

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Evgenij G. Pytkeev

A note on Baire isomorphism

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 31 (1990), No. 1,
109--112

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106825>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

A note on Baire isomorphism

E.PYTKEEV

Dedicated to the memory of Zdeněk Frolík

Abstract. We give an example of an absolute Baire space which is not Baire isomorphic to any compact Hausdorff space. This answers a question asked by Z.Frolík (see also [1] Problem N 54)

Keywords: Baire set, Baire isomorphism

Classification: 54C50

Introduction. A set A of a topological space X is called Baire set if A belongs to the smallest σ -algebra of subsets of X which contains all zero-sets of X . If X is metrizable space, then σ -algebras of Baire and Borel sets of X coincide. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a Baire mapping if an inverse image of zero-set of Y is a Baire set of X . A bijection $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a Baire isomorphism, if f and f^{-1} are Baire mappings. A Tychonoff space X is called absolute Baire space if X is a Baire subset of $\beta(X)$. Any Čech-complete Lindelöf space X is absolute Baire space as X is the intersection of sequence of cozero-sets of $\beta(X)$.

In this paper we give a negative answer to following question: whether every absolute Baire space is Baire isomorphic to some compact Hausdorff space? We think that this was originally formulated by Z.Frolík (see also [1] Problem N 54).

We construct a Čech-complete Lindelöf space M , which is not Baire isomorphic to any compact Hausdorff space.

We use the following notations: $|X|$ is cardinality of X , $w(X)$ is weight of X , $c = |I = [0, 1]|$, P is the space of irrational numbers, $\exp_{\aleph_0} X = \{A : A \subseteq X, |A| \leq \aleph_0\}$, if γ is a family of subsets of X , $A \subseteq X$, then $\gamma(A)$ is a star of A , i.e. $\gamma(A) = \cup\{U \in \gamma : U \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$.

We shall use the following results

I) [2] If X and Y are metrizable compact spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous mapping, then $\mathcal{Z}(f) = \{x : |f^{-1}f(x)| > 1\}$ is F_σ -set of X .

II) (that is special case of the result from [3]). Let A be a Borel set in P . If A is not a subset of any σ -compact set in P , then A contains a subset that is closed in P and homeomorphic to P .

III) Let $f : X \rightarrow P$ be a mapping onto P and X be a σ -compact separable metric space. Then P contains a closed F , homeomorphic to P , so that $f^{-1}(F)$ is not F_σ in X .

This result easily follows from [4].

We recall that a spectrum $(X_\alpha, P_\beta^\alpha, \mathcal{U})$ is sigma-spectrum [5] if $w(X_\alpha) \leq \aleph_0, \alpha \in \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}$ is \aleph_0 -complete i.e. every countable chain $\beta \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ has the least upper bound $\gamma = \gamma(\beta)$, and X_γ is naturally homeomorphic to $\lim(X_\alpha, P_\beta^\alpha, \beta)$.

IV) Let $X = \lim S_1, Y = \lim S_2, S_1 = (X_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, \mathcal{U}), S_2 = (Y_\alpha, \mu_\beta^\alpha, \mathcal{U})$, where $S_i, i = 1, 2$ are sigma-spectrum, and all $\pi_\beta^\alpha, \mu_\beta^\alpha$ are perfect mappings. If X and Y are Baire isomorphic spaces then there are closed and cofinal subset $\mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, and Baire isomorphisms $f_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{U}'$, such that $\mu_\beta^\alpha \circ f_\alpha = f_\beta \circ \pi_\beta^\alpha$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{U}'$, $\alpha \geq \beta$. In fact, that is proved in [6].

Construction of M . We shall consider a generalization of the Alexandrov duplicate construction, which is similar to the construction from [7].

