  $A \supseteq U_\gamma \Gamma_0$ .

**Lemma 2:** If  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}(P, \delta)$ , then  $\gamma^0 = \{\Gamma^0 \mid \Gamma \in \gamma\}$  is an open uniform  $\delta$ -covering of the  $\delta$ -space  $(P, \delta)$ .

## 2. SOME PROPERTIES OF PROXIMITY IN METRIC SPACES

**Lemma 3.** Let  $(M, \varrho)$  be a metric space,  $(M, \delta_\varrho)$  be a  $\delta$ -space constructed from the metric  $\varrho$ . Let  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty \subseteq M$  be a cauchy sequence,  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}(M, \delta_\varrho)$ . Then there exists a set  $\Gamma_0 \in \gamma$  and a positive integer  $N$  such that  $\{x_n\}_N^\infty \subseteq \Gamma_0$ .

**Proof:** a) Let  $\gamma$  be an open uniform  $\delta$ -covering of  $(M, \delta_\varrho)$ . Then there is a sequence of open (uniform)  $\delta$ -coverings  $\gamma = \gamma_1 >^* \gamma_2 >^* \dots$ . We shall distinguish two cases:

1. There is a set  ${}^3\Gamma \in \gamma_3$  and a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_1^\infty$  such that  $\{x_{n_k}\}_1^\infty \subseteq {}^3\Gamma$ . According to lemma 1 there is a set  $\Gamma_0 \in \gamma$  such that  $\Gamma_0 \supseteq U_{\gamma_3} {}^3\Gamma \supseteq {}^3\Gamma \supseteq \{x_{n_k}\}_1^\infty$ . Therefore  $\varrho(\{x_{n_k}\}_1^\infty, M \setminus \Gamma_0) = \varepsilon > 0$ .  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$  is a cauchy sequence which implies that for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a positive integer  $N_0$  such that  $\varrho(x_{n_k}, x_n) < \varepsilon/2$  for any  $n \geq N_0$  and any  $k$  such that  $n_k \geq N_0$ . Put  $N = \max(n_1, N_0)$ . Now  $\varrho(x_n, M \setminus \Gamma_0) \geq \varepsilon/2$  for any  $n \geq N$ , hence  $\varrho(\{x_n\}_N^\infty, M \setminus \Gamma_0) \geq \varepsilon/2$  and therefore  $\{x_n\}_N^\infty \subseteq \Gamma_0$ .

2. Suppose that any set  ${}^3\Gamma \in \gamma_3$  contains a finite number of elements of  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ . We choose such a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_1^\infty$  that any set  ${}^3\Gamma \in \gamma_3$  (and therefore evidently also any set  ${}^i\Gamma \in \gamma_i, i = 4, 5, \dots$ ) doesn't contain more than one element of  $\{x_{n_k}\}_1^\infty$ . Denote  ${}^6\Gamma_{n_k}$  a set of  $\gamma_6$  containing  $U_{\gamma_7} x_{n_k}$ , for every positive integer  $k$ . According to lemma 1 there exists (for any positive integer  $k$ ) a set  ${}^4\Gamma_{n_k} \in \gamma_4$  such that  ${}^4\Gamma_{n_k} \supseteq U_{\gamma_6} {}^6\Gamma_{n_k} \supseteq {}^6\Gamma_{n_k}$ . Suppose that  ${}^4\Gamma_{n_i} \cap {}^4\Gamma_{n_j} \neq \emptyset$  for some  $i \neq j$ . Then there exists

a set  ${}^3\Gamma \in \gamma_3$  such that  ${}^3\Gamma \cong {}^4\Gamma_{n_i} \cup {}^4\Gamma_{n_j} \cong \{x_{n_i}, x_{n_j}\}$ , which contradicts our supposition. Thus  ${}^4\Gamma_{n_i} \cap {}^4\Gamma_{n_j} = \emptyset$  for any  $i, j, i \neq j$  and thus  $\delta_\rho({}^6\Gamma_{n_i}, {}^6\Gamma_{n_j}) = 1$  if  $i \neq j$ .  $\{x_{n_k}\}_1^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence. Hence for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $K$  such that  $\varrho(x_{n_j}, x_{n_k}) < \varepsilon$  for any  $j, k \geq K$ . Besides  $x_{n_j} \in M \setminus {}^6\Gamma_{n_k}$  if  $j \neq k$  and thus  $\varrho(x_{n_k}, M \setminus {}^6\Gamma_{n_k}) < \varepsilon$  for  $k \geq K$ . This implies that there exists a point  $y_k$ , of the boundary of  ${}^6\Gamma_{n_k}$  for which  $\varrho(x_{n_k}, y_k) < \varepsilon$ ;  $y_k \in M \setminus {}^6\Gamma_{n_k}$  as  ${}^6\Gamma_{n_k}$  is an open set. As  ${}^6\Gamma_{n_j} \cap {}^6\Gamma_{n_i} = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ , we have  $y_k \in M \setminus U\{{}^6\Gamma_{n_j} | j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Hence  $\varrho(\{x_{n_k}\}_1^\infty, M \setminus U\{{}^6\Gamma_{n_j} | j = 1, 2, \dots\}) < \varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , therefore  $\delta_\varepsilon(\{x_{n_k}\}_1^\infty, M \setminus U\{{}^6\Gamma_{n_j} | j = 1, 2, \dots\}) = 0$ . Then there exists a set  ${}^7\Gamma \in \gamma_7$  such that  $\{x_{n_k}\}_1^\infty \cap {}^7\Gamma \neq \emptyset \neq (M \setminus U\{{}^6\Gamma_{n_j} | j = 1, 2, \dots\}) \cap {}^7\Gamma$ . This implies that  $x_{n_{k_0}} \in {}^7\Gamma$  for an appropriate positive integer  $k_0$ , but then  ${}^7\Gamma \subseteq U_{\gamma, x_{n_{k_0}}} \subseteq {}^7\Gamma_{n_{k_0}}$  and  ${}^7\Gamma \cap (M \setminus U\{{}^6\Gamma_{n_j} | j = 1, 2, \dots\}) \neq \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction. Case (2) is then impossible.

b) Let  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}(P, \delta)$ , then according to lemma 2  $\gamma^0 = \{\Gamma^0 | \Gamma \in \gamma\}$  is an open uniform  $\delta$ -covering and we have already proved the assertion for  $\gamma^0$ . It is then proved for  $\gamma$ , too.