If $\gamma = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ is a pair-wise disjoint collection of closed sets of P and $B \subseteq A$, we may define a topology on $P(B) = P \times \{0\} \cup \{F_\alpha \times \{1\} : \alpha \in B\}$ as follows: $\cup\{F_\alpha \times \{1\} : \alpha \in B\}$ is open and has the topology of direct sum $\oplus\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in B\}$, and neighborhoods of a point $(x, 0)$ in $P \times \{0\}$ are have the form $U(\alpha) = (U \times \{0\}) \cup ((U \setminus F_\alpha) \times \{1\})$, where $x \in U, U \subseteq P$ is open and $\alpha \in B$. Then $P(B)$ is a regular Lindelöf space and $P \times \{0\}$ has original topology. If $B_1 \supseteq B_2$, then $P(B_2)$ is a closed subset of $P(B_1)$. For $B_1 \supseteq B_2$ we may define a mapping $\mu_{B_2}^{B_1} : P(B_1) \rightarrow P(B_2)$ as follows: $\mu_{B_2}^{B_1}(x) = x$ if $x \in P(B_2)$ and $\mu_{B_2}^{B_1}(x) = \pi_p(x)$ if $x \in P(B_1) \setminus P(B_2)$. A mapping $\mu_{B_2}^{B_1}$ is a retraction and, consequently, a quotient mapping. Let us show that $\mu_{B_2}^{B_1}$ is closed (and hence perfect). First of all, $(\mu_{B_2}^{B_1})^{-1} \mu_{B_2}^{B_1}(U(\alpha)) = U(\alpha)$ and $(\mu_{B_2}^{B_1})^{-1} \mu_{B_2}^{B_1}(V \times \{1\}) = V \times \{1\}$, where $V \subseteq F_\alpha$ and V is open in $F_\alpha, \alpha \in B_2$ and the sets $U(\alpha), V \times \{1\}$ form a base for $P(B_2)$. Let $p \in F_\alpha, \alpha \in B_1 \setminus B_2$. Then any neighborhood of a set $p \times \{0, 1\}$ contains a neighborhood of the form $U(\alpha) \cup (V \times \{1\})$, where $p \in U, U \subseteq F_\alpha$ and a set V is open in F_α . Let W be an open set of P and $W \cap F_\alpha = V$. If $U' = U \cap W$, then $U'(\alpha) \cup (V \times \{1\})$ is an open set of $P(B_1)$ and $(\mu_{B_2}^{B_1})^{-1} \mu_{B_2}^{B_1}(U'(\alpha) \cup (V \times \{1\})) = U'(\alpha) \cup (V \times \{1\})$. Consequently, $\mu_{B_2}^{B_1}$ is a perfect mapping.

So we have constructed the spectrum $S_1 = \{P(B_1) : \mu_{B_2}^{B_1}, B_1 \supseteq B_2, \exp_{\aleph_0} A\}$. Since all the mappings $\mu_{B_2}^{B_1}$ are perfect, $A \supseteq B_1 \supseteq B_2$, it easy follows that $\lim S_1 = P(A)$ and S_1 is sigma-spectrum.

The result space M we shall construct like $P(A)$, choosing a suitable $\gamma = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\varphi : \varphi : P \rightarrow X \text{ is a Baire isomorphism and } X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\aleph_0}\}$ and let $\Gamma = \{\gamma : \gamma \text{ is pair-wise disjoint collection of closed subsets of } P \text{ such that } 1) \text{ for every } F \in \gamma, F \text{ is homeomorphic to } P \text{ and } 2) |\gamma| = c\}$. Then $|\mathcal{F}| = c$ [2]. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let $\mathcal{F}(\gamma) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{F} : \text{there exists a } \gamma(\varphi) \in \Gamma \text{ such that } 1) \gamma(\varphi) \text{ is a refinement of } \gamma, 2) \text{ every } F \in \gamma \text{ contains at most own set of } \gamma(\varphi), 3) \gamma(\varphi) \text{ can be represented as a disjoint union of countable families } \gamma_\alpha(\varphi), \alpha < c, \text{ such that } \varphi(\cup \gamma_\alpha(\varphi)) \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-compact set for every } \alpha < c\}$.

V) There exists $\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma$, such that for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\underline{\gamma}) \{ \{F : F \in \underline{\gamma}\}, \varphi(F)$ is not σ -compact set and $\varphi(F) \cap \varphi(F') = \emptyset$ for every $F' \in \underline{\gamma} \setminus \{F\} \}$ is c .

PROOF : Take an arbitrary $\delta \in \Gamma$. If $\mathcal{F}(\delta) = \emptyset$ then let $\underline{\gamma} = \delta$. Assume that $\mathcal{F}(\delta) \neq \emptyset$. Due to $|\mathcal{F}(\delta)| \leq c$ we construct by transfinite induction the families

μ_α , $\alpha < c$, such that 1) for every $\alpha < c$ there exist $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\delta)$ and $\beta < c$ such that $\mu_\alpha = \delta_\beta(\varphi)$ 2) $\delta(\cup \mu_\alpha) \cap \delta(\cup \mu_\beta) = \phi$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$, 3) for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\delta) | T_\varphi = \{\alpha : \mu_\alpha = \delta_\beta(\varphi) \text{ for some } \beta < c\} = c$. Let $\alpha \in T_\varphi$. Then the set $\varphi(\cup \mu_\alpha)$ is σ -compact, i.e. $\varphi(\cup \mu_\alpha) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j$, where B_j is a compact set, $j \in N$. Let $\Phi_\alpha \in \mu_\alpha$.

Since Φ_α is not σ -compact set and $\Phi_\alpha = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (\Phi_\alpha \cap \varphi^{-1}(B_j))$, then for some $\gamma_0 \in N$ there is not a σ -compact set T such that $\Phi_\alpha \cap \varphi^{-1}(B_{\gamma_0}) \subseteq T \subseteq \Phi_\alpha$. The set $\Phi_\alpha \cap \varphi^{-1}(B_{\gamma_0})$ is Borel, consequently, according to II) there exists a closed set Φ'_α which is homeomorphic to P and $\Phi'_\alpha \subseteq \Phi_\alpha \cap \varphi^{-1}(B_{\gamma_0})$. If a set $\varphi(\Phi'_\alpha)$ is not σ -compact, then let $\Phi''_\alpha = \Phi'_\alpha$. Suppose $\varphi(\Phi'_\alpha)$ is σ -compact set, then, according to III) there is a closed set $\Phi \subseteq \Phi'_\alpha$, homeomorphic to P and such that $\varphi(\Phi)$ is not F_σ in $\varphi(\Phi'_\alpha)$, hence, it is not σ -compact. Let $\Phi''_\alpha = \Phi$. The family $\gamma = \{\Phi''_\alpha : \alpha < c\}$ is found. So the V) is proved. ■

Let $M = P(A)$, where $\gamma = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ has all the properties if V) The space M is Čech-complete and Lindelöf, since $\mu_\phi^A : M \rightarrow P \times \{0\}$ is a perfect mapping from M onto P .

The space M is not Baire isomorphic to any compact Hausdorff space. Suppose on the contrary, that M is Baire isomorphic to some compact Hausdorff space Y . Then, according to [8], $w(M) = w(Y)$. Hence, we can assume that $Y \subseteq I^A$. Let $Y_B = \pi_B(Y) \subseteq I^B$, where $B \subseteq A$, and if $A \supseteq B_1 \supseteq B_2$ then $\pi_{B_2}^{B_1} = \pi_{B_2} | Y_{B_1} : Y_{B_1} \rightarrow Y_{B_2}$. Clearly Y is the limit space of the sigma-spectrum $S_2 = \{Y_{B_1}, \pi_{B_2}^{B_1}, B_1 \supseteq B_2, \exp_{N_0} A\}$. According to IV) there is $U' \subseteq \exp_{N_0} A$, closed and cofinal subset of $\exp_{N_0} A$ and Baire isomorphisms $f_B : P(B) \rightarrow Y_B$, $B \in U'$, so that $f_{B_2} \circ \mu_{B_2}^{B_1} = \pi_{B_2}^{B_1} \circ f_{B_1}$ for all $B_1 \supseteq B_2, B_1, B_2 \in U'$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $U \ni B_0$, where B_0 is minimal.

VI) There is a family of pairs $\{(B_\alpha, B'_\alpha) : 1 \leq \alpha < c\}$ so that $B_\alpha \supseteq B'_\alpha$, $B_\alpha, B'_\alpha \in U'$ and $(B_\alpha \setminus B'_\alpha) \cap (B_\beta \setminus B'_\beta) = \phi$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$.

We shall construct the family by induction. Let (B_1, B'_1) be an arbitrary pair, where $B_1 \supseteq B'_1$, $B_1, B'_1 \in U'$ (this pair does exist, because of cofinality of U'). Suppose there are pairs $(B_\alpha, B'_\alpha) : 1 \leq \alpha < \beta < c$. Let us set $B_\beta = \{B_\alpha : \alpha < \beta\} \cup \{B'_\alpha : \alpha < \beta\}$. Then $|B_\beta| < c$ and since U' is cofinal there is $B'_\beta \supseteq B_\beta$, such that $B'_\beta \subseteq U'$, $|B'_\beta| = |B_\beta|$ and B'_β is directed by inclusion. Let $x_\beta \in A \setminus \cup B'_\beta$ and $T_0 \in U'$, $x_\beta \in T_0$. Let us construct by induction the sets $T_i, T_i \subseteq T_{i+1}$, $T_i \in U'$, $i \geq 0$, $T_i \cap (\cup B'_\beta) = \{y_{im}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $S_i, S_i \subseteq S_{i+1}$, $S_i \in B_\beta$, $i \geq 1$, $i \in N$ and so that $T_{i+1} \supseteq S_i$, $S_{i+1} \supseteq \{y_{km} : k, m \leq i\}$. Then both $T = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i$ and $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$ belong to U' , since U' is closed, $T \cap (\cup B'_\beta) = S$, $x_\beta \in T \setminus S \neq \phi$, $T \supseteq S$. In addition $(T \setminus S) \cap B_\alpha = \phi$ for every $\alpha < \beta$. So a pair $B_\beta = T$, $B'_\beta = S$ is found. The VI) is proved.