**Theorem:** Let  $(M, \varrho)$  be a metric space,  $(M, \delta_\varrho)$  be a  $\delta$ -space constructed from the metric  $\varrho$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- a)  $(M, \varrho)$  is a completely bounded metric space,
- b)  $(M, \delta_\varrho)$  is a completely bounded  $\delta$ -space.

**Proof:** a) Let  $(M, \varrho)$  be a completely bounded metric space,  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}(M, \delta_\varrho)$ . Then there are  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots \in \mathcal{S}(M, \delta_\varrho)$  such that  $\gamma = \gamma_1 >^* \gamma_2 >^* \dots$ . Suppose that for any positive integer  $n$  there is a point  $x \in M$  such that  $k(x, 1/n) \setminus \Gamma \neq \emptyset$  for any  $\Gamma \in \gamma$ . Thus  $k(x, 1/n) \setminus {}^i\Gamma \neq \emptyset$  for any  ${}^i\Gamma \in \gamma_i$  and any  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $x_1 \in M$  be such a point that  $k(x_1, 1) \setminus {}^i\Gamma \neq \emptyset$  for any  ${}^i\Gamma \in \gamma_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Assume that there exists a set  ${}^2\Gamma_x \in \gamma_2$  such that  $k(x, 1/2) \subseteq {}^2\Gamma_x$  for every  $x \in k(x_1, 1/2)$ . Then  $k(x_1, 1) = U\{k(x, 1/2) | \varrho(x_1, x) < 1/2, x \in M\} \subseteq U_{\gamma_2, x_1} \subseteq \Gamma_1$  for an appropriate  $\Gamma_1 \in \gamma$ , which is a contradiction. Thus there exists a point  $x_2 \in k(x_1, 1/2)$  such that  $k(x_2, 1/2) \setminus \Gamma \neq \emptyset$  for any  $\Gamma \in \gamma$ . We can construct a sequence  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$  such that  $x_{n+1} \in k(x_n, 1/2n)$  and  $k(x_n, 1/n) \setminus \Gamma \neq \emptyset$  for any  $\Gamma \in \gamma$  and any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$  is evidently a Cauchy sequence, therefore (according to lemma 3) there is a set  $\Gamma_0 \in \gamma$  and a positive integer  $N_0$  such that  $\{x_n\}_{N_0}^\infty \subseteq \Gamma_0$ , i.e.  $\varrho(\{x_n\}_{N_0}^\infty, M \setminus \Gamma_0) = \varepsilon > 0$ .

There exists also a positive integer  $N_1$  such that  $\varrho(x_{N_1}, x_n) < \varepsilon$  for  $n \geq N_1$ . Let  $N$  be a positive integer such that  $N \geq \max(N_0, N_1, 1/\varepsilon)$ . Then  $k(x_N, 1/N) \subseteq \Gamma_0$  which contradicts our supposition that  $k(x_N, 1/N) \setminus \Gamma \neq \emptyset$  for any  $\Gamma \in \gamma$ . Hence there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that for any point  $x \in M$  there is a set  $\Gamma \in \gamma$  such that  $k(x, 1/n_0) \subseteq \Gamma$ .  $(M, \varrho)$  is a completely bounded metric space. Thus there exists a finite set  $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \subseteq M$  such that there exists an index  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  with  $\varrho(x, y_{i_0}) < 1/n_0$  for every  $x \in M$ . Choose any  $\Gamma_i \in \gamma$  with  $\Gamma_i \cong k(y_i, 1/n_0)$  for  $i =$

$= 1, \dots, m$ . Then  $\{\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m\}$  is a finite covering of  $M$  which is a subcovering of  $\gamma$ . Therefore  $(M, \delta_\rho)$  is a completely bounded  $\delta$ -space.

b) Let  $(M, \delta_\rho)$  be a completely bounded  $\delta$ -space,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $2^{-n} \leq \varepsilon$ . As  $\gamma_n = \{k(x, 2^{-n}) \mid x \in M\} \in \mathcal{S}(M, \delta_\rho)$  there exists its finite subcovering  $\{k(x_1, 2^{-n}), \dots, k(x_m, 2^{-n})\}$ . Then there exists an index  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  with  $\varrho(x_{i_0}, x) < 2^{-n}$  for every  $x \in M$ . Therefore  $(M, \varrho)$  is a completely bounded metric space.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. A. Jefremovič: *Geometria blizosti*, Mat. sbornik 31 (73) (1952), 189—200
- [2] J. M. Smirnov: *O prostranstvach blizosti*, Mat. sbornik 31 (73) (1952), 543—574
- [3] J. M. Smirnov: *O polnote prostranstv blizosti*, DAN SSSR 88 (1953), 761—764
- [4] J. M. Smirnov: *O polnote prostranstv blizosti*, Trudy Moskovskogo matem. obščestva 3 (1954), 271—306

*H. Vymazalová*  
664 55 Moutnice 118  
Czechoslovakia