We note, that $f_{B_0} | P \times \{0\} : P \times \{0\} \rightarrow f_{B_0}(P \times \{0\})$ is Baire isomorphism and $f_{B_0} | P \times \{0\} \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $f_{B_0} | P \times \{0\} = \varphi_0$. We shall show that $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\gamma)$.

The following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P(B_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{f_{B_\alpha}} & Y_{B_\alpha} \\
 \mu_{B'_\alpha}^{B_\alpha} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{B'_\alpha}^{B_\alpha} \\
 P(B'_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{f_{B'_\alpha}} & Y_{B'_\alpha} \\
 \mu_{B_0}^{B'_\alpha} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{B_0}^{B'_\alpha} \\
 P(B_0) & \xrightarrow{f_{B_0}} & Y_{B_0}
 \end{array}$$

Since $B_\alpha \supseteq B'_\alpha \supseteq B_0$ and diagram is commutative, then $f_{B_\alpha}(\mathcal{Z}(\mu_{B'_\alpha}^{B_\alpha})) = \mathcal{Z}(\pi_{B'_\alpha}^{B_\alpha})$ and $f_{B_0}(\mu_{B_0}^{B'_\alpha}(\mathcal{Z}(\mu_{B'_\alpha}^{B_\alpha}))) = \pi_{B_0}^{B'_\alpha}(\mathcal{Z}(\pi_{B'_\alpha}^{B_\alpha}))$. According to 1) $\pi_{B_0}^{B'_\alpha}(\mathcal{Z}(\pi_{B'_\alpha}^{B_\alpha}))$ is σ -compact and $\mu_{B_0}^{B'_\alpha}(\mathcal{Z}(\mu_{B'_\alpha}^{B_\alpha})) = \cup\{F_\alpha \times 0 : \alpha \in B_\alpha \setminus B'_\alpha\}$. Hence $\varphi_0(\cup\{F_\alpha \times 0\} : \alpha \in B_\alpha \setminus B'_\alpha)$ is σ -compact for every $1 \leq \alpha < c$. Since $|B_\alpha| \leq \aleph_0$ and the family $\{B_\alpha \setminus B'_\alpha\}_{1 \leq \alpha < c}$ is pair-wise disjoint, we have $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\gamma)$. Since $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\gamma)$, then $|\mathcal{A}(\varphi_0) = \{\alpha : \varphi_0(F_\alpha) \text{ is not } \sigma\text{-compact and } \varphi_0(F_\alpha) \cap \varphi_0(F_\beta) = \emptyset \text{ for every } \alpha \neq \beta, F_\beta \in \gamma\}| = c$. Let us choose $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{A}(\varphi_0) \setminus B_0$. According to cofinality of \mathcal{U}' there is $\tilde{B} \in \mathcal{U}'$, such that $\tilde{B} \supseteq B_0 \cup \{\alpha_0\}$. Then $\varphi_0(\cup\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \tilde{B} \setminus B_0\})$ is σ -compact. But since $\varphi_0(F_{\alpha_0}) \cap \varphi_0(\cup\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \tilde{B} \setminus B_0\}) = \varphi_0(F_{\alpha_0})$, then $\varphi_0(F_{\alpha_0})$ is closed in σ -compact set $\varphi_0(\cup\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \tilde{B} \setminus B_0\})$. Thus $\varphi_0(F_{\alpha_0})$ is σ -compact. But this contradicts to $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{A}(\varphi_0)$ and so we complete the proof. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] C.A.Rogers, J.E.Jayne and others, *Analytic Sets*, Academic Press, 1980.
- [2] K.Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol.I, Academic Press, New York and London, 1966.
- [3] J.Saint-Raymond, *Approximation des sous-ensembles analytiques par l'intérieur*, C.R.Acad. Sci., Paris 281 (1975), 85-87.
- [4] R.Pol, *Note on compact sets of first Baire class functions*, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc 96 (1986), 152-154.
- [5] E.V.Štepin, *Functors and uncountable powers of compacta*, Uspehi Mat.Nauk 36 (1981), 3-62.
- [6] A.CH.Chigogidze, *On Štepin's spectral theorem*, Bull.Acad.Sci.Georgian SSR 104 (1981), 25-28.
- [7] I.Juhász, K.Kunen, M.E.Rudin, *Two more hereditary separable non-Lindelöf spaces*, Can. J.Math. 28 (1976), 998-1005.
- [8] M.M.Čoban, *Continuous images of complete spaces*, Trudy Moskov.Mat.Obšč. 30 (1974), 23-59.

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Ural Branch of Acad. Sci. USSR, S.Kovalevskoi 16, Sverdlovsk 620219, USSR

(Received May 18, 1989)